# Convergence in Wasserstein Distance for Empirical Measures of Dirichlet Diffusion Processes on Manifolds* 

Feng-Yu Wang ${ }^{a,, b)}$<br>${ }^{a}$ ) Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China<br>${ }^{\text {b) }}$ Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Bay Campus, Swansea, SA1 8EN, United Kingdom

April 11, 2022


#### Abstract

Let $M$ be a $d$-dimensional connected compact Riemannian manifold with boundary $\partial M$, let $V \in C^{2}(M)$ such that $\mu(\mathrm{d} x):=\mathrm{e}^{V(x)} \mathrm{d} x$ is a probability measure, and let $X_{t}$ be the diffusion process generated by $L:=\Delta+\nabla V$ with $\tau:=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: X_{t} \in \partial M\right\}$. Consider the empirical measure $\mu_{t}:=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \delta_{X_{s}} \mathrm{~d} s$ under the condition $t<\tau$ for the diffusion process. If $d \leq 3$, then for any initial distribution not fully supported on $\partial M$, $$
\begin{aligned} & c \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}} \leq \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{T \geq t}\left\{t \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right]\right\} \\ & \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{T \geq t}\left\{t \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right]\right\} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}} \end{aligned}
$$ holds for some constant $c \in(0,1]$ with $c=1$ when $\partial M$ is convex, where $\mu_{0}:=\phi_{0}^{2} \mu$ for the first Dirichet eigenfunction $\phi_{0}$ of $L,\left\{\lambda_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 0}$ are the Dirichlet eigenvalues of $-L$ listed in the increasing order counting multiplicities, and the upper bound is finite if and only if $d \leq 3$. When $d=4, \sup _{T \geq t} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right]$ decays in the order $t^{-1} \log t$, while for $d \geq 5$ it behaves like $t^{-\frac{2}{d-2}}$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $M$ be a $d$-dimensional connected complete Riemannian manifold with a smooth boundary $\partial M$. Let $V \in C^{2}(M)$ such that $\mu(\mathrm{d} x)=\mathrm{e}^{V(x)} \mathrm{d} x$ is a probability measure on $M$, where $\mathrm{d} x$ is the Riemannian volume measure. Let $X_{t}$ be the diffusion process generated by $L:=\Delta+\nabla V$ with hitting time

$$
\tau:=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: X_{t} \in \partial M\right\}
$$

Denote by $\mathscr{P}$ the set of all probability measures on $M$, and let $\mathbb{E}^{\nu}$ be the expectation taken for the diffusion process with initial distribution $\nu \in \mathscr{P}$. We consider the empirical measure

$$
\mu_{t}:=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \delta_{X_{s}} \mathrm{~d} s, \quad t>0
$$

under the condition that $t<\tau$. Since $\tau=0$ when $X_{0} \in \partial M$, to ensure $\mathbb{P}^{\nu}(\tau>t)>0$, where $\mathbb{P}^{\nu}$ is the probability taken for the diffusion process with initial distribution $\nu$, we only consider

$$
\nu \in \mathscr{P}_{0}:=\left\{\nu \in \mathscr{P}: \nu\left(M^{\circ}\right)>0\right\}, \quad M^{\circ}:=M \backslash \partial M .
$$

Let $\mu_{0}=\phi_{0}^{2} \mu$, where $\phi_{0}$ is the first Dirichlet eigenfunction. We investigate the convergence rate of $\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid t<\tau\right]$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $\mathbb{W}_{2}$ is the $L^{2}$-Wasserstein distance induced by the Riemannian metric $\rho$. In general, for any $p \geq 1$,

$$
\mathbb{W}_{p}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right):=\inf _{\pi \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)}\left(\int_{M \times M} \rho(x, y)^{p} \pi(\mathrm{~d} x, \mathrm{~d} y)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \in \mathscr{P}
$$

where $\mathscr{C}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ is the set of all probability measures on $M \times M$ with marginal distributions $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$, and $\rho(x, y)$ is the Riemannian distance between $x$ and $y$, i.e. the length of the shortest curve on $M$ linking $x$ and $y$.

Recently, the convergence rate under $\mathbb{W}_{2}$ has been characterized in [21] for the empirical measures of the $L$-diffusion processes without boundary (i.e. $\partial M=\emptyset$ ) or with a reflecting boundary. Moreover, the convergence of $\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}^{\nu}, \mu_{0}\right)$ for the conditional empirical measure

$$
\mu_{t}^{\nu}:=\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left(\mu_{t} \mid t<\tau\right), \quad t>0
$$

is investigated in [20]. Comparing with $\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid t<\tau\right]$, in $\mu_{t}^{\nu}$ the conditional expectation inside the Wasserstein distance. According to [20], $\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}^{\nu}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2}$ behaves as $t^{-2}$, whereas the following result says that $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid t<\tau\right]$ decays at a slower rate, which coincides with the rate of $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\hat{\mu}_{t}, \mu\right)^{2}\right]$ given by [21, Theorems 1.1, 1.2], where $\hat{\mu}_{t}$ is the empirical measure of the reflecting diffusion process generated by $L$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\left\{\lambda_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 0}$ be the Dirichlet eigenvalues of $-L$ listed in the increasing order counting multiplicities. Then for any $\nu \in \mathscr{P}_{0}$, the following assertions hold.
(1) In general,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{t \sup _{T \geq t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right]\right\} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{t \inf _{T \geq t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right]\right\} \geq c \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\partial M$ is convex, then (1.2) holds for $c=1$ so that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right]\right\}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}} \text { uniformly in } T \geq t
$$

(2) When $d=4$, there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{T \geq t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \leq c t^{-1} \log t, \quad t \geq 2 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) When $d \geq 5$, there exist a constant $c>1$ such that

$$
c^{-1} t^{-\frac{2}{d-2}} \leq \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{1}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \leq \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \leq c t^{-\frac{2}{d-2}}, \quad T \geq t \geq 2
$$

Let $X_{t}^{0}$ be the diffusion process generated by $L_{0}:=L+2 \nabla \log \phi_{0}$ in $M^{\circ}$. It is well known that for any initial distribution supported on $M^{\circ}$, the law of $\left\{X_{s}^{0}: s \in[0, t]\right\}$ is the weak limit of the conditional distribution of $\left\{X_{s}: s \in[0, t]\right\}$ given $T<\tau$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the following is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1.
Corollary 1.2. Let $\mu_{t}^{0}=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \delta_{X_{s}^{0}} \mathrm{~d} s$. Let $\nu \in \mathscr{P}_{0}$ with $\nu\left(M^{\circ}\right)=1$.
(1) In general,

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}^{0}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}
$$

and there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{t \inf _{T \geq t}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}^{0}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} \geq c \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}
$$

If $\partial M$ is convex, then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}
$$

(2) When $d=4$, there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}^{0}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2}\right] \leq c t^{-1} \log t, \quad t \geq 2
$$

(3) When $d \geq 5$, there exists a constant $c>1$ such that

$$
c^{-1} t^{-\frac{2}{d-2}} \leq \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}^{0}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2}\right] \leq c t^{-\frac{2}{d-2}}, \quad t \geq 2
$$

In the next section, we first recall some facts on the Dirichlet semigroup and the diffusion semigroup $P_{t}^{0}$ generated by $L_{0}:=L+2 \nabla \log \phi_{0}$, then establish the Bismut derivative formula for $P_{t}^{0}$ which will be used to estimate the lower bound of $\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid t<\tau\right)$. With these preparations, we prove Propositions 3.1 and 4.1 in Sections 3 and 4 respectively, which imply Theorem 1.1 .

## 2 Some preparations

As in [21], we first recall some well known facts on the Dirichlet semigroup, see for instances [5. 6, 12, 19]. Let $\left\{\phi_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 0}$ be the eigenbasis of the Dirichlet operator $L$ in $L^{2}(\mu)$, with Dirichlet eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 0}$ of $-L$ listed in the increasing order counting multiplicities. Then $\lambda_{0}>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{m}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \alpha_{0} \sqrt{m}, \quad \alpha_{0}^{-1} m^{\frac{2}{d}} \leq \lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0} \leq \alpha_{0} m^{\frac{2}{d}}, \quad m \geq 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some constant $\alpha_{0}>1$. Let $\rho_{\partial}$ be the Riemannian distance function to the boundary $\partial M$. Then $\phi_{0}^{-1} \rho_{\partial}$ is bounded such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}<\infty, \quad p \in[1,3) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Dirichlet heat kernel has the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}^{D}(x, y)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{m} t} \phi_{m}(x) \phi_{m}(y), \quad t>0, x, y \in M \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbb{E}^{x}$ denote the expectation for the $L$-diffusion process starting at point $x$. Then Dirichlet diffusion semigroup generated by $L$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{t}^{D} f(x):=\mathbb{E}^{x}\left[f\left(X_{t}\right) 1_{\{t<\tau\}}\right]=\int_{M} p_{t}^{D}(x, y) f(y) \mu(\mathrm{d} y) \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{m} t} \mu\left(\phi_{m} f\right) \phi_{m}(x), \quad t>0, f \in L^{2}(\mu) . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{0} t} \mathbb{P}^{\nu}(t<\tau)\right\}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{0} t} \nu\left(P_{t}^{D} 1\right)\right\}=\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right) \nu\left(\phi_{0}\right), \quad \nu \in \mathscr{P}_{0} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{t}^{D}\right\|_{L^{p}(\mu) \rightarrow L^{q}(\mu)}:=\sup _{\mu\left(|f|^{p}\right) \leq 1}\left\|P_{t}^{D} f\right\|_{L^{q}(\mu)} \leq c \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t}(1 \wedge t)^{-\frac{d(q-p)}{2 p q}}, \quad t>0, q \geq p \geq 1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, let $L_{0}=L+2 \nabla \log \phi_{0}$. Noting that $L_{0} f=\phi_{0}^{-1} L\left(f \phi_{0}\right)+\lambda_{0} f, L_{0}$ is a self-adjoint operator in $L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$ and the associated semigroup $P_{t}^{0}:=\mathrm{e}^{t L_{0}}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}^{0} f=\mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{0} t} \phi_{0}^{-1} P_{t}^{D}\left(f \phi_{0}\right), \quad f \in L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $\left\{\phi_{0}^{-1} \phi_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 0}$ is an eigenbasis of $L_{0}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}\left(\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)=-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) \phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}, \quad P_{t}^{0}\left(\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) t} \phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}, \quad m \geq 0, t \geq 0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}^{0} f=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mu_{0}\left(f \phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) t} \phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}, \quad f \in L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the heat kernel of $P_{t}^{0}$ with respect to $\mu_{0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}^{0}(x, y)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)(x)\left(\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)(y) \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) t}, \quad x, y \in M, t>0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the intrinsic ultracontractivity, see for instance [13], there exists a constant $\alpha_{1} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{t}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}:=\sup _{\mu_{0}(|f|) \leq 1}\left\|P_{t}^{0} f-\mu_{0}(f)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\alpha_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) t}}{(1 \wedge t)^{\frac{d+2}{2}}}, \quad t>0 . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with the semigroup property and the contraction of $P_{t}^{0}$ in $L^{p}(\mu)$ for any $p \geq 1$, we find a constant $\alpha_{2} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{t}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}:=\sup _{\mu_{0}\left(|f|^{p}\right) \leq 1}\left\|P_{t}^{0} f-\mu_{0}(f)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \leq \alpha_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) t}, \quad t \geq 0, p \geq 1 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the interpolation theorem, (2.11) and (2.12) yield that for some constant $\alpha_{3}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{t}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow L^{q}\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \leq \alpha_{3} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) t}\{1 \wedge t\}^{-\frac{(d+2)(q-p)}{2 p q}}, t>0, \infty \geq q>p \geq 1 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By this and (2.8), there exists a constant $\alpha_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \alpha_{4} m^{\frac{d+2}{2 d}}, \quad m \geq 1 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the remainder of this section, we establish the Bismut derivative formula for $P_{t}^{0}$, which is not included by existing results due to the singularity of $\nabla \log \phi_{0}$ in $L_{0}$. Let $X_{t}^{0}$ be the diffusion process generated by $L_{0}$, which solves the following Itô SDE on $M^{\circ}$, see [8]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{I} X_{t}^{0}=\nabla\left(V+2 \log \phi_{0}\right)\left(X_{t}^{0}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sqrt{2} U_{t} \mathrm{~d} B_{t} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{t}$ is the $d$-dimensional Brownian motion, and $U_{t} \in O_{X_{t}^{0}}(M)$ is the horizontal lift of $X_{t}^{0}$ to the frame bundle $O(M)$. Let Ric and Hess be the Ricci curvature and the Hessian tensor on $M$ respectively. Then the Bakry-Emery curvature of $L_{0}$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Ric}_{L_{0}}:=\operatorname{Ric}-\operatorname{Hess}_{V+2 \log \phi_{0}} .
$$

