1 Landscape Change Affects Soil Organic Carbon Mineralization

2 and Greenhouse Gas Production in Coastal Wetlands

- 3 Ping Yang^{1,2,3*}, Linhai Zhang^{1,2,3}, Derrick Y. F. Lai⁴, Hong Yang^{5,6}, Lishan Tan⁷,
- 4 Liangjuan Luo^{1,2}, Chuan Tong^{1,2,3*}, Yan Hong¹, Wanyi Zhu^{1,2}, Kam W. Tang^{8*}
- ⁵ ¹School of Geographical Sciences, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, P.R. China,
- 6 ²Key Laboratory of Humid Subtropical Eco-geographical Process of Ministry of
- 7 Education, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, P.R. China
- ⁸ ³Research Centre of Wetlands in Subtropical Region, Fujian Normal University,
- 9 Fuzhou, P.R. China
- ⁴Department of Geography and Resource Management, The Chinese University of
- 11 Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong SAR, China
- ⁵College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fujian Normal
 University, Fuzhou, China
- ¹⁴ ⁶Department of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Reading,
- 15 Reading, U.K.
- ¹⁶ ⁷State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research, East China Normal
- 17 University, Shanghai, China
- ¹⁸ ⁸Department of Biosciences, Swansea University, Swansea, U.K.
- 19 20

21 *Correspondence to:

- 22 Ping Yang (yangping528@sina.cn); Chuan Tong (tongch@fjnu.edu.cn); Kam W.
- 23 Tang (k.w.tang@swansea.ac.uk)

24 Abstract

Plant invasion and aquaculture activities have drastically modified the landscape of 25 coastal wetlands in many countries, but their impacts on soil organic carbon (SOC) 26 mineralization and greenhouse gas production remain poorly understood. We measured 27 SOC mineralization rate and soil CO₂ and CH₄ production rates in three habitat types 28 from 21 coastal sites across the tropical and subtropical zones in China: native mudflats 29 30 (MFs), Spartina alterniflora marshes (SAs) and aquaculture ponds (APs). Landscape change from MFs to SAs or APs increased total and labile fraction of SOC, as well as 31 carbon mineralization rate and greenhouse gas production, but there were no 32 33 discernible differences in SOC source-sink dynamics between SAs and APs. SOC mineralization rate was highest in SAs (20.4 μ g g⁻¹ d⁻¹), followed by APs (16.9 μ g g⁻¹ 34 d^{-1}) and MFs (11.9 µg $g^{-1} d^{-1}$), with CO₂ as the dominant by-product. Bioavailable SOC 35 36 was less than 2% and was turned over within 60 days in all three habitat types. Proliferation of S. alterniflora marshes and expansion of aquaculture pond construction 37 had resulted in a net increase in soil CO₂-eq production of 0.4–4.3 Tg yr⁻¹ in the last 38 39 three decades. Future studies will benefit from better census and monitoring of coastal habitats in China, complementary in situ measurements of greenhouse gas emissions, 40 and more sampling in the southern provinces to improve spatial resolution. 41

42 Plain Language Summary Wetlands are one of the largest reservoirs of soil 43 carbon and play importance role in the global terrestrial biogenic carbon cycle. Coastal 44 wetlands are major sinks for carbon due to high sedimentation rate and burial of 45 organic matter. However, landscape modifications due to invasive vegetation and aquaculture activities have profoundly impacted the carbon source-sink dynamics in 46 coastal wetlands. We compared the soil organic carbon turnover and greenhouse gas 47 48 (CO₂ and CH₄) production between native mudflat, Spartina marshes and aquaculture ponds in five coastal provinces across the tropical-subtropical gradient in China. 49 Landscape modification of native mudflats increased soil carbon mineralization rate 50 51 and greenhouse gas production, predominantly as CO₂, and the effect was consistent across the large geographical and climate gradients. Our results provide a better insight 52 into the carbon dynamics in impacted wetlands across a large geographical range. 53

54 List of abbreviations:

55	APs: Aquaculture ponds	BD: Soil Bulk Density
56	CO ₂ : Carbon Dioxide	CH4: Methane
57	Co: Bioavailable SOC	DOC: Dissolved Organic Carbon
58	DON: Dissolved Organic Nitrogen	EF: Environmental Factors
59	MBC: Microbial Biomass Carbon	MFs: Mud flat
60	MBN: Microbial Biomass Nitrogen	SAs: Spartina alterniflora marshes
61	Sal: Soil Salinity	SOCM: SOC Mineralization Rate
62	SOC: Soil Organic Carbon	Soil C:N: Total Carbon: Total Nitrogen
63	ΣSOCM: Cumulative anaerobic SOC Miner	alization
64	s(RR++): Standard error of RR++	SPS: Soil Particle Size
65	SWC: Soil Water Content	RR: Response Ratio
66	RR ++: Weighted Response Ratio	TC: Total Carbon
67	TN: Total Nitrogen	

68 **1. Introduction**

Wetlands are considered to be among the most productive but vulnerable ecosystems 69 (Kirwan & Megonigal, 2013; Su et al., 2021; Wen et al., 2019). Despite covering just 70 71 4-6 % of the total land area, wetlands hold approximately 450 Pg of the global soil carbon, 72 representing 25–30 % of the terrestrial biosphere carbon pool (Kayranli et al., 2010). Coastal wetlands are a crucial sink in the global carbon cycle due to high sedimentation 73 rate and burial of organic matter (Drake et al., 2015; Packalen et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 74 2021a), and it is estimated that coastal wetlands globally store at least 53.7 Tg C yr⁻¹ 75 (Wang et al., 2021). However, wetland habitats have been impacted around the world, and 76 despite international initiative to protect these habitats (e.g. Ramsar Convention on 77 Wetlands), wetland degradation and loss rate remains high in Asia (Davidson, 2014), 78 79 potentially altering the land's carbon source-sink dynamics over different time and spatial scales (Mitsch et al., 2013). 80

Plant invasion and land-use change are two major threats to the world's coastal wetlands 81 82 (Sun et al., 2015; Walker and Smith 1997; Zhu et al., 2020). Invasive plant species may alter the soil microbial community compositions and dynamics, above- and below-ground 83 84 carbon pools, primary productivity, and nutrient and carbon mineralization rates (Piper et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2010). Land-use change can modify hydrology, 85 nutrient cycles, soil properties and overall ecosystem structure (Andreetta et al., 2016; 86 Dick & Osunkoya, 2000; Gao et al., 2019). Increasing range shift by exotic species and 87 coastal development will intensify these threats, potentially changing the dynamics of soil 88

organic carbon (SOC) and subsequent production of greenhouse gases such as carbon
dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) (Gao et al., 2018a; Yang et al., 2017), and their
feedback effect on climate is a major concern.

92 China accounts for about 4.2% of the global wetland area, and despite the conservation 93 effort, wetlands still disappear at a rate close to 1% per year (Meng et al., 2017). Coastal wetlands in mainland China cover an estimated area of 5.79 M ha across its southern and 94 95 eastern seaboards, and invasion by Spartina alterniflora and land-use change for aquaculture have profoundly changed this coastal landscape (Duan et al., 2020; Ren et al., 96 2019; Sun et al., 2015). For example, S. alterniflora was first introduced into China in 97 98 1982 and by 2015, S. alterniflora marshes had covered 54,600 ha (Mao et al., 2019). 99 Similarly, it has been estimated that coastal aquaculture ponds in China grew from 6,000 km² to ~10,000 km² in the past three decades (Duan et al., 2021). To-date, most of the 100 101 research has been focused on changes to wetland ecosystem at the local scale, in terms of SOC (Gao et al., 2016), soil composition (Wang et al., 2019) and stability (Yang et al., 102 2016; Zhang et al., 2021b) and related carbon emissions (Gao et al., 2018b; Tan et al., 103 104 2020; Yang et al., 2017), but they do not allow for a fuller comparison of the SOC and 105 greenhouse gas dynamics in impacted coastal wetlands across the wider geographical and environmental gradients. There has been only one study that compared SOC and plant 106 107 biomass compositions (C, N and P) from eight coastal locations invaded by S. alterniflora, but it did not examine greenhouse gas production (Wang et al., 2019). Also, many of the 108 coastal wetland areas in China have been converted into aquaculture ponds, which were 109

110 not included in the earlier study (Wang et al., 2019).

111 In order to generate a more comprehensive understanding of the biogeochemical 112 consequences of habitat modification in coastal wetlands, we systematically studied 21 113 coastal wetland areas spanning 20°42' N to 31°51' N in mainland China. We hypothesized 114 that soil organic carbon content and carbon mineralization rate would increase when 115 mudflats were converted into marshes due to organic input from marsh vegetation, which could also lead to higher greenhouse gas production. We expected the soil properties to 116 change in the opposite direction when marsh vegetation was removed to create 117 aquaculture ponds. We further hypothesized that landscape modification dominated over 118 119 other local environmental factors in affecting soil properties and greenhouse gas production across the broad latitudinal range. 120

121 To test these hypotheses, we sampled three habitat types at each location: native mudflats, mudflats that were converted into marshes by invasive S. alterniflora, and aquaculture 122 ponds that were created from S. alterniflora marshes. We compared the soil 123 124 physicochemical properties, SOC, carbon mineralization rate, and soil CO₂ and CH₄ 125 production rates. The results will improve our understanding of the changes to soil characteristics in coastal wetlands as results of S. alterniflora invasion and aquaculture 126 activities, the consequent carbon turnover and greenhouse gas production, and the related 127 environmental drivers. 128

129 **2. Methods**

130 **2.1. Study Area**

Field sampling campaigns were conducted in five Chinese provinces including Shanghai 131 (SH), Zhejiang (ZJ), Fujian (FJ), Guangdong (GD), and Guangxi (GX) (Figure 1). The 132 133 large latitudinal and longitudinal ranges (20°42' N to 31°51' N; 109°11' E to 122°11' E) covered a tropical-to-subtropical climate gradient. The annual average temperature range 134 was 11.0–23.0 °C and precipitation range was 1000–2200 mm across the five provinces. 135 Their coastal wetlands combined cover about 2.58×10^6 ha, or 44.5 % of the total coastal 136 wetlands in China (Sun et al., 2015), and they all have been impacted by S. alterniflora 137 invasion or construction of aquaculture ponds. There was approximately 334 km² of S. 138 alterniflora marshes (Liu et al., 2018) and 5309 km² of aquaculture ponds (Duan et al., 139 2020) along the coastal zone of the five provinces, representing 61.2% and 36.9% of the 140 141 total areas of S. alterniflora marshes and aquaculture ponds, respectively, in China.

142 **2.2. Soil Sampling**

Field sampling was conducted in December 2019 and January 2020 at 21 sites across the 143 five provinces, with two sites in SH, six in ZJ, nine in FJ, three in GD and one in GX 144 145 (Figure 1). At each site, triplicate surface soil samples (top 20 cm) were collected from the 146 three habitats (mud flat, S. alterniflora marshes and aquaculture ponds) using a steel corer (1.5 m length; 5 cm internal diameter) and transferred into ziplock bags; a total of 189 soil 147 148 samples were collected (21 sampling sites \times 3 habitats \times 3 plots). All soil samples were transported in a chilled cooler to the laboratory, where they were stored at 4 °C until 149 150 processing.

