

Asymptotic profiles for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical combined powers nonlinearity

Shiwang Ma¹ · Vitaly Moroz²

Received: 24 June 2022 / Accepted: 16 March 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

We study asymptotic behaviour of positive ground state solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$-\Delta u + u = u^{2^* - 1} + \lambda u^{q - 1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{P_{\lambda}}$$

where $N \ge 3$ is an integer, $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ is the Sobolev critical exponent, $2 < q < 2^*$ and $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter. It is known that as $\lambda \to 0$, after *a rescaling* the ground state solutions of (P_{λ}) converge to a particular solution of the critical Emden-Fowler equation $-\Delta u = u^{2^*-1}$. We establish a novel sharp asymptotic characterisation of such a rescaling, which depends in a non-trivial way on the space dimension N = 3, N = 4 or $N \ge 5$. We also discuss a connection of these results with a mass constrained problem associated to (P_{λ}) . Unlike previous work of this type, our method is based on the Nehari-Pohožaev manifold minimization, which allows to control the L^2 norm of the groundstates.

Keywords Nonlinear Schrödinger equation · Critical Sobolev exponent · Concentration compactness · Normalized solutions · Asymptotic behaviour.

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 35J60; Secondary 35B25 · 35B40

1 Introduction and notations

We study standing-wave solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with attractive double-power nonlinearity

$$i\psi_t = \Delta \psi + |\psi|^{q-2}\psi + |\psi|^{p-2}\psi \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$$
(1.1)

Vitaly Moroz v.moroz@swansea.ac.uk
 Shiwang Ma shiwangm@nankai.edu.cn

¹ School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

² Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Fabian Way, Swansea, Wales SA1 8EN, UK

where $N \ge 3$ is an integer and 2 < q < p. A theory of NLS with combined power nonlinearities was developed by Tao, Visan and Zhang [27] and attracted a lot of attention during the past decade (cf. [3, 4, 11] and further references therein).

A standing–wave solutions of (1.1) with a frequency $\omega > 0$ is a finite energy solution in the form

$$\psi(t,x) = e^{-i\omega t} Q(x).$$

After a rescaling

$$Q(x) = \omega^{\frac{1}{p-2}} u(\sqrt{\omega}x),$$

we obtain the equation for u in the form

$$-\Delta u + u = |u|^{p-2}u + \lambda|u|^{q-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$
(1.2)

where $\lambda = \omega^{-\frac{p-q}{p-2}} > 0.$

When $p \leq 2^*$, where $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ is the Sobolev critical exponent, weak solutions of (1.2) correspond to critical points of the associated energy functional $I_{\lambda} : H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$I_{\lambda}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q.$$

By a ground state solution of (1.2) we understand a solution $u_{\lambda} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $I_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) \leq I_{\lambda}(u)$ for every nontrivial solution u of (1.2).

In the subcritical case $p < 2^*$, the existence of a positive radially symmetric exponentially decaying ground state solution of (1.2) is the result of Berestycki and Lions [9]. If $2^* \le q < p$ there are no finite energy solutions of (1.2), which follows from Pohžaev identity.

In this paper we are interested in the critical case $p = 2^*$. We study the problem

$$-\Delta u + u = u^{2^* - 1} + \lambda u^{q - 1}, \quad u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{P}_{\lambda}$$

where $q \in (2, 2^*)$ and $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter. The following result gives a characterisation of the existence of ground states for (P_{λ}) .

Theorem 1.1 Problem (P_{λ}) admits a positive radially symmetric exponentially decreasing ground state solution $u_{\lambda} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ provided that:

- $N \ge 4, q \in (2, 2^*)$ and $\lambda > 0$;
- $N = 3, q \in (4, 6) \text{ and } \lambda > 0;$
- N = 3 and $q \in (2, 4]$ and λ is sufficiently large.

For $N \ge 4$, Theorem 1.1 is established by Akahori, Ibrahim, Kikuchi and Nawa [2], Alves, Souto and Montenegro [8] and Liu, Liao and Tang [21]. In the case N = 3, Theorem 1.1 is proved in the above mentioned papers for $q \in (2, 6)$ and large $\lambda > 0$. Theorem 1.1 for $N = 3, q \in (4, 6)$ and every $\lambda > 0$ was proved in Zhang and Zou [30, Theorem 1.1] (see also Li and Ma [19] or Akahori et al. [4, Proposition 1.1]).

Very recently, Akahori, Ibrahim, Kikuchi and Nawa [5], and Wei and Wu [29] refined the results concerning the existence and non-existence of ground states to (P_{λ}) when N = 3. Although their definition of the ground state is different from that in our paper, they established the existence of a $\lambda_* > 0$ such that (P_{λ}) has a ground state if $\lambda > \lambda_*$ and no ground state if $\lambda < \lambda_*$ when N = 3 and $q \in (2, 4]$. Moreover, when N = 3 and $\lambda = \lambda_*$, (P_{λ}) has a ground state if $q \in (2, 4)$.

Concerning the uniqueness, Akahori et al. [1, 3, 4] and Coles and Gustafson [11] proved that the radial ground state u_{λ} is unique and nondegenerate for all small $\lambda > 0$ when $N \ge 5$ and $q \in (2, 2^*)$ [4, Theorem 1.1] or N = 3 and $q \in (4, 2^*)$ [11], [1, Theorem 1.1]; and for all large λ when $N \ge 3$ and $2 + 4/N < q < 2^*$ [3, Proposition 2.4]. Very recently, Akahori and Murata [6, 7] established the uniqueness and nondegeneracy of the ground state solutions for small $\lambda > 0$ in the case N = 4.

In general, the uniqueness of positive radial solutions of (P_{λ}) is not expected. Dávila, del Pino and Guerra [12] constructed multiple positive solutions of (1.2) for a sufficiently large λ and slightly subcritical $p < 2^*$. A numerical simulation in the same paper suggested nonuniqueness in the critical case $p = 2^*$. Wei and Wu [29] recently proved that there exist two positive solutions to (P_{λ}) when N = 3, $q \in (2, 4)$ and $\lambda > 0$ is sufficiently large, as [12] has suggested. Chen, Dávila and Guerra [10] proved the existence of arbitrary large number of bubble tower positive solutions of (1.2) in the slightly supercritical case when $q < 2^* < p = 2^* + \varepsilon$, provided that $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. However, if $3 \le N \le 6$ and $\frac{N+2}{N-2} < q < 2^*$ then Pucci and Serrin [25, Theorem 1] proved that (P_{λ}) has at most one positive radial solution (see also [2, Theorem C.1]).

Existence of a positive radial solution to (1.2) in the supercritical case $2 < q < 2^* \le p$ for sufficiently large λ was established earlier by Ferrero and Gazzola [13, Theorem 5] using ODE's methods, however the variational characterisation of these solutions seems open. They also proved that for $2 < q < 2^* < p$ and small $\lambda > 0$ Eq. (1.2) has no positive solutions.

Before we formulate the result in this paper we shall clarify the notations.

Notations. Throughout the paper, we assume $N \ge 3$. The standard norm on the Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_p$. The space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the usual Sobolev space with the norm $\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u\|_2$, while $H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : u \text{ is radially symmetric}\}$. The homogeneous Sobolev space $D^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined as the completion of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm $\|\nabla u\|_2$.

For any small $\lambda > 0$, any $q \in (2, 2^*)$, and two nonnegative functions $f(\lambda, q)$ and $g(\lambda, q)$, throughout the paper we write:

- f(λ, q) ≤ g(λ, q) or g(λ, q) ≥ f(λ, q) if there exists a positive constant C independent of λ and q such that f(λ, q) ≤ Cg(λ, q),
- $f(\lambda, q) \sim g(\lambda, q)$ if $f(\lambda, q) \lesssim g(\lambda, q)$ and $f(\lambda, q) \gtrsim g(\lambda, q)$.

 B_R denotes the open ball in \mathbb{R}^N with radius R > 0 and centred at the origin, $|B_R|$ and B_R^c denote its Lebesgue measure and its complement in \mathbb{R}^N , respectively. As usual, c, c_1 etc., denote positive constants which are independent of λ and whose exact values are irrelevant.

2 Main result

In this paper we are interested in the limit asymptotic profile of the ground states u_{λ} of the critical problem (P_{λ}) , and in the asymptotic behaviour of different norms of u_{λ} , as $\lambda \to 0$ and $\lambda \to \infty$. Of particular importance is the L^2 -mass of the ground state

$$M(\lambda) := \|u_{\lambda}\|_2^2,$$

which plays a key role in the analysis of stability of the corresponding standing–wave solution of the time–dependent NLS (1.1), and in the study of the mass constrained problems associated to (P_{λ}) , cf. Lewin and Nodari [17, Sect. 3.2] and Sect. 3 below for a discussion.

In the subcritical case $p < 2^*$, it is intuitively clear and not difficult to show (using e.g. Lyapunov–Schmidt type arguments) that as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, ground states of (1.2) converge to the

unique radial positive ground state of the limit equation

$$-\Delta u + u = |u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(2.1)

In the critical case $p = 2^*$, by Pohožaev identity, the *formal* limit Eq. (2.1) has no nontrvial finite energy solutions. In fact, we will see later that u_{λ} converges as $\lambda \to 0$ to a multiple of the delta-function at the origin.

Recently Akahori et al. [4, Proposition 2.1] proved that *after a rescaling*, the correct limit equation for (P_{λ}) as $\lambda \to 0$ is given by the critical Emden-Fowler equation

$$-\Delta U = U^{2^* - 1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(2.2)

Recall that all radial solutions of (2.2) are given by the Talenti function

$$U_1(x) := [N(N-2)]^{\frac{N-2}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}$$
(2.3)

and the family of its rescalings

$$U_{\rho}(x) := \rho^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} U_1(x/\rho), \quad \rho > 0.$$
(2.4)

Note that while (P_{λ}) and the associated energy I_{λ} are well–posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the limit critical Emden-Fowler Eq. (2.2) is well–posed in $D^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \not\subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, in the dimensions N = 3, 4 the ground states $U_{\rho} \notin H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, so small perturbation arguments are not (easily) available for the study of limit behaviour of u_{λ} .