Let $\operatorname{Ric}_{L^{0}}^{\#}\left(U_{t}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be defined by

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{Ric}_{L^{0}}^{\#}\left(U_{t}\right) a, b\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}=\operatorname{Ric}_{L_{0}}\left(U_{t} a, U_{t} b\right), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

We consider the following ODE on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{d}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} Q_{t}=-\operatorname{Ric}_{L^{0}}^{\#}\left(U_{t}\right) Q_{t}, \quad Q_{0}=I \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity matrix.

Lemma 2.1. For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist constants $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}^{x}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\delta_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\phi_{0}\left(X_{s}\right)\right\}^{-2} \mathrm{~d} s}\right] \leq \delta_{2} \phi_{0}^{-\varepsilon}(x) \mathrm{e}^{\delta_{2} t}, \quad t \geq 0, x \in M^{\circ} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,
(1) For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $p>1$, there exists a constant $\kappa>0$ such that

$$
\left|\nabla P_{t} f(x)\right|^{2} \leq \kappa \phi_{0}(x)^{-\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{\kappa t}\left\{P_{t}|\nabla f|^{2 p}(x)\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad f \in C_{b}^{1}(M) .
$$

(2) For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $p \geq 1$, there exists a constant $\kappa>0$ such that for any stopping time $\tau^{\prime}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}^{x}\left[\left\|Q_{t \wedge \tau^{\prime}}\right\|^{p}\right] \leq \kappa \phi_{0}(x)^{-\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{\kappa t}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Proof. Since $L \phi_{0}=-\lambda_{0} \phi_{0}, \phi_{0}>0$ in $M^{\circ},\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$ and $\left|\nabla \phi_{0}\right|$ is strictly positive in a neighborhood of $\partial M$, we find a constant $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
L_{0} \log \phi_{0}^{-1}=-\phi_{0}^{-1} L \phi_{0}+\phi_{0}^{-2}\left|\nabla \phi_{0}\right|^{2}-2 \phi_{0}^{-2}\left|\nabla \phi_{0}\right|^{2} \leq c_{1}-c_{2} \phi_{0}^{-2} .
$$

So, by (2.15) and Itô's formula, we obtain

$$
\mathrm{d} \log \phi_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{t}^{0}\right) \leq\left\{c_{1}-c_{2} \phi_{0}^{-2}\left(X_{t}^{0}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} t+\sqrt{2}\left\langle\nabla \log \phi_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{t}^{0}\right), U_{t} \mathrm{~d} B_{t}\right\rangle .
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}^{x} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\phi_{0}^{-2}\left(X_{s}^{0}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} s \leq c t+c \log \left(1+\phi_{0}^{-1}\right)(x), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c>0$, and for any constant $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{x}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\left.\delta c_{2} \int_{0}^{t} \phi_{0}^{-2}\left(X_{s}^{0}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} s}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}^{x}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\delta \log \phi_{0}^{-1}(x)+\delta \log \phi_{0}\left(X_{t}^{0}\right)+c_{1} \delta t-\delta \sqrt{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\nabla \log \phi_{0}\left(X_{s}^{0}\right), U_{s} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}\right\rangle}\right] \\
& \leq \mathrm{e}^{c_{1} \delta t} \phi_{0}^{-\delta}(x)\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{\infty}^{\delta}\left(\mathbb{E}^{x}\left[\mathrm{e}^{4 \delta^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left|\nabla \log \phi_{0}\right|^{2}\left(X_{s}^{0}\right) \mathrm{d} s}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $c_{3}=4\left\|\nabla \phi_{0}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}$, and take $\delta \in\left(0, c_{2} / c_{3}\right]$, we derive

$$
\mathbb{E}^{x}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\left.\delta c_{2} \int_{0}^{t} \phi_{0}^{-2}\left(X_{s}^{0}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} s}\right] \leq \mathrm{e}^{2 c_{1} \delta t} \phi_{0}^{-2 \delta}(x), \quad \delta \in\left(0, c_{2} / c_{3}\right] .
$$

This implies (2.17). Below we prove assertions (1) and (2) respectively.
Since $V \in C_{b}^{2}(M)$ and $\phi_{0} \in C_{b}^{2}(M)$ with $\phi_{0}>0$ in $M^{\circ}$, there exists a constant $\alpha_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{L_{0}}(U, U) \geq-\alpha_{1} \phi_{0}^{-1}(x)|U|^{2}, \quad x \in M^{\circ}, U \in T_{x} M \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.15), (2.19), and the formulas of Itô and Bochner, for fixed $t>0$ this implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}\left|\nabla P_{t-s}^{0} f\right|^{2}\left(X_{s}^{0}\right) \\
& \left.=\left\{L_{0}\left|\nabla P_{t-s}^{0} f\right|^{2}\left(X_{s}^{0}\right)-2\left\langle\nabla P_{t-s}^{0} f, \nabla L_{0} P_{t-s}^{0} f\right\rangle\right\} \mathrm{d} s+\left.\sqrt{2}\langle\nabla| \nabla P_{t-s}^{0} f\right|^{2}\left(X_{s}^{0}\right), U_{s} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\geq 2 \operatorname{Ric}_{L^{0}}\left(\nabla P_{t-s}^{0} f, \nabla P_{t-s}^{0} f\right)\left(X_{s}^{0}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\left.\sqrt{2}\langle\nabla| \nabla P_{t-s}^{0} f\right|^{2}\left(X_{s}^{0}\right), U_{s} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}\right\rangle \\
& \left.\left.\geq-\left.2 \alpha_{1}\left\{\phi_{0}^{-1} \mid \nabla P_{t-s}^{0} f\right)\right|^{2}\right\}\left(X_{s}^{0}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\left.\sqrt{2}\langle\nabla| \nabla P_{t-s}^{0} f\right|^{2}\left(X_{s}\right), U_{s} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\nabla P_{t} f(x)\right|^{2}=\mathbb{E}^{x}\left|\nabla P_{t} f\right|^{2}\left(X_{0}^{0}\right) \leq \mathbb{E}^{x}\left[|\nabla f|^{2}\left(X_{t}^{0}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 \int_{0}^{t} 2 \alpha_{1} \phi^{-1}\left(X_{u}^{0}\right) \mathrm{d} u}\right] \\
& \left.\leq\left\{\mathbb{E}^{x} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 \alpha_{1} p}{p-1} \int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left(X_{u}^{0}\right) \mathrm{d} u}\right]\right\}^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left\{P_{t}|\nabla f|^{2 p}(x)\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (2.17), we prove (1).
Next, by (2.16) and (2.19), we obtain

$$
\left\|Q_{t \wedge \tau^{\prime}}\right\| \leq \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left(X_{s}^{0}\right) \mathrm{d} s}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

This together with (2.17) implies (2).

Lemma 2.2. For any $t>0$ and $\gamma \in C^{1}([0, t])$ with $\gamma(0)=0$ and $\gamma(t)=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla P_{t}^{0} f(x)=\mathbb{E}^{x}\left[f\left(X_{t}^{0}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \gamma^{\prime}(s) Q_{s}^{*} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}\right], \quad x \in M^{\circ}, f \in \mathscr{B}_{b}\left(M^{\circ}\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, for any $\varepsilon>0$ and $p>1$, here exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla P_{t}^{0} f\right| \leq \frac{c \phi_{0}^{-\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1 \wedge t}}\left(P_{t}^{0}|f|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad t>0, f \in \mathscr{B}_{b}\left(M^{\circ}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since (2.21) follows from (2.20) with $\gamma(s):=\frac{t-s}{t}$ and Lemma 2.1(2), it suffices to prove the Bismut formula ( $(2.20)$. By an approximation argument, we only need to prove for $f \in C_{b}^{1}(M)$. The proof is standard by Elworthy-Li's martingale argument [7], see also [15]. By $\|\nabla f\|_{\infty}<\infty$ and Lemma [2.1(1) for $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{4}$, we find a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla P_{s}^{0} f\right|(x) \leq c_{1} \phi_{0}^{-1 / 4}(x), \quad s \in[0, t], x \in M^{\circ} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, since $L \phi_{0}=-\lambda_{0} \phi_{0}$ implies $L_{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}=\lambda_{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}$, by Itô's formula we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}^{x}\left[\phi_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{0}\right)\right] \leq \phi_{0}^{-1}(x) \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{0} t}, \quad t \geq 0, n \geq 1 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{n}:=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: \phi_{0}\left(X_{s}^{0}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}\right\} \uparrow \infty$ as $n \uparrow \infty$ by noting that the process $X_{t}^{0}$ is non-explosive in $M^{\circ}$.