151 **2.3. Analyses of Soil Physicochemical Properties.**

In the laboratory, a subsample of the soil was freeze-dried, homogenized and then ground 152 to a fine powder for measuring pH, salinity, soil particle size, inorganic nitrogen, Cl-, 153 SO₄²⁻, total carbon (TC), total nitrogen (TN) and soil organic carbon (SOC). Soil pH was 154 measured by an Orion 868 pH meter (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cambridge, 155 Massachusetts, USA; a 1:2.5 soil/distilled water mixture) with a measurement precision of 156 157 $\pm 1.0\%$. Soil salinity was measured by a Eutech Instruments-Salt6 salinity meter (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Francisco, California, USA; a 1:5 soil/distilled water mixture) with 158 a measurement precision of $\pm 1.0\%$. A subsample was treated with the deflocculant 159 160 hexametaphosphate and then analyzed for particle size based on laser diffraction (Mastersizer 2000, Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). Soil particle size (SPS) was 161 calculated on a volume basis using the Malvern proprietary software. Soil inorganic 162 nitrogen species (NH₄⁺-N and NO₃⁻-N) were extracted by 2 M KCl (Gao et al., 2019; Yin 163 164 et al., 2017) and quantified by a flow injection analyzer (Skalar Analytical SAN⁺⁺, Netherlands) (Yang et al., 2021). The detection limit and relative standard deviation (RSD) 165 for inorganic nitrogen were 0.6 μ g L⁻¹ and $\leq 3.0\%$ in 24 hr, respectively. Soil Cl⁻ and SO₄²⁻ 166 contents were determined according to Chen & Sun (2020). Soil TC and TN were 167 determined using a combustion analyzer (Elementar Vario MAX CN, ELEMENTAR, 168 Hanau, Frankfurt, Germany) with a measurement precision of $\pm 2.0\%$. Soil water content 169 (SWC) and bulk density (BD) were determined after drying fresh soil at 105 °C for 48 h 170 (Percival & Lindsay, 1997; Yin et al., 2019). 171

172	SOC was measured according to Liu et al. (2017). Briefly, 3 g of air-dried sample was
173	screened, weighed and extracted in 1 M hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution for 24 h, then
174	oven-dried at 60 °C. Afterward, SOC was determined by a combustion analyzer
175	(Elementar Vario MAX CN, ELEMENTAR, Hanau, Frankfurt, Germany) based on
176	standard procedures. The microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and nitrogen (MBN) contents
177	were measured using the chloroform fumigation extraction method (Templer et al., 2003;
178	Vance et al., 1987). Briefly, two portions of 10 g soil sample were fumigated with
179	ethanol-free CHCl ₃ for 24 h; two additional 10 g samples were not fumigated (Wang et al.,
180	2011). All samples were then extracted in 0.5 M K_2SO_4 solution. Afterwards, the soil
181	extracts were analyzed for total dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and total dissolved
182	organic nitrogen (DON) using a TOC analyzer (Schimadzu TOC-V _{CPH/CPN} , Kyoto, Japan)
183	with a measurement precision of $\pm 2.0\%$ and a flow injection analyzer (Skalar Analytical
184	SAN ⁺⁺ , Netherlands) with a measurement precision of $\pm 3.0\%$, respectively. Soil MBC and
185	MBN contents were calculated from the differences in extractable DOC and DON
186	between fumigated and unfumigated samples, using a $K_{\rm EC}$ (correction factor) of 0.38 for
187	MBC and 0.54 for MBN (Li et al., 2010; Vance et al., 1987).

188 **2.4. Soil Organic Carbon Mineralization Incubation Experiment**

The rates of anaerobic mineralization of SOC into CO_2 and CH_4 were determined according to Kane et al. (2013) and Luo et al. (2019b). Briefly, approximately 30 g of fresh soil sample was put into a 200 mL glass incubation bottle (in triplicate); deoxygenated *in situ* water was then added in 1:1 v/v to make a slurry with 160 mL

headspace. All incubation bottles were flushed with pure N₂ gas for 5-8 min to create an 193 anoxic condition (Vizza et al., 2017; Wassmann et al., 1998), then sealed with a silicone 194 rubber and incubated for 60 days at in situ temperature. On Days 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, 30, 45 195 and 60, each bottle was shaken on a rotary shaker for 0.5 h at 200 rpm min⁻¹ to drive CO₂ 196 and CH₄ into the headspace (Luo et al., 2019a); 5 mL of the headspace gas sample was 197 then withdrawn with a syringe and 5 mL of pure N₂ gas was added back to maintain the 198 199 pressure (Yang et al., 2019). The extracted gas samples were analyzed for CH₄ and CO₂ 200 on a gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) (GC-2010, Shimadzu, Japan). Three CH₄ (or CO₂) gas standards, namely 1.96 (490.5), 8.25 (1003.4), 201 202 and 100.3 (3090.3) ppm, were used in the calibration. The detection limits for CH₄ and CO₂ were 0.3 ppm and 1.0 ppm, respectively, and the measurement reproducibility was 203 $\leq 2.0\%$ and $\leq 3.0\%$, respectively. The measured CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations were 204 corrected for pH, headspace volume, pressure and temperature (Ye et al., 2012), and was 205 206 corrected for the dilution effect from the added N₂ gas (Tong et al., 2010). SOC mineralization rate [SOCM; µg C g⁻¹ (dry weight) day⁻¹] was estimated from the 207 208 combined CO₂ and CH₄ produced per gram of dry soil over time. Soil dry weight was 209 calculated from the sample wet weight and its water content (see section 2.3). The cumulative mineralization of SOC over the 60 days of incubation (Σ SOCM) was fitted to 210 a first-order kinetic equation to derive the mineralization rate constant $(k; d^{-1})$ (Cooper et 211 al., 2011; Hyvonen et al., 2005): 212

213
$$\Sigma \text{SOCM} = C_0 \times [1 - exp(-kt)] \qquad \text{Eq.(1)}$$

214 where Σ SOCM is the cumulative amount of CO₂–C and CH₄–C mineralized from SOC

215 (μ g C g⁻¹), C_0 is the initial bioavailable SOC (μ g C g⁻¹), and *t* is the incubation time (d).

216 **2.5.** Calculation of Δ EF, Δ **\Sigma**SOCM and Δ SOC Mineralization Parameters

To explore the synchronous responses of various environmental and soil parameters to habitat modification as results of plant invasion and aquaculture pond creation, we examined the rates of change of environmental factors (Δ EF), cumulative SOC mineralization (Δ SOCM), and SOC mineralization parameters [ΔC_0 , Δk , and $\Delta (C_0/SOC)$], which were calculated as follows:

$$\Delta EF = \frac{(EF_{A} - EF_{B})}{EF_{B}} \qquad Eq.(2)$$

$$\Delta \Sigma \text{SOCM} = \frac{(\Sigma \text{SOCM}_{\text{A}} - \Sigma \text{SOCM}_{\text{B}})}{\Sigma \text{SOCM}_{\text{B}}} \qquad \text{Eq.(3)}$$

224
$$\Delta C_0 = \frac{C_{0A} - C_{0B}}{C_{0B}}$$
 Eq.(4)

$$\Delta k = \frac{\left(k_{\rm A} - k_{\rm B}\right)}{k_{\rm B}}$$
 Eq.(5)

$$\Delta(C_0 / SOC) = \frac{\left[\left(C_0 / SOC \right)_{\text{A}} - \left(C_0 / SOC \right)_{\text{B}} \right]}{\left(C_0 / SOC \right)_{\text{B}}}$$
Eq.(6)

227 where the subscripts B and A denote before and after habitat modification, respectively. 228 The relationships between $\Delta\Sigma$ SOCM (or Δ SOC mineralization parameters) and Δ EF were 229 further examined to reveal the key EF affecting SOC mineralization in the different 230 habitats.

231 2.6. Calculation of Response Ratio and Weighted Response Ratio

The response ratio (RR) was calculated to assess the responses of SOCM, Σ SOCM, C_0 and *k* to habitat modification, following Hedges et al. (1999), Luo et al. (2006) and Tan et al. (2019). A total of 21 sites with data for treatment groups (habitats after modification) and control groups (habitats before modification) were used to calculate the natural logarithm of RR (lnRR):

237
$$\ln RR = \ln \left(\frac{\overline{X}_{T}}{\overline{X}_{C}}\right) = \ln \left(\overline{X}_{T}\right) - \ln \left(\overline{X}_{C}\right) \qquad \text{Eq.(7)}$$

where the subscripts T and C denote treatment and control groups, respectively; X denotes the mean value of the parameter (SOCM, Σ SOCM, C_0 or k). The variance (v) was calculated as:

$$v = \frac{S_{\rm T}^2}{n_{\rm T} \overline{{\rm X}_{\rm T}^2}} + \frac{S_{\rm C}^2}{n_{\rm C} \overline{{\rm X}_{\rm C}^2}}$$
Eq.(8)

242 where n denotes the sample size and S the standard deviation.

We also calculated a weighted response ratio (RR++) from individual RR_{ij} (i = 1, 2, 3,...,m; $j = 1, 2, 3,..., y_i$) pairwise comparison between treatment and control groups:

$$RR_{++} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{y_i} w_{ij} RR_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{y_i} w_{ij}} Eq.(9)$$

245

241

where *m* is the number of groups (different habitat types), y_i is the number of comparisons in the *i*th group, and w_{ij} is the weighting factor. w_{ij} and the standard error (s(RR++)) were calculated as follows:

$$w_{ij} = \frac{1}{v}$$
 Eq.(10)

$$s(\text{RR}_{++}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{y_i} w_{ij}}}$$
Eq.(11)

251 The 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for the log response ratio was estimated as:

252

95% CI =
$$RR_{++} \pm 1.96 \ s(RR_{++})$$
 Eq.(12)

If the 95% CI did not overlap with zero, the response of the concerned variable to habitatmodification was considered significant.

255 2.7. Statistical Analysis.

256 All data were tested for normality and homogeneity of variance. Significant differences in environmental factors, SOCM, Σ SOCM and SOC mineralization parameters [ΔC_0 , Δk , 257 258 and $\Delta(C_0/SOC)$] among habitat types were tested by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by pairwise comparisons. Pearson correlation analysis was used to examine the 259 260 relationships between **SSOCM** (or SOC mineralization parameters) and environmental variables. Redundancy analysis (RDA) was performed to determine which ΔEF best 261 explained the variability in \triangle SOCCM [or \triangle C₀, \triangle k and \triangle (C₀/SOC)]; input parameters for 262 the analysis include ΔpH , $\Delta salinity$, ΔSWC , ΔBD , ΔNH_4^+ –N, ΔNO_3^- –N, ΔCl^- , ΔSO_4^{2-} , 263 264 Δ C:N, Δ SOC, Δ MBC, Δ MBN and Δ SPS. ANOVA and Pearson correlation analysis were done in SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., USA); RDA was done in CANOCO 5.0 for Windows 265 (Microcomputer Power, Ithaca, USA). All results were considered significant at p < 0.05266 267 and were summarized as mean ± 1 standard error, unless otherwise stated. Sampling site map, statistical plots and conceptual diagrams were produced using ArcGIS 10.2 (ESRI 268 Inc., Redlands, CA, USA), OriginPro 9.0 (OriginLab Corp. USA) and EDraw Max 269

270 version 7.3 (EdrawSoft, Hong Kong, China), respectively.

3. Results 3.1. Soil Properties Across Habitat Types. The soil physicochemical properties were shown in Figure 2. There were no significant differences in mean soil pH (Figure 2a), salinity (Figure 2b), bulk density (Figure 2d), Cl⁻ (Figure 2e), MBC (Figure 2h), soil C:N (Figure 2i) or soil particle size (Figs 2j-l) among the three habitat types (p > 0.05), but there were significant differences for the other

parameters. Soil SO_4^{2-} (Figure 2f) were higher in aquaculture ponds (APs) than in mud flats (MFs) and *S. alterniflora* marshes (SAs) (p < 0.05 or < 0.01). Soil water content was

higher in SAs and APs than in MFs (p < 0.05; Figure 2c). SOC (Figure 2g) was higher in

280 SAs, followed by APs and MFs (p < 0.01).

281 **3.2. Soil Organic Carbon Mineralization (SOCM) Rate**

Across all sampling sites, the rate of CO₂ production from anaerobic SOC mineralization 282 averaged 11.9 \pm 1.6 $\mu g~g^{\text{-1}}~d^{\text{-1}}$ in MFs, 20.4 \pm 2.1 $\mu g~g^{\text{-1}}~d^{\text{-1}}$ in SAs, and 16.9 \pm 2.3 $\mu g~g^{\text{-1}}$ 283 d⁻¹ in APs (Figure 3a). Overall, CO₂ production rate decreased significantly among the 284 three habitats in the order of SAs > APs > MFs (p < 0.01). The rate of CH₄ production 285 from SOC mineralization averaged 5.0 ± 1.4 ng g⁻¹ d⁻¹ in the MFs, 25.8 ± 2.8 ng g⁻¹ d⁻¹ in 286 SAs, and 14.3 \pm 1.3 ng g⁻¹ d⁻¹ in the APs (Figure 3b). Like CO₂, CH₄ production rate 287 decreased significantly among the three habitats in the order of SAs > APs > MFs 288 (*p*<0.01). 289