Akahori et al. [4, Proposition 2.1] proved, using variational methods, that the rescaled family of ground state solutions of (P_{λ}) , defined as

$$\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(x) := \mu_{\lambda}^{-1} u_{\lambda} \left(\mu_{\lambda}^{-\frac{2}{N-2}} x \right), \qquad \mu_{\lambda} := u_{\lambda}(0) = \|u_{\lambda}\|_{\infty}$$
(2.5)

converges as $\lambda \to 0$ in $D^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to the U_{ρ_*} , where $||U_{\rho_*}||_{\infty} = 1$. This result was used in the proof of the uniqueness and nondegenaracy of the ground states of (P_{λ}) for $N \ge 5$ in [4], and for N = 3 in [1]. Very recently, Akahori and Murata [6, 7] obtained the uniqueness and nondegeneracy of the ground state solutions in the case N = 4. The rescaling μ_{λ} in (2.5) is implicit.

Our main result in this work is an explicit asymptotic characterisation of a rescaling which ensures the convergence of ground states of (P_{λ}) to a ground state of the critical Emden–Fowler Eq. (2.2). More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\{u_{\lambda}\}$ be a family of ground states of (P_{λ}) .

(a) If $N \ge 5$ and $q \in (2, 2^*)$, then for small $\lambda > 0$

$$u_{\lambda}(0) \sim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{q-2}},\tag{2.6}$$

$$\|\nabla u_{\lambda}\|_{2}^{2} \sim \|u_{\lambda}\|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}} \sim 1, \quad \|u_{\lambda}\|_{2}^{2} \sim (2^{*} - q)\lambda^{\frac{2^{*} - 2}{q - 2}}, \quad \|u_{\lambda}\|_{q}^{q} \sim \lambda^{\frac{2^{*} - q}{q - 2}}.$$
 (2.7)

Moreover, as $\lambda \to 0$, the rescaled family of ground states

$$v_{\lambda}(x) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{q-2}} u_{\lambda} \left(\lambda^{\frac{2^*-2}{2(q-2)}} x \right), \tag{2.8}$$

converges to U_{ρ_0} in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with

$$\rho_0 = \left(\frac{2(2^* - q) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_1|^q}{q(2^* - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_1|^2}\right)^{\frac{2^* - 2}{2(q - 2)}},$$
(2.9)

🖉 Springer

and the convergence rate is described by the relation

$$\|\nabla U_{\rho_0}\|_2^2 - \|\nabla v_\lambda\|_2^2 \sim (q-2)\lambda^{\frac{2^*-2}{q-2}}.$$
(2.10)

(b) If N = 4 and $q \in (2, 4)$ or N = 3 and $q \in (4, 6)$, then for small $\lambda > 0$

$$u_{\lambda}(0) \sim \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{2(q-2)}} (\ln \frac{1}{\lambda})^{\frac{N-2}{2(q-2)}} & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \lambda^{-\frac{N-2}{q-4}} & \text{if } N = 3, \end{cases}$$
(2.11)

$$\|\nabla u_{\lambda}\|_{2}^{2} \sim \|u_{\lambda}\|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}} \sim 1, \qquad (2.12)$$

$$\|u_{\lambda}\|_{2}^{2} \sim \begin{cases} \lambda_{q-2}^{\frac{2}{q-2}} (\ln \frac{1}{\lambda})^{-\frac{4-q}{q-2}} & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \lambda_{q-4}^{\frac{2}{q-4}} & \text{if } N = 3, \end{cases}$$
(2.13)

$$\|u_{\lambda}\|_{q}^{q} \sim \begin{cases} \lambda^{\frac{4-q}{q-2}} (\ln \frac{1}{\lambda})^{-\frac{4-q}{q-2}} & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \lambda^{\frac{6-q}{q-4}} & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases}$$
(2.14)

Moreover, there exists $\xi_{\lambda} \in (0, +\infty)$ *verifying*

$$\xi_{\lambda} \sim \begin{cases} \lambda^{\frac{1}{q-2}} \left(\ln \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{-\frac{1}{q-2}} & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \lambda^{\frac{2}{q-4}} & \text{if } N = 3, \end{cases}$$
(2.15)

such that as $\lambda \to 0$, the rescaled family of ground states

$$w_{\lambda}(x) = \xi_{\lambda}^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u_{\lambda}(\xi_{\lambda} x), \qquad (2.16)$$

converges to U_1 in $D^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and the convergence rate is described by the relation

$$\|\nabla U_1\|_2^2 - \|\nabla w_\lambda\|_2^2 \sim \begin{cases} \lambda^{\frac{2}{q-2}} \left(\ln \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{-\frac{4-q}{q-2}} & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \lambda^{\frac{2}{q-4}} & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases}$$
(2.17)

Similar type of results were recently obtained by Wei and Wu [28, 29]. In [29] the authors study solutions of (P_{λ}) in the case N = 3 and $q \in (2, 4)$. In particular, [29, Theorem 1.2 and Propostion 2.4] proves that for sufficiently large μ there exist a ground state and a *blow-up* positive radial solution of (P_{λ}) , and derives asymptotic estimates of type (2.11) on these two solutions. These results complement Theorem 2.1 above. In [28] the authors study normalised solutions of (P_{λ}) for $N \ge 3$ and general range $q \in (2, 2^*)$. In [28, Theorem 1.2 and Propostion 2.4] they show convergence up to a rescaling of the mountain–pass type normalised solution of (P_{λ}) with a fixed mass to a normalised solution of the Emden–Fowler Eq. (2.2) and derive asymptotic estimates of the rescaling similar to the results in Theorem 2.1. It is not known in general (cf. Sect. 2) whether or not normalised solutions in [28] are (rescalings of) ground states in Theorem 2.1. In fact, comparison of estimates in [28] and Theorem 2.1 could potentially help to study this question. The techniques in our work and in [28, 29] are different.

Asymptotic characterisation of ground states of the equation with a double–well nonlinearity in the form

$$-\Delta u + \omega u = |u|^{p-2}u - |u|^{q-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$
(2.18)

with $\omega > 0$ and $2 < q < p < +\infty$ was obtained by Moroz and Muratov [24], and by Lewin and Nodari [17]. Our proof of Theorem 2.1 is inspired by [24] yet the techniques in the present

work are different. While the arguments in [24] are based on the Berestycki–Lions variational approach [9], the proofs in this work use minimization over Nehari manifold combined with Pohozaev's identity estimates, and the Concentration Compactness Principle. The advantage of the Nehari–Pohožaev approach is that it allows to include the control the L^2 –norm of the ground states, which is essential in the study of the mass constrained problems associated to (P_{λ}). Our method could be extended to nonlinear Hartree type equations with nonlocal convolution terms which include competing scaling symmetries [23] and nonlocal Kirchhoff equations [22], while the Berestycki–Lions approach seems to be limited to local equations only.

In the case $\lambda \to \infty$, the explicit rescaling

$$v(x) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{q-2}} u(x) \tag{2.19}$$

becomes relevant. Clearly, (2.19) transforms (P_{λ}) into the equivalent equation

$$-\Delta v + v = \lambda^{-\frac{2^{*}-2}{q-2}} v^{2^{*}-1} + v^{q-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N}.$$
 (*R*_{\lambda})

This suggests that as $\lambda \to \infty$ the limit equation for (R_{λ}) is given by the equation

$$-\Delta v + v = v^{q-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{2.20}$$

which has the unique positive radial solution $v_{\infty} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For completeness, we formulate the following result, which was proved by Fukuizumi [14, Lemma 4.2] (see also [3, Proposition 2.3]).

Theorem 2.2 Let $N \ge 3$, $q \in (2, 2^*)$ and $\{u_{\lambda}\}$ be a family of ground states of (P_{λ}) . Then as $\lambda \to +\infty$, the rescaled family of ground states

$$v_{\lambda}(x) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{q-2}} u_{\lambda}(x) \tag{2.21}$$

converges in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to v_{∞} . Moreover, the convergence rate is described by the relation

$$\|v_{\infty}\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} - \|v_{\lambda}\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} = \frac{1}{q-2}\lambda^{-\frac{2^{*}-2}{q-2}}(1+o(1)).$$
(2.22)

The Nehari–Pohožaev variational arguments developed in this work can be adapted to show that the statement of Theorem 2.2 remains valid also for the Eq. (1.2) in whole range case of admissible exponents $2 < q < p \le 2^*$. We omit the details, as these mostly repeat (in simplified form) the arguments in our proof of Theorem 2.1 in the case $N \ge 5$.

In the rest of the paper we concentrate on the case $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. In Sect. 4 we obtain several preliminary estimates. In Sect. 5 we prove Theorem 2.1. However, before we proceed with the proof of Theorem 2.1, in the next section section we discuss a connection with the mass constrained problem.

3 A connection with the mass constrained problem

Consider the energy

$$J(v) := \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla v|^2 dx - \frac{1}{q} \int |v|^q dx - \frac{1}{p} \int |v|^p dx,$$

constrained on

$$S_{\rho} := \left\{ v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|v\|_{L^2} = \rho \right\}.$$

D Springer

For $2 < q < p \le 2^*$, critical points of J on S_ρ satisfy

$$-\Delta v + \omega_{\rho} v = |v|^{p-2} u + |v|^{q-2} v \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N},$$
(3.1)

where $\omega_{\rho} \in \mathbb{R}$ is an unknown Lagrange multiplier. A ground state of J on S_{ρ} is a minimal energy critical point of J on S_{ρ} .

According to [26, Theorem 1.1] (see also [18, Theorem 1.4]), for all $N \ge 3$, $2 < q < 2^*$, and for all sufficiently small $\rho > 0$, the energy J admits a ground state v_{ρ} on S_{ρ} . The ground state v_{ρ} is positive, radially symmetric and satisfies (3.1) with an $\omega_{\rho} > 0$. When 2 < q <2 + 4/N the ground state v_{ρ} is a local minimum of J on S_{ρ} , while for $2 + 4/N \le q < 2^*$ the ground state v_{ρ} is a mountain–pass type critical point of J on S_{ρ} .

