


Article

Differentiating the State Evaluation Map from Matrices to Functions on Projective Space

Ghaliyah Alhamzi ^{1,†} and Edwin Beggs ^{2,*,†} 

¹ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Science, Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU), Riyadh 11564, Saudi Arabia

² College of Science, Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK

* Correspondence: e.j.beggs@swansea.ac.uk

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: The pure state evaluation map from $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ to $C(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})$ is a completely positive map of C^* -algebras intertwining the U_n symmetries on the two algebras. We show that it extends to a cochain map from the universal calculus on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ to the holomorphic $\bar{\partial}$ calculus on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. The method uses connections on Hilbert C^* -bimodules.

Keywords: matrix algebra; projective space; state; calculus; bimodule

1. Introduction

For a subset X of the state space S of a C^* -algebra A we have a positive “state evaluation map” $\delta : A \rightarrow C(X)$ given by $\delta(a)(\phi) = \phi(a)$ for $a \in A$ and $\phi \in X$. For $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ the result of Choi [1] gave the pure state space as $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. We use the KSGNS construction [2] to analyse the case $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $X = \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ and then consider the differentiability of the state evaluation map. To do this, we begin by constructing the Hilbert C^* -bimodule giving the state evaluation map. Then, we use the methods of connections on bimodules to connect the differential structure on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ (we take the universal calculus) to that on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ (the usual calculus). Here, we follow the methods in [3] but then find that the conditions required there do not apply, so in Section 5.1 we consider a more general theory extending the results in [3]. As a result, Proposition 12 on an induced functor from left M_n -modules to holomorphic bundles on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ is phrased in terms of holomorphic bundles rather than flat bundles on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. For brevity, we often refer to $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ just as M_n . Additionally, our main result Theorem 1 on extending the state evaluation map to a cochain map uses the $\bar{\partial}$ calculus on projective space.

The main reason why we chose to do this construction with M_n is the concrete construction of the state space. More generally, it might be possible to put a differential structure on the pure state space of a C^* -algebra, even if we know little about the state space. For this one thing, it is important to remember that there is a very general idea of calculus on infinite dimensional spaces [4] using directional derivatives. It would be interesting to see whether the constraint of having bimodule connections, similar to the one in this paper, for smooth subalgebras of more general C^* -algebras would shed light on possible calculi on the algebras.

Apart from the concrete description of the state space, another reason why we are interested in the calculi on matrix algebras and the link with representations and states is Connes’ noncommutative derivation of the standard model [5]. The fact is that from a relatively simple noncommutative beginning involving matrices Connes constructs the standard model indicates that there probably something very interesting in the geometry of the initial noncommutative space. Most gauge theories in physics are described in terms of calculi, so we are naturally led to questions about calculi on matrices and how



Citation: Alhamzi, G.; Beggs, E. Differentiating the State Evaluation Map from Matrices to Functions on Projective Space. *Symmetry* **2023**, *15*, 474. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15020474>

Academic Editors: Luca Grilli, Stileyman Şenyurt and Marian Ioan Munteanu

Received: 15 January 2023

Revised: 31 January 2023

Accepted: 6 February 2023

Published: 10 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

they relate to states. The unitary symmetry described in Section 3.1 is then related to gauge transformations.

The construction of the state evaluation map and its associated bimodule implies the existence of various functors between categories of modules, including one from $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ modules to holomorphic bundles on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$, which is described in Section 6.

We use the notation that $h_i \in \text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C})$ is the column vector with 1 in position i and zero elsewhere, and that $E_{ij} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the matrix with 1 in row i and column j and zero elsewhere. An element of $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ is written in homogenous coordinates as $[(v_1 \dots v_n)]$, where we suppose $\sum |v_i|^2 = 1$. We sum over repeated indices unless otherwise indicated.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Calculi and Connections

Definition 1. Given a first order calculus (Ω_A^1, d) on an algebra A , the maximal prolongation calculus Ω_A has relations $\sum dc_i \wedge da_i = 0$ for every relation $\sum c_i da_i = 0$ on Ω_A^1 , where $c_i, a_i \in A$.

Definition 2. The universal first order calculus $\Omega_{\text{uni}}^1(A)$ on a unital algebra A is defined by

$$\Omega_{\text{uni}}^1(A) = \ker \cdot : A \otimes A \rightarrow A,$$

where \cdot is the algebra product and $d_{\text{uni}}a = 1 \otimes a - a \otimes 1$.

The maximal prolongation of the universal calculus has $\Omega_{\text{uni}}^n(A) \subset A^{\otimes n+1}$, which is the intersection of all the kernels of the multiplication maps between neighbouring factors, i.e.,

$$\Omega_{\text{uni}}^2(A) = \ker(\cdot \otimes \text{id} : A \otimes A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A) \cap \ker(\text{id} \otimes \cdot : A \otimes A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A).$$

We now assume that the unital algebras A and B have calculi Ω_A^n and Ω_B^n , respectively.

Definition 3. A right connection $\nabla_E : E \rightarrow E \otimes_B \Omega_B^1$ on a right B -module E is a linear map obeying the right Leibniz rule for $e \in E$ and $b \in B$

$$\nabla_E(eb) = e \otimes db + \nabla_E(e).b. \tag{1}$$

Definition 4. Given the right connection (E, ∇_E) in Definition 3, we define for $n \geq 1$

$$\nabla_E^{[n]} : E \otimes_B \Omega_B^n \rightarrow E \otimes_B \Omega_B^{n+1}$$

by $\nabla_E^{[1]} = \nabla_E$ and for $n \geq 2$

$$\nabla_E^{[n]}(e \otimes \xi) = \nabla_E e \wedge \xi + e \otimes d\xi.$$

The curvature of E is the right bimodule map

$$R_E = \nabla_E^{[1]} \nabla_E : E \rightarrow E \otimes_B \Omega_B^2$$

and then for $e \otimes \xi \in E \otimes_B \Omega_B^n$

$$\nabla_E^{[n+1]} \nabla_E^{[n]}(e \otimes \xi) = R_E(e) \wedge \xi.$$

The idea of a bimodule connection was introduced in [6–8] and used in [9,10]. It was used to construct connections on tensor products in [11] (see Proposition 1).

Definition 5. If E is an A - B bimodule, then (∇_E, σ_E) is a right bimodule connection where ∇_E is a right connection and there is a bimodule map

$$\sigma_E : \Omega_A^1 \otimes_A E \rightarrow E \otimes_B \Omega_B^1$$

so that

$$\nabla_E(ae) = \sigma_E(da \otimes e) + a \cdot \nabla_E e .$$

2.2. Hilbert Bimodules

Note that, unlike most of the literature on Hilbert C^* -modules, we explicitly use conjugate bundles and modules. This is required to make the usual tensor products and connections work with inner products. Suppose that A and B are $*$ -algebras. For a left A -module E , \bar{E} is the conjugate vector space with right A -action $\bar{e} \cdot a = \overline{a^* e}$, and for a right A module F , \bar{F} is the conjugate vector space with left A -action $a \cdot \bar{f} = \overline{f \cdot a^*}$. For our A - B module E , \bar{E} is a B - A bimodule with $b\bar{e} = \overline{eb^*}$ and $\bar{e}a = \overline{a^* e}$.

Definition 6. A differential calculus (Ω_A, d) on a $*$ -algebra A is a $*$ -differential calculus if there are antilinear operators $*$: $\Omega_A^n \rightarrow \Omega_A^n$ so that $(\zeta \wedge \eta)^* = (-1)^{|\zeta||\eta|} \eta^* \wedge \zeta^*$ where $|\eta|$ is the degree of η , i.e., $\eta \in \Omega_A^{|\eta|}$ and $(d\zeta)^* = d(\zeta^*)$.

We now suppose that A and B have $*$ -calculi. Then, for our right bimodule connection (∇_E, σ_E) , we have a corresponding left bimodule connection $(\nabla_{\bar{E}}, \sigma_{\bar{E}})$ on \bar{E} given by $\nabla_{\bar{E}}\bar{e} = \zeta^* \otimes \bar{f}$ where $\nabla_E e = f \otimes \zeta$ (sum implicit) and $\sigma_{\bar{E}}(\bar{e} \otimes \eta) = k^* \otimes \bar{g}$ where $\sigma_E(\eta^* \otimes e) = g \otimes k$.

We give a definition of inner product on an A - B bimodule E , where A and B are $*$ -algebras. This is taken from the definition of Hilbert bimodules in [2], omitting norms and completion as we will need smooth function algebras. Of course, the modules with inner product we will talk about have completions which really are Hilbert bimodules.