The SOCM rates for the different wetland habitat types are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 290 S1. Because CO₂ production rates were 1000-fold higher than CH₄ production rates on a 291 per mass basis, the SOCM rates were mainly driven by mineralization of SOC into CO₂. 292 Across all sampling sites, the mean SOCM rates varied in the range of 3.9–20.5 μ g g⁻¹ d⁻¹ 293 for MFs, 7.2–38.2 μ g g⁻¹ d⁻¹ for SAs, and 6.6–30.0 μ g g⁻¹ d⁻¹ for APs (Figure 4a). The 294 measured rates peaked on the 3rd day in all habitat types, then steadily decreased toward 295 296 the end of the incubation (Figure 4a). The SOCM rate was significantly higher in SAs $(20.4 \pm 2.1 \ \mu g \ g^{-1} \ d^{-1})$, followed by APs $(16.9 \pm 2.4 \ \mu g \ g^{-1} \ d^{-1})$ and MFs $(11.9 \pm 1.7 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$ 297 d^{-1}) (p<0.05 or <0.01) (Figure 4b). 298

299 **3.3.** Cumulative Soil Organic Carbon Mineralization (ΣSOCM)

 Σ SOCM during the 60-d incubation period for the different wetland habitat types is 300 shown in Figure 5 and Figure S2. Σ SOCM across all sampling sites was 35.5–186.9 μ g g⁻¹ 301 in MFs, 59.2-284.0 μg g⁻¹ in SAs, and 49.8-271.4 μg g⁻¹ in APs (Figure S2). ΣSOCM 302 increased initially but then approached a plateau toward the end of the incubation, and the 303 values increasingly diverged from one another among the three habitat types (Figure 5a) 304 305 and there were significant differences among the three habitats (p < 0.05 or < 0.01) (Figure 5b). The mean Σ SOCM was highest in SAs (111.5 ± 12.6 µg g⁻¹), followed by APs (90.0 ± 306 12.8 μ g g⁻¹) and MFs (65.2 ± 9.0 μ g g⁻¹). At the end of the 60-day incubation, the mean 307 Σ SOCM_{final} was 95.0, 163.0 and 135.0 µg g⁻¹ for MFs, SAs and APs, respectively (Table 308 1). 309

310 **3.4. First-Order Kinetic Model for Carbon Mineralization.**

To better compare the mineralization processes across the wetland habitat types, the data 311 were fitted to a first-order kinetic model. The values of the fitting parameters C_0 (initial 312 bioavailable SOC) and k (mineralization rate constant) are listed in Table 1 and Table S1. 313 Across all the sampling sites, C_0 varied in the range of 48.1–252.3 µg g⁻¹ for MFs, 314 80.2–373.2 µg g⁻¹ for SAs, and 67.8–365.7 µg g⁻¹ for APs (Table S1). The mean C_0 was 315 highest in SAs (152.3 μ g g⁻¹), followed by APs (125.6 μ g g⁻¹) and MFs (88.8 μ g g⁻¹) 316 317 (Table 1). MFs had a higher mean k but lower C_0 /SOC than the other two habitats (Table 318 1). The Σ SOCM_{final}/C₀ values varied by less than 0.5% among the three habitats (Table 1). The goodness of fit values (Adj. R^2) of the equations were all better than 0.94 (Table 1).

320 3.5. Response of Carbon Mineralization Parameters to Habitat Modification.

319

The Weighted response ratios (RR₊₊) of SOCM, Σ SOCM, C_0 and k are shown in Figure 6. 321

322 Conversion of MFs to SAs significantly (p < 0.05) increased SOCM by 43.4% (range

21.9-61.1 %; Figure 6a), SOCM by 40.4% (range 21.9-48.4 %; Figure 6b) and C₀ by 323

47.9% (Figure 6c). However, conversion of SAs to APs significantly (p < 0.05) decreased 324

SOCM by 22.2% (range 8.9–30.4 %; Figure 6a), ΣSOCM by 21.8% (range 16.0–31.5 %; 325

326 Figure 6b), and C_0 by 24.5% (Figure 6c). Moreover, MF-to-SA and SA-to-AP conversions

significantly increased k by 3.2% and 2.9%, respectively (p < 0.05) (Figure 6d). 327

3.6. Change in Soil Organic Carbon Mineralization and its Environmental Drivers. 328

- Based on redundancy analysis (RDA), changes in EF (Δ EF) presented in the ordination 329
- explained 69.0% of the variability in $\Delta\Sigma$ SOCM, ΔC_0 , Δk , and $\Delta (C_0/SOC)$ in the case of 330
- MF-to-SA conversion (Figure 7a), and 64.1% in the case of SA-to-AP conversion (Figure 331

332	7b). Overall, \triangle SOC was the most important driver of \triangle SOCM in both scenarios of
333	habitat modification, explaining 45.5% of the variability when MFs were converted to
334	SAs (Figure 7a), and 37.2% when SAs were converted to APs (Figure 7b). Interestingly,
335	ΔNH_4^+ -N was only a minor factor (4.8%) in MFs-to-SAs conversion, but it became the
336	second main driver (16.2%) in SAs-to-APs conversion; ΔSO_4^{2-} played a slightly larger
337	role in the latter scenario (6.4% vs. 8.5%). The correlation coefficients between changes
338	in Σ SOCM, C_0 , k and C_0/SOC and the different environmental variables for the different
339	cases of habitat modification are shown in Table 2.

340 **4. Discussion**

341 **4.1. Comparison of Soil Properties Among Habitat Types**

Previous studies have shown that the soil physicochemical properties are sensitive to 342 environmental changes and anthropogenic disturbances (e.g., Gao et al., 2019; Mueller et 343 al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019). In the present study, we assessed the response of soil 344 properties to habitat modification in impacted coastal wetlands in China. Among the 345 variables examined, only soil SOC and SO4²⁻ differed significantly among the three 346 habitat types. The soil SO₄²⁻ in APs was about twice the concentration in MFs and SAs 347 (Figure 2f). Similar results were reported earlier that soil SO_4^{2-} in the aquaculture ponds 348 was 3–5 times higher than the natural saltmarsh (Gao et al., 2019). While SO_4^{2-} in the soil 349 could be converted to H₂S by sulfate reducing bacteria under anaerobic condition and be 350 lost from the system, the much larger volume of saltwater in APs might be able to 351 replenish SO_4^{2-} more quickly, thereby maintaining a higher SO_4^{2-} concentration in the soil. 352

Additional SO₄²⁻ may have also originated from aquaculture feeds and pond disinfectants
(Feng, 2014; Zou et al., 2022).

Contrary to the expectation that use of feeds would increase the soil carbon content in aquaculture ponds, we found SOC in APs was significantly lower than SAs (Figure 2g). The results could be primarily attributed to higher productivity of the marsh vegetation leading to larger inputs of plant litter and root exudates into the soil (Mueller et al., 2016; Xia et al., 2021), which were eliminated when the vegetation was removed to create the aquaculture ponds. By comparison, SOC in the mudflats was the lowest likely due to the lack of autochthonous or allochthonous carbon inputs.

362 4.2. Production of Carbon Greenhouse Gases Among Habitat Types

Organic carbon in waterlogged soil is mineralized primarily via anaerobic microbial 363 364 metabolism (e.g., Hopfensperger et al., 2014; Kostka et al., 2002), with CO₂ as the main by-product (e.g., Gribsholt & Kristensen, 2003; Kim et al., 2015; Luo et al., 2019b). This 365 is consistent with our observations that CO₂ production rates were 1000-fold higher than 366 CH₄ production rates on a per mass basis (Figure 3). Similar to earlier observations 367 368 (Boulogne et al., 2016; Keller et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2015), it appeared that the bacterial communities required about three days to acclimate to the experimental condition before 369 they reached maximum SOC mineralization rates, after which the rates decreased as labile 370 371 organic carbon became depleted (Figure 4a).

372 It is worth noting that CO_2 and CH_4 production in our study was measured by incubation

373 of slurries, which may not reflect the dynamic condition in situ where river flow and

periodic tidal flushing would change the soil conditions (Wells et al., 2018) and affect CO₂ and CH₄ production. Therefore, future research may consider *in situ* measurements using tracer technique, without the need for incubation, to give more accurate gas production rates.

378 4.

4.3. Responses of Soil Carbon Turnover to Habitat Modification

The differences in SOC mineralization rate and cumulative SOC mineralization among 379 380 the three habitat types (Figs. 4b and 5b) followed the differences in their SOC content (Figure 2g), showing that S. alterniflora invasion and aquaculture operation both 381 increased labile soil organic substrates and subsequent mineralization activities relative to 382 383 the native mudflats. Nevertheless, based on the first-order kinetic model, C₀/SOC was all under 0.02 (Table 1), meaning that < 2% of the soil organic carbon was bioavailable to 384 microbes (labile to semi-labile), and the vast majority might be considered as refractory 385 386 for longer-term burial. Our data also suggest that all bioavailable carbon was mineralized within 60 days, and the value of $\Sigma SOCM_{\text{final}}/C_0$ being slightly higher than 1 may be 387 indicative of inherent uncertainty in deriving C_0 from curve fitting, or labile C_0 facilitating 388 389 mineralization of some of the refractory carbon (i.e., priming effect; Guenet et al., 2010). Based on our incubation experiments, the CO₂ production rate averaged 1.6 g C kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹ 390

across the coastal wetlands in our study. Assuming this represented the labile fraction of carbon deposition, the corresponding potential burial of refractory carbon would be ~8.4 g $C \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Given the measured soil bulk density of 1300 kg m⁻³ and a median sediment accretion rate of ~3.4 cm yr⁻¹ in coastal marshes in China (Wang et al., 2006), the estimated carbon burial rate would be \sim 370 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹. This is comparable to the estimated mean carbon accumulation rate (\sim 200 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) for tidal wetlands in China in a recent study (Wang et al., 2021; their Fig. 2).

398 Because habitat types affect both carbon deposition (as indicated by SOC and C_0 data) 399 and carbon mineralization (Σ SOCM), we may derive a 'Habitat Ratio' using data from Figure 2 and Table 1 to compare their overall carbon source-sink dynamics (Table 3). 400 Comparison of the Habitat Ratio between SAs and APs showed that the former had higher 401 organic carbon deposition, bioavailable carbon and cumulative C mineralization, but all 402 by a similar extent (20-21%); therefore, the soil carbon source-sink dynamics did not 403 appear to be different between the two habitat types. On the other hand, SAs had 50% 404 higher SOC than MFs but 71-72% higher bioavailable carbon and carbon mineralization, 405 406 suggesting that SAs functioned as more concentrated stocks of labile soil organic carbon and stronger net carbon emission sources relative to MFs. This is consistent with others' 407 observations showing an increase in labile organic carbon fraction in S. alterniflora soil 408 with time (Cui et al., 2021), but it contradicts another study suggesting that S. alterniflora 409 410 invasion of mudflat decreased the labile organic carbon pool in the soil (Yang et al., 2013). The differences could be due to the fact that Yang et al. (2013) measured carbon 411 mineralization under aerobic condition, which did not represent the water-logged, 412 413 low-oxygen condition of the soil and which would have suppressed methanogenesis and underestimated the labile carbon turn-over. APs had 25% higher SOC, but 41-42% higher 414 bioavailable carbon and carbon mineralization than MFs, reflecting the high amounts of 415

416 sedimented labile organics from excess feeds and biological productivity in the ponds417 (Yang et al., 2022).

418 **4.4. Implications for Coastal Biogeochemistry**

419 Continuous land development and land use change has drastically altered the coastal 420 landscape of China (Cui et al., 2016; Meng et al., 2017). Based on our findings, 421 conversion of mudflats to Spartina marshes increased soil organic carbon mineralization, but conversion of Spartina marshes to aquaculture ponds decreased soil organic carbon 422 423 mineralization (Figure 8)—This was consistent across all sites over a large latitudinal range, independent of differences in local geography, land management practices or 424 425 climate conditions. In both land change scenarios, soil organic carbon was the overwhelming factor (37.2–45.5 %) that determined the mineralization activity. 426

The invasive S. alterniflora was introduced to China originally to protect mudflats against 427 erosion, and it has proliferated along the coast since (An et al., 2007). Meanwhile, 428 increasing food demand has led to rapid expansion of coastal aquaculture in China (Ren et 429 430 al., 2019). While on-the-ground census data are rare, scientists used remote sensing methods to estimate the historical change in areal coverage by S. alterniflora marshes 431 432 (Mao et al., 2019) and coastal aquaculture ponds (Duan et al., 2021) in the recent decades. Combining these literature data with our measured habitat-specific soil CO₂ and CH₄ 433 production potentials, we calculated the total CO₂-eq production in the 20 cm topsoil, 434 considering CH₄ has 45 times the 100-year warming potential as CO₂ (Neubauer & 435 Megonigal, 2015); we further assessed landscape change effect by estimating the net 436

increase in soil CO₂-eq production relative to native mudflats. Our calculations suggest 437 that total soil CO₂-eq production increased 12-fold as S. alterniflora marshes spread along 438 China's coast, whereas the expanding aquaculture activities increased total soil CO₂-eq 439 440 production ~1.6 fold during the past three decades (Figure 9). The estimated land coverage by coastal aquaculture ponds was an order of magnitude larger than S. 441 alterniflora marshes; consequently, the net increase in soil CO₂-eq production relative to 442 443 native mudflats was largely driven by the large-scale conversion of coastal land to aquaculture ponds (Figure 9). Nevertheless, the total area of coastal aquaculture ponds 444 appeared to have plateaued in recent years and therefore the contribution of soil CO₂-eq 445 production from coastal aquaculture is expected to remain stable at ~4.3 Tg yr⁻¹. 446 Meanwhile, if S. alterniflora marsh expansion continues along the trajectory, it is 447 expected to cause further net increase in soil CO₂-eq production to ~ 0.7 Tg yr⁻¹ by end of 448 449 this decade.