Recall that (3.1) is equivalent to (P_{λ}) after a rescaling

$$\lambda_{\rho} := \omega_{\rho}^{-\frac{(N-2)(2^{*}-q)}{4}}, \qquad v(x) = \omega_{\rho}^{\frac{N-2}{4}} u(\sqrt{\omega_{\rho}}x)$$
(3.2)

and thus the results of Theorem 2.1 in principle could be applicable to (3.1). Caution however is needed as it is a-priori unknown (and generally speaking isn't always true [16, 17]) if a ground state of J on S_{ρ} corresponds, after the rescaling (3.2), to a ground state of the unconstrained problem $(P_{\lambda_{\rho}})$. Recall however that when $3 \le N \le 6$ and $q \in (2^* - 1, 2^*)$, equation (P_{λ}) has at most one positive radial solution [25, Theorem 1] (see also [2, Theorem C.1]). Hence a positive ground state of J on S_{ρ} , when it exists, must coincide after the rescaling (3.2) with the unique positive solution of $(P_{\lambda_{\rho}})$. Even in this uniqueness scenario, the relation $\rho \to \omega_{\rho}$ (and hence $\rho \to \lambda_{\rho}$) is apriori unknown. It turns out however that the asymptotic of λ_{ρ} as $\rho \to 0$ can be recovered via the Pohožaev-Nehari identities and the estimates of the L^q -norm of $u_{\lambda_{\rho}}$ from Theorem 2.1. The following result links Theorem 2.1 with the mass constrained problem.

Theorem 3.1 Assume that $3 \le N \le 6$ and $q \in (2^* - 1, 2^*)$. Let $\rho \to 0$, and $v_\rho \in S_\rho$ be the the ground state of J on S_ρ . Then

$$v_{\rho}(x) = \lambda_{\rho}^{-\frac{1}{2^*-q}} u_{\lambda_{\rho}} \left(\lambda_{\rho}^{-\frac{2}{(N-2)(2^*-q)}} x \right),$$

where u_{λ_0} is the ground state of (P_{λ_0}) and

$$\lambda_{\rho} \sim \begin{cases} \rho^{\frac{(N-2)^{2}(q-2)(2^{*}-q)}{8}} & \text{if } N \geq 5, \\ \rho^{\frac{(q-2)(4-q)}{2}} \left(W_{0}\left(\frac{4}{(4-q)^{2}}\rho^{-\frac{2(q-2)}{4-q}}\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}(4-q)^{2}} & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \rho^{\frac{(q-4)(6-q)}{q-2}} & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

here $W_0(\cdot)$ is the principal branch of the Lambert W-function.¹ In particular, as $\rho \to 0$, the ground states v_{ρ} converge to a ground state of the critical Emden–Fowler Eq. (2.2), after the rescalings described in Theorem 2.1.

Proof Given $\rho > 0$, assume that $v_{\rho} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a critical point of J on S_{ρ} with a critical level $m_{\rho} = J(v_{\rho})$ and with a Lagrange multiplier $\omega_{\rho} \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote

$$A = \|\nabla v_{\rho}\|_{2}^{2}, \quad B = \|v_{\rho}\|_{q}^{q}, \quad C = \|v_{\rho}\|_{2}^{2^{*}}.$$

¹ $W_0(x)$ is defined as the unique real solution of the equation $ye^y = x, x \ge 0$.

$$\frac{1}{2}A - \frac{1}{q}B - \frac{1}{2^*}C = m_{\rho}$$

$$A - B - C = -\omega_{\rho}\rho^2$$

$$\frac{N-2}{2}A - \frac{N}{q}B - \frac{N}{2^*}C = -\frac{N}{2}\omega_{\rho}\rho^2.$$
(3.4)

This is a linear system and the determinant is zero when $q = 2^*$. We solve the system explicitly to obtain

$$\omega_{\rho} = \frac{(N-2)(2^*-q)}{2q\rho^2}B, \quad m_{\rho} = \frac{1}{N}A - \frac{N}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right)B, \quad C = A - N\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)B.$$
(3.5)

From the first relation we can deduce

$$\rho^2 \omega_\rho = \frac{(N-2)(2^*-q)}{2q} B > 0.$$
(3.6)

Taking into account the rescaling (3.2), we obtain

$$B = \|v_{\rho}\|_{q}^{q} = \lambda_{\rho}^{-\frac{q}{p-q}} \lambda_{\rho}^{\frac{p-2}{2(p-q)}N} \|u_{\lambda_{\rho}}\|_{q}^{q} = \lambda_{\rho} \|u_{\lambda_{\rho}}\|_{q}^{q},$$
(3.7)

and from (3.6) we have

$$\rho^2 \lambda_{\rho}^{-(N-2)(2^*-q)} = c \lambda_{\rho} \| u_{\lambda_{\rho}} \|_{q}^{q},$$
(3.8)

or

$$\rho^{2} = c \lambda_{\rho}^{1 + \frac{4}{(N-2)(2^{*}-q)}} \|u_{\lambda_{\rho}}\|_{q}^{q}.$$
(3.9)

Recall that according to Theorem 2.1, for small $\lambda > 0$ the L^q -norm of ground states of (P_{λ}) satisfies

$$\|u_{\lambda}\|_{q}^{q} \sim \begin{cases} \lambda^{\frac{2^{*}-q}{q-2}} & \text{if } N \ge 5, \\ \lambda^{\frac{4-q}{q-2}} (\ln \frac{1}{\lambda})^{-\frac{4-q}{q-2}} & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \lambda^{\frac{6-q}{q-4}} & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases}$$
(3.10)

Substituting into (3.9) we obtain

$$\rho \sim \begin{cases} \lambda_{\rho}^{\frac{8}{(N-2)^2(q-2)(2^*-q)}} & \text{if } N \ge 5, \\ \lambda_{\rho}^{\frac{2}{(q-2)(4-q)}} (\ln \frac{1}{\lambda})^{-\frac{4-q}{2(q-2)}} & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \lambda_{\rho}^{\frac{q-2}{(q-4)(6-q)}} & \text{if } N = 3, \end{cases}$$
(3.11)

and then (3.3) follows after the inversion.

Remark 3.2 We conjecture that the estimates (3.3) remain valid beyond the uniqueness scenario of [25, Theorem 1]. The proof of this would require a direct analysis of the ground states of J on S_{ρ} adapting the techniques in this paper, and thus bypassing the unconstrained problem (P_{λ}). Note that the estimate (3.3) is different from the estimates in [28, Proposition 4.1, 4.2], where ρ is fixed.

4 Rescalings and preliminary estimates as $\lambda ightarrow 0$

The formal limit equation for (P_{λ}) as $\lambda \to 0$ is given by

$$-\Delta u + u = u^{2^* - 1} \quad \text{in} \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{P_0}$$

Recall that (P_0) has no nontrivial solutions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, this follows from Pohožaev's identity. We denote the Nehari manifolds for (P_λ) and (P_0) as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda} := \left\{ u \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \setminus \{0\} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u|^{2} + |u|^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u|^{2^{*}} + \lambda |u|^{q} \right\}.$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{0} := \left\{ u \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \setminus \{0\} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u|^{2} + |u|^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u|^{2^{*}} \right\}.$$

Denote

$$I_0(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p$$

the limiting energy functional $I_0: H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to see that

$$m_{\lambda}^* := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}} I_{\lambda}(u), \qquad m_0^* := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_0} I_0(u).$$

are well defined and positive. Let u_{λ} be the ground state for (P_{λ}) constructed in Theorem 1.1. Then we have the following

Lemma 4.1 The family of solutions $\{u_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda>0}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof It is not hard to show that $m_{\lambda}^* \leq m_0^*$. Moreover, we have

$$m_{\lambda}^{*} = I_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) = I_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) - \frac{1}{q}I_{\lambda}'(u_{\lambda})u_{\lambda}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u_{\lambda}|^{2} + |u_{\lambda}|^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2^{*}}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u_{\lambda}|^{2^{*}}$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u_{\lambda}|^{2} + |u_{\lambda}|^{2}.$$

Therefore, $\{u_{\lambda}\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

For $\lambda > 0$, define the rescaling

$$v(x) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{q-2}} u \left(\lambda^{\frac{2^*-2}{2(q-2)}} x \right).$$
(4.1)

Rescaling (4.1) transforms (P_{λ}) into the equivalent equaition

$$-\Delta v + \lambda^{\sigma} v = v^{2^* - 1} + \lambda^{\sigma} v^{q - 1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \qquad (Q_{\lambda})$$

where

$$\sigma := \frac{2^* - 2}{q - 2} = \frac{4}{(N - 2)(q - 2)}.$$
(4.2)

The corresponding energy functional is given by

$$J_{\lambda}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla v|^{2} + \lambda^{\sigma} |v|^{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v|^{2^{*}} - \frac{1}{q} \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v|^{q}.$$
(4.3)

Deringer

The formal limit equation for (Q_{λ}) as $\lambda \to 0$ is given by the critical Emden–Fowler equation

$$-\Delta v = v^{2^* - 1} \quad \text{in} \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{Q_0}$$

We denote their corresponding Nehari manifolds as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda} := \left\{ v \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \setminus \{0\} \middle| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla v|^{2} + \lambda^{\sigma} |v|^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v|^{2^{*}} + \lambda^{\sigma} |v|^{q} \right\}.$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{0} := \left\{ v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \setminus \{0\} \middle| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla v|^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v|^{2^{*}} \right\}.$$

Then

$$m_{\lambda} := \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}} J_{\lambda}(v), \qquad m_0 := \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_0} J_0(v)$$

are well-defined. It is well known that m_0 is attained on \mathcal{N}_0 by the Talenti function

$$U_1(x) := [N(N-2)]^{\frac{N-2}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}$$

and the family of its rescalings

$$U_{\rho}(x) := \rho^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} U_1(x/\rho), \quad \rho > 0.$$
(4.4)

For $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, we set

$$\tau(v) := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*}}.$$
(4.5)

Then $(\tau(v))^{\frac{N-2}{4}}v \in \mathcal{N}_0$ for any $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, and $v \in \mathcal{N}_0$ if and only if $\tau(v) = 1$. It is standard to verify the following.