Definition 7. A B -valued inner product on an A - B bimodule E is a B -bimodule map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \bar{E} \otimes_A E \rightarrow B$ obeying $\langle \bar{e}', e' \rangle^* = \langle \bar{e}, e \rangle$ for all $e', e \in E$ (the Hermitian condition) and $\langle \bar{e}, e \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle \bar{e}, e \rangle = 0$ only where $e = 0$.

Given an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \bar{E} \otimes_A E \rightarrow B$ the right connection ∇_E preserves the inner product if

$$(\text{id} \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)(\nabla_{\bar{E}} \otimes \text{id}) + (\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \nabla_E) = d\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle . \tag{2}$$

2.3. Line Bundles and Calculus in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

On $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ we have homogenous coordinates $v_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We take $\underline{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ to lie on the sphere S^{2n-1} in \mathbb{C}^n , i.e., $\sum_i v_i \bar{v}_i = 1$. There is an action of the unit norm complex numbers U_1 on S^{2n-1} by

$$z \triangleright (v_1, \dots, v_n) = (zv_1, \dots, zv_n) .$$

We define $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ as S^{2n-1} quotiented by this circle action, identifying points $z \triangleright \underline{v} \cong \underline{v}$ for all $z \in U_1$. We use notation $[\underline{v}] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ for the equivalence classes. We consider subsets of continuous functions on S^{2n-1} , defining for integer m

$$C_m(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) = \{f \in C(S^{2n-1}) : f(z \triangleright \underline{v}) = z^m f(\underline{v}) \text{ for all } z \in U_1, \underline{v} \in S^{2n-1}\}$$

and similarly $C_m^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ to be smooth functions. Then, $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ is the usual smooth functions on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. There is an alternative view given by grading monomials in v_i and \bar{v}_i by $\|v_i\| = 1$ and $\|\bar{v}_i\| = -1$. Then, a monomial of grade m is in $C_m(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$. A grade zero monomial such as $v_1 \bar{v}_2 \bar{v}_3 v_4$ is invariant for the circle action and so gives a function on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

An element of the tautological bundle τ at $[\underline{v}] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is given by $\alpha \underline{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and the inner product on τ is given by

$$\langle \overline{\alpha \underline{v}}, \beta \underline{v} \rangle = \bar{\alpha} \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{3}$$

noting the use of the conjugate bundle to give bilinearity and be consistent with the earlier Hilbert C^* -bimodule inner product. A section of the tautological bundle is a function $r : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C})$ so that $r([\underline{v}])$ is a multiple of \underline{v} . We have a 1 – 1 correspondence between continuous sections of τ and $C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$. If $f \in C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$, then (fv_1, \dots, fv_n) is a section, and if (r_1, \dots, r_n) is a section, then $r_i \bar{v}^i$ is in $C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$.

Recalling that $\sum_i v_i \bar{v}_i = 1$ and applying d gives $\sum_i (dv_i \bar{v}_i + v_i d\bar{v}_i) = 0$, and as we require a complex calculus on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, we obtain both $\sum_i dv_i \bar{v}_i = 0$ and $\sum_i v_i d\bar{v}_i = 0$ as relations on $\Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$. Applying d again gives $\sum_i dv_i \wedge d\bar{v}_i = 0$ in $\Omega^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$.

2.4. Categories of Modules and Connections

For an algebra A , we take \mathcal{M}_A to be the category of right A -modules and right module maps. If A has a differential calculus, we take \mathcal{E}_A to be the category with objects (E, ∇_E) , where E is a right A -module and ∇_E is a right connection on E . A morphism T from (E, ∇_E) to (F, ∇_F) consists of a right module map $T : E \rightarrow F$, which commutes with the connections, i.e.,

$$\nabla_F T = (T \otimes \text{id}) \nabla_E : E \rightarrow F \otimes_A \Omega_A^1.$$

Proposition 1. For a right A - B bimodule connection (∇_W, σ_W) , there is a functor $\otimes_A W : \mathcal{E}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_B$ sending (∇_F, F) to $(\nabla_{F \otimes W}, F \otimes_A W)$, where $\nabla_{F \otimes W}$ is

$$\nabla_{F \otimes W}(f \otimes e) = (\text{id} \otimes \sigma_W)(\nabla_F(f) \otimes e) + f \otimes \nabla_W(e).$$

2.5. Holomorphic Bundles

Let B be a $*$ -algebra with a $*$ -differential calculus. We use the noncommutative complex calculi from [12,13]. Suppose we have a direct sum decomposition $\Omega_B^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \Omega_B^{p,q}$ as bimodules, and that $\Omega_B^{p,q} \wedge \Omega_B^{s,t} \subset \Omega_B^{p+s,q+t}$; $d\Omega_B^{p,q} \subset \Omega_B^{p+1,q} \oplus \Omega_B^{p,q+1}$; and $(\Omega^{p,q})^* = \Omega^{q,p}$. Using the projection operations for the direct sum $\pi^{p,q} : \Omega_B^{p+q} \rightarrow \Omega_B^{p,q}$, we can define

$$\begin{aligned} \partial &= \pi^{p+1,q} d : \Omega_B^{p,q} \rightarrow \Omega_B^{p+1,q}, \\ \bar{\partial} &= \pi^{p,q+1} d : \Omega_B^{p,q} \rightarrow \Omega_B^{p,q+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives a holomorphic calculus. Given a right connection $\nabla_G : G \rightarrow G \otimes_B \Omega_B^1$, then we define $\bar{\partial}_G = (\text{id} \otimes \pi^{0,1}) \nabla_G : G \rightarrow G \otimes_B \Omega_B^{0,1}$. The holomorphic curvature of G is defined to be the curvature of the $\bar{\partial}_G$ connection, i.e.,

$$(\text{id} \otimes \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}_G \wedge \text{id}) \bar{\partial} : G \rightarrow G \otimes_B \Omega_B^{0,2}.$$

Definition 8. Suppose that we have a right connection $\bar{\partial}_G : G \rightarrow G \otimes_B \Omega_B^{0,1}$ with holomorphic curvature zero. Then, $(G, \bar{\partial}_G)$ is called a holomorphic right module.

3. The KSGNS Construction of the State Evaluation Map

For a subset $X \subset S$ of the state spaces of a C^* -algebra A , the positive map $\delta : A \rightarrow C(X)$ is given by $\delta(a)(\phi) = \phi(a)$ for $a \in A$ and $\phi \in X$. We use a standard construction of a completely positive map using a Hilbert C^* -bimodule, and this is part of the KSGNS construction [2]. We start with $A \otimes C(X)$ as an A - $C(X)$ bimodule and the semi-inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : A \otimes \overline{C(X)} \otimes_A (A \otimes C(X)) \rightarrow C(X)$ defined by

$$\langle \overline{a \otimes f}, a' \otimes f' \rangle = f^* \delta(a^* a') f'. \tag{4}$$

Set N to be the space of zero length vectors, i.e., $\sum a_i \otimes f_i$ so that

$$\langle \overline{\sum a_i \otimes f_i}, \sum a_j \otimes f_j \rangle = 0.$$

Now, we define $E = (A \otimes C(X))/N$. This has completion a Hilbert A - $C(X)$ C^* -bimodule, and given $1 \otimes 1 \in E$, we have

$$\langle \overline{1 \otimes 1}, a.1 \otimes 1 \rangle = \delta(a).$$

3.1. The Matrix Algebra Case

The pure states on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ are parametrised by $\underline{v} \in \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\phi_{\underline{v}}(a) = \underline{v}a\underline{v}^* \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{5}$$

where $\underline{v}\underline{v}^* = 1$ for normalisation [1]. Because scalar multiplication of \underline{v} by a unit norm complex number leaves the state unaffected the space of pure states is the quotient $X = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ of unit vectors in $\text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C})$, i.e., S^{2n-1} quotiented by the circle group U_1 . We take the positive map $\delta : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ defined by $\delta(a)([\underline{v}]) = \phi_{\underline{v}}(a)$ for $\underline{v} \in S^{2n-1}$.

There is a unitary symmetry of the matrix algebra by inner automorphisms $a \mapsto uau^*$ for $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $u \in U_n$. There is also a U_n action on the pure state space $X = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ given by $\underline{v} \mapsto \underline{v}u^*$ for $\underline{v} \in S^{2n-1}$. The map $\delta : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ intertwines these actions.