450

5. Conclusions and recommendations

The coastal mudflat habitats of China have undergone drastic changes in the recent decades due to the spread of the invasive *S. alterniflora* and conversion to aquaculture ponds. We showed that these land use change increased the total and labile fractions of soil organic carbon, carbon mineralization rate as well as greenhouse gas production relative to the native mudflats, and the effects were consistent across a wide latitudinal range and climate gradient (Figure 8). As the areal coverage of *S. alterniflora* marshes and coastal aquaculture ponds continue to increase, we may expect a net increase in carbon 458 greenhouse gas production and emission along the coast. This study provides a better 459 insight into assessing the effects of land use and land cover change (LULCC) on coastal 460 wetland carbon biogeochemical cycle process and land surface greenhouse gas emission 461 across a large geographical range.

Several recommendations should be considered in future study: 1) Accurate census and 462 monitoring of coastal habitats including small-hold aquaculture ponds is much needed in 463 464 China and it will improve our assessment of landscape change effects on coastal carbon and greenhouse gas dynamics. 2) While we measured greenhouse gas production in soils, 465 the actual emissions to the atmosphere could be further modulated by in situ physical (e.g., 466 water turbulence, wind) and biological factors (e.g., consumption by microbes). 467 Measurements of in situ emissions from the different habitats, using methods such as flux 468 chambers, will be valuable. 3) Lastly, most of our sampling sites were concentrated in the 469 470 northeastern part of the coast. Additional sampling in the southern provinces would allow 471 data analysis based on a finer spatial resolution.

472 Conflict of Interest

473 The authors declare no conflicts of interest relevant to this study

474 Data Availability Statement

The data used in this study are available in the Mendeley research data repository: Yang et al. (2022), Ancillary variables, SOC mineralization parameters, and carbon greenhouse gases production potential in impacted coastal wetlands across a wide latitudinal range in China, Mendeley Data, V1 (https://doi.org/10.17632/3r2827w6f4.1). 479 Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No. 41801070, 481 41671088), the National Science Foundation of Fujian Province (No. 2020J01136, 2019J05067), the Minjiang Scholar Programme. We would like to thank Yifei Zhang, 483 Chen Tang, Guanghui Zhao and Ling Li of the School of Geographical Sciences, Fujian 484 Normal University, for their field assistance. We thank the Associate Editor and 485 Reviewers for their comments and suggestions that have helped improve the manuscript.

486 **References**

- An, S. Q., Gu,B.H., Zhou, C. F., Wang, Z. S., & Liu, Y. H. (2007). *Spartina* invasion in
 China: implications for invasive species management and future research. *Weed Research*, 47(3), 183–191. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3180.2007.00559.x
- Andreetta, A., Huertas, A. D., Lotti, M., & Cerise, S. (2016). Land use changes affecting
 soil organic carbon storage along a mangrove swamp rice chronosequence in the
 Cacheu and Oio regions (northern Guinea-Bissau). *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, 216, 314–321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.10.017
- Boulogne, I., Ozier-Lafontaine, H., Merciris, P., Vaillant, J., Labonte, L., &
 LorangerMerciris, G. (2016). Soil chemical and biological characteristics influence
 mineralization processes in different stands of a tropical wetland. *Soil Use and Management*, 32(3), 269–278. https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12273
- Chen, B. B., & Sun, Z. G. (2020). Effects of nitrogen enrichment on variations of sulfur in
 plant-soil system of *Suaeda salsa* in coastal marsh of the Yellow River estuary. China. *Ecological Indicators*, 109, 105797. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105797
- Cooper, J. M., Burton, D., Daniell, T. J., Griffiths, B. S., Zebarth, B. J. (2011). Carbon
 mineralization kinetics and soil biological characteristics as influenced by manure
 addition in soil incubated at a range of temperature. *European Journal of Soil Biology*,
 47(6), 392–399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2011.07.010

- Cui, B. S., He, Q., Gu, B. H., Bai, J. H., & Liu, X. H. (2016). China's coastal wetlands:
 understanding environmental changes and human impacts for management and
 conservation. *Wetlands*, 36(S1), S1–S9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-016-0737-8
- 508 Cui, L. N., Sun, H. M., Du, X. H., Feng, W. T., Wang, Y. G., Zhang, J. C., et al.
- 509 (2021). Dynamics of labile soil organic carbon during the development of mangrove
- 510and salt marsh ecosystems.Ecological Indicators,129,511107875. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107875
- Davidson, N. C. (2014). How much wetland has the world lost? Long-term and recent
 trends in global wetland area. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 65, 934–941.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/MF14173
- 515 Dick, T. M., & Osunkoya, O. O. (2000). Influence of tidal restriction floodgates on
 516 decomposition of mangrove litter. *Aquatic Botany*, 68, 273–280.
 517 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3770(00)00119-4
- Drake, K., Halifax, H., Adamowicz, S. C., & Craft, C. (2015). Carbon sequestration in
 tidal salt marshes of the Northeast United States. *Environmental Management*, 56,
 998–1008. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-015-0568-z
- 521Duan, Y. Q., Li, X., Zhang, L. P., Chen, D., Liu, S. A., & Ji, H. Y. (2020). Mapping522national-scale aquaculture ponds based on the Google Earth Engine in the Chinese523coastalzone.Aquaculture,520,734666.
- 524 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734666
- 525 Duan, Y. Q., Tian, B., Li, X., Liu, D. Y., Sengupta, D., Wang, Y. J., et al. (2021). Tracking
- 526 changes in aquaculture ponds on the China coast using 30 years of Landsat images.
- 527 International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 102, 102383.
- 528 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2021.102383
- 529 Feng, Q. F. (2014). The sulfide content in sediment of freshwater shrimp ponds and
- relationship between sulfide and other parameters. Shanghai: Shanghai Ocean
 University. (in Chinese, master's thesis)
- Gao, D. Z., Chen, G. X., Li, X. F., Lin, X. B., & Zeng, C. S. (2018a). Reclamation culture
 alters sediment phosphorus speciation and ecological risk in coastal zone of
 Southeastern China. *Clean-Soil, Air, Water, 46*(11), 1700495.

535 https://doi.org/10.1002/clen.201700495

- Gao, D. Z., Liu, M., Hou, L. J., Derrick, Y. F. L., Wang, W. Q., Li, X. F., et al. (2019).
 Effects of shrimp-aquaculture reclamation on sediment nitrate dissimilatory reduction
- 538 processes in a coastal wetland of southeastern China. *Environmental Pollution*, 255,
- 539 113219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113219
- 540 Gao, G. F., Li, P. F., Shen, Z. J., Qin, Y. Y., Zhang, X. M., Ghoto, K., Z., et al. (2018b).
- Exotic Spartina alterniflora invasion increases CH₄ while reduces CO₂ emissions
 from mangrove wetland soils in southeastern China. Scientific Reports, 8, 9243.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27625-5
- Gao, J. H., Feng, Z. X., Chen, L., Wang, Y. P., Bai, F. L., & Li, J. (2016). The effect of
 biomass variations of *Spartina alterniflora* on the organic carbon content and
 composition of a salt marsh in northern Jiangsu Province, China. *Ecological Engineering*, 95, 160–170. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.06.088
- Gribsholt, B., & Kristensen, E. (2003). Benthic metabolism and sulfur cycling along an
 inundation gradient in a tidal *Spartina anglica* salt marsh. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 48(6), 2151–2162. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2003.48.6.2151
- 551 Guenet, B., Danger, M., Abbadie, L., & Lacroix, G. (2010). Priming effect: bridging the
- gap between terrestrial and aquatic ecology. *Ecology*, *91*(10), 2850-2861.
 https://doi.org/10.1890/09-1968.1
- Hedges, L. V., Gurevitch, J., & Curtis, P. S. (1999). The meta-analysis of response ratios
 in experimental ecology. *Ecology*, 80, 1150–1156. https://doi.org/10.2307/177062
- Hopfensperger, K. N., Burgin, A. J., Schoepfer, V. A., & Helton, A. M. (2014). Impacts of
 saltwater incursion on plant communities, anaerobic microbial metabolism, and
- resulting relationships in a restored freshwater wetland. *Ecosystems*, 17(5), 792–807.
- 559 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-014-9760-x
- Hyvonen, R., Agren G. I., & Dalias, P. (2005). Analysing temperature response of
 decomposition of organic matter. *Global Change Biology*, 11(5), 770–778.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.00947
- Hyun, J. -H., Smith, A. C., & Kostka, J. E. (2007). Relative contributions of sulfate-and
 iron (III) reduction to organic matter mineralization and process controls in
 - 2

- contrasting habitats of the Georgia saltmarsh. *Applied Geochemistry*, 22(12),
 2637–2651. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2007.06.005
- 567 Kane, E. S., Chivers, M. R., Turetsky, M. R., Treat, C. C., Petersen, D. G., Waldrop, M., et al. (2013). Response of anaerobic carbon cycling to water table manipulation in an 568 569 Alaskan rich fen. Soil Biology Å 58(2), 50-60. Biochemistry, 570 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.10.032
- Kayranli, B., Scholz, M., Mustafa, A., & Hedmark, Å. (2010). Carbon storage and fluxes
 within freshwater wetlands: A critical review. *Wetlands*, 30, 111–124.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-009-0003-4
- Keller, J. K., White, J. R., Bridgham, S. D., & Pastor, J. (2004). Climate change effects on
 carbon and nitrogen mineralization in peatlands through changes in soil quality. *Global Change Biology*, 10, 1053–1064.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2003.00785.x
- Kim, Y., Ullah, S., Roulet, N. T., & Moore, T. R. (2015). Effect of inundation, oxygen and
 temperature on carbon mineralization in boreal ecosystems. *Science of the Total Environment*, *511*, 381–392. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.065
- Kostka, J. E., Roychoudhury, A., & Van Cappellen, P. (2002). Rates and controls of
 anaerobic microbial respiration across spatial and temporal gradients in saltmarsh
 sediments. *Biogeochemistry*, 60(1), 49–76.
 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016525216426
- Kirwan, M. L., & Megonigal, J. P. (2013). Tidal wetland stability in the face of human
 impacts and sea-level rise. *Nature*, 504, 53–60. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12856
- Li, X. F., Han, S. J., Guo, Z. L., Shao, D. K., & Xin, L. H. (2010). Changes in soil
 microbial biomass carbon and enzyme activities under elevated CO₂ affect fine root
 decomposition processes in a Mongolian oak ecosystem. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*,
- 590 42, 1101–1107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.03.007
- 591 Liu, M. Y., Mao, D. H., Wang, Z. M., Li, L., Man, W. D., Jia, M. M., et al. (2018). Rapid 592 invasion of Spartina alterniflora in the coastal zone of mainland China: new OLI 10, 593 observations from landsat images. Remote Sensing, 1933. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10121933 594

- 595 Liu, J. E., Han, R. M., Su, H. R., Wu, Y. P., Zhang, L. M., Richardson, C. J., et al. (2017).
- 596 Effects of exotic *Spartina alterniflora* on vertical soil organic carbon distribution and
- 597 storage amount in coastal salt marshes in Jiangsu, China. *Ecological Engineering*, 106,