Lemma 4.2 Let $\lambda > 0$, $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and v is the rescaling (4.1) of u. Then:

(a) $\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2} = \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}, \|v\|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}} = \|u\|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}},$ (b) $\lambda^{\sigma} \|v\|_{2}^{2} = \|u\|_{2}^{2}, \lambda^{\sigma} \|v\|_{q}^{q} = \lambda \|u\|_{q}^{q},$ (c) $J_{\lambda}(v) = I_{\lambda}(u), m_{\lambda} = m_{\lambda}^{*}.$

In particular, if v_{λ} is the rescaling (4.1) of the ground state u_{λ} , then $J_{\lambda}(v_{\lambda}) = I_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda})$ and hence v_{λ} is the ground state of (Q_{λ}) . Moreover, v_{λ} satisfies the Pohožaev's identity [9]:

$$\frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_\lambda|^2 + \frac{\lambda^{\sigma}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_\lambda|^2 = \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_\lambda|^{2^*} + \frac{\lambda^{\sigma}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_\lambda|^q.$$
(4.6)

Define the Pohožaev manifold

$$\mathcal{P}_{\lambda} := \{ v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \mid P_{\lambda}(v) = 0 \},\$$

where

$$P_{\lambda}(v) := \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{\lambda^{\sigma} N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 - \frac{N}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*} - \frac{\lambda^{\sigma} N}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^q.$$
(4.7)

Clearly, $v_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$. Moreover, we have the following minimax characterizations for the least energy level m_{λ} .

Lemma 4.3 *Let* $\lambda \ge 0$ *. Set*

$$v_t(x) = \begin{cases} v(\frac{x}{t}) & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$m_{\lambda} = \inf_{v \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \ge 0} J_{\lambda}(tv) = \inf_{v \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \ge 0} J_{\lambda}(v_{t})$$

In particular, we have $m_{\lambda} = J_{\lambda}(v_{\lambda}) = \sup_{t>0} J_{\lambda}(tv_{\lambda}) = \sup_{t>0} J_{\lambda}((v_{\lambda})_t)$.

Proof The proof is standard and thus omitted. We refer the reader to [19, Theorem 1.1], or to [15]. \Box

Lemma 4.4 Let $\lambda > 0$. The rescaled family of ground states $\{v_{\lambda}\}$ is bounded in $H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$. In particular, $\{v_{\lambda}\}$ is bounded in $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ uniformly for all $p \in [2, 2^{*}]$.

Proof Since $\|\nabla v_{\lambda}\|_2 = \|\nabla u_{\lambda}\|_2$ is bounded by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we need only to show that v_{λ} is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $v_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} \cap \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\lambda}|^2 + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^{2^*} - \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^q = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla v_{\lambda}|^2+\frac{\lambda^{\sigma}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v_{\lambda}|^2-\frac{1}{2^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v_{\lambda}|^{2^*}-\frac{\lambda^{\sigma}}{q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v_{\lambda}|^q=0.$$

It then follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^*}\right)\lambda^{\sigma}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v_{\lambda}|^2=\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2^*}\right)\lambda^{\sigma}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|v_{\lambda}|^q.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^2 = \frac{2(2^* - q)}{q(2^* - 2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^q.$$
(4.8)

By the Sobolev embedding theorem and the interpolation inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^q \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^2\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{2^*-2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^{2^*}\right)^{\frac{q-2}{2^*-2}} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^2\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{2^*-2}} \left(\frac{1}{S} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\lambda}|^2\right)^{\frac{2^*(q-2)}{2(2^*-2)}},$$

where S is the best Sobolev constant. Therefore, we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^2\right)^{\frac{q-2}{2^*-2}} \le \frac{2(2^*-q)}{q(2^*-2)} \left(\frac{1}{S} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\lambda}|^2\right)^{\frac{2^*(q-2)}{2(2^*-2)}}$$

It then follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\lambda}|^{2} \leq \left(\frac{2(2^{*}-q)}{q(2^{*}-2)}\right)^{\frac{2^{*}-2}{q-2}} \left(\frac{1}{S} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{\lambda}|^{2}\right)^{2^{*}/2},$$
(4.9)

which together with the boundedness of u_{λ} in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ implies that v_{λ} is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Finally, for any $p \in [2, 2^*]$, by (4.9) and the interpolation inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\lambda}|^{p} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\lambda}|^{2}\right)^{\frac{2^{*}-p}{2^{*}-2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\lambda}|^{2^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2^{*}-2}} \leq \left(\frac{2(2^{*}-q)}{q(2^{*}-2)}\right)^{\frac{2^{*}-p}{q-2}} \left(\frac{1}{S} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{\lambda}|^{2}\right)^{2^{*}/2},$$

and

$$\lim_{q \to 2} \left(\frac{2(2^* - q)}{q(2^* - 2)} \right)^{\frac{2^* - p}{q - 2}} = e^{-N(2^* - p)/4}, \text{ for any } p \in [2, 2^*].$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.1, $\{v_{\lambda}\}$ is bounded in $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ uniformly for $p \in [2, 2^{*}]$.

Remark 4.5 A straightforward computation shows that

$$\lim_{q \to 2} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^*-2}{q-2}} = e^{-\frac{2}{N-2}}, \qquad \lim_{q \to 2} \left(\frac{2^*-q}{2^*-2}\right)^{\frac{2^*-2}{q-2}} = e^{-1}$$

and

$$\lim_{q \to 2^*} \frac{1}{2^* - q} \left(\frac{2^* - q}{2^* - 2}\right)^{\frac{2^* - 2}{q - 2}} = \frac{N - 2}{4}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left(\frac{2(2^*-q)}{q(2^*-2)}\right)^{\frac{2^*-2}{q-2}} \sim 2^* - q.$$

Next we obtain an estimation of the least energy.

Lemma 4.6 Let

$$Q(q) := \left(\frac{2^* - q}{2^* - 2}\right)^{\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} \quad and \quad G(q) := \frac{q - 2}{2^* - 2}Q(q). \tag{4.10}$$

Then $Q(q) \sim 1$, $G(q) \sim q - 2$ and for all $\lambda > 0$:

(i) $1 < \tau(v_{\lambda}) \leq 1 + G(q)\lambda^{\sigma}$, (ii) $m_0 > m_{\lambda} > m_0 \left(1 - \lambda^{\sigma} NG(q)(1 + G(q)\lambda^{\sigma})^{\frac{N-2}{2}}\right)$.

Proof For $\theta \in (0, 1)$, consider the function

$$g(x) := x^{\theta} \left(1 - x^{1-\theta} \right), \quad x \in [0, +\infty).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\max_{x \ge 0} g(x) = \theta^{\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}} (1-\theta).$$

Using the interpolation inequality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^q \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^2\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{2^*-2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^{2^*}\right)^{\frac{q-2}{2^*-2}}$$

we see that

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^q - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^{2^*}} \le \zeta_{\lambda}^{\theta_q} (1 - \zeta_{\lambda}^{1 - \theta_q}) \le \theta_q^{\frac{\theta_q}{1 - \theta_q}} (1 - \theta_q) = G(q), \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$\theta_q = \frac{2^* - q}{2^* - 2}, \qquad \zeta_{\lambda} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^{2^*}}$$

Since $v_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$, by (4.8) and (4.11), we have

$$1 < \tau(v_{\lambda}) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\lambda}|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^{2^*}} = 1 + \lambda^{\sigma} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^q - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^{2^*}} \le 1 + \lambda^{\sigma} G(q).$$

This proves (i). To prove (ii), we first note that by (4.8) and (4.11) the following inequality holds

$$\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^q - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^2 \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^q - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^2 \le G(q) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^{2^*}$$

Since $v_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$, by (4.8), we also have

$$\begin{split} m_{\lambda} &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla v_{\lambda}|^{2} + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}})\lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\lambda}|^{2} - (\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2^{*}})\lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\lambda}|^{q} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla v_{\lambda}|^{2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.3 and the definition of $\tau(v_{\lambda})$, we find

$$m_{0} \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}((v_{\lambda})_{t}) + \lambda^{\sigma}(\tau(v_{\lambda}))^{N/2} \left[\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\lambda}|^{q} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\lambda}|^{2} \right]$$

$$\leq m_{\lambda} + \lambda^{\sigma}(\tau(v_{\lambda}))^{\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\lambda}|^{2^{*}} G(q)$$

$$\leq m_{\lambda} + \lambda^{\sigma}(\tau(v_{\lambda}))^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla v_{\lambda}|^{2} G(q)$$

$$\leq m_{\lambda} \left[1 + \lambda^{\sigma} N G(q) (1 + G(q) \lambda^{\sigma})^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right].$$
(4.12)

Hence, we obtain

$$m_{\lambda} \ge \frac{m_0}{1 + \lambda^{\sigma} NG(q)(1 + G(q)\lambda^{\sigma})^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} > m_0 \left[1 - \lambda^{\sigma} NG(q)(1 + G(q)\lambda^{\sigma})^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right],$$

ich completes the proof.

which completes the proof.

Lemma 4.7 Assume $N \ge 5$. Then there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$, which is independent of q, λ , and such that for all small $\lambda > 0$,

$$m_{\lambda} \leq m_0 - \lambda^{\sigma} \left\{ \frac{c_0}{q} \left(\frac{2}{q} \right)^{\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} G(q) - \lambda^{\sigma} \frac{2Nm_0}{q - 2} G(q)^2 \right\}.$$

Proof For each $\rho > 0$, the family $\{U_{\rho}\}$ of radial ground states of (Q_0) defined in (4.4) verifies

$$\|U_{\rho}\|_{2}^{2} = \rho^{2} \|U_{1}\|_{2}^{2}, \qquad \|U_{\rho}\|_{q}^{q} = \rho^{\frac{2(2^{*}-q)}{2^{*}-2}} \|U_{1}\|_{q}^{q}.$$
(4.13)

Let $g_0(\rho) = \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_\rho|^q - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_\rho|^2$. Then there exists a unique $\rho_0 = \rho_0(q) \in (0, +\infty)$ given by

$$\rho_0 = \left(\frac{2(2^*-q)}{q(2^*-2)} \cdot \frac{\|U_1\|_q^q}{\|U_1\|_2^2}\right)^{\frac{2^*-2}{2(q-2)}},$$

such that

$$g_0(\rho_0) = \sup_{\rho>0} g_0(\rho) = \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} G(q) \left(\frac{\|U_1\|_q^{q(2^*-2)}}{\|U_1\|_2^{2(2^*-q)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-2}}.$$
(4.14)