Moreover, by Itô's formula, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}\left\langle\nabla P_{t-s}^{0} f\left(X_{s}^{0}\right), U_{s} Q_{s} a\right\rangle=\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Hess}_{P_{t-s} f}\left(U_{s} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}, U_{s} Q_{s} a\right)\left(X_{s}^{0}\right), \\
& \mathrm{d} P_{t-s} f\left(X_{s}^{0}\right)=\sqrt{2}\left\langle\nabla P_{t-s}^{0} f\left(X_{s}^{0}\right), U_{s} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}\right\rangle, \quad s \in[0, t] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the integration by part formula, this and $\gamma(0)=0$ imply

$$
\begin{align*}
- & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbb{E}^{x}\left[f\left(X_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{0}\right) \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{n}} \gamma^{\prime}(s)\left\langle Q_{s} a, \mathrm{~d} B_{s}\right\rangle\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{n}}\left\langle\nabla P_{t-s}^{0} f\left(X_{s}^{0}\right), U_{s} Q_{s} a\right\rangle \mathrm{d}(1-\gamma)(s)\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}\left[(1-\gamma)\left(t \wedge \tau_{n}\right)\left\langle\nabla P_{t-t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{0} f\left(X_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{0}\right), Q_{t \wedge \tau_{n}} a\right\rangle\right]-\left\langle\nabla P_{t} f(x), U_{0} a\right\rangle  \tag{2.24}\\
& -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{n}}(1-\gamma)(s) \mathrm{d}\left\langle\nabla P_{t-s}^{0} f\left(X_{s}^{0}\right), U_{s} Q_{s} a\right\rangle\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}\left[(1-\gamma)\left(t \wedge \tau_{n}\right)\left\langle\nabla P_{t-t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{0} f\left(X_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{0}\right), Q_{t \wedge \tau_{n}} a\right\rangle\right]-\left\langle\nabla P_{t} f(x), U_{0} a\right\rangle, \quad n \geq 1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\gamma$ is bounded with $\gamma(t)=1$ such that $(1-\gamma)\left(t \wedge \tau_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and (2.22), (2.23) and Lemma 2.1(2) imply

$$
\sup _{n \geq 1} \mathbb{E}^{x}\left[\left\langle\nabla P_{t-t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{0} f\left(X_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{0}\right), Q_{t \wedge \tau_{n}} a\right\rangle^{2}\right] \leq c_{1} \sup _{n \geq 1}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\phi_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{0}\right)\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}^{x}\left\|Q_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}\right\|^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}<\infty
$$

by the dominated convergence theorem, we may take $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.24) to derive (2.20).

## 3 Upper bound estimates

In this section we prove the following result which includes upper bound estimates in Theorem 1.1 .
Proposition 3.1. Let $\nu \in \mathscr{P}_{0}$.
(1) (1.1) holds.
(2) When $d=4$, there exists a constant $c>0$ such that (1.3) holds.
(3) When $d \geq 5$, there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{T \geq t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \leq c t^{-\frac{2}{d-2}}, \quad t \geq 2
$$

The main tool in the study of the upper bound estimate is the following inequality due to [1], see also [21, Lemma 2.3]: for any probability density $g \in L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(g \mu_{0}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \leq \int_{M} \frac{\left|\nabla L_{0}(g-1)\right|^{2}}{\mathscr{M}(g, 1)} \mathrm{d} \mu_{0} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{M}(a, b):=\frac{a-b}{\log a-\log b} 1_{\{a \wedge b>0\}}$. To apply this inequality, as in [21], we first modify $\mu_{t}$ by $\mu_{t, r}:=\mu_{t} P_{r}^{0}$ for some $r>0$, where for a probability measure $\nu$ on $M^{\circ}, \nu P_{r}^{0}$ is the law of the $L_{0}$-diffusion process $X_{r}^{0}$ with initial distribution $\nu$. Obviously, by (2.10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{t, r}:=\frac{\mathrm{d} \mu_{t, r}}{\mathrm{~d} \mu_{0}}=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} p_{r}^{0}\left(X_{s}, \cdot\right) \mathrm{d} s=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r} \psi_{m}(t) \phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \psi_{m}(t):=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\}\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s
\end{align*}
$$

which are well-defined on the event $\{t<\tau\}$.
Lemma 3.2. If $d \leq 3$ and $\nu=h \mu$ with $h \phi_{0}^{-1} \in L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$ for some $p>\frac{d+2}{2}$, then there exists $a$ constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{T \geq t}\left|t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right) \mid T<\tau\right]-2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}\right| \\
& \leq c t^{-1}\left(r^{-\frac{(d-2)^{+}}{2}}+1_{\{d=2\}} \log r^{-1}\right), \quad r \in(0,1], t \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By the Markov property, (2.7) and (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}^{x}\left[f\left(X_{s}\right) 1_{\{T<\tau\}}\right]=\mathbb{E}^{x}\left[1_{\{s<\tau\}} f\left(X_{s}\right) \mathbb{E}^{X_{s}} 1_{\{T-s<\tau\}}\right]  \tag{3.3}\\
& =P_{s}^{D}\left\{f P_{T-s}^{D} 1\right\}(x)=\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} T}\left(\phi_{0} P_{s}^{0}\left\{f P_{T-s}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\}\right)(x), \quad s<T .
\end{align*}
$$

By the same reason, and noting that $\mathbb{E}^{\nu}=\int_{M} \mathbb{E}^{x} \nu(\mathrm{~d} x)$, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[f\left(X_{s_{1}}\right) f\left(X_{s_{2}}\right)\right) 1_{\{T<\tau\}}\right]=\int_{M} \mathbb{E}^{x}\left[1_{\left\{s_{1}<\tau\right\}} f\left(X_{s_{1}}\right) \mathbb{E}^{X_{s_{1}}}\left\{f\left(X_{s_{2}-s_{1}}\right) 1_{\left\{T-s_{1}<\tau\right\}}\right\}\right] \nu(\mathrm{d} x) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} T} \nu\left(\phi_{0} P_{s_{1}}^{0}\left[f P_{s_{2}-s_{1}}^{0}\left\{f P_{T-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\}\right]\right), \quad s_{1}<s_{2}<T
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, the formula with $f=1$ yields

$$
\mathbb{P}^{\nu}(T<\tau)=\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} T} \nu\left(\phi_{0} P_{T}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)
$$

Combining these with (3.2), (2.8), $\mathbb{E}^{\nu}(\xi \mid T<\tau):=\frac{\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\xi 1_{\{T<\tau\}}\right]}{\mathbb{P}^{\nu}(T<\tau)}$ for an integrable random variable $\xi$, and the symmetry of $P_{t}^{0}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$, for $\nu=h \mu$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right) \mid T<\tau\right]=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\psi_{m}(t)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right]}{\mathrm{e}^{2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \int_{s_{1}}^{t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[1_{\{T<\tau\}}\left(\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\left(X_{s_{1}}\right)\left(\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\left(X_{s_{2}}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} s_{2}}{t \mathrm{e}^{2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) \nu\left(\phi_{0} P_{T}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \int_{s_{1}}^{t} \nu\left(\phi_{0}^{-1} P_{s_{1}}^{0}\left\{\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1} P_{s_{2}-s_{1}}^{0}\left[\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1} P_{T-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right]\right\}\right) \mathrm{d} s_{2}}{t \mathrm{e}^{2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) \nu\left(\phi_{0} P_{T}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)}  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \int_{s_{1}}^{t} \mu_{0}\left(\left\{P_{s_{1}}^{0}\left(h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right\} \phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1} P_{s_{2}-s_{1}}^{0}\left[\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1} P_{T-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right]\right) \mathrm{d} s_{2}}{t \mathrm{e}^{2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) \mu_{0}\left(\phi_{0}^{-1} P_{T}^{0}\left(h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.13), $\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}=1$ and $\left\|h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}=\mu\left(h \phi_{0}\right) \leq\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$, we find a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\mu_{0}\left(\phi_{0}^{-1} P_{T}^{0}\left(h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right)-\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right) \nu\left(\phi_{0}\right)\right| \leq\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\left(P_{T}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right)\left(h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \leq\left\|P_{T}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\left\|h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \leq c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) T}, \quad T \geq 1
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{0}\left(\left\{P_{s_{1}}^{0}\left(h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right\} \phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1} P_{s_{2}-s_{1}}^{0}\left[\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1} P_{T-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right]\right) \\
& =\nu\left(\phi_{0}\right) \mu\left(\phi_{0}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}+J_{1}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)+J_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)+J_{3}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where, due to (2.8),

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{1}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) & :=\mu_{0}\left(\left\{P_{s_{1}}^{0}\left(h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)-\mu\left(h \phi_{0}\right)\right\} \phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1} P_{s_{2}-s_{1}}^{0}\left[\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\left(P_{T-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}-\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)\right)\right]\right), \\
J_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) & :=\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)} \mu_{0}\left(\left\{P_{s_{1}}^{0}\left(h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)-\mu\left(h \phi_{0}\right)\right\}\left\{\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\}^{2}\right), \\
J_{3}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) & \left.:=\mu\left(h \phi_{0}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)} \mu_{0}\left(\left\{\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\}^{2}\left\{P_{T-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right]-\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we find a constant $\kappa>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{T \geq t}\left|t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right) \mid T<\tau\right]-2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}\right|  \tag{3.7}\\
& \leq \frac{\kappa}{t} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}}{\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \int_{s_{1}}^{t}\left|J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3}\right|\left(s_{2}, s_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s_{2}\right), \quad t \geq 1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\|h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}<\infty,\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\theta}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}<\infty$ for $\theta<3$ due to (2.2), $\left\|\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}=1$, by (2.13), for any $\theta \in\left(\frac{5}{2}, 3\right)$, we find constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|J_{1}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right| \leq c_{1}\left\|P_{s_{1}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\left\|P_{T-s_{2}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{\theta}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \leq c_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{1}+T-s_{2}\right)}\left(1 \wedge s_{1}\right)^{-\frac{d+}{2 p}}\left\{1 \wedge\left(T-s_{2}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{d+2}{2 \theta}},
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left(J_{2}+J_{3}\right)\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}\left(\left\|P_{s_{1}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{p \rightarrow \infty}+\left\|P_{T-s_{2}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{\theta}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leq c_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}\left(\left\{1 \wedge s_{1}\right\}^{-\frac{d+2}{2 p}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) s_{1}}+\left\{1 \wedge\left(T-s_{2}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{d+2}{2 \theta}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(t-s_{2}\right)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $q>\frac{5}{2}$ and $p>\frac{d+2}{2}$ imply $\frac{d+2}{2 q} \vee \frac{d+2}{2 p}<1$ for $d \leq 3$, by (3.8) and (3.9), we find a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \int_{s_{1}}^{t}\left|J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3}\right|\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s_{2} \leq \frac{c}{t}, \quad T \geq t \geq 1, m \geq 1
$$

Combining this with (3.7) and (2.1), we find constants $c_{3}, c_{4}, c_{5}, c_{6}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{T \geq t} \left\lvert\, t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\left.\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right) \mid T<\tau\right]-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}} \right\rvert\,\right.\right. \\
& \leq \frac{c_{3}}{t} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}}{\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}} \leq \frac{c_{4}}{t} \int_{1}^{\infty} s^{-\frac{2}{d}} \mathrm{e}^{-c_{5} s^{\frac{2}{d} r} \mathrm{~d} s \leq c_{6} t^{-1}\left(r^{-\frac{(d-2)^{+}}{2}}+1_{\{d=2\}} \log r^{-1}\right), \quad t \geq 1 .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.3. There exists a constant $c>0$ such that for any $t>0$ and nonnegative random variable $\xi \in \sigma\left(X_{s}: s \leq t\right)$,

$$
\sup _{T \geq t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu}[\xi \mid T<\tau] \leq c \mathbb{E}^{\nu}[\xi \mid t<\tau], \quad t \geq 1, \nu \in \mathscr{P}_{0}
$$