We carry out the KSGNS construction given at the beginning of this section for $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$. We write $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ as $\text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C}))$, which are isomorphic as $\text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C})$ is finite dimensional. For $c_i \otimes r_i \in \text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C}))$, the inner product in (4) is

$$\langle \overline{c_1 \otimes r_1}, c_2 \otimes r_2 \rangle([\underline{v}]) = \underline{v}r_1([\underline{v}])^*c_1^*c_2r_2([\underline{v}])\underline{v}^* \in \mathbb{C} \tag{6}$$

for $\underline{v} \in S^{2n-1}$, a row vector representing an element $[\underline{v}]$ of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Proposition 2. *The quotient of $\text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C}))$ by the length zero vectors N is isomorphic to $\text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \Gamma\tau$, where $\Gamma\tau$ is the continuous sections of the tautological bundle τ .*

Proof. For $\underline{v} \in S^{2n-1}$, we look at the conditions for $c_i \otimes r_i$ to be in N , which is $\sum_{ij} \langle c_i \otimes r_i, c_i \otimes r_i \rangle = 0$ using (6). Using the projection matrix $P_{ij} = \bar{v}_i v_j$, we see that

$$\langle c_1 \otimes r_1, c_2 \otimes r_2 \rangle = \langle \overline{c_1 \otimes r_1 P}, c_2 \otimes r_2 P \rangle$$

just using the fact $v_i \bar{v}_i = 1$ (summing over i). Thus, the null space N includes all $c \otimes r(1 - P)$, and the only possible non-null elements are $c \otimes rP$, which is $c \otimes s$ where s is a multiple of \underline{v} . A quick check shows that all these are not null (except 0). \square

The sections $\Gamma\tau$ of τ are identified with $C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$, and so we have $\text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ with inner product

$$\langle \overline{c_1 \otimes f_1}, c_2 \otimes f_2 \rangle = c_1^*c_2f_1^*f_2 \in C(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \tag{7}$$

and this a Hilbert M_n - $C(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ C^* -bimodule. Finally, we consider $1 \otimes 1 \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ and find $e_{1 \otimes 1} = [1 \otimes 1] \in \text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ under our isomorphism from Proposition 2. Take h_i to be the column vector with 1 in position i and zero elsewhere. Then, in $\text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C}))$ $e_{1 \otimes 1} = [1 \otimes 1]$ corresponds to $h_i \otimes h_i^*$ summing over i . Using the isomorphism from Section 2.3 between $\Gamma\tau$ and $C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$, $e_{1 \otimes 1} = [1 \otimes 1]$ corresponds to $h_i \otimes \bar{v}_i \in \text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$

summing over i . Under the isomorphism, we adapt (5) to give $\phi : M_n \rightarrow C(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$, for $a = (a_{ij}) \in M_n$

$$\phi(a) = \sum_{ij} \langle \overline{h_i} \otimes \bar{v}_i \otimes ah_j \otimes \bar{v}_j \rangle = \sum_{ij} v_i a_{ij} \bar{v}_j, \tag{8}$$

and this is the state evaluation map.

4. Connections on the Hilbert C^* -Bimodule

We now have a formula (8) for the state evaluation map using bimodules, and we would like to ask whether it is differentiable. To do this, we use a bimodule connection. The first thing to do is to take the smooth functions as a subset of our Hilbert C^* -bimodule $\text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ by setting $E = \text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{-1}^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$.

4.1. Inner Product Preserving Connections on $E = \text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{-1}^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$

We have generators of $C_{-1}^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$, the smooth sections of τ , given by \bar{v}_i and a projection matrix $Q_{ij} = v_i \bar{v}_j$ so that $\bar{v}_i Q_{ij} = \bar{v}_j$. We specify a right connection

$$\nabla_E : \text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \otimes_{C^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})} \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$$

for some $\Gamma^{pq}_{ij} \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ and summing over repeated indices

$$\nabla_E(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) = h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{ij}. \tag{9}$$

As

$$h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{ij} = h_p \otimes \bar{v}_s Q_{sq} \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{ij} = h_p \otimes \bar{v}_s \otimes Q_{sq} \Gamma^{pq}_{ij},$$

we can suppose without loss of generality that

$$\Gamma^{pq}_{ij} = Q_{qs} \Gamma^{ps}_{ij}. \tag{10}$$

Additionally, using $\bar{v}_j = \bar{v}_q Q_{qj}$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j Q_{jk}) &= h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes dQ_{jk} + h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{ij} Q_{jk} \\ &= \nabla(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_k) = h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{ik}, \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\Gamma^{pq}_{ij}(\delta_{jk} - Q_{jk}) = \delta_{pi} Q_{qj} dQ_{jk}. \tag{11}$$

Thus, for a right connection (9) we require (10) and (11) to be satisfied.

Proposition 3. *The connection (9) is a bimodule connection with*

$$\sigma_E : \Omega^1_{\text{uni}}(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \otimes_{M_n(\mathbb{C})} E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$$

extending to a bimodule map

$$\hat{\sigma}_E : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{M_n(\mathbb{C})} E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$$

by the formula, for E_{ij} the standard matrix with 1 in row i column j and zero elsewhere

$$\hat{\sigma}_E(E_{ab} \otimes E_{st} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) = \delta_{ti} h_a \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{bq}_{sj}.$$

Proof. The bimodule connection condition gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_E(\mathbf{d}E_{st} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) &= \nabla_E(E_{st}h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) - E_{st}\nabla_E(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) \\ &= \delta_{ti}\nabla_E(h_s \otimes \bar{v}_j) - E_{st}\nabla_E(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) \\ &= \delta_{ti}h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{sj} - E_{st}h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{ij} \\ &= (\delta_{ti}h_p\delta_{sr} - \delta_{tp}h_s\delta_{ri}) \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{rj}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Note that $\hat{\sigma}_E$ is explicitly a left module map and is extended to a right $C(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ module map by multiplication on the rightmost factor. Then, for the universal calculus, we obtain $\mathbf{d}E_{st} = I_n \otimes E_{st} - E_{st} \otimes I_n$, and summing over k

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_E(\mathbf{d}E_{st} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) &= \hat{\sigma}_E(E_{pp} \otimes E_{st} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) - \hat{\sigma}_E(E_{st} \otimes E_{pp} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) \\ &= \delta_{ti}h_p\bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{rj}\delta_{sr} - \delta_{tp}\delta_{ri}h_s \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{rj} \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with (12). \square

The curvature of the connection is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_E(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) &= (\text{id} \otimes \mathbf{d} + \nabla_E \wedge \text{id})\nabla_E(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) \\ &= h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \mathbf{d}\Gamma^{pq}_{ij} + h_s \otimes \bar{v}_t \otimes \Gamma^{st}_{pq} \wedge \Gamma^{pq}_{ij} \\ &= h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes (\mathbf{d}\Gamma^{pq}_{ij} + \Gamma^{pq}_{st} \wedge \Gamma^{st}_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

We set $X^{pq}_{ij} = \mathbf{d}\Gamma^{pq}_{ij} + \Gamma^{pq}_{st} \wedge \Gamma^{st}_{ij}$ so

$$R_E(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) = h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes X^{pq}_{ij}. \tag{13}$$

Using (22) and where E_{rt} is the matrix with 1 in row p column t and zero elsewhere

$$\begin{aligned} R_E(E_{rt}h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) - E_{rt}R_E(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) &= \delta_{ti}h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes X^{pq}_{rj} - E_{rt}h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes X^{pq}_{ij} \\ &= \delta_{ti}h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes X^{pq}_{rj} - \delta_{tp}h_r \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes X^{pq}_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

We see that the curvature is not necessarily a left module map, though by general theory it must be a right module map.

We require two additional properties of our connection: that it preserves the inner product (7) and that it vanishes on $e_1 \otimes 1$. The inner product from (7) gives

$$\langle \overline{h_s \otimes \bar{v}_t}, h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j \rangle = \delta_{si}v_t\bar{v}_j \tag{15}$$

and for the connection (9) to preserve the inner product, we require

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{is} \mathbf{d}(v_t\bar{v}_j) &= \langle \overline{h_s \otimes \bar{v}_t}, h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \rangle \Gamma^{pq}_{ij} + (\Gamma^{pq}_{st})^* \langle \overline{h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q}, h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j \rangle \\ &= \delta_{sp}v_t\bar{v}_q \Gamma^{pq}_{ij} + (\Gamma^{pq}_{st})^* \delta_{pi}v_q\bar{v}_j. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

We also need for $\nabla_E(e_1 \otimes 1) = 0$

$$0 = \nabla_E(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_i) = h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{ii} \tag{17}$$

so $\Gamma^{pq}_{ii} = 0$.

