

**The role of parvocellular and magnocellular shape maps in the deviation of spatially integrated 3D object representations**

E. Charles Leek

Department of Psychology

University of Liverpool, UK

&

Irene Reppa

School of Psychology

University of Swansea, UK

RUNNING HEAD: Commentary on Vannuscorps et al. (in press)

Correspondence:

Charles Leek PhD, Department of Psychology, University of Liverpool, R220 Eleanor Rathbone Building, Bedford Street South, Liverpool, L69 7ZA; UK. Tel: 0151 795 7650

Email: [Charles.leek@liverpool.ac.uk](mailto:Charles.leek@liverpool.ac.uk)

Irene Reppa PhD, School of Psychology, Faculty of Medicine, and Human and Health Sciences, Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP; UK

Email: [Irene.Reppa@Swansea.ac.uk](mailto:Irene.Reppa@Swansea.ac.uk)

Our ability to effectively process visual information necessitates the transformation of sensory input from retinotopic to non-retinotopic representations of scene content. One fundamental question concerns the mechanisms, types of representation, and coordinate systems, that mediate these transformations. The complexity of their interactions makes this a formidable challenge.

David's case presents with a highly specific deficit affecting the mapping between representations of sensory input based on retinotopic coordinates and higher-level non-retinotopic reference frames. To account for her performance, the authors outline a theoretical proposal that makes several important claims. Among those are: (1) the existence of a level of intermediate shape-centred representation (ISCRs - or 'shape maps') that mediates the mapping between retinotopic and body-centred/spatiotopic representations of scene content; (2) the parallel derivation of independent ISCRs encoding object shape information via parvocellular (P-cell) and magnocellular (M-cell) channels. David's impairment is assumed to arise from a selective deficit affecting the mapping between the proposed ISCR and body-centred/spatiotopic reference frames in the P-cell channel. This interesting proposal invites further speculation about the possible role of the proposed ISCRs in the derivation of spatially integrated representations of complex 3D object shapes – and their prospective role in object recognition. The question we discuss here is how this proposal might link to other recent work about the structure and functional organisation of object shape representations in human vision.

A growing body of evidence suggests that object representation in human vision is hierarchical, decompositional, and parts-based (e.g., Behrmann & Kimchi, 2003; Behrmann, Peterson, Moscovitch & Satoru, 2006; Biederman, 1987; Hoffman & Richards, 1984; Leek, Reppa & Arguin, 2005; Leek, Reppa, & Tipper, 2003; Reppa & Leek, 2003; Robertson & Lamb, 1981). These multi-level representations comprise elementary local features (e.g., edges and vertices), intermediate-level functional units (e.g., spatially bounded 2D regions approximating visible surface structure - Leek,

Reppa & Arguin, 2005; Reppa, Greville & Leek, 2015; Marr & Nishihara, 1978; Palmer & Rock, 1994), and (on some accounts) higher-order primitives such as volumetric parts (e.g., Biederman, 1987; Marr & Nishihara, 1978). Evidence for this complex decompositional representational structure comes from both studies of neurologically intact, and brain-damaged, individuals. For example, there are case reports of patients with acquired object recognition impairments who have difficulty distinguishing among 3D objects that comprise the same geometric parts arranged in different 3D spatial configurations (e.g., Behrmann & Kimchi, 2003; Behrmann et al., 2006); studies showing complementary patterns of local-global feature representation deficits following unilateral brain lesions (Robertson & Lamb, 1981), and parts-based object identification errors associated with so-called integrative agnosia (e.g., Humphreys & Riddoch, 1987; Leek, Patterson, Paul et al., 2012). Other work has shown that the derivation of representations of 3D objects involves parallel processing of shape information at multiple spatial scales across local and global image content (e.g., Davitt, Cristino, Wong et al., 2018; Leek, Roberts, Dundon et al., 2018; Leek, Roberts, Oliver et al., 2016; Pegna, Darque, Roberts et al., 2018).

So how might this evidence about the functional organisation of complex 3D object representations fit with the theoretical proposal outlined by Vannuscorps et al (in press), and with the pattern of performance in found in Davida? Of potential relevance is the model outlined by Bar and colleagues (e.g., Bar, 2003; Bar, Kassam, Ghuman et al., 2006; Kveraga, Boshyan & Bar, 2007 – see also Laycock, Crewther & Crewther, 2007 for a related idea). According to this model, object recognition involves recurrent parallel processing along two processing pathways: Slow, high contrast/high spatial frequency analyses of fine detail mediated by P-cell channels along ventral occipitotemporal cortex; and fast, low contrast/low spatial frequency analyses of coarse global shape mediated by M-cell channels via orbitofrontal cortex. The fast, low contrast, analyses of coarse global shape structure is assumed to facilitate recognition by providing recurrent top-down constraints on the derivation of structured (spatially integrated) object representations. In support of this proposal, Kveraga et al (2007) have shown differential activation of the M- and P-cell pathways during an image classification

task involving low contrast, achromatic, 'M-biased' versus chromatically defined, isoluminant (red-green), 'P-biased' stimuli.

There are interesting similarities between these two proposals that seem to merit further investigation. On the one hand, one might predict that a deficit affecting the mapping between the hypothesised P-cell ISCR shape maps and higher-order reference frames – as proposed for Davida, would have 'downstream' consequences for the derivation of spatially integrated object representations. This follows from the assumption that P-cell and M-cell channel information must be integrated across spatial scales, at some level of representation, into structured 3D shape descriptions. More specifically, in the case of Davida, one might predict that during the derivation of these spatially integrated representations there is a loss of information about fine (high contrast) local detail (via P-cell channels) and a relative preservation of coarse (low contrast) global structure (via M-cell channels). This seems consistent with Davida's difficulty in correctly computing the orientation of high contrast, but not low contrast, shape stimuli.