Proof. By the Markov property, (2.6) for $p=q=\infty$ and (2.5), we find constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\xi 1_{\{T<\tau\}}\right]=\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\xi 1_{\{t<\tau\}} P_{T-t}^{D} 1\left(X_{t}\right)\right] \leq c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0}(T-t)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\xi 1_{\{t<\tau\}}\right], \\
& \mathbb{P}^{\nu}(T<\tau) \geq c_{2} \mathbb{P}^{\nu}(t<\tau) \mathrm{e}^{-(T-t) \lambda_{0}}, \quad T \geq t \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\nu}[\xi \mid T<\tau]=\frac{\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\xi 1_{\{T<\tau\}}\right]}{\mathbb{P}^{\nu}(T<\tau} \leq \frac{c_{1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\xi 1_{\{t<\tau\}}\right]}{c_{2} \mathbb{P}^{\nu}(t<\tau)}=\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}} \mathbb{E}^{\nu}[\xi \mid t<\tau] .
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $d \leq 3$ and denote $\nu_{0}=\frac{\phi_{0}}{\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)} \mu$. For any $\varepsilon \in\left(\frac{d}{4} \vee \frac{d^{2}}{2 d+4}, 1\right) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{T \geq t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\rho_{t, r}(y)-1\right|^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \leq c \phi_{0}^{-2}(y) t^{-1} r^{-\varepsilon}, \quad t \geq 1, r \in(0,1], y \in M^{\circ}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, it suffices to prove for $T=t$ replacing $T \geq t$. For fixed $y \in M^{\circ}$, let $f=p_{r}^{0}(\cdot, y)-1$. We have

$$
\rho_{t, r}(y)-1=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} f\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\rho_{t, r}(y)-1\right|^{2} 1_{\{t<\tau\}}\right]=\frac{2}{t^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \int_{s_{1}}^{t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\{t<\tau\}} f\left(X_{s_{1}}\right) f\left(X_{s_{2}}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} s_{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.3), $\mu_{0}(f)=0$, and the symmetry of $P_{t}^{0}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& I:=\mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{0} t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\{t<\tau\}} f\left(X_{s_{1}}\right) f\left(X_{s_{2}}\right)\right]=\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)^{-1} \mu_{0}\left(P_{s_{1}}^{0}\left\{f P_{s_{2}-s_{1}}^{0}\left(f P_{t-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& =\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)^{-1} \mu_{0}\left(f P_{s_{2}-s_{1}}^{0}\left(f P_{t-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right)=\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)^{-1} \mu_{0}\left(\left\{f P_{t-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\} P_{s_{2}-s_{1}}^{0} f\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& =\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)^{-1} \mu_{0}\left(\left\{f P_{t-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\}\left\{P_{s_{2}-s_{1}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\} f\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $q \in\left(\frac{5}{2}, 3\right)$ so that $\varepsilon_{1}:=\frac{d+2}{2 q}<1$ for $d \leq 3$ and $\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}<\infty$ due to (2.2), for any $p \in(1,2]$ we deduce from this and (2.13) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu\left(\phi_{0}\right) I \leq\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\left\|P_{t-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\left\|\left(P_{s_{2}-s_{1}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right) f\right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \\
& \leq\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\left\|P_{t-s_{2}}^{0}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\left\|P_{s_{2}-s_{1}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}  \tag{3.12}\\
& \leq c_{1}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\left\{1 \wedge\left(t-s_{2}\right)\right\}^{-\varepsilon_{1}}\left\{1 \wedge\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{(d+2(2-p)}{2 p}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for some constants $c_{1}>0$. Since $f=p_{r}^{0}(\cdot, y)-1$ and $\inf \phi_{0}^{-1}>0$, by (2.6) and (2.7), we find constants $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \leq 1+\left\|p_{r}^{0}(\cdot, y)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \leq 1+\mathrm{e}^{r \lambda_{0}} \phi_{0}^{-1}(y)\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1} p_{r}^{D}(\cdot, y)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \\
& \leq 1+\beta_{1} \phi_{0}^{-1}(y)\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2-p}{p}}\left\|p_{r}^{D}(\cdot, y)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} \leq \beta_{2} \phi_{0}^{-1}(y) r^{-\frac{d(p-1)}{2 p}}, \quad r \in(0,1], p \in[1,2]
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (3.12) we find a constant $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
I \leq c_{2} \phi_{0}^{-2}(y) r^{-\frac{d(p-1)}{2 p}-\frac{d}{4}}\left\{1 \wedge\left(t-s_{2}\right)\right\}^{-\varepsilon_{1}}\left\{1 \wedge\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{(d+2)(2-p)}{2 p}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}, \quad p \in(1,2]
$$

Taking $p>p_{0}:=1 \vee \frac{2(d+2)}{d+6}$ such that

$$
\varepsilon_{2}:=\frac{(d+2)(2-p)}{4 p} \leq \frac{5(2-p)}{4 p}<1
$$

we arrive at

$$
I \leq c_{2} \phi^{-2}(y) r^{-\frac{d(p-1)}{2 p}-\frac{d}{4}}\left\{1 \wedge\left(t-s_{2}\right)\right\}^{-\varepsilon_{1}}\left\{1 \wedge\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)\right\}^{-\varepsilon_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}
$$

for some constants $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in(0,1)$. Combining this with (3.10), we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\rho_{t, r}(y)-1\right|^{2} \mid t<\tau\right] \leq c \phi_{0}^{-2}(y) t^{-1} r^{-\frac{d(p-1)}{2 p}-\frac{d}{4}}, \quad t \geq 1
$$

Noting that

$$
\lim _{p \downarrow p_{0}}\left\{\frac{d(p-1)}{2 p}+\frac{d}{4}\right\}=\frac{d}{4} \vee \frac{d^{2}}{2 d+4}<1 \text { for } d \leq 3,
$$

for any $\varepsilon \in\left(\frac{d}{4} \vee \frac{d^{2}}{2 d+4}, 1\right)$, there exists $p>p_{0}$ such that $\frac{d}{4} \vee \frac{d^{2}}{2 d+4} \leq \varepsilon$. Therefore, the proof is finished.

Lemma 3.5. Let $d \leq 3$ and denote $\psi_{m}(t)=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that for any $p \in[1,2]$,

$$
\sup _{T \geq t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\psi_{m}(t)\right|^{2 p} \mid t<\tau\right] \leq c m^{\frac{p(d+4)-d-8}{2 d}} t^{-p}, \quad t \geq 1, m \geq 1, r \in(0,1)
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, it suffices to prove for $T=t$ replacing $T \geq t$. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\psi_{m}(t)\right|^{2 p} \mid T<\tau\right] \leq\left\{\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\psi_{m}(t)\right|^{2} \mid T<\tau\right]\right\}^{2-p}\left\{\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\psi_{m}(t)\right|^{4} \mid T<\tau\right]\right\}^{p-1}
$$

Combining this with (2.5), it suffices to find a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\psi_{m}(t)\right|^{2} 1_{\{t<\tau\}}\right] \leq \frac{c \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t}}{t m^{\frac{2}{d}}}, \quad t \geq 1, r \in(0,1),  \tag{3.13}\\
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\psi_{m}(t)\right|^{4} 1_{\{t<\tau\}}\right] \leq c \sqrt{m} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t} t^{-2}, \quad t \geq 1, r \in(0,1) . \tag{3.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

(a) Proof of (3.13). Let $\hat{\phi}_{m}=\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\psi_{m}(t)\right|^{2} 1_{\{t<\tau\}}\right]=\frac{2}{t^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s_{1} \int_{s_{1}}^{t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\{t<\tau\}} \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{1}}\right) \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{2}}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} s_{2} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.8), (3.3), $\mu_{0}\left(\left|\hat{\phi}_{m}\right|^{2}\right)=1$, and the symmetry of $P_{t}^{0}$ in $L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$, we find a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{0} t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\{T<\tau\}} \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{1}}\right) \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{2}}\right)\right]=\nu_{0}\left(\phi_{0} P_{s_{1}}^{0}\left\{\hat{\phi}_{m} P_{s_{2}-s_{1}}^{0}\left(\hat{\phi}_{m} P_{t-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& \left.=\frac{1}{\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)} \mu_{0}\left(\hat{\phi}_{m} P_{s_{2}-s_{1}}^{0}\left(\hat{\phi}_{m} P_{t-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}}{\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)} \mu_{0}\left(\left|\hat{\phi}_{m}\right|^{2} P_{t-s_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right) \\
& \leq c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}\left\|P_{t-s_{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow \infty\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}, \quad p>1
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d \leq 3$, we may take $p \in(1,3)$ such that $\varepsilon:=\frac{d+2}{2 q}<1$ and $\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}<\infty$ due to (2.2), so that this and (2.13) imply

$$
\mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{0} t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\{t<\tau\}} \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{1}}\right) \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{2}}\right)\right] \leq c_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}\left\{1 \wedge\left(t-s_{2}\right)\right\}^{-\varepsilon}
$$

for some constant $c_{3}>0$. Therefore, (3.13) follows from (3.15) and (2.1).
(b) Proof of (3.14). For any $s>0$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& s^{4} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\psi_{m}(s)\right|^{4} 1_{\{s<\tau\}}\right] \\
& =24 \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{~d} s_{2} \int_{s_{1}}^{s} \mathrm{~d} s_{2} \int_{s_{2}}^{s} \mathrm{~d} s_{3} \int_{s_{3}}^{s} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\{s<\tau\}} \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{1}}\right) \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{2}}\right) \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{3}}\right) \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{4}}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} s_{4}  \tag{3.16}\\
& =24 \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{~d} s_{2} \int_{s_{1}}^{s} \mathrm{~d} s_{2} \int_{s_{2}}^{s} \mathrm{~d} s_{3} \int_{s_{3}}^{s} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\left\{s_{3}<\tau\right\}} \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{1}}\right) \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{2}}\right) g_{s}\left(s_{3}, s_{4}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} s_{4},
\end{align*}
$$

where due to (3.3) and the Markov property,

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{s}\left(s_{3}, s_{4}\right) & :=\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\{s<\tau\}} \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{3}}\right) \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{4}}\right) \mid X_{r}: r \leq s_{3}\right] \\
& =\hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{3}}\right) \mathbb{E}^{X_{s_{3}}}\left[1_{\left\{s-s_{3}<\tau\right\}} \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{s_{4}-s_{3}}\right)\right]  \tag{3.17}\\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0}\left(s-s_{3}\right)}\left\{\hat{\phi}_{m} \phi_{0} P_{s_{4}-s_{3}}^{0}\left(\hat{\phi}_{m} P_{s-s_{4}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right\}\left(X_{s_{3}}\right), \quad 0<s_{3}<s_{4} \leq s .
\end{align*}
$$