Since $N \ge 5$, by using the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, it is not hard to show that

$$\lim_{q \to 2} \left(\frac{\|U_1\|_q^{q(2^*-2)}}{\|U_1\|_2^{2(2^*-q)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-2}} = \exp\left(\frac{2\int_0^\infty \kappa(r) \ln \frac{1}{1+r^2} dr}{\int_0^\infty \kappa(r) dr} \right) \cdot \int_0^\infty \kappa(r) dr$$

where $\kappa(r) = (1 + r^2)^{2-N} r^{N-1}$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$c_0 := \inf_{q \in (2,2^*)} \left(\frac{\|U_1\|_q^{q(2^*-2)}}{\|U_1\|_2^{2(2^*-q)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-2}} > 0.$$
(4.15)

Thus, we get

$$g_0(\rho_0) \ge \frac{c_0}{q} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} G(q).$$

Put $U_0(x) := U_{\rho_0}(x)$, then by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$m_{\lambda} \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(tU_{0}) = J_{\lambda}(t_{\lambda}U_{0})$$

$$= \frac{t_{\lambda}^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla U_{0}|^{2} - \frac{t_{\lambda}^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |U_{0}|^{2^{*}} + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{t_{\lambda}^{2}}{2} |U_{0}|^{2} - \frac{t_{\lambda}^{q}}{q} |U_{0}|^{q}$$

$$\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{t^{2}}{2} - \frac{t^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla U_{0}|^{2} + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{t_{\lambda}^{2}}{2} |U_{0}|^{2} - \frac{t_{\lambda}^{q}}{q} |U_{0}|^{q}$$

$$= m_{0} + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{t_{\lambda}^{2}}{2} |U_{0}|^{2} - \frac{t_{\lambda}^{q}}{q} |U_{0}|^{q}.$$
(4.16)

It follows from $\frac{d}{dt} J_{\lambda}(tU_0) \Big|_{t=t_{\lambda}} = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U_0|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^{2^*} = Nm_0$ that

$$Nm_0 + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2 = t_{\lambda}^{2^*-2} Nm_0 + t_{\lambda}^{q-2} \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q$$

Recall that $g_0(\rho_0) = \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2 > 0$, it follows that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q > \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2$. If $t_{\lambda} \ge 1$, then

$$Nm_0 + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2 \ge t_{\lambda}^{q-2} \left\{ Nm_0 + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q \right\}$$

and hence

$$t_{\lambda} \leq \left(\frac{Nm_0 + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2}{Nm_0 + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-2}} < 1.$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $t_{\lambda} < 1$ and hence

$$Nm_0 + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2 < t_{\lambda}^{q-2} \left\{ Nm_0 + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q \right\},$$

from which it follows that

$$\left(\frac{Nm_0 + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2}{Nm_0 + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-2}} < t_{\lambda} < 1.$$

$$(4.17)$$

Let

$$A_{\lambda} := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2}{Nm_0 + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q}.$$

Then $A_{\lambda} \leq \frac{1}{Nm_0} [\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2]$ and

$$[1 - \lambda^{\sigma} A_{\lambda}]^{\frac{1}{q-2}} < t_{\lambda} < 1.$$

$$(4.18)$$

Let $g(t) := \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2 - \frac{t^q}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q$, and $h(x) := g([1-x]^{\frac{1}{q-2}})$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. Then g(t) has an unique miximum point at $t_0 = \left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-2}}$ and is strictly decreasing in $(t_0, 1)$,

and for small x > 0, we have

$$h'(x) = \frac{1}{q-2} [1-x]^{\frac{q-4}{q-2}} \left[-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2 + (1-x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q \right] > 0.$$

Therefore, for small $\lambda > 0$, it follows from (4.18) and the monotonicity of g(t) in $(t_0, 1)$ that

$$g(t_{\lambda}) \le g([1 - \lambda^{\sigma} A_{\lambda}]^{\frac{1}{q-2}}) = h(\lambda^{\sigma} A_{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |U_{0}|^{2} - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |U_{0}|^{q} + h'(\xi) \lambda^{\sigma} A_{\lambda},$$

for some $\xi \in (0, \lambda^{\sigma} A_{\lambda})$. Since for small $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$h'(\xi) \le \frac{2}{q-2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2 \right],$$

and similar to (4.11), we have

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^q - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_0|^{2^*}} \le G(q),$$

thus, by the definition of A_{λ} , we obtain that

$$\begin{split} g(t_{\lambda}) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |U_{0}|^{2} - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |U_{0}|^{q} + \frac{2\lambda^{\sigma}}{Nm_{0}(q-2)} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |U_{0}|^{q} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |U_{0}|^{2} \right]^{2} \\ &= -g_{0}(\rho_{0}) + \frac{2\lambda^{\sigma}}{Nm_{0}(q-2)} \left[Nm_{0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |U_{0}|^{q} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |U_{0}|^{2}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |U_{0}|^{2^{*}}} \right]^{2} \\ &\leq -g_{0}(\rho_{0}) + \lambda^{\sigma} \frac{2Nm_{0}}{q-2} G(q)^{2}, \end{split}$$

from which the conclusion follows.

Lemma 4.8 There exists a constant $\varpi = \varpi(q) > 0$ such that for all small $\lambda > 0$,

$$m_{\lambda} \leq \begin{cases} m_0 - \lambda^{\sigma} \left(\ln \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{-\frac{4-q}{q-2}} \varpi = m_0 - \lambda^{\frac{2}{q-2}} (\ln \frac{1}{\lambda})^{-\frac{4-q}{q-2}} \varpi & \text{if } N = 4, \\ m_0 - \lambda^{\sigma + \frac{2(6-q)}{(q-2)(q-4)}} \varpi = m_0 - \lambda^{\frac{2}{q-4}} \varpi & \text{if } N = 3 \text{ and } q > 4. \end{cases}$$

Deringer

Proof Let $\rho > 0$, $R \gg 1$ be a large parameter and $\eta_R \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is a cut-off function such that $\eta_R(r) = 1$ for |r| < R, $0 < \eta_R(r) < 1$ for R < |r| < 2R, $\eta_R(r) = 0$ for |r| > 2R and $|\eta'_R(r) \le 2/R$.

For $\ell \gg 1$, a straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(\eta_{\ell} U_1)|^2 &= \begin{cases} Nm_0 + O(\ell^{-2}) & \text{if } N = 4, \\ Nm_0 + O(\ell^{-1}) & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases} \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\eta_{\ell} U_1|^{2^*} &= Nm_0 + O(\ell^{-N}), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\eta_{\ell} U_1|^2 &= \begin{cases} \ln \ell (1 + o(1)) & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \ell (1 + o(1)) & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

By Lemma 4.3, we find

$$m_{\lambda} \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}((\eta_{R}U_{\rho})_{t}) = J_{\lambda}((\eta_{R}U_{\rho})_{t_{\lambda}})$$

$$\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{t^{N-2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla(\eta_{R}U_{\rho})|^{2} - \frac{t^{N}}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\eta_{R}U_{\rho}|^{2^{*}}\right)$$

$$- \lambda^{\sigma} t_{\lambda}^{N} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1}{q} |\eta_{R}U_{\rho}|^{q} - \frac{1}{2} |\eta_{R}U_{\rho}|^{2} \right]$$

$$= (I) - \lambda^{\sigma} (II). \qquad (4.19)$$

where

$$t_{\lambda} = \left(\frac{(N-2)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla(\eta_{R}U_{\rho})|^{2}}{2N\left[\frac{1}{2^{*}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\eta_{R}U_{\rho}|^{2^{*}} - \frac{\lambda^{\sigma}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\eta_{R}U_{\rho}|^{2} + \frac{\lambda^{\sigma}}{q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\eta_{R}U_{\rho}|^{q}\right]}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (4.20)

Set $\ell = R/\rho$, then

$$(I) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\|\nabla(\eta_{\ell} U_1\|_{2^{*}}^{N})}{\|\eta_{\ell} U_1\|_{2^{*}}^{N}} = \begin{cases} m_0 + O(\ell^{-2}) & \text{if } N = 4, \\ m_0 + O(\ell^{-1}) & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases}$$
(4.21)

Since

$$\varphi(\rho) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{q} |\eta_R U_\rho|^q - \frac{1}{2} |\eta_R U_\rho|^2 = \frac{1}{q} \rho^{N - \frac{N-2}{2}q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\eta_\ell U_1|^q - \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\eta_\ell U_1|^2$$

takes its maximum value $\varphi(\rho_0)$ at the unique point $\rho_0 > 0$, and

$$\varphi(\rho_0) = \sup_{\rho \ge 0} \varphi(\rho) = \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} G(q) \left(\frac{\|\eta_\ell U_1\|_q^{q(2^* - 2)}}{\|\eta_\ell U_1\|_2^{2(2^* - q)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q - 2}} \le \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} G(q) \|U_1\|_{2^*}^{2^*},$$

where we have used the interpolation inequality

$$\|\eta_{\ell} U_1\|_q^q \le \|\eta_{\ell} U_1\|_2^{\frac{2(2^*-q)}{2^*-2}} \|\eta_{\ell} U_1\|_{2^*}^{\frac{2^*(q-2)}{2^*-2}}.$$

Deringer

Then we obtain

$$(II) = \left(\frac{\|\nabla(\eta_{\ell}U_{1})\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\eta_{\ell}U_{1}\|_{2^{*}}^{2*} + \lambda^{\sigma}2^{*}\varphi(\rho_{0})}\right)^{N/2}\varphi(\rho_{0})$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{\|\nabla(\eta_{\ell}U_{1})\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\eta_{\ell}U_{1}\|_{2^{*}}^{2*}}\right)^{N/2} \left[1 - \lambda^{\sigma}\frac{N^{2}\varphi(\rho_{0})}{(N-2)\|\eta_{\ell}U_{1}\||_{2^{*}}^{2*}}\right]\varphi(\rho_{0}).$$
(4.22)

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} m_{\lambda} &\leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{\|\nabla(\eta_{\ell} U_{1}\|_{2^{*}}^{N}}{\|\eta_{\ell} U_{1}\|_{2^{*}}^{N}} \left\{ 1 - \lambda^{\sigma} \frac{N}{\|\eta_{\ell} U_{1}\|_{2^{*}}^{(2^{*}-2)N/2}} \left[1 - \lambda^{\sigma} \frac{N^{2}\varphi(\rho_{0})}{(N-2)\|\eta_{\ell} U_{1}\||_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}}} \right] \varphi(\rho_{0}) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{\|\nabla(\eta_{\ell} U_{1}\|_{2^{*}}^{N}}{\|\eta_{\ell} U_{1}\|_{2^{*}}^{N}} \left\{ 1 - \lambda^{\sigma} \frac{N}{2\|\eta_{\ell} U_{1}\|_{2^{*}}^{(2^{*}-2)N/2}} \varphi(\rho_{0}) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{\|\nabla(\eta_{\ell} U_{1}\|_{2^{*}}^{N}}{\|\eta_{\ell} U_{1}\|_{2^{*}}^{N}} \left\{ 1 - \lambda^{\sigma} \frac{2}{m_{0}} \varphi(\rho_{0}) \right\}. \end{split}$$