4.2. A Simple Example of the Connection

Here, we find a simple example of a connection satisfying the previous conditions in Section 4.1. From (10), we have $\Gamma^{pq}_{rs} = v_q C^p_{rs}$ where $C^p_{rs} = \bar{v}_j \Gamma^{pj}_{rs}$. Now, (11) becomes

$$v_q C^p_{ij}(\delta_{jk} - Q_{jk}) = \delta_{pi}v_q\bar{v}_s \mathbf{d}(v_s\bar{v}_k)$$

and as this is true for all q we deduce, using the relations for $\Omega^1(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$

$$C^p_{ij}(\delta_{jk} - Q_{jk}) = \delta_{pi}\bar{v}_s(dv_s\bar{v}_k + v_s d\bar{v}_k) = \delta_{pi} d\bar{v}_k. \tag{18}$$

Additionally, (16) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{is} dQ_{tj} &= \delta_{sp}v_t\bar{v}_q v_q C^p_{ij} + \bar{v}_q(C^p_{st})^* \delta_{pi}v_q\bar{v}_j \\ &= \delta_{sp}v_t C^p_{ij} + \delta_{pi}\bar{v}_j(C^p_{st})^* = v_t C^s_{ij} + \bar{v}_j(C^i_{st})^*. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Thus, we have for a right connection (18), for metric preserving we obtain (19), and for $\nabla(e_1 \otimes 1) = 0$ we obtain $C^p_{ii} = 0$. The curvature is

$$R_E(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) = h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes (d(v_q C^p_{ij}) + v_q v_t C^p_{st} \wedge C^s_{ij}),$$

and using $\bar{v}_q = \bar{v}_m v_m \bar{v}_q$

$$\begin{aligned} R_E(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) &= h_p \otimes \bar{v}_m \otimes v_m \bar{v}_q (dv_q \wedge C^p_{ij} + v_q dC^p_{ij} + v_q v_t C^p_{st} \wedge C^s_{ij}) \\ &= h_p \otimes \bar{v}_m \otimes v_m (dC^p_{ij} + v_t C^p_{st} \wedge C^s_{ij}). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

To simplify this further, from (18), we write

$$C^p_{ik} = C^p_{ij}(\delta_{jk} - Q_{jk}) + C^p_{ij} Q_{jk} = \delta_{pi} d\bar{v}_k + C^p_{ij} v_j \bar{v}_k,$$

we set $D_{pi} = C^p_{ij} v_j$, and then $C^p_{ik} = \delta_{pi} d\bar{v}_k + D_{pi} \bar{v}_k$. Now, (18) is automatically true and (19) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{is}(dv_t \bar{v}_j + v_t d\bar{v}_j) &= v_t(\delta_{si} d\bar{v}_j + D_{si} \bar{v}_j) + \bar{v}_j(\delta_{is} dv_t + D_{is} v_t)^* \\ &= \delta_{is}(v_t d\bar{v}_j + \bar{v}_j dv_t) + v_t \bar{v}_j (D_{si} + (D_{is})^*). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that for matrix D , we have (19) if and only if $D^* + D = 0$ as a matrix. Next, we require

$$C^p_{ii} = \delta_{pi} d\bar{v}_i + D_{pi} \bar{v}_i = d\bar{v}_p + D_{pi} \bar{v}_i = 0. \tag{21}$$

Finally, we put

$$D_{pi} = -d\bar{v}_p v_i + dv_i \bar{v}_p + G_{pi}.$$

Now, we have from (21)

$$D_{pi} \bar{v}_i = -d\bar{v}_p + G_{pi} \bar{v}_i,$$

so we have the condition $G_{pi} \bar{v}_i = 0$, and

$$(D_{ip})^* = -dv_i \bar{v}_p + d\bar{v}_p v_i + (G_{ip})^*$$

so $D^* + D = 0$ if and only if $G^* = -G$. Now, we calculate the bracket in the formula for the curvature in (20). This is

$$dC^p_{ij} + C^p_{st} v_t \wedge C^s_{ij} = \bar{v}_j(G_{ps} \wedge G_{si} - v_i G_{ps} \wedge d\bar{v}_s + \bar{v}_p dv_s \wedge G_{si} + dG_{pi} - dv_i \wedge d\bar{v}_p).$$

We can simplify the curvature while satisfying all of our conditions simply by putting $G = 0$, to give

$$R_E(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) = h_p \otimes \bar{v}_m \otimes v_m \bar{v}_j d\bar{v}_p \wedge dv_i = h_p \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes d\bar{v}_p \wedge dv_i. \tag{22}$$

For completeness we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{pq}_{rs} &= v_q C^p_{rs} = v_q (\delta_{pr} d\bar{v}_s + D_{pr} \bar{v}_s) \\ &= v_q (\delta_{pr} d\bar{v}_s + \bar{v}_s (-d\bar{v}_p v_r + dv_r \bar{v}_p)) \\ &= v_q (\delta_{pr} d\bar{v}_s - \bar{v}_s v_r d\bar{v}_p + \bar{v}_s \bar{v}_p dv_r), \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and from (13)

$$X^{pq}_{ij} = \delta_{qj} d\bar{v}_p \wedge dv_i. \tag{24}$$

5. Differentiating Positive Maps

We wish to extend the map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ defined by $\phi(a) = \langle \bar{e}, ae \rangle$ in (8) to a map of differential forms $\phi : \Omega^m_A \rightarrow \Omega^m_B$. A theory of how to do this is set down in [3], (using left instead of right connections), but it assumes conditions on the curvature that we do not have and results in a cochain map, so we need to be more careful and give a more general account of the theory, beginning with how σ_E extends to a map of differential forms, with general algebras A, B , and bimodule W .

5.1. General Theory of Extendability and Curvature

We begin with a right handed version of Lemma 3.72 in [3]. For algebras A, B with calculi, we suppose that (∇_W, σ_W) is a bimodule connection on an A - B bimodule W . The curvature R_W of a right bimodule connection must be a right module map but not necessarily a bimodule map.

Lemma 1. *Given an A - B bimodule W with a right bimodule connection $\nabla_W : W \rightarrow W \otimes_B \Omega^1_B$ and $\sigma_W : \Omega^1_A \otimes_A W \rightarrow W \otimes_B \Omega^1_B$, for the curvature, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} R_W(ae) - aR_W(e) &= (\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(da \otimes \nabla_W(e)) + (\text{id} \otimes d + \nabla_W \wedge \text{id})\sigma_W(da \otimes e) \\ cR_W(ae) - caR_W(e) &= (\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(cda \otimes \nabla_W e) + (\text{id} \otimes d + \nabla_W \wedge \text{id})\sigma_W(cda \otimes e) \\ &\quad - (\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \sigma_W)(dc \otimes da \otimes e). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition of R_W

$$\begin{aligned} R_W(ae) &= (\text{id} \otimes d + \nabla_E \wedge \text{id})\nabla_W(ae) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes d + \nabla_W \wedge \text{id})(\sigma_W(da \otimes e) + a.\nabla_W e) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes d + \nabla_W \wedge \text{id})\sigma_W(da \otimes e) + (\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(da \otimes \nabla_W e) + a.R_W(e). \end{aligned}$$

Now, multiply the first equation in the statement by $c \in A$ to obtain

$$cR_W(ae) - caR_W(e) = (\sigma \wedge \text{id})(cda \otimes \nabla_W e) + c(\text{id} \otimes d + \nabla_W \wedge \text{id})\sigma_W(da \otimes e),$$

and use the definition of σ_W again to obtain the second equation. \square

The following definition is a right version of extendability from [3].