So, by Fubini's theorem and Schwarz's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(s):=s^{4} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{0} s} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\psi_{m}(s)\right|^{4} 1_{\{s<\tau\}}\right] \\
& =12 \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{0} s} \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{~d} r_{1} \int_{r_{1}}^{s} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\left\{r_{1}<\tau\right\}} g_{s}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\left|\int_{0}^{r_{1}} \hat{\phi}_{m}\left(X_{r}\right) \mathrm{d} r\right|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} r_{2} \\
& \leq 12 \sup _{r \in[0, s]} \sqrt{I(r)} \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{~d} r_{1} \int_{r_{1}}^{s}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{2 \lambda_{0} s-\lambda_{0} r_{1}} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\left\{r_{1}<\tau\right\}} g_{s}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} r_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t) \leq \sup _{s \in[0, t]} I(s) \leq\left(12 \sup _{s \in[0, t]} \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{~d} r_{1} \int_{r_{1}}^{s}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{0}\left(2 s-r_{1}\right)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\left\{r_{1}<\tau\right\}} g_{s}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~d} r_{2}\right)^{2} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by the definition of $\nu_{0}$, (3.3), (3.17) and that $\mu_{0}$ is $P_{t}^{0}$-invariant, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\left\{r_{1}<\tau\right\}}\left|g_{s}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2 \lambda_{0}\left(s-r_{1}\right)-\lambda_{0} r_{1}}}{\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)} \mu_{0}\left(P_{r_{1}}^{0}\left\{\phi_{0}^{-1}\left|\hat{\phi}_{m} \phi_{0} P_{r_{2}-r_{1}}^{0}\left(\hat{\phi}_{m} P_{s-r_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right|^{2}\right\}\right) \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0}\left(2 s-r_{1}\right)}}{\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)} \mu_{0}\left(\phi_{0}\left|\hat{\phi}_{m} P_{r_{2}-r_{1}}^{0}\left(\hat{\phi}_{m} P_{s-r_{2}}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right|^{2}\right)  \tag{3.19}\\
& \leq \frac{2 \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0}\left(2 s-r_{1}\right)}}{\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)} \mu_{0}\left(\phi_{0}\left\{\left|\hat{\phi}_{m}\left(P_{r_{2}-r_{1}}^{0} \hat{\phi}_{m}\right) \mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\hat{\phi}_{m} P_{r_{2}-r_{1}}^{0}\left(\hat{\phi}_{m}\left[P_{s-r_{2}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right] \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right|^{2}\right\}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by (3.17), (2.8), (3.3), $\mu_{0}\left(\left|\hat{\phi}_{m}\right|^{2}\right)=1$, and noting that $\mu_{0}$ is $P_{t}^{0}$-invariant, we find a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\left\{r_{1}<\tau\right\}}\left|g_{s}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right] \leq 2 \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0}\left(2 s-r_{1}\right)-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)}\left\|\phi_{m}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \mu_{0}\left(\left|\hat{\phi}_{m} \| P_{\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) / 2} \hat{\phi}_{m}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+2 \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0}\left(2 s-r_{1}\right)}\left\|\phi_{m}\right\|_{\infty}}{\mu\left(\phi_{0}\right)} \mu_{0}\left(\left|\hat{\phi}_{m}\right| \cdot\left|P_{r_{2}-r_{1}}^{0}\left(\hat{\phi}_{m}\left(P_{s-r_{2}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right) \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0}\left(2 s-r_{1}\right)}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)}\left\|\phi_{m}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|P_{\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) / 2}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow L^{4}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad+\left\|\phi_{m}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|P_{r_{2}-r_{1}}^{0}\left(\hat{\phi}_{m}\left[P_{s-r_{2}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right] \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.1), (2.13), $\left\|\hat{\phi}_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}=1,\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}<\infty$ and $\varepsilon:=\frac{d+2}{8} \vee \frac{d+2}{2 q}<1$ for $q \in\left(\frac{5}{2}, 3\right)$ due to (2.2) and $d \leq 3$, we find constants $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\phi_{m}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|P_{\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) / 2}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2} \leq c_{2} \sqrt{m}\left\{1 \wedge\left(r_{2}-r_{2}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{d}{4}},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\phi_{m}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|P_{r_{2}-r_{1}}^{0}\left(\hat{\phi}_{m}\left[P_{s-r_{2}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right] \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\phi_{m}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|P_{r_{2}-r_{1}}^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow L^{4}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2}\left\|\hat{\phi}_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2}\left\|\left(P_{s-r_{2}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right) \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\phi_{m}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|P_{r_{2}-r_{1}}^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow L^{4}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2}\left\|P_{s-r_{2}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2}\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq c_{2} \sqrt{m} \mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s-r_{2}\right)}\left\{1 \wedge\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)\right\}^{-2 \varepsilon}\left\{1 \wedge\left(s-r_{2}\right)\right\}^{-2 \varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, there exist constants $c_{3}>0$ and $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\left\{r_{1}<\tau\right\}}\left|g_{s}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right] & \leq c_{3} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0}\left(2 s-r_{1}\right)-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)} \sqrt{m}\{1
\end{array}\right)\left(r_{2}-r_{2}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{d}{4}} .
$$

Combining this with (3.18) and the definition of $I(t)$, we prove (3.14) for some constant $c>0$, and hence finish the proof.

Lemma 3.6. Let $d \leq 3$. Then for any $p \in\left(1, \frac{3 d+16}{5 d+8} \wedge \frac{d+2}{d+1}\right) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{r>0, T \geq t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2 p}\right) \mid T<\tau\right] \leq c t^{-p}, \quad t \geq 1 .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, it suffices to prove for $T=t$ replacing $T \geq t$. Let $p \in\left(1, \frac{3 d+16}{5 d+8} \wedge \frac{d+2}{d+1}\right)$, where $p>1$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p}{2 p-1}<1 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $p<\frac{3 d+16}{5 d+8} \wedge \frac{d+2}{d+1}$ implies

$$
\frac{(d+2)(2 p-2)}{4}+\frac{d(p-1)}{2}+\left(\frac{p(d+4)+d}{4}-2\right)^{+}<1,
$$

and hence there exists $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(d+2)(2 p-2+\varepsilon)}{4}+\frac{d(p-1)}{2}+\left(\frac{p(d+4)+d}{4}-2\right)^{+}<1 . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.13), (2.21), $L_{0}^{-1}=-\int_{0}^{\infty} P_{s}^{0} \mathrm{~d} s$, and applying Hölder's inequality, we find a constant $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M}\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2 p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{0} \leq \int_{M}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\nabla P_{s}^{0}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right| \mathrm{d} s\right)^{2 p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{0} \\
& \leq c_{1} \int_{M}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\left\{P_{\frac{s}{4}}^{0}\left|P_{\frac{3 s}{4}}^{0}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{p}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \mathrm{~d} s\right)^{2 p} \phi_{0}^{-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} \mu_{0}  \tag{3.22}\\
& \leq c_{1}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{-\frac{p}{2 p-1}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2 p \theta s}{2 p-1}} \mathrm{~d} s\right)^{\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\theta s} \mu_{0}\left(\phi_{0}^{-\varepsilon}\left\{P_{\frac{s}{4}}^{0}\left|P_{\frac{3 s}{4}}^{0}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{p}\right\}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s, \quad \theta>0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that $\frac{p}{2 p-1}<1$ due to (3.20), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{-\frac{p}{2 p-1}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2 p \theta s}{2 p-1}} \mathrm{~d}<\infty, \quad \theta>0 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $\left\|\phi_{0}^{-\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2 \varepsilon^{-1}}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}=1, \mu_{0}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)=0$, and $P_{t}^{0}$ is contractive in $L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$ for $p \geq 1$, by (2.13) and Hölder's inequality, we find a constant $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mu_{0}\left(\phi_{0}^{-\varepsilon}\left\{P_{\frac{s}{4}}^{0}\left|P_{\frac{3 s}{4}}^{0}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{p}\right\}^{2}\right) \leq\left\|P_{\frac{s}{4}}^{0}\left|P_{\frac{3 s}{4}}^{0}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{p}\right\|_{L^{2-\varepsilon}}^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \\
\leq\left\|P_{0}^{0}\right\|_{\frac{s}{4}}^{2} \|_{L^{\frac{4}{2-\varepsilon}}}^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)
\end{array}\left\|\left.\left(P_{\frac{s}{2}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right)\left(P_{\frac{s}{4}}^{0} \rho_{t, r}-1\right) \right\rvert\,\right\|_{L^{\frac{4}{2-1}}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2 p}\right) .
$$

Combining this with (3.23), we find a function $c:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\{t<\tau\}} \mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2 p}\right)\right] \\
& \leq c(\theta) \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\theta s}(1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{(d+2)(2 p-2+\varepsilon)}{4}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) p s} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\{t<\tau\}}\left\|P_{\frac{s}{4}}^{0} \rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2 p}\right] \mathrm{d} s, \quad \theta>0 . \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.8), (3.2) and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|P_{\frac{s}{4}}^{0} \rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2 p}=\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)(2 r+s / 2)}\left|\psi_{m}(t)\right|^{2}\right)^{p} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)(2 r+s / 2)}\right)^{p-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)(2 r+s / 2)}\left|\psi_{m}(t)\right|^{2 p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that (2.1) implies

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)(2 r+s / 2)} \leq a_{1} \int_{1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha_{2}(r+s / 2) t^{\frac{2}{d}}} \mathrm{~d} t \leq \alpha_{3}(1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{d}{2}}
$$

for some constants $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}>0$, we derive

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left.\left\|P_{\frac{s}{4}}^{0} \rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2 p} \right\rvert\, t<\tau\right] \leq c_{3}(1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{d(p-1)}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)(2 r+s / 2)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\psi_{m}(t)\right|^{2 p} \mid t<\tau\right]
$$

for some constant $c_{3}>0$. Combining this with Lemma 3.5, (2.1), we find constants $c_{4}, c_{5}, c_{6}, c_{7}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left.\left\|P_{\frac{s}{4}}^{0} \rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2 p} \right\rvert\, t<\tau\right] \leq c_{4} t^{-p}(1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{d(p-1)}{2}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-c_{5} s u^{\frac{2}{d}} u^{\frac{p(d+4)-d-8}{2 d}} \mathrm{~d} u} \\
& \leq c_{6} t^{-p}(1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{d(p-1)}{2}} s^{2-\frac{p(d+4)+d}{4}} \int_{s}^{\infty} t^{\frac{p(d+4)+d}{4}-3} \mathrm{e}^{-t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq c_{7} t^{-p}(1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{d(p-1)}{2}-\left(\frac{p(d+4)+d}{4}-2\right)^{+}} \log \left(2+s^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the term $\log \left(2+s^{-1}\right)$ comes when $\frac{p(d+4)+d}{4}-3=-1$. This together with (3.21) and (3.24) for $\theta \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)$ implies the desired estimate.