For the rest of the proof, we consider separately the cases N = 4 and N = 3. CASE N = 4. Since

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\rho_0) &= \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} G(q) \left(\frac{\|U_1\|_q^{q(2^*-2)} + o(1)}{[\ln \ell (1+o(1))]^{2^*-q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-2}} \\ &= (\ln \ell)^{-\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} G(q) \left(\|U_1\|_q^{q(2^*-2)} + o(1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-2}} \\ &\geq (\ln \ell)^{-\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} \frac{1}{2q} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} G(q) \|U_1\|_q^{\frac{q(2^*-2)}{q-2}}, \end{split}$$

by (4.21), we have

$$m_{\lambda} \leq [m_0 + O(\ell^{-2})] \left\{ 1 - \lambda^{\sigma} (\ln \ell)^{-\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} \frac{1}{q m_0} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} G(q) \|U_1\|_q^{\frac{q(2^* - 2)}{q - 2}} \right\}.$$

Take $\ell = (1/\lambda)^M$. Then

$$m_{\lambda} \leq [m_0 + O(\lambda^{2M})] \left\{ 1 - M^{-\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} \lambda^{\sigma} (\ln \frac{1}{\lambda})^{-\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} \frac{1}{qm_0} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} G(q) \|U_1\|_q^{\frac{q(2^* - 2)}{q - 2}} \right\}.$$

If $M > \frac{1}{q-2}$, then $2M > \sigma$, and hence

$$m_{\lambda} \le m_0 - \lambda^{\sigma} \left(\ln \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{-\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} \frac{1}{2q} \left(\frac{2}{qM} \right)^{\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} G(q) \|U_1\|_q^{\frac{q(2^* - 2)}{q - 2}}.$$
(4.23)

Thus, if N = 4, the result of Lemma 4.8 is proved by choosing

$$\varpi = \frac{1}{2q} \left(\frac{2}{qM}\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} G(q) \|U_1\|_q^{\frac{q(2^*-2)}{q-2}}.$$

CASE N = 3. In this case, we always assume that $q \in (4, 6)$. Since

$$\varphi(\rho_0) = \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} G(q) \left(\frac{\|U_1\|_q^{q(2^*-2)} + o(1)}{[\ell(1+o(1))]^{2^*-q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-2}}$$
$$= \ell^{-\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} G(q) \left(\|U_1\|_q^{q(2^*-2)} + o(1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-2}}$$
$$\geq \ell^{-\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} \frac{1}{2q} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} G(q) \|U_1\|_q^{\frac{q(2^*-2)}{q-2}}, \tag{4.24}$$

we have

$$m_{\lambda} \leq [m_0 + O(\ell^{-1})] \left\{ 1 - \lambda^{\sigma} \ell^{-\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} \frac{1}{qm_0} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} G(q) \|U_1\|_q^{\frac{q(2^* - 2)}{q - 2}} \right\}.$$

Take $\ell = \delta^{-1} \lambda^{-\frac{2}{q-4}}$. Then

$$m_{\lambda} \leq [m_0 + \delta O(\lambda^{\frac{2}{q-4}})] \left\{ 1 - \delta^{\frac{6-q}{q-2}} \lambda^{\frac{2}{q-4}} \frac{1}{qm_0} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{6-q}{q-2}} G(q) \|U_1\|_q^{\frac{4q}{q-2}} \right\}.$$

Since $\frac{6-q}{q-2} < 1$, we can choose a small $\delta > 0$ such that

$$m_{\lambda} \le m_0 - \lambda^{\frac{2}{q-4}} \frac{1}{2q} \left(\frac{2\delta}{q}\right)^{\frac{6-q}{q-2}} G(q) \|U_1\|_q^{\frac{4q}{q-2}},\tag{4.25}$$

and take

$$\varpi = \frac{1}{2q} \left(\frac{2\delta}{q}\right)^{\frac{6-q}{q-2}} G(q) \|U_1\|_q^{\frac{4q}{q-2}},$$

which finished the proof in the case N = 3.

Corollary 4.9 Let $\delta_{\lambda} := m_0 - m_{\lambda}$, then

$$\lambda^{\sigma} \gtrsim \delta_{\lambda} \gtrsim \begin{cases} \lambda^{\sigma} & \text{if } N \ge 5, \\ \lambda^{\frac{2}{q-2}} (\ln \frac{1}{\lambda})^{-\frac{4-q}{q-2}} & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \lambda^{\frac{2}{q-4}} & \text{if } N = 3 \text{ and } q \in (4, 6). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.10 Assume $N \ge 5$. Then for small $\lambda > 0$,

$$\frac{2q}{2^* - 2}Q(q)m_0 \ge \|v_\lambda\|_q^q \ge Q(q) \left(\frac{c_0}{q} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^* - q}{q - 2}} - \lambda^\sigma 2NQ(q)m_0\right) \frac{q(2^* - 2)}{(\tau(v_\lambda))^{N/2}},$$
(4.26)

where $c_0 > 0$ is given in Lemma 4.7. In particular,

$$\|v_{\lambda}\|_{2}^{2} \sim 2^{*} - q \text{ and } \|v_{\lambda}\|_{q}^{q} \sim 1$$

🖄 Springer

$$m_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\lambda}|^2 = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^{2^*} + \lambda^{\sigma} \frac{q-2}{2q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^q,$$

then by Lemma 4.6, we get

$$\lambda^{\sigma} \frac{q-2}{2q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^q = \frac{\tau(v_{\lambda}) - 1}{\tau(v_{\lambda})} m_{\lambda} \le \lambda^{\sigma} G(q) m_0,$$

and hence

$$\|v_{\lambda}\|_{q}^{q} \leq 2q \frac{G(q)}{q-2} m_{0} = \frac{2q}{2^{*}-2} Q(q) m_{0}.$$

On the other hand, by (4.8) and (4.12), we have

$$m_0 \leq m_{\lambda} + \lambda^{\sigma} (\tau(v_{\lambda}))^{N/2} \frac{q-2}{q(2^*-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^q.$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 4.7 that

$$\|v_{\lambda}\|_{q}^{q} \geq \left(\frac{c_{0}}{q}\left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^{*}-q}{q-2}} \frac{G(q)}{q-2} - \lambda^{\sigma} 2Nm_{0}\left(\frac{G(q)}{q-2}\right)^{2}\right) \frac{q(2^{*}-2)}{(\tau(v_{\lambda}))^{N/2}},$$

from which the conclusion follows.

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\lim_{q \to 2} \left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} = e^{-\frac{2}{N-2}}, \qquad \lim_{q \to 2} \left(\frac{2^*-q}{2^*-2}\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} = e^{-1}, \qquad \lim_{q \to 2^*} \left(\frac{2^*-q}{2^*-2}\right)^{\frac{2^*-q}{q-2}} = 1,$$

which together with $\|v_{\lambda}\|_{2}^{2} = \frac{2(2^{*}-q)}{q(2^{*}-2)} \|v_{\lambda}\|_{q}^{q}$ yield the last relation.

Recall that $m_{\lambda} = m_{\lambda}^*$ for $\lambda > 0$ by Lemma 4.2. Moreover, the following result holds. Lemma 4.11 $m_0 = m_0^*$.

Proof Clearly, we have

$$m_0 = \inf_{u \in D^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t>0} J_0(tu) \le \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t>0} I_0(tu) = m_0^*.$$

To prove the opposite inequality, we argue as in the proof of Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.8, but easier. \Box

Clearly, Lemma 4.11 implies that m_0^* is not attained on \mathcal{M}_0 . In fact, it is also well known that (P_0) has no nontrivial solution by the Pohozaev's identity. Observe that

$$I_0(u_{\lambda}) = I_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda}) + \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{\lambda}|^q = m_{\lambda} + o(1) = m_0^* + o(1),$$

and

$$I_0'(u_{\lambda})v = I_{\lambda}'(u_{\lambda})v + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{\lambda}|^{q-2} u_{\lambda}v = o(1).$$

That is, the family $\{u_{\lambda}\}$ of ground states of (P_{λ}) is a (PS) sequence of I_0 at level m_0^* (otherwise u_0 should be a nontrivial solution of (P_0) , which is a contradiction).

5 Proof of Theorem 2.1

We recall the P.-L. Lions' concentration–compactness lemma, which is at the core of our proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 5.1 (*P.-L. Lions* [20]) Let r > 0 and $2 \le q \le 2^*$. If (u_n) is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and if

$$\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{B_r(y)}|u_n|^q\to 0 \ \text{ as } n\to\infty,$$

then $u_n \to 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 . Moreover, if <math>q = 2^*$, then $u_n \to 0$ in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Using Lemma 5.1, we establish the following.

Lemma 5.2 If $N \ge 5$, then $v_{\lambda} \to U_{\rho_0}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $\lambda \to 0$, where U_{ρ_0} is a positive ground state of (Q_0) with

$$\rho_0 = \left(\frac{2(2^* - q)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_1|^q}{q(2^* - 2)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_1|^2}\right)^{\frac{2^* - 2}{2(q-2)}}$$

If N = 4 and N = 3, then there exists $\xi_{\lambda} \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $\xi_{\lambda} \to 0$ and

$$v_{\lambda} - \xi_{\lambda}^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} U_1(\xi_{\lambda}^{-1} \cdot) \to 0$$

in $D^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $\lambda \to 0$.