Definition 9. *Given an A - B bimodule W with a right bimodule connection $\nabla_W : W \rightarrow W \otimes_B \Omega^1_B$ and $\sigma_W : \Omega^1_A \otimes_A W \rightarrow W \otimes_B \Omega^1_B$, we say that (∇_W, σ_W) is extendable if σ_W extends to a map $\sigma_W : \Omega^n_A \otimes_A W \rightarrow W \otimes_B \Omega^n_B$ such that for all $\xi, \eta \in \Omega_A$*

$$\sigma_W(\xi \wedge \eta \otimes e) = (\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \sigma)(\xi \otimes \eta \otimes e). \tag{25}$$

Corollary 1. *The σ_W in Lemma 1 is extendable for the maximal prolongation calculus Ω^n_A if and only if, for all $c_i, a_i \in A$ with $\sum_i c_i da_i = 0 \in \Omega^1_A$*

$$\sum_i (c_i a_i R_W(e) - c_i R_W(a_i e)) = 0. \tag{26}$$

Proof. To define a map $\sigma : \Omega_A^2 \otimes_A W \rightarrow W \otimes_B \Omega_B^2$ by (25) where $\zeta, \eta \in \Omega_A^1$, we require the RHS of (25) to vanish for all $\zeta \wedge \eta = 0$ (summation implicit). This is easiest if we have as few relations $\zeta \wedge \eta = 0$ as possible; thus we consider the maximal prolongation. In more detail, if we have $\sum c_i da_i = 0$ in Ω_A^1 then $\sum dc_i \otimes da_i$ is in the kernel of \wedge and we then have from Lemma 1

$$\sum (c_i a_i R_W(e) - c_i R_W(a_i e)) = \sum_i (\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \sigma_W)(dc_i \otimes da_i \otimes e). \tag{27}$$

Thus, we need to show that for all $\sum c_i da_i = 0$ we have the LHS of (27) vanishing. \square

Corollary 2. *Either of the following conditions imply the condition (26) in Corollary 1:*

- (a) R_W is a left module map,
- (b) Ω_A^1 is the universal calculus.

Proof. (a) is obvious from Corollary 1. For (b), by definition of the first order universal calculus, we have

$$\sum c_i da_i = c_i \otimes a_i - c_i a_i \otimes 1 \in A \otimes A$$

and if this vanishes, then so does the LHS of (27). \square

Now, we assume extendability for σ_W and work out the consequences.

Proposition 4. *Given the conditions of Lemma 1 and assuming that σ_W is extendable, the map $S_W : \Omega_A^n \otimes_A W \rightarrow W \otimes_B \Omega_B^{n+1}$ defined by*

$$S_W(\zeta \otimes e) = (\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(\zeta \otimes \nabla_W e) - (\text{id} \otimes d + \nabla_W \wedge \text{id})\sigma_W(\zeta \otimes e)(-1)^{|\zeta|} + \sigma_W(d\zeta \otimes e)(-1)^{|\zeta|} \tag{28}$$

is a well defined bimodule map, and

$$S_W(\zeta \wedge \kappa \otimes e) = (\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes S_W)(\zeta \otimes \kappa \otimes e) + (-1)^{|\kappa|} (\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \sigma_W)(\zeta \otimes \kappa \otimes e). \tag{29}$$

For the derivative of S_W , we have

$$\nabla_R^{[|\zeta|+1]} S_W(\zeta \otimes e) - S_W(d\zeta \otimes e) = -(-1)^{|\zeta|} (\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(\zeta \otimes \nabla_W e) + (-1)^{|\zeta|} ((\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes R_W) - (R_W \wedge \text{id})\sigma_W) \tag{30}$$

Proof. To check that it is well defined, we use

$$\begin{aligned} S_W(\zeta a \otimes e) - S_W(\zeta \otimes ae) &= -(\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(\zeta \otimes \sigma_W(da \otimes e)) \\ &\quad + \sigma_W((d(\zeta a) - (d\zeta)a) \otimes e)(-1)^{|\zeta|} \\ &= -\sigma_W(\zeta \wedge da \otimes e) + \sigma_W(\zeta \wedge da \otimes e) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by Definition 9. To check that it is a right module map we use, where $\sigma_W(\zeta \otimes e) = f \otimes \eta$

$$\begin{aligned} S(\zeta \otimes ea) - S(\zeta \otimes e)a &= (\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(\zeta \otimes e \otimes da) - (\text{id} \otimes d)(\sigma_W(\zeta \otimes e)a)(-1)^{|\zeta|} \\ &\quad + ((\text{id} \otimes d)\sigma_W(\zeta \otimes e))a(-1)^{|\zeta|} \\ &= f \otimes \eta \wedge da - f \otimes d(\eta a)(-1)^{|\zeta|} + f \otimes d\eta.a(-1)^{|\zeta|}. \end{aligned}$$

To check that it is a left module map we use

$$\begin{aligned}
 (-1)^{|\xi|} (S(a\xi \otimes e) - aS(\xi \otimes e)) &= -(\nabla_W \wedge \text{id})(a\sigma_W(\xi \otimes e)) + a(\nabla_W \wedge \text{id})(\sigma_W(\xi \otimes e)) \\
 &\quad + \sigma_W(d(a\xi) \otimes e) - \sigma_W(a.d\xi \otimes e) \\
 &= -\nabla_W(af) \wedge \eta + a\nabla_W(f) \wedge \eta + \sigma_W(da \wedge \xi \otimes e) \\
 &= -\sigma_W(da \otimes f) \wedge \eta + \sigma_W(da \wedge \xi \otimes e) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

To verify the product rule for S_E , consider

$$S_W(\xi \wedge \kappa \otimes e) - (\sigma_W \wedge \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes S_W)(\xi \otimes \kappa \otimes e),$$

and use the Leibniz rule for d and extendability. For the last formula (30), we use

$$S_W(da \otimes e) = R_W(ae) - aR_W(e) \tag{31}$$

and standard manipulations. Recall that R_W is not necessarily a left module map, but use of (29) shows that (30) is well defined on $\Omega_A \otimes_A W$. \square

Now suppose that A and B are $*$ -algebras with $*$ -calculi. Given an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \overline{W} \otimes_A W \rightarrow B$, which is preserved by ∇_W , we extend $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ defined by $\phi(a) = \langle \bar{e}, a e \rangle$ where $\nabla_W e = 0$ to $\phi : \Omega_A^n \rightarrow \Omega_B^n$ by

$$\phi(\xi) = (\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \otimes \text{id})(\bar{e} \otimes \sigma_W(\xi \otimes e)). \tag{32}$$

Under the more restrictive conditions where R_W is a bimodule map [3] ϕ would be a cochain map. However, more generally we find a correction term.

Proposition 5. *Assume the conditions of 1 and that σ_W is extendable. If $\nabla_W e = 0$ and ∇_W preserves the inner product then*

$$d\phi(\xi) = \phi(d\xi) - (-1)^{|\xi|} (\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \otimes \text{id})(\bar{e} \otimes S_W(\xi \otimes e)). \tag{33}$$

Proof. Apply (28) to the formula obtained by differentiating (32). \square

In Proposition 1, we see that under the condition of Lemma 1 there is a functor $\otimes W$ from \mathcal{E}_A to \mathcal{E}_B , using the specified connection on the tensor product. We would like to calculate the curvature of this tensor product connection, but as we noted before the curvature of W is not necessarily a left module map, so we need more generality than in [3].

Proposition 6. *If $F \in \mathcal{E}_A$ and (∇_W, σ_W) is an extendable right bimodule connection on $W \in {}_A\mathcal{M}_B$ then the curvature of the tensor product connection is*

$$R_{F \otimes W} = \text{id} \otimes R_W + (\text{id} \otimes \sigma_W)(R_F \otimes \text{id}) + (\text{id} \otimes S_W)(\nabla_F \otimes \text{id}). \tag{34}$$

Note: The first and last terms are not well defined on $F \otimes_A W$, only their sum is.

Proof. Standard manipulation. \square

5.2. Applications to the State Map on Matrices

We return to our specific case of matrices, projective space and bimodule E . As we are using the universal calculus for matrices, by Corollary 2 we know that σ_E from Section 4.1 is extendable. It will be convenient to extend the domain of definition of σ_E given in Proposition 3 from $\Omega_{\text{uni}}^1(M_n)$ to $\Omega_{\text{uni}}^{m-1}(M_n)$, etc.

