



For sustainability, further service level interventions have been implemented, including bookmarking the AEC calculator on staff computers (medicheck.com) and adding a prompt to the team's initial assessment template to check AEC. These measures aim to continue improving patient outcomes.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard *BJPsych Open* peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by *BJPsych Open* in any subsequent publication.

Assessing Documentation of Analgesic Prescribing in a Medium Secure Forensic Psychiatric Setting

Ms Bethan Bown¹ and Dr Robert Stamatakis¹

¹Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom and ²Swansea Bay University Health Board, Swansea, United Kingdom

doi: [10.1192/bjo.2025.10084](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2025.10084)

Aims: This service evaluation sought to assess the consistency of documentation in 5 key areas of analgesic prescribing in a medium secure forensic unit in South Wales.

Methods: Five key areas which are important to document when prescribing analgesia were defined as follows: 1) Indication, 2) Prescription Review, 3) Risk, 4) Discontinuation Guidance and 5) Patient Counselling on Analgesic Choice. Data was collated on these 5 key areas for opioid and pregabalin prescriptions between 1 November 2023 and 1 April 2024. Using Hospital Electronic Prescribing and Medicines Administration (HEPMA), it was possible to establish prescription data. Information on each prescription was then collated from: clinical team meeting (CTM) notes, nursing notes, GP contact records and tribunal reports for each patient.

Results: There were 18 analgesic prescriptions which fitted project criteria. 11% prescriptions were for morphine, 17% for co-codamol, 39% for codeine and 33% for pregabalin. Documentation across the 5 key areas was deficient, with 0% patients with documentation in all 5 key areas, 14% patients with documentation in 4 areas, 36% patients with documentation in 3 areas and 50% patients with documentation in <2 areas. Indications were better recorded in CTM notes than on HEPMA. On HEPMA, only 50% prescriptions had an indication, and of those only 6% had a specific indication with the remainder noted as "pain" (33%) or "pain team advice" (11%). In comparison, 90% prescriptions from CTM notes had an indication; the most common indication being leg pain (40%). In terms of prescription reviews, only 56% prescriptions were reviewed. No patients had any documented consideration of the risk of prescribing analgesia based on their substance misuse history despite 93% patients included having a recorded substance misuse history. 57% patients were prescribed the drug they have a recorded history of addiction to. Only 36% prescriptions documented the physical health risks of prescribing analgesia. Similarly, there was no documented guidance for any patient on circumstances to discontinue analgesia. In regard to patient counselling, only 50% patients were counselled on the choice of analgesia.

Conclusion: Multiple sources of information made it time consuming to get a holistic view of each prescription. Some of the key areas such as discontinuation guidance and substance misuse risk were not documented at all, with other areas having sporadic documentation depending on the prescriber. To improve future

practice, changing HEPMA to have mandatory fields to record 5 key areas when prescribing analgesia would ensure consistency of documentation.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard *BJPsych Open* peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by *BJPsych Open* in any subsequent publication.

Healthcare Contacts Prior to Suicide by Those in Contact With Mental Health Services

Dr Marcos Del Pozo Banos¹, Prof Keith Lloyd¹, Prof Louis Appleby², Prof Nav Kapur² and Prof Ann John¹

¹Swansea University, Swansea, United Kingdom and ²National Confidential Inquiry into Suicide and Safety in Mental Health (NCISH), Centre for Mental Health and Safety, School of Health Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

doi: [10.1192/bjo.2025.10085](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2025.10085)

Aims: People under mental health (MH) services' care are at increased risk of suicide. We aimed to identify opportunities for suicide prevention and underpinning data enhancement in people with recent contact with MH services.

Methods: A population-based study of all who died by suicide in the year following an MH services contact in Wales, 2001–2015 (cases), paired with similar patients, with the same mental health diagnoses, who did not die by suicide (controls). We linked the National Confidential Inquiry into Suicide and Safety in Mental Health and the Suicide Information Database – Cymru with primary and secondary healthcare records. We present odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (OR [95% CI]) of conditional logistic regression.

Results: We matched 1,031 cases with 5,155 controls. In the year before their death, 98.3% of cases were in contact with healthcare services, and 28.5% presented with self-harm.

A high proportion (98.3%) of cases were in contact with primary and secondary healthcare services in the year before their death. Compared with controls, cases were more likely to attend emergency departments (OR 2.4 [2.1–2.7]) and have emergency hospital admissions (OR 1.5 [1.4–1.7]); but less likely to have primary care contacts (OR 0.7 [0.6–0.9]), out-patient attendances (OR 0.2 [0.2–0.3]) and missed/cancelled out-patient appointments (OR 0.9 [0.8–1.0]).

A high proportion of cases presented to primary and secondary healthcare services with accidents, injury and poisoning, and especially self-harm – more so than controls (for self-harm, 28.5% of cases compared with 8.5% of controls; OR 3.6 [2.8–4.5]). This was particularly true for female patients admitted to hospital with injury and poisoning (OR 3.3 [2.5–4.5] in females compared with 2.6 [2.1–3.1] in males).

Conclusion: We may be missing existing opportunities to intervene across all settings, particularly when people present to emergency departments and hospitals, especially with self-harm. Intent underlying injury and poisoning events may be undisclosed, or recorded as undetermined or without specifying intent when they may in fact be self-harm, particularly in females. Efforts should be made to appropriately identify those who are self-harming, including by direct and non-judgmental questioning on presentation underpinned by staff training and awareness. Prevention efforts should focus on strengthening non-urgent and routine contacts (primary

care and outpatients), responding to emergency contacts, and better self-harm care. This study also highlights the benefits of enhancing clinical audit systems with routinely collected data for data completeness, breadth, and depth.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard *BJPsych Open* peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by *BJPsych Open* in any subsequent publication.