598 132–139. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2017.05.041

- 599 Luo, M., Zhu, W.F., Huang, J.F., Liu, Y. X., Duan, X., Wu, J., et al. (2019a). Anaerobic
- 600 organic carbon mineralization in tidal wetlands along a low-level salinity gradient of a
- subtropical estuary: Rates, pathways, and controls. *Geoderma*, 337, 1245–1257.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.07.030
- Luo, M., Huang, J. F., Zhu, W. F., & Tong, C. (2019b). Impacts of increasing salinity and
 inundation on rates and pathways of organic carbon mineralization in tidal wetlands: a
 review. *Hydrobiologia*, 827, 31–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-017-3416-8
- Luo, Y. Q., Hui, D. F., & Zhang, D. Q. (2006). Elevated CO₂ stimulates net accumulations
 of carbon and nitrogen in land ecosystems: A meta-analysis. *Ecology*, 87, 53–63.
 https://doi.org/10.1890/04-1724
- Mao, D. H., Liu, M. Y., Wang, Z. M., Li, L., Man, W. D., Jia, M. M., et al. (2019). Rapid
 invasion of *Spartina alterniflora* in the coastal zone of mainland China:
 spatiotemporal patterns and human prevention. *Sensors*, 19, 2308.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/s19102308
- 613 Meng, W. Q., He, M. X., Hu, B. B., Mo, X. Q., Li, H. Y., Liu, B. Q., et al. (2017). Status
- 614 of wetlands in China: A review of extent, degradation, issues and recommendations
- 615 for improvement. Ocean & Coastal Management, 146, 50–59.
 616 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2017.06.003
- 617 Mitsch, W. J., Bernal, B., Nahlik, A. M., Mander, Ü., Zhang, L., Anderson, C. J., et al.
- 618 (2013). Wetlands, carbon, and climate change. *Landscape Ecology*, 28(4), 583–597.
- 619 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-012-9758-8
- 620 Mueller, P., Jensen, K., & Megonigal, J. P. (2016). Plants mediate soil organic matter
- decomposition in response to sea level rise. *Global Change Biology*, 22(1), 404–414.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13082
- 623 Neubauer, S. C., & Megonigal, J. P. (2015). Moving beyond global warming potentials to
- 624 quantify the climatic role of ecosystems. *Ecosystems*, 18(6),

- 625 https://doi.org/1000–1013. doi:10.1007/s10021-015-9879-4
- Su, J., Friess, D. A., Gasparatos, A. (2021). A meta-analysis of the ecological and
 economic outcomes of mangrove restoration. *Nature Communications*, 12, 5050.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25349-1
- 629 Sun, Z. G., Sun, W. G., Tong, C., Zeng, C. S., Yu, X., & Mou, X. J. (2015). China's
- coastal wetlands: Conservation history, implementation efforts, existing issues and
 strategies for future improvement. *Environment International*, 79, 25–41.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.02.017
- Packalen, M. S., Finkelstein, S. A., McLaughlin, J. W. (2014). Carbon storage and
 potential methane production in the Hudson Bay Lowlands since mid-Holocene peat
- 635 initiation. *Nature Communications*, 5, 4078. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5078
- Percival, J., & Lindsay, P. (1997). Measurement of physical properties of sediments. In:
 Mudrock, A., Azcue, J. M., & Mudrock, P. (Eds.), Manual of Physico-Chemical
- Analysis of Aquatic Sediments. CRC Press, New York, USA, pp. 7–38.
- Piper, C. L., Siciliano, S. D., Winsley, T., & Lamb, E. G. (2015). Smooth brome invasion
 increases rare soil bacterial species prevalence, bacterial species richness and
 evenness. *Journal of Ecology*, *103*, 386–396. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12356
- 642 Tan, L. S., Ge, Z. M., Zhou, X. H., Li, S. H., Li, X. Z., & Tang, J. W. (2020). Conversion
- 643 of coastal wetlands, riparian wetlands, and peatlands increases greenhouse gas 644 emissions: A global meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, *26*, 1638–1653.
- 645 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14933
- Templer, P., Findlay, S., & Lovett, G. (2003). Soil microbial biomass and nitrogen
 transformations among five tree species of the Catskill Mountains, New York, USA.
- 648 Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 35(4),
- 649 607–613. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0038-0717(03)00006-3
- Tong, C., Wang, W. Q., Zeng, C. S., & Marrs, R. (2010). Methane (CH4) emission from a
- tidal marsh in the Min River estuary, Southeast China. Journal of Environmental
- 652
 Science
 and
 Health,
 Part
 A,
 45(4),
 506–516.

 653
 https://doi.org/10.1080/10934520903542261

Ren, C. Y., Wang, Z. M., Zhang, Y. Z., Zhang, B., Chen, L., Xia, Y. B., et al. (2019).
Rapid expansion of coastal aquaculture ponds in China from Landsat observations
during 1984–2016. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and*

657 *Geoinformation*, 82, 101902. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2019.101902

- Vance, E.D., Brookes, P.C., & Jenkinson, D. S. (1987). An extraction method for
 measuring soil microbial biomass C. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 19, 703–707.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(87)90052-6
- Vizza, C., West, W. E., Jones, S. E., Hart, J. A., & Lamberti, G. A. (2017). Regulators of
 coastal wetland methane production and responses to simulated global change. *Biogeosciences*, 14, 431–446. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-431-2017
- Walker, L. R., & Smith, S. D. (1997). Impacts of invasive plants on community and
 ecosystem properties. In: Luken, J.O., & Thieret, J. W. (eds) Assessment and
 management of plant invasion. Spriger-Verlag, New York, pp 69–94.
- Wang, A. J., Gao, S., & Jia J. J. (2006). Impact of the cord-grass *Spartina alterniflora* on
 sedimentary and morphological evolution of tidal salt marshes on the Jiangsu coast,
 China. *Acta Oceanologica Sinica*, 25, 32–42.
- Wang, F. M., Sanders, C. J., Santos, I. R., Tang, J. W., Schuerch, M., Kirwan, M. L., et al.
- 671 (2021). Global blue carbon accumulation in tidal wetlands increases with climate
 672 change. *National Science Review*, 8, nwaa296. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwaa296</u>
- 673 Wang, M. E., Markert, B., Shen, W. M., Chen, W. P., Peng, C., & Ouyang, Z. Y. (2011).
- Microbial biomass carbon and enzyme activities of urban soils in Beijing. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 18, 958–967.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-011-0445-0
- Wang, W. Q., Sardans, J., Wang, C., Zeng, C. S., Tong, C., Chen, G. X., et al. (2019). The
 response of stocks of C, N, and P to plant invasion in the coastal wetlands of China.
- response of stocks of e, it, and i to plant invasion in the coustar wettands of emila.
- 679 Global Change Biology, 25(2), 733–743. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14491
- 680 Wassmann, R., Neue, H. U., Bueno, C., Lantin, R. S., Alberto, M. C. R., Buendia, L. V., et
- al. (1998). Methane production capacities of different rice soils derived from inherent
 and exogenous substrates. *Plant and Soil*, 203, 227–237.
 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004357411814

- Wells, N. S., Maher, D. T., Erler, D. V., Hipsey, M., Rosentreter, J. A., & Eyre, B. D.
- (2018). Estuaries as sources and sinks of N₂O across a land use gradient in subtropical
- 686 Australia. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 32(5), 877–894.
 687 https://doi.org/10.1029/2017gb005826
- 688Wen, Y. L., Bernhardt, E. S., Deng, W. B., Liu, W. J., Yan, J. X., Baruch, E. M., et al.689(2019). Salt effects on carbon mineralization in southeastern coastal wetland soils of690theUnitedStates.Geoderma,339,31–39.

691 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.12.035

- Kia, S. P., Wang, W. Q., Song, Z. L., Kuzyakov, Y., Guo, L. D., Van Zwieten, L., et al.
- 693 (2021). *Spartina alterniflora* invasion controls organic carbon stocks in coastal marsh
- and mangrove soils across tropics and subtropics. *Global Change Biology*, 27(8),
- 695 1627–1644. https://doi.org/110.1111/gcb.15516
- Yang, P., Bastviken, D., Jin, B. S., Mou, X. J., & Tong, C. (2017). Effects of coastal marsh
 conversion to shrimp aquaculture ponds on CH₄ and N₂O emissions. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 199, 125–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2017.09.023
- Yang, P., Lu, M. H., Tang, K. W., Yang, H., Lai, D. Y. F., Tong, C., et al. (2021). Coastal
 reservoirs as a source of nitrous oxide: Spatio-temporal patterns and assessment
 strategy. *Science of the Total Environment*, 790, 147878.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147878
- Yang, P., Tang, K. W., Yang, H., Tong, C., Yang, N., Lai, D. Y. F., et al. (2022). Insights
 into the farming-season carbon budget of coastal earthen aquaculture ponds in
 southeastern China. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 335*, 107995.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2022.107995
- 707 Yang, P., Wang, M. H., Lai, D. Y. F., Chun, K. P., Huang, J. F., Wan, S. A., et al. (2019).
- 708 Methane dynamics in an estuarine brackish *Cyperus malaccensis* marsh: Production
- and porewater concentration in soils, and net emissions to the atmosphere over five
- 710 years. *Geoderma*, 337, 132–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.09.019
- 711 Yang, R. M., & Chen, L. M. (2020). Spartina alterniflora invasion alters soil bulk density
- in coastal wetlands of China. Land Degradation & Development, 32, 1993–1999.
- 713 https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3859

- 714 Yang, W., An, S. Q., Zhao, H., Xu, L. Q., Qiao, Y. J., & Cheng, X. L. (2016). Impacts of
- 715 Spartina alterniflora invasion on soil organic carbon and nitrogen pools sizes, stability,
- and turnover in a coastal salt marsh of eastern China. *Ecological Engineering*, 86,

717 174–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2015.11.010

- Yang, W., Zhao, H., Chen, X. L., Yin, S. L., Cheng, X. L., & An, S. Q.
 (2013). Consequences of short-term C₄ plant *Spartina alterniflora* invasions for soil
 organic carbon dynamics in a coastal wetland of Eastern China. *Ecological Engineering*, *61*, 50–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.09.056
- 722 Ye, R., Jin, Q., Bohannan, B., Keller, J. K., McAllister, S. A., & Bridgham, S. D. (2012). pH controls over anaerobic carbon mineralization, the efficiency of methane 723 724 production, and methanogenic pathways peatlands in across an ombrotrophic-minerotrophic gradient. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 54, 36-47. 725 726 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.05.015
- Yin, G. Y., Hou, L. J., Liu, M., Li, X. F., Zheng, Y. L., Gao, J., et al. (2017). DNRA in
 intertidal sediments of the Yangtze Estuary. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 122(8), 1988–1998. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JG003766
- Yin, S., Bai, J. H., Wang, W., Zhang, G. L., Jia, J., Cui, B. S., et al. (2019). Effects of soil
 moisture on carbon mineralization in floodplain wetlands with different flooding
 frequencies. *Journal of Hydrology*, 574, 1074–1084.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.05.007
- Yuan, J. J., Liu, D. Y., Ji, Y., Xiang, J., Lin, Y. X., Wu, M., et al. (2019). *Spartina alterniflora* invasion drastically increases methane production potential by shifting
 methanogenesis from hydrogenotrophic to methylotrophic pathway in a coastal marsh.
- 737 Journal of Ecology, 107, 2436–2450. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13164
- 738 Zhang, G. L., Bai, J. H., Zhao, Q. Q., Jia, J., Wang, X., Wang, W., et al. (2021a). Soil
- carbon storage and carbon sources under different *Spartina alterniflora* invasion
 periods in a salt marsh ecosystem. *Catena*, 196, 104831.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2020.104831
- Zhang, W. S., Li, H. P., Xiao, Q. T., & Li, X. Y. (2021c). Urban rivers are hotspots of
 riverine greenhouse gas (N₂O, CH₄, CO₂) emissions in the mixed-landscape chaohu

744 lake basin. *Water Research*, 189, 116624.

745 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116624

- Zhang, X. M., Zhang, Z. S., Li, Z., Li, M., Wu, H. T., & Jiang, M. (2021b). Impacts of 746 Spartina alterniflora invasion on soil carbon contents and stability in the Yellow River 747 Delta, China. Science of the Total Environment, 775, 145188. 748 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145188 749
- Zhang, Y. H., Ding, W. X., Luo, J. F., & Donnison, A. (2010). Changes in soil organic
 carbon dynamics in an Eastern Chinese coastal wetland following invasion by a C₄
 plant *Spartina alterniflora*. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, *42*, 1712–1720.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.06.006
- Zhu, Y. S., Wang, Y. D., Guo, C. C., Xue, D. M., Li, J., Chen, Q., et al. (2020).
 Conversion of coastal marshes to croplands decreases organic carbon but increases
- inorganic carbon in saline soils. *Land Degradation & Development*, 31, 1099–1109.
- 757 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3538</u>
- Zou, S. B., Gao, Q., Cheng, H. H., Ni, M., Xu, Q., Liu M., et al. (2022). Vertical
 distribution of bacterial, sulfate-reducing and sulfur-oxidizing bacterial communities
- 760 in sediment cores from freshwater prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*) aquaculture
- 761 pond. Acta Microbiologica Sinica, 62(7), 2719–2734 (in Chinese).
- 762 <u>https://doi.org/</u>10.13343/j.cnki.wsxb.20210690

Figure captions

Figure 1. Locations of the study areas and 21 sampling sites across the coastal regions in southeastern China. Three wetland habitat types were investigated including mud flat (MFs), *S. alterniflora* marshes (SAs) and aquaculture ponds (APs).