Proposition 7. Regarding $\Omega_{\text{uni}}^{m-1}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ as a subset of $M_n(\mathbb{C})^{\otimes m}$, we find the formula

$$\hat{\sigma}_E : M_n^{\otimes m} \otimes_{M_n(\mathbb{C})} E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega^{m-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$$

which restricts to the extension of

$$\sigma_E : \Omega_{\text{uni}}^{m-1}(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \otimes_{M_n(\mathbb{C})} E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega^{m-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$$

from Section 4.1 given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_E(E_{a_1 b_1} \otimes E_{a_2 b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{a_m b_m} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) &= \delta_{b_m i} h_{a_1} \otimes \bar{v}_{q_1} \otimes \Gamma^{b_1 q_1}_{a_2 q_2} \wedge \Gamma^{b_2 q_2}_{a_3 q_3} \wedge \dots \\ &\dots \wedge \Gamma^{b_{m-1} q_{m-1}}_{a_m j} . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By induction. From Proposition 3, the formula works for $m = 2$. Assume that it works for m , and then for $m + 1$, given $\zeta \in \Omega_{\text{uni}}^1(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ and $\eta = E_{a_1 b_1} \otimes E_{a_2 b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{a_m b_m} \in \Omega_{\text{uni}}^{m-1}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_E(\zeta \wedge \eta \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) &= (\text{id} \otimes \wedge) \sigma_E(\zeta \wedge \eta \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) \\ &= (\sigma_E \wedge \text{id})(\zeta \otimes \sigma_E(\eta \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j)) \\ &= \delta_{b_m i} \sigma_E(\zeta \otimes h_{a_1} \otimes \bar{v}_{q_1}) \wedge \Gamma^{b_1 q_1}_{a_2 q_2} \wedge \Gamma^{b_2 q_2}_{a_3 q_3} \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^{b_{m-1} q_{m-1}}_{a_m j} . \end{aligned}$$

Now, put $\zeta = E_{ab} \otimes E_{st}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_E(\zeta \wedge \eta \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) &= \delta_{b_m i} \hat{\sigma}_E(E_{ab} \otimes E_{st} \otimes h_{a_1} \otimes \bar{v}_{q_1}) \wedge \Gamma^{b_1 q_1}_{a_2 q_2} \wedge \Gamma^{b_2 q_2}_{a_3 q_3} \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^{b_{m-1} q_{m-1}}_{a_m j} \\ &= \delta_{b_m i} \delta_{t a_1} h_a \otimes \bar{v}_{q_0} \otimes \Gamma^{b q_0}_{s q_1} \wedge \Gamma^{b_1 q_1}_{a_2 q_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^{b_{m-1} q_{m-1}}_{a_m j} \end{aligned}$$

and this is exactly what the formula gives on applying $\hat{\sigma}_E$ to $\zeta \wedge \eta \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j$ given

$$E_{ab} \otimes E_{st} \otimes_{M_n(\mathbb{C})} \zeta = \delta_{t a_1} E_{ab} \otimes E_{s b_1} \otimes E_{a_2 b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{a_m b_m} .$$

□

We can now extend the state evaluation map $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ from (5) and (8) to forms by using (32).

Corollary 3. The function $\phi : \Omega_{\text{uni}}^{m-1}(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \Omega^{m-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ is given by

$$\phi(E_{a_1 b_1} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{a_m b_m}) = v_{a_1} \bar{v}_{q_1} \Gamma^{b_1 q_1}_{a_2 q_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^{b_{m-1} q_{m-1}}_{a_m b_m}$$

summing over q_1, \dots, q_{m-1} .

Proof. Summing over i, j ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(E_{a_1 b_1} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{a_m b_m}) &= (\langle , \rangle \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \hat{\sigma}_E)(\overline{h_j \otimes \bar{v}_j} \otimes E_{a_1 b_1} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{a_m b_m} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) \\ &= \delta_{b_m i} \langle \overline{h_j \otimes \bar{v}_j}, h_{a_1} \otimes \bar{v}_{q_1} \rangle \Gamma^{b_1 q_1}_{a_2 q_2} \wedge \Gamma^{b_2 q_2}_{a_3 q_3} \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^{b_{m-1} q_{m-1}}_{a_m i} \\ &= \delta_{b_m i} \delta_{j a_1} v_j \bar{v}_{q_1} \Gamma^{b_1 q_1}_{a_2 q_2} \wedge \Gamma^{b_2 q_2}_{a_3 q_3} \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^{b_{m-1} q_{m-1}}_{a_m i} . \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 8. Similarly to $\hat{\sigma}_E$, we can calculate an extension \hat{S}_E of S_E to $M_n \otimes M_n$ instead of just $\Omega_{\text{uni}}^1(M_n)$, giving

$$\hat{S}_E(E_{ab} \otimes E_{rt} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) = \delta_{ti} h_a \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes X^{bq}_{rj}$$

and this extends to higher forms by

$$\hat{S}_E(E_{a_1 b_1} \otimes E_{a_2 b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{a_m b_m} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) = \delta_{b_m i} h_{a_1} \otimes \bar{v}_{q_1} \otimes \left(\begin{array}{l} \Gamma^{b_1 q_1} \wedge \Gamma^{b_2 q_2} \wedge \dots \wedge X^{b_{m-1} q_{m-1}} \wedge \dots \\ + (-1)^{m-3} \Gamma^{b_1 q_1} \wedge X^{b_2 q_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^{b_{m-1} q_{m-1}} \wedge \dots \\ + (-1)^{m-2} X^{b_1 q_1} \wedge \Gamma^{b_2 q_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^{b_{m-1} q_{m-1}} \wedge \dots \end{array} \right),$$

where the wedge products alternate in sign and contain exactly one X factor.

Proof. We use (14) and (31) to find the first equation, using

$$E_{ab} S_E(dE_{rt} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) = \hat{S}_E((E_{ab} \otimes E_{rt} - E_{at} \otimes 1\delta_{br}) \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j). \tag{35}$$

The rest is a proof by induction, similar to Proposition 7 using Proposition 4. □

6. Matrix Modules and Sheaves on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

6.1. Differentiating the State Evaluation Map

We would like the state evaluation map extended to forms in Corollary 3 to be a cochain map, i.e., $d\phi(\zeta) = \phi(d\zeta)$. However, Proposition 5 gives an additional term that we must evaluate.

Proposition 9. For the usual calculus on projective space, the state evaluation map (8) is not a cochain map to the standard d calculus on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Proof. Using Proposition 8 and (24), we evaluate the last term in (33)

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle, \rangle \otimes \text{id})(\overline{e_{1 \otimes 1}} \otimes S_E(E_{ab} \otimes E_{rt} \otimes e_{1 \otimes 1})) &= (\langle, \rangle \otimes \text{id})(\overline{h_k \otimes \bar{v}_k} S_E \otimes (E_{ab} \otimes E_{rt} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_i)) \\ &= \langle \overline{h_k \otimes \bar{v}_k}, h_a \otimes \bar{v}_q \rangle \delta_{ti} X^{bq}_{ri} \\ &= v_a \bar{v}_q \delta_{qt} d\bar{v}_b \wedge dv_r = v_a \bar{v}_t d\bar{v}_b \wedge dv_r, \end{aligned}$$

which is nonzero. Now, if $b \neq r$, then $E_{ab} \otimes E_{rt} \in \Omega^1_{\text{uni}}(M_n)$. □

This may seem disappointing, but it is an opportunity to consider the holomorphic structure on projective space. From Definition 8 and using (22), we see that $E = \text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ with the connection in Section 4.1 is a holomorphic bundle over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Theorem 1. For the \bar{d} calculus on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and the universal calculus on M_n the state evaluation map (2) and its extension to forms in Corollary 3 is a cochain map.

Proof. Proposition 5 will give the result if the S_E then gives zero in the \bar{d} calculus. This can be seen from Proposition 8 and (24). □

Using the \bar{d} calculus on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ raises the possibility that the bimodule $E = \text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ could be used to give a functor from M_n modules on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. First, we need to consider M_n modules with connection.

6.2. Connections on Right Modules over $M_n(\mathbb{C})$

In this subsection and the next, we take $r_i \in \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C})$ to be the row vector with 1 in position i and zero elsewhere.