Lemma 3.7. Let $d \leq 3$. If $r_{t}=t^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in\left(1, \frac{4}{d} \wedge \frac{2 d+4}{d^{2}}\right) \neq \emptyset$, then $\rho_{t, r_{t}, r_{t}}:=$ $\left(1-r_{t}\right) \rho_{t, r_{t}}+r_{t}$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{T \geq t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\mathscr{M}\left(\rho_{t, r_{t}, r_{t}}, 1\right)^{-1}-1\right|^{q}\right) \mid T<\tau\right]=0, \quad q \geq 1
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, it suffices to prove for $T=t$ replacing $T \geq t$. By the same reason leading to (3.16) in [21], for any $\eta \in(0,1), y \in M$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\mathscr{M}\left(\rho_{t, r_{t}, r_{t}}(y), 1\right)^{-1}-1\right|^{q} \mid t<\tau\right] \leq\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\eta}}-\frac{2}{2+\eta}\right|^{q}+\mathbb{P}^{\nu_{0}}\left(\left|\rho_{t, r_{t}}(y)-1\right|>\eta\right)
$$

Combining this with Lemma 3.4 we find constants $c>0$ and $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \alpha^{-1}\right)$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\mathscr{M}\left(\rho_{t, r_{t}, r_{t}}(y), 1\right)^{-1}-1\right|^{q} \mid t<\tau\right] \leq\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\eta}}-\frac{2}{2+\eta}\right|^{q}+c \eta^{-1} \phi_{0}(y)^{-2} t^{-1+\alpha \varepsilon}
$$

Since $\mu_{0}\left(\phi_{0}^{-2}\right)=1$, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\mathscr{M}\left(\rho_{t, r_{t}, r_{t}}, 1\right)^{-1}-1\right|^{q}\right) \mid t<\tau\right] \leq\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\eta}}-\frac{2}{2+\eta}\right|^{q}+c \eta^{-1} t^{-1+\alpha \varepsilon}, \quad \eta \in(0,1), t \geq 1
$$

Noting that $\alpha \varepsilon<1$, by letting first $t \rightarrow \infty$ then $\eta \rightarrow 0$, we finish the proof.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\mu_{t, r, r}=\left(1+\rho_{t, r, r}\right) \mu_{0}$, where $\rho_{t, r, r}:=(1-r) \rho_{t, r}+r, r \in(0,1]$. Assume that $\nu=h \mu$ with $h \phi_{0}^{-1} \in L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$ for some $p>1$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{T \geq t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r, r}, \mu_{t}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \leq c r, \quad t>0, r \in(0,1]
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, it suffices to prove for $T=t$ replacing $T \geq t$. Firstly, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r, r}, \mu_{t, r}\right)^{2} \leq D^{2}\left\|\mu_{t, r, r}-\mu_{t, r}\right\|_{v a r}=D^{2} \mu_{0}\left(\left|\rho_{t, r, r}-\rho_{t, r}\right|\right) \leq 2 D^{2} r, \quad r \in(0,1] . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, by the definition of $\mu_{t, r}$, we have

$$
\pi(\mathrm{d} x, \mathrm{~d} y):=\mu_{t}(\mathrm{~d} x) P_{r}^{0}(x, \mathrm{~d} y) \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{t, r}\right)
$$

where $P_{r}^{0}(x, \cdot)$ is the distribution of $X_{r}^{0}$ starting at $x$. So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{t, r}\right)^{2} \leq \int_{M} \mathbb{E}^{x}\left[\rho\left(x, X_{r}^{0}\right)^{2}\right] \mu_{t}(\mathrm{~d} x) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by Itô's formula and $L_{0}=L+2 \nabla \log \phi_{0}$, we find a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\mathrm{d} \rho\left(x, X_{r}^{0}\right)^{2}=L_{0} \rho(x, \cdot)^{2}\left(X_{r}^{0}\right) \mathrm{d} r+\mathrm{d} M_{r} \leq\left\{c_{1}+c_{1} \phi_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{r}^{0}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} r+\mathrm{d} M_{r}
$$

holds for some martingale $M_{r}$. Combining this with (2.18), and noting that $\log \left(1+\phi_{0}^{-1}\right) \geq$ $\log \left(1+\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{\infty}^{-1}\right)>0$, we find a constant $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{t, r}\right)^{2} \leq c_{1} r+c_{1} \int_{M}\left(\mathbb{E}^{x} \int_{0}^{r} \phi_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{s}^{0}\right) \mathrm{d} s\right) \mu_{t}(\mathrm{~d} x) \\
& \leq c_{2} r \mu_{t}\left(\log \left(1+\phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right)=\frac{c_{2} r}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \log \left\{1+\phi_{0}^{-1}\left(X_{s}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} s, \quad r \in(0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (3.25), (3.3), $\left\|P_{t}^{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}=1$ for $t \geq 0$ and $p \geq 1$, and noting that

$$
\inf _{t \geq 0} \mu_{0}\left(h \phi_{0}^{-1} P_{t}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)>0
$$

we find constants $c_{3}, c_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r, r}, \mu_{t}\right)^{2} \mid t<\tau\right]=\frac{\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[1_{\{t<\tau\}} \mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r, r}, \mu_{t}\right)^{2}\right]}{\mathbb{P}^{\nu}(t<\tau)} \\
& \leq \frac{c_{3} r}{t \mu_{0}\left(h \phi_{0}^{-1} P_{t}^{0} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)} \int_{0}^{t} \mu_{0}\left(h \phi_{0}^{-1} P_{s}^{0} \log \left\{1+\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\}\right) \mathrm{d} s  \tag{3.27}\\
& \leq c_{3} r\left\|h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\left\|\log \left(1+\phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \leq c_{4} r, \quad r \in(0,1] .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with (3.25) we finish the proof.

We are now ready to prove the main result in this section.

Proof of Proposition 3.1(1). Since the upper bound is infinite for $d \geq 4$, it suffices to consider $d \leq 3$.
(a) We first assume that $\nu=h \mu$ with $h \leq C \phi_{0}$ for some constant $C>0$. In this case, by (2.5) and $\mathbb{E}^{\nu}=\int_{M} \mathbb{E}^{x} \nu(\mathrm{~d} x)$, there exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}^{\nu}(\cdot \mid t<\tau) \leq c_{0} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}(\cdot \mid t<\tau), \quad t \geq 1 \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mu_{t, r_{t}, r_{t}}=\left\{\left(1-r_{t}\right) \rho_{t, r_{t}}+r_{t}\right\} \mu_{0}$ with $r_{t}=t^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in\left(1, \frac{4}{d} \wedge \frac{2 d+4}{d^{2}}\right)$. By Lemma 3.8 and the triangle inequality of $\mathbb{W}_{2}$, there exists a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that for any $t \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid t<\tau\right] \leq(1+\varepsilon) \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r_{t}, r_{t}}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid t<\tau\right]+c_{1}\left(1+\varepsilon^{-1}\right) t^{-\alpha}, \quad \varepsilon>0 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (3.1), (3.28), Lemmas 3.2, 3.6 and 3.7, there exists $p>1$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r_{t}, r_{t}}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid t<\tau\right] \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\left.\int_{M} \frac{\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r_{t}}-1\right)\right|^{2}}{\mathscr{M}\left(\rho_{t, r_{t}, r_{t}}, 1\right)} \mathrm{d} \mu_{0} \right\rvert\, t<\tau\right] \\
& \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} t\left\{\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r_{t}}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{0} \mid t<\tau\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r_{t}}-1\right)\right|^{2 p}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{0} \mid t<\tau\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\left.\mu_{0}\left(\left|\mathscr{M}\left(\rho_{t, r_{t}, r_{t}}, 1\right)^{-1}-1\right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right) \right\rvert\, t<\tau\right]\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\right\} \\
& =\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r_{t}}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{0} \mid t<\tau\right] \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (3.29) where $\alpha>1$, we prove (1.1).
(b) In general, for any $t \geq 2$ and $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, we consider

$$
\mu_{t}^{\varepsilon}:=\frac{1}{t-\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^{t} \delta_{X_{s}} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

Letting $D$ be the diameter of $D$, we find a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}^{\varepsilon}, \mu_{t}\right)^{2} \leq D^{2}\left\|\mu_{t}-\mu_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{v a r} \leq c_{1} \varepsilon t^{-1}, \quad t \geq 2, \varepsilon \in(0,1) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by the Markov property we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[1_{\{t<\tau\}} \mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}^{\varepsilon}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[1_{\{\varepsilon<\tau\}} \mathbb{E}^{X_{\varepsilon}}\left(1_{\{t-\varepsilon<\tau\}} \mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t-\varepsilon}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbb{P}^{\nu}(\varepsilon<\tau) \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{\varepsilon}}\left[1_{\{t-\varepsilon<\tau\}} \mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t-\varepsilon}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{P}^{\nu_{\varepsilon}}(t-\varepsilon<\tau) \mathbb{P}^{\nu}(\varepsilon<\tau) \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{\varepsilon}}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t-\varepsilon}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid t-\varepsilon<\tau\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu_{\varepsilon}=h_{\varepsilon} \mu$ with

$$
h_{\varepsilon}(y):=\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}^{\nu}(\varepsilon<\tau)} \int_{M} p_{\varepsilon}^{D}(x, y) \nu(\mathrm{d} x) \leq c(\varepsilon, \nu) \phi_{0}(y)
$$

for some constant $c(\varepsilon, \nu)>0$. Moreover, by (2.3), (2.5) and $\nu_{\varepsilon}=h_{\varepsilon} \mu$, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}^{\nu_{\varepsilon}}(t-\varepsilon<\tau) \mathbb{P}^{\nu}(\varepsilon<\tau)}{\mathbb{P}^{\nu}(t<\tau)}=1
$$

So, (a) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}^{\varepsilon}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid t<\tau\right]\right\} \\
& =\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}^{\nu_{\varepsilon}}(t-\varepsilon<\tau) \mathbb{P}^{\nu}(\varepsilon<\tau)}{\mathbb{P}^{\nu}(t<\tau)}\left\{t \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{\varepsilon}}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t-\varepsilon}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid t-\varepsilon<\tau\right]\right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (3.30), we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid t<\tau\right]\right\} \\
& \leq\left(1+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\{t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}^{\varepsilon}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid t<\tau\right]\right\}+c_{1} \varepsilon\left(1+\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}+c_{1} \varepsilon\left(1+\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad \varepsilon \in(0,1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we derive (1.1).
Proof of Proposition 3.1(2)-(3). Let $d \geq 4$. By (3.30), it suffices to prove the desired estimates for $\mu_{t}^{1}$ replacing $\mu_{t}$. Therefore, we may and do assume $\nu=h \mu$ with $\left\|h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$. Since

$$
\lim _{p \downarrow p_{0}}\left\{\frac{d}{2}+\frac{(d+2)(p-1)}{2 p}-2\right\}=\frac{2(d-4)}{3}
$$

by Lemma 3.2(1), for any $k>\frac{2(d-4)}{3}$, there exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \leq c_{1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}+c_{1} t^{-1} r^{-k}\right. \\
& \leq c_{2}\left\{1+1_{\{d=4\}} \log r^{-1}+t^{-1} r^{-k}\right\}, \quad r \in(0,1), t \geq 1, T \geq t
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with the following inequality due to [11, Theorem 2] for $p=2$ :

$$
\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(f \mu_{0}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \leq 4 \mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}(f-1)\right|^{2}\right), \quad f \mu_{0} \in \mathscr{P}_{0}
$$

we obtain

$$
t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r, r}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \leq c\left\{r^{-\frac{d-4}{2}}+1_{\{d=4\}} \log r^{-1}+t^{-1} r^{-k}\right\}, \quad T \geq t \geq 1, r \in(0,1)
$$

By this and Lemma 3.8, we find a decreasing function $c:\left(\frac{2(d-4)}{3}, \infty\right) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \leq c(k)\left\{t^{-1} r^{-\frac{d-4}{2}}+t^{-1} 1_{\{d=4\}} \log r^{-1}+t^{-2} r^{-k}+r\right\} \\
& T \geq t \geq 1, r \in(0,1), k>\frac{2(d-4)}{3} \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

(a) Let $d=4$. We take $r=t^{-1}$ for $t>1$, such that (3.31) implies (1.3) for some constant $c>0$.
(b) When $d \geq 5$. Since

$$
\lim _{k \downarrow \frac{2(d-4)}{3}}\left\{2-\frac{2 k}{d-2}\right\}=\frac{2 d+4}{3(d-2)}>\frac{2}{d-2},
$$

there exists $k>\frac{2(d-4)}{3}$ such that $2-\frac{2 k}{d-2}>\frac{2}{d-2}$. So, we may take $r=t^{-\frac{2}{d-2}}$ for $t>1$ such that (3.31) implies the inequality in (3).

## 4 Lower bound estimate

This section devotes to the proof of the following result, which together with Proposition 3.1 implies Theorem 1.1 .