Slumber's Duel: The Upstart Orexin Receptor Antagonists Versus the Battle-Hardened Z-Drugs: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Unveiling a Tale of Efficacy and Safety of Two Contenders

Dr Asha Devi Dhandapani¹, Dr Sathyan Soundara Rajan¹, Dr Gaurav Uppal², Dr Sneh Babhulkar³ and Dr Betsy Marina Babu⁴

¹BCUHB, Wrexham, United Kingdom; ²Satyam Hospital, Ludhiana, India; ³Greater Glasgow and Clyde NHS Trust, Glasgow, United Kingdom and ⁴London and KSS school of Psychiatry, London, United Kingdom

doi: [10.1192/bjo.2025.10086](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2025.10086)

Aims: Primary insomnia, a separate diagnosis that is now included within the newly broader categorization of insomnia, greatly affects the quality of life. This meta-analysis evaluates the efficacy and safety of orexin receptor antagonists (ORAs) and Z-drugs for insomnia in adults.

Pharmacological approaches to the management of insomnia include the use of our own rendition of those generic drugs commonly referred to as ORAs and Z-drugs. Z-drugs are mainly used; nevertheless, doubts as to their long-term security remain. Targeted at orexin receptors, ORAs are novel. This system consolidates knowledge for use in clinical evaluation and management.

Methods: Accordingly, a Cochrane-Central Register of Controlled Trials Database, a Systematic review using the keywords, ORAs, and Z-drugs was conducted. The criteria for patient inclusion involved all adults diagnosed with insomnia. Measurements of the extent of benefits from the interventions were: Total sleep time, sleep onset latency, and adverse effects.

Bias was determined using SRR and overall risk of bias was determined using the ROB 2 tool. This meta-analysis was conducted by applying random effects models.

Results: Six trials showed that ORAs shortened sleep onset latency compared with zolpidem and other Z-drugs (mean difference –15.3 min, 95% CI –22.1 to –8.5). Total sleep time was similar to total time between sleep onset and wake-up in both groups. ORAs demonstrated a superior safety profile, with lower incidence of next-day somnolence (risk ratio: 0).

This was associated with a decreased risk for cognitive impairment at follow up (risk ratio: 0.65, 95% CI: 0.52–0.81) and for dependency (risk ratio: 0.38, 95% CI: 0.25–0.58).

According to the funnel plot analysis there was no significant publication bias that exists within the studies.

Conclusion: They [ORAs] are at least as effective as the Z-drugs in the management of insomnia and are safer in terms of next-day implications and withdrawal especially in elderly patients. These experiments affirm using ORAs as a first-line pharmacological remedy in chronic insomnia in adults.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard *BJPsych Open* peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by *BJPsych Open* in any subsequent publication.

Barriers and Challenges to Mental Health Service Utilization in Khartoum, Sudan

Dr Sara Elidressi^{1,2}, Dr Rayan Ahmedalgabri³, Dr Radwa Ali⁴ and Dr Hala Elhardlu⁵

¹South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom; ²Sudan Medical Specialization Board, Khartoum, Sudan;

³University of Medical Sciences and Technology, Khartoum, Sudan;

⁴St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland and

⁵Northamptonshire NHS Foundation Trust, Northampton, United Kingdom

doi: [10.1192/bjo.2025.10087](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2025.10087)

Aims: Mental health disorders represent a significant burden globally, yet access to psychiatric care remains limited, especially in low- and middle-income countries. In Sudan, the utilization of mental health services is restricted by financial constraints, social stigma, and lack of service availability. This study aims to identify key barriers affecting mental health service utilization in Khartoum, assess the availability and affordability of essential psychotropic medications, and explore their influence on patient access to care.

Methods: A cross-sectional hospital-based study was conducted from October to December 2022 at Tigani El-mahi Psychiatric Teaching Hospital and Taha Bashar Psychiatric Hospitals in Khartoum. A stratified random sample of 384 psychiatric outpatients and their caregivers was interviewed using a structured questionnaire covering demographics, accessibility, affordability, stigma, and attitudes toward psychiatric care. Additionally, the availability of 24 essential psychotropic medications was assessed in public and private pharmacies. Ethical approval was obtained, and informed consent was secured from all participants.

Results: The most commonly reported barriers to mental health service utilization were financial constraints (34.4%), limited-service availability (21.4%), and stigma (10.9%). Over 84% of participants reported no psychiatric services within their locality, 49.5% travelled 1–3 hours, while 24.2% travelled more than 3 hours to access care. Medication shortages were significant, with the availability of essential psychiatric drugs ranging from 16.1–28.6% in public hospital pharmacies and hardly exceeding 37.5% in private pharmacies. Affordability was a major concern, with 70.3% of participants stating that prescribed medications were unaffordable and difficult to purchase. Education level was significantly associated with healthcare-seeking behaviour ($p=0.018$), with university-educated individuals more likely to seek treatment. These findings align with studies from other LMICs, where financial and accessibility challenges are similarly identified as major barriers to psychiatric service utilization.

Conclusion: Mental health service utilization in Sudan is severely impacted by financial constraints, limited-service availability, and stigma. Addressing these barriers requires integrating psychiatric care into primary healthcare, expanding community-based services, and ensuring the affordability and availability of essential psychotropic medications. Subsidized medication programmes, targeted community outreach, and mental health literacy initiatives could play a key role in improving accessibility. These findings contribute to the global discourse on mental health equity in resource-limited settings and underscore the urgent need for policy reforms and investment in mental health infrastructure.

Funding Statement: No external funding was received for this study.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard *BJPsych Open* peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by *BJPsych Open* in any subsequent publication.