Proof Note that v_{λ} is a positive radially symmetric function, and by Lemma 4.4, $\{v_{\lambda}\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then there exists $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ verifying $-\Delta v = v^{2^*-1}$ such that

$$v_{\lambda} \rightarrow v_0$$
 weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $v_{\lambda} \rightarrow v_0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $p \in (2, 2^*)$, (5.1)

and

$$v_{\lambda}(x) \to v_0(x)$$
 a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N , $v_{\lambda} \to v_0$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. (5.2)

Observe that

$$J_0(v_{\lambda}) = J_{\lambda}(v_{\lambda}) + \frac{\lambda^{\sigma}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^q - \frac{\lambda^{\sigma}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^2 = m_{\lambda} + o(1) = m_0 + o(1),$$

and

$$J_0'(v_{\lambda})v = J_{\lambda}'(v_{\lambda})v + \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\lambda}|^{q-2} v_{\lambda}v - \lambda^{\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_{\lambda}v = o(1)$$

Therefore, $\{v_{\lambda}\}$ is a (*PS*) sequence for J_0 .

By Lemma 5.1, it is standard to show that there exists $\zeta_{\lambda}^{(j)} \in (0, +\infty), v^{(j)} \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with j = 1, 2, ..., k where k is a non-negative integer, such that

$$v_{\lambda} = v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\zeta_{\lambda}^{(j)})^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} v^{(j)} ((\zeta_{\lambda}^{(j)})^{-1} x) + \tilde{v}_{\lambda},$$
(5.3)

🖄 Springer

where $\tilde{v}_{\lambda} \to 0$ in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $v^{(j)}$ are nontrivial solutions of the limit equation $-\Delta v = v^{2^*-1}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v^{(j)}|^2 \ge S^{\frac{N}{2}}$ with *S* being the best Sobolev constant. Moreover, we have

$$\liminf_{\lambda \to 0} \|v_{\lambda}\|_{D^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} \ge \|v_{0}\|_{D^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \|v^{(j)}\|_{D^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}^{2},$$
(5.4)

and

$$m_0 = J_0(v_0) + \sum_{j=1}^k J_0(v^{(j)}).$$
(5.5)

Moreover, $J_0(v_0) \ge 0$ and $J_0(v^{(j)}) \ge m_0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

If $N \ge 5$, then by Lemma 4.10, we have $v_0 \ne 0$ and hence $J_0(v_0) = m_0$ and k = 0. Thus $v_\lambda \rightarrow v_0$ in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $J'_0(v_\lambda) \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $v_\lambda \rightarrow v_0$ in $D^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Observe that by the Strauss' H^1 -radial lemma [9, Lemma A.II] we have

$$v_{\lambda}(x) \le C_N |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} ||v_{\lambda}||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \text{ for } |x| > 0.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\left(-\Delta - C|x|^{-\frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}}\right)v_{\lambda} \leq \left(-\Delta + \lambda^{\sigma} - v_{\lambda}^{2^*-2} - \lambda^{\sigma}v_{\lambda}^{q-2}\right)v_{\lambda} = 0,$$

for some constant C > 0 which is independent of λ . We also have

$$\left(-\Delta - C|x|^{-\frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}}\right)\frac{1}{|x|^{N-2-\varepsilon_0}} = \left(\varepsilon_0(N-2-\varepsilon_0) - C|x|^{-\frac{2}{N-2}}\right)\frac{1}{|x|^{N-\varepsilon_0}},$$

which is positive for |x| large enough. By the maximum principle on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R$, we deduce that

$$v_{\lambda}(x) \le \frac{v_{\lambda}(R)R^{N-2-\varepsilon_0}}{|x|^{N-2-\varepsilon_0}} \quad \text{for } |x| \ge R.$$
(5.6)

When $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is small enough, the right hand side is in $L^2(B_R^c)$ for $N \ge 5$ and by the dominated convergence theorem we conclude that $v_{\lambda} \to v_0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and hence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, by (4.8) we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_0|^2 = \frac{2(2^* - q)}{q(2^* - 2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_0|^q,$$

from which it follows that $v_0 = U_{\rho_0}$ with

$$\rho_0 = \left(\frac{2(2^* - q)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_1|^q}{q(2^* - 2)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_1|^2}\right)^{\frac{2^* - 2}{2(q-2)}}$$

If N = 4 or 3, then by Fatou's lemma we have $||v_0||_2^2 \le \liminf_{\lambda \to 0} ||v_\lambda||_2^2 < \infty$. Therefore, $v_0 = 0$ and hence k = 1. Thus, we obtain $J_0(v^{(1)}) = m_0$ and hence $v^{(1)} = U_\rho$ for some $\rho \in (0, +\infty)$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$v_{\lambda} - \xi_{\lambda}^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} U_1(\xi_{\lambda}^{-1} \cdot) \to 0$$

in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $\lambda \to 0$, where $\xi_{\lambda} := \rho \zeta_{\lambda}^{(1)} \in (0, +\infty)$ satisfying $\xi_{\lambda} \to 0$ as $\lambda \to 0$. Since

$$J_0'(v_{\lambda} - \xi_{\lambda}^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} U_1(\xi_{\lambda}^{-1} \cdot)) = J_0'(v_{\lambda}) + J_0'(U_1) + o(1) = o(1)$$

as $\lambda \to 0$, it follows that $v_{\lambda} - \xi_{\lambda}^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} U_1(\xi_{\lambda}^{-1} \cdot) \to 0$ in $D^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$

In the lower dimension cases N = 4 and N = 3, we perform an additional rescaling

$$w(x) = \xi_{\lambda}^{\frac{N-2}{2}} v(\xi_{\lambda} x), \tag{5.7}$$

where $\xi_{\lambda} \in (0, +\infty)$ is given in Lemma 5.2. This rescaling transforms (Q_{λ}) into an equivalent equation

$$-\Delta w + \lambda^{\sigma} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-2)s} w = w^{2^*-1} + \lambda^{\sigma} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-q)s} w^{q-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{R}_{\lambda}$$

here and in what follows, we set for brevity

$$s := \frac{N-2}{2} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding energy functional is given by

$$\tilde{J}_{\lambda}(w) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla w|^{2} + \lambda^{\sigma} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^{*}-2)s} |w|^{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |w|^{2^{*}} - \frac{1}{q} \lambda^{\sigma} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^{*}-q)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |w|^{q}.$$
(5.8)

It is straightforward to verify the following.

Lemma 5.3 Let $\lambda > 0$, $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and v and w are the rescalings (4.1) and (5.7) of u respectively. Then:

$$\begin{array}{l} (a) \quad \|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2} = \|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2} = \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}, \ \|w\|_{2^{*}}^{2*} = \|v\|_{2^{*}}^{2*} = \|u\|_{2^{*}}^{2*}, \\ (b) \quad \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^{*}-2)s} \|w\|_{2}^{2} = \|v\|_{2}^{2} = \lambda^{-\sigma} \|u\|_{2}^{2}, \ \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^{*}-q)s} \|w\|_{q}^{q} = \|v\|_{q}^{q} = \lambda^{1-\sigma} \|u\|_{q}^{q}, \\ (c) \quad \tilde{J}_{\lambda}(w) = J_{\lambda}(v) = I_{\lambda}(u). \end{array}$$

Let $w_{\lambda}(x) = \xi_{\lambda}^{\frac{N-2}{2}} v_{\lambda}(\xi_{\lambda}x)$ where the v_{λ} is a ground state of (Q_{λ}) . Then by Lemma 5.2 we conclude that

$$\|\nabla(w_{\lambda} - U_1)\|_2 \to 0, \quad \|w_{\lambda} - U_1\|_{2^*} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \to 0.$$
 (5.9)

Note that the corresponding Nehari and Pohozaev's identities read as follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_{\lambda}|^2 + \lambda^{\sigma} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-2)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_{\lambda}|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_{\lambda}|^{2^*} + \lambda^{\sigma} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-q)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_{\lambda}|^q$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_{\lambda}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{\sigma} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-2)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_{\lambda}|^2 = \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_{\lambda}|^{2^*} + \frac{1}{q} \lambda^{\sigma} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-q)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_{\lambda}|^q.$$

We conclude that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^*}\right)\lambda^{\sigma}\xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-2)s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|w_{\lambda}|^2 = \left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2^*}\right)\lambda^{\sigma}\xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-q)s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|w_{\lambda}|^q.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\xi_{\lambda}^{(q-2)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_{\lambda}|^2 = \frac{2(2^*-q)}{q(2^*-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_{\lambda}|^q.$$
(5.10)

To control the norm $||w_{\lambda}||_2$, we note that for any $\lambda > 0$, $w_{\lambda} > 0$ satisfies the linear inequality

$$-\Delta w_{\lambda} + \lambda^{\sigma} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-2)s} w_{\lambda} = w_{\lambda}^{2^*-1} + \lambda^{\sigma} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-q)s} w_{\lambda}^{q-1} > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(5.11)

Lemma 5.4 *There exists a constant* c > 0 *such that*

$$w_{\lambda}(x) \ge c|x|^{-(N-2)} \exp(-\lambda^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \xi_{\lambda}^{\frac{(2^*-2)s}{2}}|x|), \quad |x| \ge 1.$$
(5.12)

Proof The same as [24, Lemma 4.8].

As consequences, we have the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.5 If
$$N = 3$$
, then $||w_{\lambda}||_{2}^{2} \gtrsim \lambda^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \xi_{\lambda}^{-\frac{(2^{*}-2)s}{2}}$

Lemma 5.6 If N = 4, then $||w_{\lambda}||_{2}^{2} \gtrsim -\ln(\lambda^{\sigma}\xi_{\lambda}^{(2^{*}-2)s})$.

To prove our main result, the key point is to show the boundedness of $||w_{\lambda}||_{q}$.

Lemma 5.7 If N = 3, 4 and $\frac{N}{N-2} < r < 2^*$, then $||w_{\lambda}||_r^r \sim 1$ as $\lambda \to 0$. Furthermore, $w_{\lambda} \to U_1$ in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $\lambda \to 0$.

Proof By (5.9), we have $w_{\lambda} \to U_1$ in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, as in [24, Lemma 4.6], using the embeddings $L^{2^*}(B_1) \hookrightarrow L^r(B_1)$ we prove that $\liminf_{\lambda \to 0} \|w_{\lambda}\|_r^r > 0$.

On the other hand, arguing as in [4, Propositon 3.1], we show that there exists a constant C > 0 such that for all small $\lambda > 0$,

$$w_{\lambda}(x) \le \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{N-2}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$
(5.13)

which together with the fact that $r > \frac{N}{N-2}$ implies that w_{λ} is bounded in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ uniformly for small $\lambda > 0$, and by the dominated convergence theorem $w_{\lambda} \to U_1$ in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $\lambda \to 0$.