Figure 2. Surface soil physicochemical properties across the three wetland habitat types (mean + SE; n = 63). MFs, SAs and APs represent mud flats, *S. alterniflora* marshes and aquaculture ponds, respectively. Different letters above the bars indicate significant differences (p<0.05).

Figure 3. Box plots of CO₂ and CH₄ production rates in surface soil for the three wetland habitat types, measured by incubation experiments (n = 63). MFs, SAs and APs represent mud flats, *S. alterniflora* marshes and aquaculture ponds, respectively. Different letters above the boxes indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).

Figure 4. (a) SOC mineralization rate in surface soil for the three wetland habitat types, measured by incubation experiments (mean \pm SE; n = 63). (b) Boxplots of SOC mineralization rates for the three wetland habitat types; different letters above the boxes indicate significant differences (p < 0.05). MFs, SAs and APs represent mud flats, *S. alterniflora* marshes and aquaculture ponds, respectively.

Figure 5. (a) Cumulative SOC mineralization in surface soil over the 60-d incubation period for the three wetland habitat types (mean \pm SE; n = 63). (b) Boxplots of cumulative SOC mineralization for the three wetland habitat types; different letters above the bars indicate significant differences (p < 0.05). MFs, SAs and APs represent mud flats, *S. alterniflora* marshes and aquaculture ponds, respectively.

Figure 6. Weighted response ratios (RR++) of (a) SOC mineralization rate, (b) cumulative SOC mineralization (Σ SOCM), (c) initial SOC (C_0) and (d) mineralization rate constant (k) for the different habitat modification scenarios: MFs \rightarrow SAs represents conversion of mudflats to *S. alterniflora* marshes; SAs \rightarrow APs represents conversion of *S. alterniflora* marshes to aquaculture ponds. Bars represent the RR++ values and 95% CIs (n = 63). Effects of habitat modifications were significant at p < 0.05 in all cases.

Figure 7. Redundancy analysis (RDA) biplots of the relationship between $\Delta\Sigma$ SOCM, ΔC_0 , Δk , and $\Delta (C_0/SOC)$, and ΔEF (environment factors) for the different habitat modification scenarios: (a) conversion of mud flats to *S. alterniflora* marshes; (b) conversion of *S. alterniflora* marshes to aquaculture ponds. The pie charts show the percentages of variance in $\Delta\Sigma$ SOCM explained by the different variables. List of abbreviations is provided in the text.

Figure 8. A schematic illustration of landscape change effects on soil organic carbon mineralization and carbon emission in impacted coastal wetlands across a wide latitudinal range in China.

Figure 9. Changes in coastal landscape and related soil CO_2 -eq production in China: (a) *S. alterniflora* marsh area (from Mao et al., 2019), estimated marsh soil CO_2 -eq production and net increase relative to native mudflats; (b) coastal aquaculture pond area (from Duan et al., 2021), estimated pond soil CO_2 -eq production and net increase relative to native mudflats. See text (section 4.4) for explanation.

habitat types.						
11-11-11	ZSOCM final	Fitting parame	ters		C) NOOSA	
Habitat types	$(\mu g g^{-1})$	$C_0 \; (\mu \mathrm{g} \; \mathrm{g}^{-1})$	<i>k</i> (d ⁻¹)	Adj. R ²	2SUCIVIFinal/CO	
Mud flat	95.0	88.8	0.127	0.94	1.07	0.013
S. alterniflora marshes	162.9	152.3	0.127	0.95	1.07	0.016
Aquaculture ponds	135.0	125.6	0.126	0.95	1.08	0.015

Fitting parameters of the first order kinetics and C₀/SOC values for SOC mineralization in surface soil (0–20 cm) across the three wetland

Table 1 -

0–20 cm) for the diff	erent habitat cl	hange scenario	os: conversion	1 of mudflat to	S. alterniflora	marshes, and	conversion of	S. alterniflora
narshes to aquacultun nitrogen, respectively.	e ponds. SUC, Significant corr	, MBC and N relations are ii	1BN represen adicated by th	t soil organic (e symbols $*(p)$	carbon, microbi < 0.05) and ** (al biomass ca $p < 0.01$).	rbon and mic	robial biomass
	Conversion (of mud flat to S	. alterniflora m	arshes	Conversion of	f S. alterniflora	marshes to aqu	aculture ponds
Environmental variadie		ΔC_0	Δk	$\Delta C_0/SOC$	ΔΣSOCM	ΔC_0	Δk	$\Delta C_0/SOC$
Hq	-0.165	-0.155	0.021	-0.259**	-0.342**	-0.344**	0.004	-0.037
Soil salinity	-0.036	-0.024	-0.171	-0.377**	-0.144	-0.139	-0.246**	-0.261**
Soil water content	0.139	0.147	-0.030	-0.254**	-0.084	-0.072	0.061	-0.296**
Soil bulk density	-0.285**	-0.293**	-0.053	0.176^{*}	-0.137	-0.144	-0.089	0.148
NH4+-N	0.441^{**}	0.451**	-0.034	-0.029	0.536**	0.547**	0.147	-0.225
NO3N	0.057	0.061	0.039	-0.133	-0.077	-0.082	-0.100	0.068
Soil C:N	-0.114	-0.131	-0.118	0.253**	-0.146	-0.158	0.056	0.262^{**}
CI-	-0.024	-0.020	-0.208*	-0.115	-0.133	-0.130	-0.301**	-0.208*
$SO4^{2-}$	0.034	0.034	-0.062	-0.076	0.058	0.057	-0.146	-0.025
SOC	0.739**	0.739**	-0.132	0.193*	0.795**	0.800^{**}	-0.125	0.162
MBC	0.401^{**}	0.393**	0.120	0.072	0.458^{**}	0.450**	-0.128	0.155
MBN	0.300^{**}	0.305**	-0.122	0.002	0.389**	0.397**	-0.014	-0.063
Soil clay content	0.248^{**}	0.252**	0.098	-0.107	0.334^{**}	0.340^{**}	0.102	-0.109
Soil silt content	0.114	0.119	-0.013	-0.139	0.152	0.159	0.141	-0.131
Soil sandy content	-0.139	-0.144	-0.006	0.136	-0.187*	-0.194*	-0.136	0.129

4 6 5

 ∞

10 **Table 3**

- 11 Habitat Ratio for SOC, C_0 and Σ SOCM, based on data from Fig. 2 and Table 1.
- 12 MFs, SAs and APs represent mud flats, S. alterniflora marshes and aquaculture
- 13 ponds, respectively.

	SAs : APs : MFs	
SOC	1.50 : 1.25 : 1	
<i>C</i> ₀	1.72 : 1.41 : 1	
ΣSOCM	1.71 : 1.42 : 1	







5 **Figure 2.** Surface soil physicochemical properties across the three wetland habitat types (mean + 6 SE; n = 63). MFs, SAs and APs represent mud flats, *S. alterniflora* marshes and aquaculture ponds, 7 respectively. Different letters above the bars indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).





Figure 3. Box plots of CO₂ and CH₄ production rates in surface soil for the three wetland habitat types, measured by incubation experiments (n = 63). MFs, SAs and APs represent mud flats, *S. alterniflora* marshes and aquaculture ponds, respectively. Different letters above the boxes indicate

12 significant differences (p < 0.05).



Figure 4. (a) SOC mineralization rate in surface soil for the three wetland habitat types, measured by incubation experiments (mean \pm SE; n = 63). (b) Boxplots of SOC mineralization rates for the three wetland habitat types; different letters above the boxes indicate significant differences (p < 0.05). MFs, SAs and APs represent mud flats, *S. alterniflora* marshes and aquaculture ponds, respectively.



Figure 5. (a) Cumulative SOC mineralization in surface soil over the 60-d incubation period for the three wetland habitat types (mean \pm SE; n = 63). (b) Boxplots of cumulative SOC mineralization for the three wetland habitat types; different letters above the bars indicate significant differences (p < 0.05). MFs, SAs and APs represent mud flats, *S. alterniflora* marshes and aquaculture ponds, respectively.



Figure 6. Weighted response ratios (RR₊₊) of (a) SOC mineralization rate, (b) cumulative SOC mineralization (Σ SOCM), (c) initial SOC (C_0) and (d) mineralization rate constant (k) for the different habitat modification scenarios: MFs \rightarrow SAs represents conversion of mudflats to *S. alterniflora* marshes; SAs \rightarrow APs represents conversion of *S. alterniflora* marshes to aquaculture ponds. Bars represent the RR++ values and 95% CIs (n = 63). Effects of habitat modifications were significant at p < 0.05 in all cases.



ponds. The pie charts show the percentages of variance in $\Delta\Sigma$ SOCM explained by the different variables. List of abbreviations is provided in the text.



120°0'0"E

110°0'0"E

- Figure 8. A schematic illustration of landscape change effects on soil organic carbon mineralization and carbon emission in impacted coastal 37
- wetlands across a wide latitudinal range in China. 38



Figure 9. Changes in coastal landscape and related soil CO₂-eq production in China: (a) *S. alterniflora* marsh area (from Mao et al., 2019), estimated marsh soil CO₂-eq
production and net increase relative to native mudflats; (b) coastal aquaculture pond
area (from Duan et al., 2021), estimated pond soil CO₂-eq production and net increase
relative to native mudflats. See text (section 4.4) for explanation.

1 Supporting Information

2 Landscape Change Affects Soil Organic Carbon Mineralization

and Greenhouse Gas Production in Coastal Wetlands

- 4 Ping Yang^{1,2,3*}, Linhai Zhang^{1,2,3}, Derrick Y. F. Lai⁴, Hong Yang^{5,6}, Lishan Tan⁷,
- 5 Liangjuan Luo^{1,2}, Chuan Tong^{1,2,3*}, Yan Hong^{1,2}, Wanyi Zhu^{1,2}, Kam W. Tang^{8*}
- ¹School of Geographical Sciences, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, P.R.
 7 China,
- 8 ²Key Laboratory of Humid Subtropical Eco-geographical Process of Ministry of
- 9 Education, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, P.R. China
- ¹⁰ ³Research Centre of Wetlands in Subtropical Region, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou
- 11 350007, P.R. China
- ¹² ⁴Department of Geography and Resource Management, The Chinese University of Hong
- 13 Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong SAR 999077, China
- ¹⁴ ⁵State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research, East China Normal University,
- 15 Shanghai 200241, China
- ¹⁶ ⁶College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou
- 17 350007, China
- ¹⁸ ⁷Department of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Reading, Reading
- 19 *RG6 6AB, U.K.*
- 20 ⁸Department of Biosciences, Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP, U.K.
- 21
- 22
- 23 *Correspondence to:
- 24 Ping Yang (yangping528@sina.cn); Chuan Tong (tongch@fjnu.edu.cn); Kam W. Tang
- 25 (k.w.tang@swansea.ac.uk)

26 Supporting Information Summary

27 No. of pages: 3 No. of tables: 1

- 28 Page S3: Table S1. Fitting parameters of the first order kinetics for soil organic carbon mineralization
- in surface soil (0–20 cm) from three wetland habitat types across the different coastal sites in China.

30 **Table S1.**

31

Fitting parameters of the first order kinetics for soil organic carbon mineralization in surface soil (0-20 cm) from three wetland habitat types across

32 different coastal sites in China.