Proposition 4.1. Let $\nu \in \mathscr{P}_{0}$. There exists a constant $c>0$ such that (1.2) holds, and when $\partial M$ is convex it holds for $c=1$. Moreover, when $d \geq 5$, there exists a constant $c^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{T \geq t}\left\{t \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right) \mid T<\tau\right]\right\} \geq c^{\prime} t^{-\frac{2}{d-2}}, \quad t \geq 1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate the Wasserstein distance from below, we use the idea of [1] to construct a pair of functions in Kantorovich's dual formula, which leads to the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. There exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \geq \mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right)-c\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{7}{3}}\left(1+\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{3}}\right), \quad t, r>0 .
$$

Proof. Let $f=L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)$, and take

$$
\varphi_{\theta}^{\varepsilon}=-\varepsilon \log P_{\frac{\varepsilon \theta}{2}}^{0} \mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon^{-1} f}, \quad \theta \in[0,1], \varepsilon>0 .
$$

We have $\varphi_{0}=f$ and by [21, Lemma 2.9],

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}^{\varepsilon}(y)-f(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\rho(x, y)^{2}+\varepsilon\left\|\left(L_{0} f\right)^{+}\right\|_{\infty}+c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla f\|_{\infty}^{2}\right\}, \\
& \mu_{0}\left(f-\varphi_{1}^{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu_{0}\left(|\nabla f|^{2}\right)+c_{1} \varepsilon^{-1}\|\nabla f\|_{\infty}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $L_{0} f=\rho_{t, r}-1$, this and the integration by parts formula imply

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2}+\varepsilon\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}+c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla f\|_{\infty}^{2} \geq \mu_{0}\left(\varphi_{1}^{\varepsilon}\right)-\mu_{t, r}(f)  \tag{4.2}\\
& \quad=\mu_{0}\left(\varphi_{1}^{\varepsilon}-f\right)-\mu_{0}\left(f L_{0} f\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1} f\right|^{2}\right)-c_{1} \varepsilon^{-1}\|\nabla f\|_{\infty}^{4}, \quad \varepsilon>0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, by Lemma 2.1(1) for $p=\infty$ and (2.12), we find constants $c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla f\|_{\infty}=\left\|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|\nabla P_{s}^{0}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right\|_{\infty} \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq c_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1+s^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left\|P_{s / 2}^{0}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right\|_{\infty} \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq c_{3}\left\|\rho_{t . r}-1\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1+s^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) s / 2} \mathrm{~d} s \leq c_{4}\left\|\rho_{t . r}-1\right\|_{\infty} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (4.2) we find a constant $c_{5}>0$ such that
$\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \geq \mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1} f\right|^{2}\right)-c_{5}\left\{\varepsilon\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}^{2}+\varepsilon^{-1}\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}^{4}\right\}, \quad \varepsilon>0$.
By taking $\varepsilon=\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{4}{3}}$ we finish the proof.
By Lemma 4.2, to derive a sharp lower bound of $\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2}$, we need to estimate $\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}$ and $\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right) \mid T<\tau\right]$, which are included in the following three lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. For any $r>0$ and $\nu=h \mu$ with $\left\|h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$, there exists a constant $c(r)>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{T \geq t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}^{4} \mid T<\tau\right] \leq c(r) t^{-2}, \quad t \geq 1
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 and (3.28), it suffices to prove for $\nu=\nu_{0}$ and $T=t$ replacing $T \geq t$, i.e. for a constant $c(r)>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}^{4} \mid t<\tau\right] \leq c(r) t^{-2}, \quad t \geq 1 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.19), (2.8), (2.12), and $\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}=1$, we find a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\left\{r_{1}<\tau\right\}}\left|g_{s}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0}\left(2 s-\lambda_{1}\right)}\left\|\hat{\phi}_{m}\right\|_{\infty}^{4}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)}+\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s-r_{2}\right)}\right\}, \quad s>r_{2}>r_{1}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.18) and $\mathbb{P}^{\nu_{0}}(t<\tau) \geq c_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t}$ for some constant $c_{0}>0$ and all $t \geq 1$, this implies

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\psi_{m}(t)\right|^{4} \mid t<\tau\right]:=\frac{\mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left|\psi_{m}(t)\right|^{4} 1_{\{t<\tau\}}\right]}{P^{\nu_{0}}(t<\tau)} \leq c_{2}\left\|\hat{\phi}_{m}\right\|_{\infty}^{4} t^{-2}, \quad m \geq 1, t>1
$$

for some constant $c_{2}>0$. Combining with (3.2) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}^{4} \mid t<\tau\right] \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}\left\|\hat{\phi}_{m}\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)^{3} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r} e^{\lambda_{0} t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_{0}}\left[1_{\left\{r_{1}<\tau\right\}}\left|\psi_{m}(t)\right|^{4}\right] \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}\left\|\hat{\phi}_{m}\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)^{3} c_{2} t^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}\left\|\hat{\phi}_{m}\right\|_{\infty}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.1) and (2.14), this implies (4.3) for some constant $c(r)>0$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\nu=h \mu$ with $\left\|h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$. Then for any $r>0$ there exists a constant $c(r)>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{T \geq t}\left|t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right) \mid T<\tau\right]-2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{c(r)}{t}, t \geq 1
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{J_{i}: i=1,2,3\right\}$ be in (3.6). By (2.12), (2.14), and $\left\|\hat{\phi}_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}=1$, we find a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that for any $T \geq t \geq s_{2} \geq s_{1}>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|J_{1}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right| & \leq\left\|h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|P_{s_{1}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\left\|\left.\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right|_{\infty} ^{2}\right\| P_{T-s_{2}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\left\|_{L^{1}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\right\| \phi_{0}^{-1} \|_{L^{1}\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \\
& \leq c_{1}\left\|\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(t+s_{1}-s_{2}\right)}, \\
\left|J_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right| & \leq\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}\left\|h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|P_{s_{1}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \\
& \leq c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) s_{2}}, \\
\left|J_{3}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right| & \leq\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}\left\|\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\left\|P_{T-s_{2}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \\
& \leq c_{1}\left\|\phi_{m} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(t-s_{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting these into (3.7) and applying (2.1) and (2.14), we find a constant $c(r)>0$ such that the desired estimate holds.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\nu=h \mu$ with $\left\|h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$. Then for any $r>0$ and $p \geq 2$, there exists a constant $c(r, p)>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\left.\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2 p}\right\|_{L^{2 p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \leq c(r, p), \quad t>0 .
$$

Proof. Since $\rho_{t, r}=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} p_{r}^{0}\left(X_{s}, \cdot\right) \mathrm{d} s$, we have $\mu_{0}\left(\rho_{t, r}\right)=1$ and $\left\|\rho_{t, r}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|p_{r}^{0}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$. Then by (2.12) and $\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}=1$, we find a constant $c_{1}(r)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{0}\left(\phi_{0}^{-1}\left\{P_{\frac{s}{4}}^{0}\left|P_{\frac{3 s}{4}}^{0}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{p}\right\}^{2}\right) \leq\left\|\phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\left\|\left(P_{\frac{3 s}{4}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right) \rho_{t, r}\right\|_{L^{4 p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2 p} \\
& \leq\left\|P_{\frac{3 s}{4}}^{0}-\mu_{0}\right\|_{L^{4 p}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}^{2 p}\left\|\rho_{t, r}\right\|_{\infty}^{2 p} \leq c_{1}(r) \mathrm{e}^{-3\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (3.22) for $\varepsilon=1$ and $\theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}}\right)$, we finish the proof.

Finally, since $\mu_{t, r}=\mu_{t} P_{r}^{0}$, to derive a lower bound of $\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)$ from that of $\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r}, \mu_{0}\right)$, we present the following result.

Lemma 4.6. There exist two constants $K_{1}, K_{2}>0$ such that for any probability measures $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ on $M^{\circ}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{1} P_{t}^{0}, \mu_{2} P_{t}^{0}\right) \leq K_{1} \mathrm{e}^{K_{2} t} \mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\partial M$ is convex, this estimate holds for $K_{1}=1$.

Proof. When $\partial M$ is convex, by [20, Lemma 2.16], there exists a constant $K$ such that

$$
\text { Ric }-\operatorname{Hess}_{V+2 \log \phi_{0}} \geq-K
$$

so that the desired estimate holds for $K_{1}=1$ and $K_{2}=K$, see [14].
In general, following the line of [18], we make the boundary from non-convex to convex by using a conformal change of metric. Let $N$ be the inward normal unit vector field of $\partial M$. Then the second fundamental form of $\partial M$ is a two-tensor on the tangent space of $\partial M$ defined by

$$
\mathbb{I}(X, Y):=-\left\langle\nabla_{X} N, Y\right\rangle, \quad X, Y \in T \partial M
$$

Since $M$ is compact, we find a function $f \in C_{b}^{\infty}(M)$ such that $f \geq 1, N \| \nabla f$ on $\partial M$, and $\left.N \log f\right|_{\partial M}+\mathbb{I}(u, u) \geq 0$ holds on $\partial M$ for any $u \in T \partial M$ with $|u|=1$. By [18, Lemma 2.1] or [19, Theorem 1.2.5], $\partial M$ is convex under the metric

$$
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle^{\prime}=f^{-2}\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle .
$$

Let $\Delta^{\prime}, \nabla^{\prime}$ and Hess ${ }^{\prime}$ be the Laplacian, gradient and Hessian induced by the new metric $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle^{\prime}$. We have $\nabla^{\prime}=f^{2} \nabla$ and (see (2.2) in [16])

$$
L_{0}=f^{-2} \Delta^{\prime}+f^{-2} \nabla^{\prime}\left\{V+2 \log \phi_{0}+(d-2) f^{-1}\right\} .
$$

Then the $L_{0}$-diffusion process $X_{t}^{0}$ with $X_{0}^{0}$ having distribution $\mu_{1}$ can be constructed by solving the following Itô SDE on $M^{\circ}$ with metric $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle^{\prime}$ (see [2])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{I} X_{t}^{0}=\left\{f^{-2} \nabla^{\prime}\left(V+2 \log \phi_{0}+(d-2) f^{-1}\right)\right\}\left(X_{t}^{0}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sqrt{2} f^{-1}\left(X_{t}^{0}\right) U_{t} \mathrm{~d} B_{t} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{t}$ is the $d$-dimensional Brownian motion, and $U_{t}$ is the horizontal lift of $X_{t}^{0}$ to the frame bundle $O^{\prime}(M)$ with respect to the metric $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle^{\prime}$.