Proof (Proof of Theorem 2.1) We only give the proof for N = 3, 4. The case $N \ge 5$ is easier. We first note that for a result similar to Lemma 4.4 holds for w_{λ} and \tilde{J}_{λ} . By (5.10), (4.5) and Lemma 5.3, we also have $\tau(w_{\lambda}) = \tau(v_{\lambda})$. Therefore, by (5.10) we obtain

$$m_{0} \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \tilde{J}_{\lambda}((w_{\lambda})_{t}) + \lambda^{\sigma} \tau(w_{\lambda})^{\frac{N}{2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{q} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^{*}-q)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |w_{\lambda}|^{q} - \frac{1}{2} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^{*}-2)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |w_{\lambda}|^{2} \right\}$$
$$= m_{\lambda} + \lambda^{\sigma} \tau(v_{\lambda})^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{q-2}{q(2^{*}-2)} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^{*}-q)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |w_{\lambda}|^{q}, \qquad (5.14)$$

which implies that

$$\xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-q)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_{\lambda}|^q \ge \lambda^{-\sigma} \frac{q(2^*-2)}{(q-2)\tau(v_{\lambda})^{\frac{N}{2}}} \delta_{\lambda}$$

where $\delta_{\lambda} = m_0 - m_{\lambda}$. Hence, by Corollary 4.9, we obtain

$$\xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-q)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_{\lambda}|^q \gtrsim \lambda^{-\sigma} \delta_{\lambda} \gtrsim \begin{cases} \left(\ln \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{-\frac{4-q}{q-2}} \text{ if } N = 4, \\ \frac{2(6-q)}{\lambda^{\frac{2(6-q)}{(q-2)(q-4)}}} \text{ if } N = 3. \end{cases}$$
(5.15)

Therefore, by Lemma 5.7, we have

$$\xi_{\lambda} \gtrsim \begin{cases} (\ln \frac{1}{\lambda})^{-\frac{1}{q-2}} & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \lambda^{\frac{4}{(q-2)(q-4)}} & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases}$$
(5.16)

D Springer

On the other hand, if N = 3, then by (5.10), Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.7, we have

$$\xi_{\lambda}^{(q-2)s} \lesssim \frac{1}{\|w_{\lambda}\|_{2}^{2}} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \xi_{\lambda}^{\frac{(2^{*}-2)s}{2}}.$$

 $\varepsilon^{(q-4)s} \leq \lambda^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}.$

Then

Hence, observing that
$$s = \frac{N-2}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \sigma = \frac{2^*-2}{q-2} = \frac{4}{q-2}$$
, for $q \in (4, 6)$ we obtain
 $\xi_{\lambda} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{4}{(q-2)(q-4)}}$. (5.17)

If N = 4, then by (5.10), Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.7, we have

$$\xi_{\lambda}^{(q-2)s} \lesssim rac{1}{\|w_{\lambda}\|_2^2} \lesssim rac{1}{-\ln(\lambda^{\sigma}\xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-2)s})}.$$

Note that

$$-\ln(\lambda^{\sigma}\xi_{\lambda}^{(2^*-2)s}) = \sigma \ln \frac{1}{\lambda} + (2^*-2)s \ln \frac{1}{\xi_{\lambda}} \ge \sigma \ln \frac{1}{\lambda},$$

it follows that

$$\xi_{\lambda}^{(q-2)s} \lesssim rac{1}{\|w_{\lambda}\|_2^2} \lesssim \Big(\lnrac{1}{\lambda}\Big)^{-1}$$

Since $s = \frac{N-2}{2} = 1$, we then obtain

$$\xi_{\lambda} \lesssim \left(\ln\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q-2}}.$$
(5.18)

Thus, it follows from (5.14), (5.17), (5.18) and Lemma 5.7 that

$$\delta_{\lambda} = m_0 - m_{\lambda} \lesssim \lambda^{\sigma} \xi_{\lambda}^{(2^* - q)s} \lesssim \begin{cases} \lambda^{\frac{2}{q-2}} (\ln \frac{1}{\lambda})^{-\frac{4-q}{q-2}} & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \lambda^{\frac{2}{q-4}} & \text{if } N = 3, \end{cases}$$

which together with Corollary 4.9 implies that

$$\|\nabla U_1\|_2^2 - \|\nabla w_\lambda\|_2^2 = N\delta_\lambda \sim \begin{cases} \lambda^{\frac{2}{q-2}} (\ln\frac{1}{\lambda})^{-\frac{4-q}{q-2}} & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \lambda^{\frac{2}{q-4}} & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases}$$

Finally, by (5.10), Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6, we obtain

$$\|w_{\lambda}\|_{2}^{2} \sim \begin{cases} \ln \frac{1}{\lambda} & \text{if } N = 4, \\ \lambda^{-\frac{2}{q-4}} & \text{if } N = 3. \end{cases}$$

Statements on u_{λ} follow from the corresponding results on v_{λ} and w_{λ} . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for their multiple helpful suggestions. Part of this research was carried out while S.M. was visiting Swansea University. S.M. thanks the Department of Mathematics for its hospitality. S.M. was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos.11571187, 11771182)

Data availability No data were used in this paper.

🖉 Springer

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Akahori, T., Ibrahim, S., Kikuchi, H.: Linear instability and nondegeneracy of ground state for combined power-type nonlinear scalar field equations with the Sobolev critical exponent and large frequency parameter. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 150, 2417–2441 (2020)
- Akahori, T., Ibrahim, S., Kikuchi, H., Nawa, H.: Existence of a ground state and blow-up problem for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical growth. Differ. Integral Equ. 25, 383–402 (2012)
- Akahori, T., Ibrahim, S., Kikuchi, H., Nawa, H.: Global dynamics above the ground state energy for the combined power type nonlinear Schrodinger equations with energy critical growth at low frequencies. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 272(1331), v+130 (2021)
- Akahori, T., Ibrahim, S., Ikoma, N., Kikuchi, H., Nawa, H.: Uniqueness and nondegeneracy of ground states to nonlinear scalar field equations involving the Sobolev critical exponent in their nonlinearities for high frequencies. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 58(120), 32 (2019)
- Akahori, T., Ibrahim, S., Ikoma, N., Kikuchi, H., Nawa, H.: Non-existence of ground states and gap of variational values for 3D Sobolev critical nonlinear scalar field equations. J. Differ. Equ. 334, 25–86 (2022)
- Akahori, T., Murata, M.: Uniqueness of ground states for combined power-type nonlinear scalar field equations involving the Sobolev critical exponent at high frequencies in three and four dimensions. NoDEA Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. 29(71), 54 (2022)
- Akahori, T., Murata, M.: Nondegeneracy of ground states for nonlinear scalar field equations involving the Sobolev-critical exponent at high frequencies in three and four dimensions. Preprint, arXiv:2203.13473 (2023)
- Alves, C., Souto, M., Montenegro, M.: Existence of a ground state solution for a nonlinear scalar field equation with critical growth. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 43, 537–554 (2012)
- Berestycki, H., Lions, P.-L.: Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 82, 313–345 (1983)
- Chen, W., Dávila, J., Guerra, I.: Bubble tower solutions for a supercritical elliptic problem in R^N. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. 15, 85–116 (2016)
- Coles, M., Gustafson, S.: Solitary waves and dynamics for subcritical perturbations of energy critical NLS. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 56, 647–699 (2020)
- Dávila, J., del Pino, M., Guerra, I.: Non-uniqueness of positive ground states of non-linear Schrödinger equations. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 106(2), 318–344 (2013)
- Ferrero, A., Gazzola, F.: On subcriticality assumptions for the existence of ground states of quasilinear elliptic equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 8, 1081–1106 (2003)
- Fukuizumi, R.: Stability and instability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Dissertation, Tohoku University, Sendai, 2003. Tohoku Math. Publ. 25, 68 (2003)
- Jeanjean, L., Tanaka, K.: A remark on least energy solutions in R^N. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131, 2399– 2408 (2002)
- Killip, R., Oh, T., Pocovnicu, O., Vişan, M.: Solitons and scattering for the cubic-quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on R³. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 225, 469–548 (2017)
- 17. Lewin, M., Nodari, S.R.: The double-power nonlinear Schrödingger equation and its generalizations: uniqueness, non-degeneracy and applications. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **59**(197), 49 (2020)
- Li, X.: Existence of normalized ground states for the Sobolev critical Schrödinger equation with combined nonlinearities. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 60(169), 14 (2021)
- Li, X., Ma, S.: Choquard equations with critical nonlinearities. Commun. Contemp. Math. 22, 1950023 (2019)
- Lions, P.-L.: The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations: the locally compact cases, Part I and Part II. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 1, 109-145-223–283 (1984)

- Liu, J., Liao, J.-F., Tang, C.-L.: Ground state solution for a class of Schrödinger equations involving general critical growth term. Nonlinearity 30, 899–911 (2017)
- Ma, S., Moroz, V.: Asymptotic profiles for a nonlinear Kirchhoff equation with combined powers nonlinearity. arXiv:2211.14895 (2023)
- Ma, S., Moroz, V.: Asymptotic profiles for Choquard equations with combined attractive nonlinearities. arXiv:2302.13727 (2023)
- Moroz, V., Muratov, C.B.: Asymptotic properties of ground states of scalar field equations with a vanishing parameter. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 16, 1081–1109 (2014)
- Pucci, P., Serrin, J.: Uniqueness of ground states for quasilinear elliptic operators. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 47(2), 501–528 (1998)
- Soave, N.: Normalized ground states for the NLS equation with combined nonlinearities: the Sobolev critical case. J. Funct. Anal. 279(108610), 43 (2020)
- Tao, T., Visan, M., Zhang, X.: The nonlinear Schrödinger equation with combined power-type nonlinearities. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 32, 1281–1343 (2007)
- Wei, J., Wu, Y.: Normalized solutions for Schrödinger equations with critical Soblev exponent and mixed nonlinearities. J. Funct. Anal. 283, 109574 (2022)
- Wei, J., Wu, Y.: On some nonlinear Schrödinger equations in ℝ^N. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A (2022). https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2022.56
- Zhang, J.J., Zou, W.M.: A Berestycki-Lions theorem revisited. Commun. Contemp. Math. 14, 1250033 (2012)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.