Tronuce nue $C_1(\log 2^1) C_0(\log 2^1) K(d^1)$ $Adj. R^2$ $C_1(\log 2^1) C_0(\log 2^1)$ $C_1(\log 2^1) C_0(\log 2^1)$ $C_0(\log 2^1)$			Mud flat				S. alternij	<i>flora</i> marsh	es		Aquacultı	rre ponds		
ShangthiChongming Island 59.708 <t< th=""><th>Province</th><th>Site</th><th>$C_{\rm f}$ ($\mu g g^{-1}$</th><th>¹) C₀ (μg g⁻¹</th><th>) k (d⁻¹)</th><th>Adj. R^2</th><th>$C_{\rm t}$ ($\mu g g^{-1}$)</th><th>$C_0 (\mu g g^{-1})$</th><th>$(k (d^{-1}))$</th><th>Adj. R^2</th><th>$C_{\rm t} (\mu { m g} { m g}^{-1})$</th><th>$C_0 \; (\mu g \; g^{-1})$</th><th>$k (d^{-1})$</th><th>Adj. R²</th></t<>	Province	Site	$C_{\rm f}$ ($\mu g g^{-1}$	¹) C ₀ (μg g ⁻¹) k (d ⁻¹)	Adj. R^2	$C_{\rm t}$ ($\mu g g^{-1}$)	$C_0 (\mu g g^{-1})$	$(k (d^{-1}))$	Adj. R^2	$C_{\rm t} (\mu { m g} { m g}^{-1})$	$C_0 \; (\mu g \; g^{-1})$	$k (d^{-1})$	Adj. R ²
Fengxian 88.107 88.143 0.130 0.907 191.760 19.1794 0.144 0.905 9.5.58 9.3.763 Zhojiang Hangzhou Gulf 51.653 51.655 0.166 0.874 116.566 0.117 0.922 82.363 82.364 Zhoushan 48.190 31.653 51.655 0.166 0.874 116.565 162.268 0.223 0.323 120.336 120.345 Ningbo 55.554 55.554 0.265 0.841 178.106 178.200 0.125 0.923 10.336 103.386 Ningbo 55.554 55.554 0.555 0.841 178.106 178.200 0.123 0.947 103.386 103.386 Dragon Bay 91.719 91.846 0.117 0.905 154.423 0.123 0.944 16.338 103.386 103.386 103.386 103.386 103.386 103.386 103.386 103.386 103.386 103.386 103.386 103.386 103.386 103.386 103.386<	Shanghai	Chongming Island	59.708	59.708	0.234	0.842	96.641	96.653	0.150	0.901	68.720	68.724	0.163	0.896
ZhejiangHangzhou Gulf 51.653 51.653 61.656 0.117 0.942 82.363 82.394 Zhoushan 48.190 48.191 0.185 0.875 162.268 162.359 0.125 0.922 120.336 122.329 Zhoushan 48.190 48.190 48.191 0.185 0.952 160.762 60.715 0.126 0.959 11.666 111.666 Ningbo 55.554 55.554 55.554 55.554 55.554 55.554 55.554 55.524 55.526 122.0236 1022 0.957 122.0236 122.0336 Dragon Bay 91.719 91.846 0.110 0.945 134.234 0.138 0.947 103.386 103.386 Aojiang River estuary 85.142 85.309 85.349 87.346 0.172 0.938 116.686 111.668 Aojiang River estuary 85.142 87.310 0.126 0.947 103.386 103.386 Yacheng 86.954 87.020 0.120 0.946 113.018 113.2697 0.923 10939 103.682 Nin River estuary 239.225 239.461 0.112 0.996 13.468 103.686 103.686 103.686 Ninghua Bay 51.967 51.994 0.112 0.957 $12.56.076$ 256.077 0.123 0919 270.811 271.297 Ninghua Bay 51.967 51.994 0.112 0.924 87.346 0.136 9766 67.886 </td <td></td> <td>Fengxian</td> <td>88.107</td> <td>88.143</td> <td>0.130</td> <td>0.907</td> <td>191.760</td> <td>191.794</td> <td>0.144</td> <td>0.905</td> <td>93.598</td> <td>93.763</td> <td>0.106</td> <td>0.939</td>		Fengxian	88.107	88.143	0.130	0.907	191.760	191.794	0.144	0.905	93.598	93.763	0.106	0.939
Zhoushan 48.190 41.450 60.502 160.715 0.110 0.993 111.661 111.668 Dragon Bay 91.719 91.846 0.110 0.994 133.3976 134.643 0.038 0.934 116.538 110.536 Aojiang River extnary 85.142 85.399 85.399 85.339 0.5384 0.117 0.999 134.643 0.038 0.984 116.538 116.538 Aojiang River extnary 251.967 87.300 85.384 0.117 0.999 154.643 0.981 103.682 103.88 Vacheng 86.954 87.020 0.120 0.996 113.270 0.120 0.991 0.270 103.482 Min River extnary 51.967 51.940 0.123 0.912 $0.250.76$ 256.077 0.213 0.912 270.811 271.292 Min River extnary 51.967 51.94 0.112 0.912 0.925 0.926 0.924 0.936	Zhejiang	Hangzhou Gulf	51.653	51.655	0.166	0.874	116.563	116.666	0.117	0.942	82.363	82.394	0.131	0.932
Ningbo 55.54 55.54 0.265 0.841 178.106 178.200 0.126 0.959 111.661 111.68 Taizhou 134.553 134.612 0.129 0.922 160.502 160.715 0.110 0.953 111.661 111.68 Dragon Bay 01.719 01.719 01.446 0.120 0.971 0.138 0.947 103.386 103.386 Aojiang River extuary 85.142 85.391 0.077 0.966 113.018 113.270 0.079 0.887 116.538 Aojiang River extuary 85.142 87.344 0.117 0.966 113.018 113.270 0.079 0.887 116.538 Aojiang River extuary 85.142 87.34 0.117 0.966 113.018 113.270 0.079 0.887 103.82 Aojiang River extuary 239225 239.461 0.112 0.966 113.018 113.270 0.102 0.981 123.204 Yacheng 86.3597 67.333 67.444 0.112 0.966 113.018 113.270 0.102 0.981 270.811 Yacheng 85.322 239.461 0.112 0.950 165.779 155.469 0.077 0.999 127.204 127.207 Yacheng 85.325 239.461 0.112 0.921 87.744 0.099 0.987 89.368 87.72 Yungung Bay 51.947 0.126 0.922 165.729 165.468 0.077 0.999 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Zhoushan</td><td>48.190</td><td>48.191</td><td>0.185</td><td>0.875</td><td>162.268</td><td>162.359</td><td>0.125</td><td>0.932</td><td>120.336</td><td>120.345</td><td>0.157</td><td>0.876</td></t<>		Zhoushan	48.190	48.191	0.185	0.875	162.268	162.359	0.125	0.932	120.336	120.345	0.157	0.876
Taizhou134.553134.6120.1290.952160.502160.7150.1100.953111.661111.686Dragon Bay91.71991.8460.1100.945134.2430.380.947103.386103.386Aojiang River estuary85.14285.3910.0770.978133.976134.6430.0880.984116.388116.585Aojiang River estuary85.14285.3910.0770.966113.018113.2700.0790.981103.682103.813Yacheng86.93487.0200.1200.966113.018113.2700.1020.991132.621Min River estuary239.255239.4610.1170.966113.018113.2700.1020.991132.631Yacheng86.93487.0200.1200.956155.076256.0770.2130.919270.811271.297Win River estuary239.25553.9440.1120.98487.51687.7440.0999.97483.636Fuqing Bay67.33567.4340.1260.922165.279165.4680.1130.9249.320Shangwuyu63.28963.3870.1020.984130.130130.8950.98493.04293.045Mulanxi77.76777.8170.1220.924121.308130.130130.8950.99493.702Mulanxi77.76777.8170.1230.92691.4020.9130.99580.79883.723Mula		Ningbo	55.554	55.554	0.265	0.841	178.106	178.200	0.126	0.959	132.292	132.293	0.187	0.880
Dragon Bay $91,719$ $91,846$ 0.110 0.945 $134,200$ $134,234$ 0.138 0.947 $103,336$ $103,336$ FujianAojiang River estuary 85.30 85.391 0.070 0.978 $133,976$ $134,643$ 0.088 0.984 $116,338$ $116,538$ FujianChatanggang 85.309 85.349 0.117 0.969 $164,445$ 165.903 0.079 0.987 $116,338$ $116,538$ Yacheng 86.954 87.020 0.117 0.966 $113,018$ $113,270$ 0.012 0.987 $113,004$ 132.621 Min River estuary $239,225$ $239,461$ 0.115 0.957 256.077 0.213 0.919 $270,811$ 271.297 Xinghua Bay 67.353 67.434 0.112 0.967 125.6077 0.213 0.919 $270,811$ 271.297 Xinghua Bay 67.353 67.434 0.112 0.922 165.468 0.113 0.999 0.974 89.684 Min River estuary 73.767 0.126 0.924 87.516 87.744 0.999 0.976 67.808 67.807 Mulanxi 77.767 77.817 0.122 0.929 $19.130.301$ 30.130 0.926 0.949 93.042 93.042 Mulanxi 77.767 77.817 0.122 0.929 86.432 86.432 80.237 0.138 0.926 87.74 0.999 74.173 74.173 Mulanxi 75.104 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Taizhou</td><td>134.553</td><td>134.612</td><td>0.129</td><td>0.952</td><td>160.502</td><td>160.715</td><td>0.110</td><td>0.953</td><td>111.661</td><td>111.668</td><td>0.160</td><td>0.942</td></t<>		Taizhou	134.553	134.612	0.129	0.952	160.502	160.715	0.110	0.953	111.661	111.668	0.160	0.942
Fujian Aojiang River estuary 85.314 0.097 0.978 133.976 134.643 0.084 116.388 116.388 116.388 Fujian Chatanggang 85.309 85.344 0.117 0.969 164.445 155.903 0.079 0.987 132.004 132.621 Vacheng 85.954 87.020 0.112 0.966 113.018 113.270 0.102 0.981 132.604 132.621 Min River estuary 239.225 239.461 0.115 0.951 256.076 256.077 0.213 0.919 270.811 271.297 Xinghua Bay 67.353 67.434 0.112 0.984 87.516 87.744 0.999 0.976 67.808 67.827 Singuyuu 63.289 63.387 0.108 0.974 121.301 27.544 0.173 74.336 Mulanxi 77.767 77.817 0.128 0.956 95.448 91.402 0.138 93.642 93.042 93.042 93.042 Jurkner		Dragon Bay	91.719	91.846	0.110	0.945	134.200	134.234	0.138	0.947	103.386	103.386	0.219	0.893
FujianChatanggang85.30985.3490.1170.966164.445165.9030.0700.987132.004132.621Yacheng86.95487.0200.1200.966113.018113.2700.1020.981103.682103.813Min River estuary239.225239.4610.1150.951256.076256.0770.2130.919270.811271.297Kinghua Bay51.96751.9940.1120.991256.076256.0770.2130.919270.811271.297Shangwuyu63.28963.3870.1120.99487.51687.7440.0990.97667.80867.827Mulanxi77.76777.8170.1220.913130.8950.0760.98489.69867.837Mulanxi77.76777.8170.1220.913130.8950.91491.4020.13883.65883.722Jiuzhengang68.28868.4170.1050.95691.37991.4020.13883.65883.722Jiuzhengang69.10469.1420.1250.95386.43286.43286.43286.43691.6980.780GuangdongShijing River estuary51.10151.2240.130.9130.95985.56983.55885.560GuangdongShijing River estuary51.01151.2240.130.9130.95986.56995.56885.566Guanghai252.227252.3120.130.96386.2370.1360.959		Aojiang River estuar	y 85.142	85.391	0.097	0.978	133.976	134.643	0.088	0.984	116.388	116.585	0.106	0.970
Yacheng 86.954 87.020 0.120 0.966 113.018 113.270 0.0102 0.981 103.682 103.813 Min River estuary 239.225 239.461 0.115 0.951 256.076 256.077 0.213 0.919 270.811 271.297 Xinghua Bay 51.967 51.994 0.115 0.922 165.279 165.468 0.113 0.924 89.684 89.684 Kinghua Bay 67.353 67.434 0.112 0.984 87.516 87.744 0.099 0.976 67.808 67.827 Shangwuyu 63.289 63.387 0.108 0.924 89.649 87.744 0.099 0.976 67.808 67.827 Mulanxi 77.767 77.817 0.122 0.981 130.130 130.895 0.086 93.042 93.209 Jiuzhengang 68.288 68.417 0.102 0.981 130.895 0.086 0.984 83.558 83.722 Jiuzhengang 69.104 69.142 0.125 0.959 86.432 86.432 0.138 0.956 83.669 GuanglongShijing River estuary 51.101 51.224 0.133 0.935 373.120 0.156 0.956 95.602 86.569 GuanglongShijing River estuary 51.101 51.224 0.133 0.952 86.434 0.138 0.950 80.789 80.780 GuanglongShijing River estuary 51.01 25.227 252.312 <td>Fujian</td> <td>Chatanggang</td> <td>85.309</td> <td>85.384</td> <td>0.117</td> <td>0.969</td> <td>164.445</td> <td>165.903</td> <td>0.079</td> <td>0.987</td> <td>132.004</td> <td>132.621</td> <td>0.090</td> <td>0.985</td>	Fujian	Chatanggang	85.309	85.384	0.117	0.969	164.445	165.903	0.079	0.987	132.004	132.621	0.090	0.985
Min River estuary 239.225 239.461 0.115 0.921 256.076 256.077 0.213 0.919 270.811 271.297 Xinghua Bay 51.967 51.994 0.126 0.922 165.279 165.468 0.113 0.924 89.684 89.698 Fuqing Bay 67.353 67.434 0.112 0.984 87.516 87.744 0.099 0.976 67.808 67.827 Shangwuyu 63.289 63.387 0.112 0.984 87.516 87.744 0.099 0.976 67.808 67.827 Mulanxi 77.767 77.817 0.122 0.981 121.368 122.564 0.077 0.989 74.173 74.336 Mulanxi 77.767 77.817 0.122 0.981 130.130 130.895 0.986 83.658 83.722 Guangong 68.147 0.105 0.956 91.379 91.402 0.138 93.658 83.722 Guangong 69.104 69.142 0.125 0.956 91.379 91.402 0.138 9.962 80.699 80.780 Guangong 51.101 51.224 0.101 0.963 80.237 0.138 0.952 80.699 80.780 Guangong 51.914 75.914 75.912 0.133 0.952 0.138 0.952 80.569 80.569 Guangong 51.910 75.212 252.312 0.133 0.925 80.436 80.55 80.496 80.569 <td></td> <td>Yacheng</td> <td>86.954</td> <td>87.020</td> <td>0.120</td> <td>0.966</td> <td>113.018</td> <td>113.270</td> <td>0.102</td> <td>0.981</td> <td>103.682</td> <td>103.813</td> <td>0.111</td> <td>0.963</td>		Yacheng	86.954	87.020	0.120	0.966	113.018	113.270	0.102	0.981	103.682	103.813	0.111	0.963
Xinghua Bay 51.967 51.94 0.126 0.922 165.279 165.468 0.113 0.924 89.684 89.698 Fuqing Bay 67.353 67.434 0.112 0.984 87.516 87.744 0.099 0.976 67.808 67.827 Shangwuyu 63.289 63.387 0.112 0.984 87.516 87.744 0.099 0.976 67.808 67.336 Mulanxi 77.767 77.817 0.122 0.981 121.368 122.564 0.077 0.989 74.173 74.336 Mulanxi 77.767 77.817 0.122 0.981 130.130 130.895 0.086 0.984 93.042 93.209 Jiuzhengang 68.288 68.417 0.105 0.956 91.379 91.402 0.138 0.962 83.558 83.722 Undanxi 77.767 77.817 0.122 0.981 86.432 86.454 0.138 0.962 80.599 80.780 Using River extuary 51.101 51.224 0.125 0.952 86.432 86.454 0.138 0.962 80.699 80.780 Guanghai 252.227 252.212 0.122 0.932 86.454 0.138 0.952 269.499 365.600 Leidong Peninsula 75.914 75.926 0.146 0.941 250.623 216.122 250.633 0.178 0.952 269.499 269.499 Leidong Peninsula 75.412 0.256 0.857 <td></td> <td>Min River estuary</td> <td>239.225</td> <td>239.461</td> <td>0.115</td> <td>0.951</td> <td>256.076</td> <td>256.077</td> <td>0.213</td> <td>0.919</td> <td>270.811</td> <td>271.297</td> <td>0.105</td> <td>0.958</td>		Min River estuary	239.225	239.461	0.115	0.951	256.076	256.077	0.213	0.919	270.811	271.297	0.105	0.958
Fuqing Bay67.35367.4340.1120.98487.51687.7440.0990.97667.80867.827Shangwuyu63.28963.3870.1080.974121.368122.5640.0770.98974.17374.336Mulanxi77.76777.8170.1220.981130.130130.8950.0860.98493.04293.209Jiuzhengang68.28868.4170.1050.95691.37991.4020.1380.95883.55883.723Chiyugang69.10469.1420.1250.95986.43286.43286.4540.1380.96280.780Chiyugang51.10151.2240.1010.96380.21880.2370.1390.95980.780Guanghai252.227252.3120.1330.935373.150373.1550.1550.950365.660Leidong Peninsula75.91475.9260.1460.941250.627250.6330.1780.952269.499269.588Guanghai251.24725.460.1360.941250.627250.6330.1780.952269.499269.588Leidong Peninsula75.91461.3540.2050.857112.097112.1270.9170.99995.84295.865Leidong Peninsula75.91461.3540.2050.857120.97250.6330.17895.29269.499269.588Leidong Peninsula61.35461.260.857112.097112.1270.999<		Xinghua Bay	51.967	51.994	0.126	0.922	165.279	165.468	0.113	0.924	89.684	869.68	0.146	0.883
Shangwuyu63.28963.3870.1080.974121.368122.5640.0770.98974.17374.336Mulanxi77.76777.8170.1220.981130.130130.8950.0860.98493.04293.209Jiuzhengang68.28868.4170.1050.95691.37991.4020.1380.95883.65883.722Chiyugang69.10469.1420.1050.95986.43286.4540.1380.96280.780Chiyugang69.10469.1420.1010.96386.2180.1380.95980.780Guanghai252.227252.3120.1010.96380.21880.2370.1390.95982.40682.512Leidong Peninsula75.91475.9260.1460.941250.627250.6330.1780.952269.499269.588Leidong Peninsula75.91475.9260.1460.941250.627250.6330.1780.952269.499269.588Beibu Gulf61.35461.3540.2050.857112.097112.1270.1370.90995.84295.865		Fuqing Bay	67.353	67.434	0.112	0.984	87.516	87.744	0.099	0.976	67.808	67.827	0.136	0.980
Mulanxi77.76777.8170.1220.981130.130130.8950.0860.98493.04293.209Jiuzhengang68.28868.4170.1050.95691.37991.4020.1380.95883.55883.722Chiyugang69.10469.1420.1250.95986.43286.4540.1380.96280.69980.780Chiyugang51.10151.2240.1010.96380.21880.2370.1390.95982.40682.512Guanghai252.227252.3120.1330.935373.120373.1550.1550.950365.538365.660Leidong Peninsula75.91475.9260.1460.941250.627250.6330.1780.952269.499269.588Beibu Gulf61.35461.3540.2050.857112.097112.1270.1370.90995.84295.865		Shangwuyu	63.289	63.387	0.108	0.974	121.368	122.564	0.077	0.989	74.173	74.336	0.102	0.983
Jiuzhengang 68.288 68.417 0.105 0.956 91.379 91.402 0.138 0.958 83.658 83.722 Chiyugang 69.104 69.142 0.125 0.959 86.432 86.454 0.138 0.962 80.699 80.780 Guangdong Shijing River estuary 51.101 51.224 0.101 0.963 80.218 80.237 0.139 0.959 80.780 Guangdong Shijing River estuary 51.101 51.224 0.101 0.963 80.218 80.237 0.139 0.959 80.780 Guanghai 252.227 252.312 0.133 0.935 373.120 373.155 0.155 0.950 365.538 365.660 Leidong Peninsula 75.914 75.926 0.146 0.941 250.627 250.633 0.178 0.952 269.499 269.588 Beibu Gulf 61.354 61.354 0.205 0.857 112.097 112.177 0.137 0.909 95.865 95.865		Mulanxi	77.767	77.817	0.122	0.981	130.130	130.895	0.086	0.984	93.042	93.209	0.105	0.976
Chiyugang 69.104 69.142 0.125 0.959 86.432 86.454 0.138 0.962 80.699 80.780 Guangdong Shijing River estuary 51.101 51.224 0.101 0.963 80.218 80.237 0.139 0.959 82.406 82.512 Guanghai 252.227 252.312 0.133 0.935 373.120 373.155 0.155 0.950 365.538 365.660 Leidong Peninsula 75.914 75.926 0.146 0.941 250.627 250.633 0.178 0.952 269.499 269.588 Beibu Gulf 61.354 61.354 0.205 0.857 112.097 112.127 0.137 0.909 95.842 95.865		Jiuzhengang	68.288	68.417	0.105	0.956	91.379	91.402	0.138	0.958	83.658	83.722	0.120	0.962
Guangdong Shijing River estuary 51.101 51.224 0.101 0.963 80.237 0.139 0.959 82.406 82.512 Guanghai 252.227 252.312 0.133 0.935 373.120 373.155 0.155 0.950 365.538 365.660 Leidong Peninsula 75.914 75.926 0.146 0.941 250.627 250.633 0.178 0.952 269.499 269.588 Guangxi Beibu Gulf 61.354 61.354 0.205 0.857 112.097 112.127 0.137 0.909 95.842 95.865		Chiyugang	69.104	69.142	0.125	0.959	86.432	86.454	0.138	0.962	80.699	80.780	0.115	0.978
Guanghai 252.227 252.312 0.133 0.935 373.120 373.155 0.155 0.950 365.538 365.660 Leidong Peninsula 75.914 75.926 0.146 0.941 250.627 250.633 0.178 0.952 269.499 269.588 Guangxi Beibu Gulf 61.354 0.205 0.857 112.097 112.127 0.137 0.909 95.842 95.865	Guangdong	Shijing River estuary	/ 51.101	51.224	0.101	0.963	80.218	80.237	0.139	0.959	82.406	82.512	0.111	0.972
Leidong Peninsula 75.914 75.926 0.146 0.941 250.627 250.633 0.178 0.952 269.499 269.588 Guangxi Beibu Gulf 61.354 0.205 0.857 112.097 112.127 0.137 0.909 95.842 95.865		Guanghai	252.227	252.312	0.133	0.935	373.120	373.155	0.155	0.950	365.538	365.660	0.133	0.941
Guangxi Beibu Gulf 61.354 61.354 0.205 0.857 112.097 112.127 0.137 0.909 95.842 95.865		Leidong Peninsula	75.914	75.926	0.146	0.941	250.627	250.633	0.178	0.952	269.499	269.588	0.134	0.943
D	Guangxi	Beibu Gulf	61.354	61.354	0.205	0.857	112.097	112.127	0.137	0.909	95.842	95.865	0.139	0.903