Proposition 10. Take the right $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ module $F = V \otimes \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C})$ for a vector space V , with action given by the matrix multiplication

$$(v \otimes r_i) \triangleleft E_{jk} = v \otimes r_k \delta_{ij}.$$

Then, a general right connection ∇_F for the universal calculus on M_n is

$$\nabla_F(v \otimes r_i) \in V \otimes \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{M_n} \Omega_{\text{uni}}^1(M_n) \subset V \otimes \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{M_n} M_n \otimes M_n$$

and using the fact that every 1-form on M_n can be written as a sum of $E_{sj} \cdot dE_{pi}$, we can write

$$\nabla_F(v \otimes r_i) = \sum_{pj} L_{jp}(v) \otimes r_j \otimes dE_{pi} \tag{36}$$

for linear $L_{jp} : V \rightarrow V$ with $\sum_j L_{jj}(v) = v$. The curvature of the connection is

$$R_F(v \otimes r_i) = \sum_{abjp} L_{ab}(L_{jp}(v)) \otimes r_a \otimes dE_{bj} \wedge dE_{pi}.$$

Proof. By using the $\text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{M_n} M_n \cong \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C})$, we obtain

$$(V \otimes \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{M_n} \Omega_{\text{uni}}^1(M_n)) \cong V \otimes K,$$

where $K = \ker \cdot : \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n \rightarrow \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C})$. We write summing over j, p, q ,

$$\nabla_F(v \otimes r_i) = S_{ijpq}(v) \otimes r_j \otimes E_{pq}$$

and for this to be in $V \otimes K$ we need $S_{ijpq}(v) \otimes \delta_{jp} r_q = 0$, i.e., $\sum_j S_{ijjq} = 0$ for all i, q . We will also write

$$\nabla_F(v \otimes r_i) = S_{ijpq}(v) \otimes r_j \otimes dE_{pq}.$$

and these are the same under the isomorphism as

$$S_{ijpq}(v) \otimes r_j (I_n \otimes E_{pq} - E_{pq} \otimes I) = S_{ijpq}(v) \otimes r_j \otimes E_{pq} - S_{ijjq}(v) \otimes r_q \otimes I.$$

The condition to be a right connection is, for all i, s, t ,

$$\nabla_F(v \otimes r_i E_{st}) = \nabla(v \otimes r_i) E_{st} + v \otimes r_i \otimes dE_{st}$$

which gives, summing over j, p, q

$$\delta_{is} S_{ijpq}(v) \otimes r_j \otimes E_{pq} = S_{ijpq}(v) \otimes r_j \otimes E_{pq} E_{st} + v \otimes r_i \otimes E_{st} - \delta_{is} v \otimes r_t \otimes I.$$

This has general solution

$$S_{ijpq}(v) = -v \delta_{ij} \delta_{pq} + \delta_{iq} L_{jp}(v),$$

where $\sum_j L_{jj}(v) = v$. \square

If we take ${}_{M_n}\mathcal{M}$ to be the category of left M_n modules and module maps, then there is a functor ${}_{M_n}\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{M_n}$ to the category of right M_n modules with right connections for the universal calculus. This is given by $V \mapsto V \otimes \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C})$, and this is given the connection in Proposition 10, where we define $L_{ij}(v)$ by the right action $E_{ij} \triangleright v = L_{ij}(v)$. The condition $\sum_j L_{jj}(v) = v$ is simply $I_n \triangleright v = v$. Note that this will not give the most general L_{ij} for Proposition 10, but the restriction to certain L_{ij} is what we need in the next part.

6.3. Induced Holomorphic Bundles on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

From Proposition 1, we know that there is a functor $\otimes E$ from \mathcal{E}_{M_n} to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})}$. At the end of the last section, we had a functor from ${}_{M_n}\mathcal{M}$ to \mathcal{E}_{M_n} , and of course these can be composed. However, we know that the state evaluation map ϕ is not a cochain map for the ordinary calculus on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ (using the choice of connection in Section 4.2), but it is for

the $\bar{\partial}$ calculus. It is then natural to ask if we obtain a functor into holomorphic bundles on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. We use $\pi^{i,j}$ for the projection from Ω^{i+j} to $\Omega^{i,j}$.

Given a connection for the calculus $\Omega^n(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$, we can obtain a $\bar{\partial}$ connection (see Section 2.5) simply by composing with $\pi^{0,1}$. Then, to ensure that $F \otimes_{M_n} E$ is a homomorphic bimodule, we require that the $\Omega^{0,2}$ part of its curvature $R_{F \otimes E}$ vanishes.

Proposition 11. *The $\Omega^{0,2}$ component of the curvature of $F \otimes_{M_n} E$ is*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{id} \otimes \pi^{0,2})R_{F \otimes E}(v \otimes r_t \otimes h_i \otimes v_j) \\ &= \sum_{abs} L_{ca}L_{bs}(v) \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes \delta_{ti}v_a v_s \otimes d\bar{v}_g \wedge d\bar{v}_b - \sum_a L_{ca}(v) \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes v_a v_i \otimes d\bar{v}_g \wedge d\bar{v}_t \\ &+ \sum_{ab} L_{cg}L_{ba}(v) \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes v_a v_i \otimes d\bar{v}_b \wedge d\bar{v}_t, \end{aligned}$$

and in particular, if $L_{cg}L_{es} = \delta_{ge}L_{cs}$ then $(\text{id} \otimes \pi^{0,2})R_{F \otimes E} = 0$.

Proof. From Proposition 6, $R_{F \otimes E}$ splits into three bits, and the $\text{id} \otimes R_E$ term does not have a $d\bar{v}_i \wedge d\bar{v}_j$ part as computed in (22). By Proposition 8 and Equation (24), the last term in the formula for $R_{F \otimes E}$ in Proposition 6 does not have a $\Omega^{0,2}$ part either, so we are left with

$$(\text{id} \otimes \pi^{0,2})R_{F \otimes E} = (\text{id} \otimes \pi^{0,2})((\text{id} \otimes \sigma_E)(R_F \otimes \text{id})).$$

Using (12) twice, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{id} \otimes \pi^{0,2})\sigma(dE_{ab} \wedge dE_{st} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) \\ &= \sum_{pqge} (\delta_{ii}\delta_{sr}\delta_{bp}\delta_{ae}h_g - \delta_{ii}\delta_{sr}\delta_{bg}\delta_{ep}h_a - \delta_{tp}\delta_{ri}\delta_{bs}\delta_{ae}h_g + \delta_{tp}\delta_{ri}\delta_{bg}\delta_{es}h_a) \otimes \bar{v}_f \otimes \pi^{0,2}(\Gamma^{gf}_{eq} \wedge \Gamma^{pq}_{rj}) \\ &= \sum_{pgefr} (\delta_{ii}\delta_{sr}\delta_{bp}\delta_{ae}h_g - \delta_{ii}\delta_{sr}\delta_{bg}\delta_{ep}h_a - \delta_{tp}\delta_{ri}\delta_{bs}\delta_{ae}h_g + \delta_{tp}\delta_{ri}\delta_{bg}\delta_{es}h_a) \\ &\otimes \bar{v}_f \otimes v_f v_e d\bar{v}_g \wedge (-\delta_{pr} d\bar{v}_j + \bar{v}_j v_r d\bar{v}_p) \\ &= \sum_g h_g \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes (\delta_{ii}v_a v_s d\bar{v}_g \wedge d\bar{v}_b - \delta_{bs}v_a v_i d\bar{v}_g \wedge d\bar{v}_t + \delta_{ag}v_s v_i d\bar{v}_b \wedge d\bar{v}_t) \\ &= \sum_{gef} h_g \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes (\delta_{ii}\delta_{eg}\delta_{bf}v_a v_s - \delta_{bs}\delta_{eg}\delta_{tf}v_a v_i + \delta_{ag}\delta_{be}\delta_{tf}v_s v_i) d\bar{v}_e \wedge d\bar{v}_f \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

taking only the $d\bar{v} \wedge d\bar{v}$ component.

We are left with, using (37)

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes \pi^{0,2})R_{F \otimes E} &= (\text{id} \otimes \pi^{0,2})((\text{id} \otimes \sigma_E)(R_F \otimes \text{id})) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \pi^{0,2})(\text{id} \otimes \sigma_E)(R_F(v \otimes r_t) \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \pi^{0,2})(L_{ca}L_{bs}(v) \otimes r_c \otimes \sigma_E(dE_{ab} \wedge dE_{st} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j)) \\ &= \sum_{gefabc} (L_{ca}L_{bs}(v) \otimes r_c \otimes h_g \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes \\ &(\delta_{ii}\delta_{eg}\delta_{bf}v_a v_s - \delta_{bs}\delta_{eg}\delta_{tf}v_a v_i + \delta_{ag}\delta_{be}\delta_{tf}v_s v_i) d\bar{v}_e \wedge d\bar{v}_f, \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

and for this to vanish, we need for all t, i, j, g, c ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{efabs} (L_{ca}L_{bs}(v) \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes (\delta_{ii}\delta_{eg}\delta_{bf}v_a v_s - \delta_{bs}\delta_{eg}\delta_{tf}v_a v_i + \delta_{ag}\delta_{be}\delta_{tf}v_s v_i) d\bar{v}_e \wedge d\bar{v}_f = 0 \\ &= \sum_{abs} L_{ca}L_{bs}(v) \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes \delta_{ti}v_a v_s \otimes d\bar{v}_g \wedge d\bar{v}_b - \sum_a L_{ca}(v) \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes v_a v_i \otimes d\bar{v}_g \wedge d\bar{v}_t \\ &+ \sum_{ab} L_{cg}L_{ba}(v) \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes v_a v_i \otimes d\bar{v}_b \wedge d\bar{v}_t. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