Let $Y_{0}^{0}$ be a random variable independent of $B_{t}$ with distribution $\mu_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left[\rho\left(X_{0}^{0}, Y_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $x, y \in M^{\circ}$, let $P_{x, y}^{\prime}: T_{x} M \rightarrow T_{y} M$ be the parallel transform along the minimal geodesic from $x$ to $y$ induced by the metric $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle^{\prime}$, which is contained in $M^{\circ}$ by the convexity. Consider the coupling by parallel displacement

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{I} Y_{t}^{0}=\left\{f^{-2} \nabla^{\prime}\left(V+2 \log \phi_{0}+(d-2) f^{-1}\right)\right\}\left(Y_{t}^{0}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sqrt{2} f^{-1}\left(Y_{t}^{0}\right) P_{X_{t}^{0}, Y_{t}^{0}}^{\prime} U_{t} \mathrm{~d} B_{t} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As explained in [2, Section 3], we may assume that $\left(M^{\circ},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle^{\prime}\right)$ does not have cut-locus such that $P_{x, y}^{\prime}$ is a smooth map, which ensures the existence and uniqueness of $Y_{t}^{0}$. Since the distributions of $X_{0}^{0}$ and $Y_{0}^{0}$ are $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ respectively, the law of $\left(X_{t}^{0}, Y_{t}^{0}\right)$ is in the class $\mathscr{C}\left(\mu_{1} P_{t}^{0}, \mu_{2} P_{t}^{0}\right)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{1} P_{t}^{0}, \mu_{2} P_{t}^{0}\right)^{2} \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\rho\left(X_{t}^{0}, Y_{t}^{0}\right)^{2}\right], \quad t \geq 0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\rho^{\prime}(x, y)$ be the Riemannian distance between $x$ and $y$ induced by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle^{\prime}:=f^{-2}\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. By $1 \leq f \in C_{b}^{\infty}(M)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\infty}^{-1} \rho \leq \rho^{\prime} \leq \rho \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since except the term $f^{-2} \nabla^{\prime} \log \phi_{0}$, all coefficients in the SDEs are in $C_{b}^{\infty}(M)$, by Itô's formula, there exists a constant $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \rho^{\prime}\left(X_{t}^{0}, Y_{t}^{0}\right)^{2} \leq\left\{K \rho^{\prime}\left(X_{t}^{0}, Y_{t}^{0}\right)^{2}+I\right\} \mathrm{d} t+\mathrm{d} M_{t} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{t}$ is a martingale and

$$
I:=\left\langle\left(f^{-2} \nabla^{\prime} \log \phi_{0}\right)\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \dot{\gamma}_{1}\right\rangle^{\prime}-\left\langle\left(f^{-2} \nabla^{\prime} \log \phi_{0}\right)\left(\gamma_{0}\right), \dot{\gamma}_{0}\right\rangle^{\prime} .
$$

Let $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ be the minimal geodesic from $X_{t}^{0}$ to $Y_{t}^{0}$ induced by the metric $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle^{\prime}$, which is contained in $M^{\circ}$ by the convexity, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left\langle\left(f^{-2} \nabla^{\prime} \log \phi_{0}\right)\left(\gamma_{s}\right), \dot{\gamma}_{s}\right\rangle^{\prime} \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\frac{f^{-2}\left(\gamma_{s}\right) \operatorname{Hess}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\left(\dot{\gamma}_{s}, \dot{\gamma}_{s}\right)+\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} f^{-2}\left(\gamma_{s}\right), \dot{\gamma}_{s}\right\rangle^{\prime}\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} \phi_{0}\left(\gamma_{s}\right), \dot{\gamma}_{s}\right\rangle^{\prime}}{\phi_{0}\left(\gamma_{s}\right)}-\frac{\left\{\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} \phi_{0}\left(\gamma_{s}\right), \dot{\gamma}_{s}\right\rangle^{\prime}\right\}^{2}}{\left(f^{2} \phi_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\gamma_{s}\right)}\right\} \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left\{\left(\phi_{0}^{-1} f^{-2}\right)\left(\gamma_{s}\right) \operatorname{Hess}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\left(\dot{\gamma}_{s}, \dot{\gamma}_{s}\right)+\frac{f^{2}}{4}\left[\left\langle\nabla^{\prime} f^{-2}\left(\gamma_{s}\right), \dot{\gamma}_{s}\right\rangle^{\prime}\right]^{2}\right\} \mathrm{d} s \leq C \rho^{\prime}\left(X_{t}^{0}, Y_{t}^{0}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $C>0$, where the last step is due to $\left\langle\dot{\gamma}_{s}, \dot{\gamma}_{s}\right\rangle^{\prime}=\rho^{\prime}\left(X_{t}^{0}, Y_{t}^{0}\right)^{2}, 1 \leq f \in$ $C_{b}^{\infty}(M)$, and that by the proof of [20, Lemma 2.1] the convexity of $\partial M$ under $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle^{\prime}$ implies $\operatorname{Hess}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime} \leq c \phi_{0}$ for some constant $c>0$. This and (4.10) yield

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\rho^{\prime}\left(X_{t}^{0}, Y_{t}^{0}\right)^{2}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\rho^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{0}, Y_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{e}^{(K+C) t}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Combining this with (4.6) and (4.9), we prove (4.4) for some constant $K_{1}, K_{2}>0$.
We are now ready to prove the main result in this section.
Proof of Proposition 4.1. (a) According to (3.30), it suffices to prove for $\nu=h \mu$ with $\left\|h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$. Let $r>0$ be fixed. By Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \geq t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[1_{\left\{\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon\right\}} \mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \\
& \geq t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[1_{\left\{\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon\right\}} \mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right) \mid T<\tau\right]-c \varepsilon^{2}  \tag{4.11}\\
& \geq t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right) \mid T<\tau\right]-c \varepsilon^{2} \\
& \quad-t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[1_{\left\{\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}>\varepsilon\right\}} \mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right) \mid T<\tau\right], \quad \varepsilon>0, T \geq t
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.5 with $p=3$, we find some constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[1_{\left\{\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}>\varepsilon\right\}} \mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right) \mid T<\tau\right] \leq c_{1} t\left\{\mathbb{P}^{\nu}\left(\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}>\varepsilon \mid T<\tau\right)\right\}^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& \leq c_{1} t \varepsilon^{-\frac{8}{3}}\left\{\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left(\left\|\rho_{t, r}-1\right\|_{\infty}^{4} \mid T<\tau\right)\right\}^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq c_{2} \varepsilon^{-\frac{8}{3}} t^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \quad T \geq t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (4.11) and Lemma 4.4, we find a constant $c_{3}>0$ such that

$$
t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \geq t \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mu_{0}\left(\left|\nabla L_{0}^{-1}\left(\rho_{t, r}-1\right)\right|^{2}\right) \mid T<\tau\right]-\varepsilon_{t}
$$

$$
\geq 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}-\varepsilon_{t}-c_{3} t^{-1}, \quad T \geq t \geq 1
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon_{t}:=\inf _{\varepsilon>0}\left\{c \varepsilon^{2}+c_{2} \varepsilon^{-\frac{8}{3}} t^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right\} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{T \geq t}\left\{t E^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t, r}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right]\right\} \geq 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}, \quad r>0
$$

Combining this with Lemma 4.6, we derive

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{T \geq t}\left\{t E^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right]\right\} \geq 2 K_{1}^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{-K_{1} r} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right) r}}{\left(\lambda_{m}-\lambda_{0}\right)^{2}}, \quad r>0
$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 0$ we prove (1.2) for $c=K_{1}^{-1}$. By Lemma 4.6, we may take $c=1$ when $\partial M$ is convex.
(b) The second assertion can be proved as in [21, Subsection 4.2]. For any $t \geq 1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mu_{N}:=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{X_{t_{i}}}$, where $t_{i}:=\frac{(i-1) t}{N}, 1 \leq i \leq N$. [10, Proposition 4.2] (see also [9, Corollary 12.14]) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{W}_{1}\left(\mu_{N}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \geq c_{0} N^{-\frac{2}{d}}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, t \geq 1 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c_{0}>0$. Write

$$
\mu_{t}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{N}{t} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} \delta_{X_{s}} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

By the convexity of $\mathbb{W}_{2}^{2}$, which follows from the Kantorovich dual formula, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\mu_{N}, \mu_{t}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{N}{t} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} \mathbb{W}_{2}\left(\delta_{X_{t_{i}}}, \delta_{X_{s}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} s=\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} \rho\left(X_{t_{i}}, X_{s}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by the Markov property,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\rho\left(X_{t_{i}}, X_{s}\right)^{2} 1_{\{T<\tau\}}\right]=\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[1_{\left\{t_{i}<\tau\right\}} P_{s-t_{i}}^{D}\left\{\rho\left(X_{t_{i}}, \cdot\right)^{2} P_{T-s}^{D} 1\right\}\left(X_{t_{i}}\right)\right] \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P_{t}^{D} 1 \leq c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t}$ for some constant $c_{1}>0$ and all $t \geq 0$, (2.7) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{s-t_{i}}^{D}\left\{\rho(x, \cdot)^{2} P_{T-s}^{D} 1\right\}(x) \\
& \leq c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0}(T-s)} P_{s-t_{i}}^{D} \rho(x, \cdot)^{2}(x) \leq c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0}(T-s)} \phi_{0}(x) P_{s-t_{i}}^{0}\left\{\rho(x, \cdot)^{2} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\}(x) \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
L_{0}\left\{\rho(x, \cdot)^{2} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\} \leq c_{2} \phi_{0}^{-2}
$$

holds on $M^{\circ}$ for some constant $c_{2}>0$. So, by (2.18), we find a constant $c_{3}>0$ such that

$$
P_{s-t_{i}}^{0}\left\{\rho(x, \cdot)^{2} \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\}(x) \leq c_{2} \mathbb{E}^{x} \int_{0}^{s-t_{i}} \phi_{0}^{-2}\left(X_{r}\right) \mathrm{d} r \leq c_{3}\left(s-t_{i}\right) \log \left(1+\phi_{0}^{-1}(x)\right)
$$

Combining this with (4.14) and (4.15), and using $P_{t}^{D} 1 \leq c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t}$ observed above, we find a constant $c_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\rho\left(X_{t_{i}}, X_{s}\right)^{2} 1_{\{T<\tau\}}\right] \leq c_{4} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} T} \nu\left(\log \left(1+\phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right)\left(s-t_{i}\right) \\
& \leq c_{4}\left\|h \phi_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} \mu\left(\phi_{0} \log \left(1+\log \phi_{0}^{-1}\right)\right)\left(s-t_{i}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} T} \leq c_{5}\left(s-t_{i}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} T}, \quad s \geq t_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathbb{P}^{\nu}(T<\tau) \geq c_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} T}$ for some constant $c_{0}>0$ and all $T \geq 1$, we find a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\rho\left(X_{t_{i}}, X_{s}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \leq c\left(s-t_{i}\right), \quad s \geq t_{i} .
$$

Combining this with (4.12) and (4.13), we find a constant $c_{6}>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{1}\left(\mu_{t}, \mu_{0}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \geq \frac{c_{1}}{2} N^{-\frac{2}{d}}-c_{6} t N^{-1}, \quad T \geq t
$$

Taking $N=\sup \left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: i \leq \alpha t^{\frac{d}{d-2}}\right\}$ for some $\alpha>0$, we derive

$$
t^{\frac{2}{d-2}} \inf _{T \geq t}\left\{\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{1}\left(\mu_{0}, \mu_{t}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right]\right\} \geq \frac{c_{2}}{2 \alpha^{\frac{2}{d}}}-\frac{2 c^{\prime}}{\alpha}, \quad t \geq 1 .
$$

Therefore,

$$
t^{\frac{2}{d-2}} \inf _{T \geq t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathbb{W}_{1}\left(\mu_{0}, \mu_{t}\right)^{2} \mid T<\tau\right] \geq \sup _{\alpha>0}\left(\frac{c_{2}}{2 \alpha^{\frac{2}{d}}}-\frac{2 c^{\prime}}{\alpha}\right)>0, \quad t \geq 1
$$
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