At the same time, a striking aspect of her performance profile is the apparent lack of impairment in object identification. It is tempting to speculate that the preservation of information flow through the M-cell channel ISCRs may be sufficient to support object identification – at least for certain kinds of stimuli (as well as other aspects of her performance including preserved orientation judgements for low-contrast defined 2D and 3D stimuli). Here it is perhaps relevant to note the relatively limited range of shape stimuli that Davida has been tested on which are largely restricted to simple object forms (e.g., letters, line drawings and abstract shapes). In contrast, the proposed deficit in Davida might be expected to cause problems for the integration of shape information across spatial scales during the derivation of more complex 3D object representations – which remains to be seen. Thus, to the extent that the perception of complex 3D objects requires the integration of ISCRs across spatial scales (that is, the integration of shape-centred maps across P-cell and M-cell channels), we might also expect Davida to show impairments in recognition tasks that require the discrimination of

shape in terms of local (P-cell) rather than global (M-cell) image structure (e.g., Behrmann et al, 2003; 2006; Kveraga et al, 2007; Oliver et al, 2018).

#### REFERENCES

Bar, M. (2003). A cortical mechanism for triggering top-down facilitation in visual object identification. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 15, 600-609.

Bar, M., Kassam, K.S., Ghuman, A.S., Boshyan, J., Schmid, A.M., Dale, A.M., Hamalainen, M.S., Marinkovic, K., Schacter, D.L., Rosen, B.R. & Halgren, E. (2006). Top-down facilitation of visual recognition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103, 449-454

Behrmann, M., Peterson, M.A., Moscovitch, M. & Satoru, S. (2006). Independent representation of parts and the relations between them: Evidence from integrative agnosia. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 32, 1169-1184.

Behrmann, M. & Kimchi, R. (2003). What does visual agnosia tell us about perceptual organisation and its relationship to object perception? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 29, 19-42.

Biederman, I. (1987). Recognition-by-components: A theory of human image understanding. *Psychological Review*, 94 (2), 115-147.

Davitt, L.I., Cristino, F., Wong, A.C.N. & Leek., E.C. (2014). Shape information mediating basic- and subordinate-level object recognition revealed by analyses of eye movements. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 40 (2), 451-456.

Hoffman, D.D., & Richards, W.A. (1984). Parts of recognition. *Cognition*. 18(1-3):65–96.

Humphreys, G.W, & Riddoch, M.J. (1987). A case of integrative visual agnosia. *Brain*, 110 (6), 1431-1462.

Kveraga, K., Boshyan, J. & Bar, M. (2007). Magnocellular projections as the trigger of top-down facilitation in recognition. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 27 (48), 13232-13240.

Laycock, R., Crewther, S.G & Crewther, D.P. (2007). A role for the 'magnocellular advantage' in visual impairments in neurodevelopmental and psychiatric disorders. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioural Reviews*, 31, 363-376.

Leek, E.C., Roberts, M., Oliver, Z.J., Cristino, F. & Pegna, A.J. (2016). Early differential sensitivity of evoked potentials to local and global shape during the perception of three-dimensional objects. *Neuropsychologia*, 89, 495-509.

Leek, E.C., Roberts, M., Dundon, N.M. & Pegna, A.J. (2018). Early sensitivity of evoked potentials to surface and volumetric structure during the visual perception of three-dimensional object shape. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 52 (11), 4453-4467.

Leek, E.C., Patterson, C., Paul, M.A., Rafal, R. & Cristino, F. (2012). Eye movement patterns during object recognition in visual agnosia. *Neuropsychologia*, 50 (9), 2142-2153.

Leek, E.C., Reppa, I., Rodriguez, E. & Arguin, M. (2009). Surface but not volumetric part structure mediates three-dimensional shape representation: Evidence from part-whole priming. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 62 (4), 814-830.

Leek, E.C., Reppa, I. & Arguin, M. (2005). The structure of three-dimensional object representations in human vision: Evidence from whole-part matching. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 31 (4), 668-684.

Leek, E. C., Reppa, I., & Tipper, S. P. (2003). Inhibition of return for objects and locations in static displays. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 65(3), 388-395.

Marr, D., & Nishihara, H.K. (1978). Representation and recognition of the spatial organisation of three-dimensional shapes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London: Series B. Biological Sciences*, 200 (1140), 269-294.

Oliver, Z., Cristino, F., Roberts, M.V., Pegna, A.J. & Leek, E.C. (2018). Stereo viewing modulates three-dimensional shape processing during object recognition: A high-density ERP study. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 44(4), 518-534.

Palmer, S. & Rock, I. (1994). Rethinking perceptual organization: The role of uniform connectedness. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 1(1), 29-55.

Pegna, A.J., Darque, A., Roberts, M.V. & Leek, E.C. (2018). Effects of stereoscopic disparity on early ERP components during classification of three-dimensional objects (2018). *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 71, (6), 1419-1430.

Reppa, I., Greville, W.J. & Leek, E.C. (2015). The role of surface-based representations of shape in visual object recognition. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 68 (12), 2351-2369.

Reppa, I., & Leek, E. C. (2003). The modulation of inhibition of return by object internal structure: Implications for theories of object-based attentional selection. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 10(2), 493-502.

Robertson, L.C. & Lamb, M.R. (1991). Neuropsychological contributions to theories of part/whole organisation. *Cognitive Psychology*, 23, 299-330.