If $L_{cg}L_{es} = \delta_{ge}L_{cs}$; then, the result of (38) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{efabs} L_{cs}(v) \otimes \delta_{ab} (\delta_{ti}\delta_{eg}\delta_{bf}v_a v_s - \delta_{bs}\delta_{eg}\delta_{tf}v_a v_i + \delta_{ag}\delta_{be}\delta_{tf}v_s v_i) d\bar{v}_e \wedge d\bar{v}_f \\ &= \sum_{efas} L_{cs}(v) \otimes (\delta_{ti}\delta_{eg}\delta_{af}v_a v_s - \delta_{as}\delta_{eg}\delta_{tf}v_a v_i + \delta_{ag}\delta_{ae}\delta_{tf}v_s v_i) d\bar{v}_e \wedge d\bar{v}_f \\ &= \sum_{fas} L_{cs}(v) \otimes v_s (\delta_{ti}\delta_{af}v_a - \delta_{as}\delta_{tf}v_i + \delta_{ag}\delta_{tf}v_i) d\bar{v}_g \wedge d\bar{v}_f \\ &= \delta_{ti} \sum_{fs} L_{cs}(v) \otimes v_s v_f d\bar{v}_g \wedge d\bar{v}_f = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that the conditions $\sum_i L_{ii}(v) = v$, and that in Proposition 11 they correspond to L_{ij} being the left action of the matrix unit E_{ij} in a representation of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Set $F = V \otimes \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C})$ as in Proposition 10, then

$$F \otimes_{M_n(\mathbb{C})} E = V \otimes \text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{M_n(\mathbb{C})} \text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}).$$

For $w \in V$, using (9), Proposition (10) and (12)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{F \otimes E}(w \otimes r_a \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) &= (\text{id} \otimes \sigma_E)(\nabla_F(w \otimes r_a) \otimes (h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) + w \otimes r_a \otimes \nabla_E(h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j)) \\ &= L_{ps}(w) \otimes r_p \otimes \sigma_E(dE_{sa} \otimes h_i \otimes \bar{v}_j) + w \otimes r_a \otimes h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{ij} \\ &= L_{ps}(w) \otimes r_p \otimes (\delta_{ai}h_t\delta_{sr} - \delta_{at}h_s\delta_{ri}) \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{ij} \\ &\quad + w \otimes r_a \otimes h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{ij} \\ &= \delta_{ai}L_{pr}(w) \otimes r_p \otimes h_t\bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{tq}_{rj} + w \otimes r_a \otimes h_p \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{ij} \\ &\quad - L_{ps}(w) \otimes r_p \otimes h_s \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{aq}_{ij} \\ &= (\delta_{ai}L_{pr}(w) \otimes r_p \otimes h_t + w \otimes r_a \otimes h_t\delta_{ri} \\ &\quad - \delta_{ri}\delta_{ta}L_{ps}(w) \otimes r_p \otimes h_s) \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{tq}_{rj}. \end{aligned}$$

Note $\text{Row}^n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{M_n} \text{Col}^n(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ by $r_a \otimes h_i \mapsto \delta_{ai} \in \mathbb{C}$. Look at the last two terms of the last line of (40) using this isomorphism

$$(w \delta_{at}\delta_{ri} - \delta_{ri}\delta_{ta}L_{ps}(w)\delta_{ps}) \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{tq}_{rj} = (w \delta_{at} - \delta_{ta}\delta_{ps}L_{ps}(w)) \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{tq}_{ij} = 0$$

by Proposition (10). Thus, we can use the isomorphism to give a connection on $F \otimes_{M_n} E \cong V \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{CP}^{n-1})$ given by

$$\nabla(w \otimes \bar{v}_j) = L_{pr}(w) \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes \Gamma^{pq}_{rj}.$$

Corollary 4. For the special case of the connection in (23), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(w \otimes \bar{v}_j) &= L_{pr}(w) \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes v_q (\delta_{pr} d\bar{v}_j - \bar{v}_j v_r d\bar{v}_p + \bar{v}_j \bar{v}_p dv_r) \\ &= w \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes v_q d\bar{v}_j + L_{pr}(w) \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes v_q \bar{v}_j (\bar{v}_p d\bar{v}_r - v_r d\bar{v}_p) \\ &= w \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes v_q d\bar{v}_j + L_{pr}(w) \otimes \bar{v}_j (\bar{v}_p d\bar{v}_r - v_r d\bar{v}_p), \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

and this splits into a ∂ and a $\bar{\partial}$ connection

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_V(w \otimes \bar{v}_j) &= L_{pr}(w) \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes \bar{v}_p dv_r \\ \bar{\partial}_V(w \otimes \bar{v}_j) &= w \otimes \bar{v}_q \otimes v_q d\bar{v}_j - L_{pr}(w) \otimes \bar{v}_j \otimes v_r d\bar{v}_p. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Proposition 12. *The composition of the given functor $\otimes E : \mathcal{E}_{M_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{C(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})}$ and the functor in Section 6.2 $M_n \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{M_n}$ gives a functor from $M_n \mathcal{M}$ to holomorphic bundles on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. It is given by V mapping to $V \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})$ with the $\bar{\partial}_V$ connections given in Corollary 4.*

Proof. The category of holomorphic bundles is given morphisms being module maps commuting with $\bar{\partial}$ operators as in Section 2.4. Most of this has been proved in the discussion previously. We explicitly check that we have a functor, i.e., that a M_n module map $\theta : V \rightarrow Y$ gives a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_V} & V \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) \otimes_{C(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})} \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) \\
 \theta \otimes \text{id} \downarrow & & \theta \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \downarrow \\
 Y \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_Y} & Y \otimes C_{-1}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) \otimes_{C(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})} \Omega^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})
 \end{array}$$

which happens because the L_{pr} maps commute with θ in the formula (41). \square

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.B.; methodology, G.A. and E.B.; validation, G.A.; formal analysis, G.A. and E.B.; writing—original draft preparation, G.A.; writing—review and editing, E.B.; and visualization, G.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: We would like to thank the Faculty of Science and Engineering in Swansea University for paying the open access fees.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Choi, M.-D. Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices. *Linear Algebra Its Appl.* **1975**, *10*, 285–290. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Lance, E.C. *Hilbert C*-Modules, A Toolkit for Operator Algebras*; LMS Lecture Note Series; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1995; Volume 210.
3. Beggs, E.J.; Majid, S. *Quantum Riemannian Geometry, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2020; Volume 355.
4. Milnor, J. Remarks on infinite-dimensional Lie groups, in *Relativity, Groups and Topology*. In *No. 2: Summer School Proceedings*; DeWitt, B.S., Stora, R., Eds.; European Union: Brussels, Belgium, 1984.
5. Chamseddine, A.H.; Connes, A.; Marcolli, M. Gravity and the standard model with neutrino mixing. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2007**, *11*, 991–1089. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Dubois-Violette, M.; Michor, P.W. Connections on central bimodules in noncommutative differential geometry. *J. Geom. Phys.* **1996**, *20*, 218–232. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Dubois-Violette, M.; Masson, T. On the first-order operators in bimodules. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **1996**, *37*, 467–474. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Mourad, J. Linear connections in noncommutative geometry. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **1995**, *12*, 965–974. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Fiore, G.; Madore, J. Leibniz rules and reality conditions. *Eur. Phys. J. C-Part. Fields* **2000**, *17*, 359–366. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Madore, J. *An Introduction to Noncommutative Differential Geometry and Its Physical Applications*; LMS Lecture Note Series; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1999; Volume 257.
11. Bresser, K.; Müller-Hoissen, F.; Dimakis, A.; Sitarz, A. Noncommutative geometry of finite groups. *J. Phys. A* **1996**, *29*, 2705–2735. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Khalkhali, M.; Landi, G.; van Suijlekom, W.D. Holomorphic structures on the quantum projective line. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2011**, *2011*, 851–884. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Beggs, E.J.; Smith, S.P. Noncommutative complex differential geometry. *J. Geom. Phys.* **2013**, *72*, 7–33. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.