1 Supporting Information

2 Landscape Change Affects Soil Organic Carbon Mineralization

and Greenhouse Gas Production in Coastal Wetlands

- 4 Ping Yang^{1,2,3*}, Linhai Zhang^{1,2,3}, Derrick Y. F. Lai⁴, Hong Yang^{5,6}, Lishan Tan⁷,
- 5 Liangjuan Luo^{1,2}, Chuan Tong^{1,2,3*}, Yan Hong^{1,2}, Wanyi Zhu^{1,2}, Kam W. Tang^{8*}
- ¹School of Geographical Sciences, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, P.R.
 7 China,
- 8 ²Key Laboratory of Humid Subtropical Eco-geographical Process of Ministry of
- 9 Education, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, P.R. China
- ¹⁰ ³Research Centre of Wetlands in Subtropical Region, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou
- 11 350007, P.R. China
- ¹² ⁴Department of Geography and Resource Management, The Chinese University of Hong
- 13 Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong SAR 999077, China
- ¹⁴ ⁵State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research, East China Normal University,
- 15 Shanghai 200241, China
- ¹⁶ ⁶College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou
- 17 350007, China
- ¹⁸ ⁷Department of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Reading, Reading
- 19 *RG6 6AB, U.K.*
- 20 ⁸Department of Biosciences, Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP, U.K.
- 21
- 22
- 23 *Correspondence to:
- 24 Ping Yang (yangping528@sina.cn); Chuan Tong (tongch@fjnu.edu.cn); Kam W. Tang
- 25 (k.w.tang@swansea.ac.uk)

26 Supporting Information Summary

27 No. of pages: 4 No. of figures: 2

- 28 Page S3: Figure S1. Soil organic carbon mineralization rate in surface soil (0–20 cm)
- 29 from three wetland habitat types across the different coastal sites in China.
- 30 Page S4: Figure S2. Cumulative mineralization of soil organic carbon in surface soil
- 31 (0–20 cm) from three wetland habitat types across the different coastal sites in China.





34 wetland habitat types across different coastal sites in China.





37 from three wetland habitat types across different coastal sites in China.