

# CANCELLATION THEOREM FOR FRAMED MOTIVES OF ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

A. ANANYEVSKIY, G. GARKUSHA, AND I. PANIN

ABSTRACT. The machinery of framed (pre)sheaves was developed by Voevodsky [V1]. Based on the theory, framed motives of algebraic varieties are introduced and studied in [GP1]. An analog of Voevodsky's Cancellation Theorem [V2] is proved in this paper for framed motives stating that a natural map of framed  $S^1$ -spectra

$$M_{fr}(X)(n) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X)(n+1)), \quad n \geq 0,$$

is a schemewise stable equivalence, where  $M_{fr}(X)(n)$  is the  $n$ th twisted framed motive of  $X$ . This result is also necessary for the proof of the main theorem of [GP1] computing fibrant resolutions of suspension  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -spectra  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty X_+$  with  $X$  a smooth algebraic variety.

The Cancellation Theorem for framed motives is reduced to the Cancellation Theorem for linear framed motives stating that the natural map of complexes of abelian groups

$$\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{F}(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{F}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1)), \quad X, Y \in \mathrm{Sm}/k,$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, where  $\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{F}(X, Y)$  is the group of stable linear framed correspondences in the sense of [GP1].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The main goal of the Voevodsky theory on framed correspondences (see [V1, Introduction]) is to suggest a new approach to the stable motivic homotopy theory  $SH(k)$  over a field  $k$ . This approach is more amenable to explicit calculations. Recall that Voevodsky [V1, Section 2] invented a category of framed correspondences  $Fr_*(k)$  whose objects are those of  $\mathrm{Sm}/k$  and morphisms sets  $Fr_*(X, Y) = \sqcup_{n \geq 0} Fr_n(X, Y)$  are defined by means of certain geometric data. The elements of  $Fr_n(X, Y)$  are called *framed correspondences of level  $n$* . The definition of  $Fr_*(k)$  is recalled in Section 2 below. For every  $Y \in \mathrm{Sm}/k$  there is a distinguished morphism  $\sigma_Y = (Y \times 0, Y \times \mathbb{A}^1, t, pr_Y) \in Fr_1(Y, Y)$ . Following Voevodsky [V1], we denote by

$$Fr(X, Y) := \mathrm{colim}(Fr_0(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sigma_Y} Fr_1(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sigma_Y} \dots \xrightarrow{\sigma_Y} Fr_n(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sigma_Y} \dots)$$

and refer to it as the *set of stable framed correspondences*. Replacing  $Y$  by a simplicial object  $Y^\bullet$  in  $\mathrm{Sm}/k$ , we get a simplicial set  $Fr(X, Y^\bullet)$ . Finally, one can take the diagonal of the pointed bisimplicial set  $Fr(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y^\bullet)$ . Voevodsky conjectured that if the motivic space  $Fr(\Delta^\bullet \times -, Y^\bullet)$  is locally connected in the Nisnevich topology, then it is isomorphic in  $H_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$  to the motivic space  $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty(Y_+^\bullet)$ . In particular, the theory of framed correspondences gives a machinery for computing motivic infinite loop spaces.

Inspired by the Voevodsky theory [V1], the theory of framed motives of algebraic varieties is introduced and developed in [GP1]. As an application, the above Voevodsky conjecture is solved in [GP1, Section 10] in the affirmative. Moreover, under the above assumption on  $Y^\bullet$  the motivic space  $Fr(\Delta^\bullet \times -, Y^\bullet)$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local. This result can be regarded as a motivic counterpart of the Segal

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F42, 14F05.

*Key words and phrases.* Motivic homotopy theory, framed motives, cancellation theorem.

theorem. Also, an alternative approach to the classical Morel–Voevodsky [MV] stable homotopy theory  $SH(k)$  is suggested in [GP3], which is based on the machinery of framed bispectra. One of the key steps in the computations of [GP1, GP3] is Theorem A proved in this paper. Theorem A is the main result of the present paper. In order to state it, we have to recall some definitions and constructions from [GP1].

The framed motive of  $X \in Sm/k$  is an explicitly constructed  $S^1$ -spectrum  $M_{fr}(X)$ , which is connected and an  $\Omega$ -spectrum in positive degrees (see [GP1] for details). Following the notation of [GP1, Section 8] let  $\mathbb{G}$  be the cone  $(\mathbb{G}_m)_+ // pt_+$  of the embedding  $pt_+ \xrightarrow{1} (\mathbb{G}_m)_+$  in the category of pointed simplicial presheaves  $sPre_\bullet(Sm/k)$ . Its sheafification is represented in the category  $\Delta^{op}(Fr_0(k))$  by the object  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}$  (see [GP1, Notation 8.1]). For any integer  $n \geq 1$  let  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}$  be the  $n$ th monoidal power of  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}$  in the symmetric monoidal category  $\Delta^{op}(Fr_0(k))$  (see [GP1, Notation 8.1]). For a variety  $X \in Sm/k$  let  $M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})$  be the framed motive of the simplicial object  $X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n} \in \Delta^{op}(Fr_0(k))$ . It is an explicitly constructed  $S^1$ -spectrum which is connected and an  $\Omega$ -spectrum in positive degrees (see [GP1, Sections 5 and 6] for details). For brevity we also write  $M_{fr}(X)(n)$  to denote  $M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})$  and call  $M_{fr}(X)(n)$  the  $n$ -twisted framed motive of  $X$  (see [GP1, Section 11]). The main object of [GP1] is the bispectrum

$$M_{fr}^{\mathbb{G}}(X) = (M_{fr}(X), M_{fr}(X)(1), M_{fr}(X)(2), \dots),$$

each term of which is a twisted framed motive of  $X$  and structure maps of the bispectra

$$M_{fr}(X)(n) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X)(n+1)),$$

are defined in [GP1, Section 11] (we use [GP1, “General Framework” of Section 5]).

The major property of the bispectrum  $M_{fr}^{\mathbb{G}}(X)$  is that its levelwise Nisnevich local stable replacement  $M_{fr}^{\mathbb{G}}(X)_f$  is a fibrant replacement of the suspension bispectrum  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{\infty} \Sigma_{S^1}^{\infty} X_+$ . This may also be viewed as a motivic version of the Barratt, Priddy, and Quillen theorem. The proof of this major property is given in [GP1] and is heavily based on the Cancellation Theorem.

The main purpose of the paper is to prove the following (cf. Voevodsky [V2])

**Theorem A** (Cancellation). *Let  $k$  be an infinite perfect field,  $X \in Sm/k$  and  $n \geq 0$ . Then the following statements are true:*

- (1) *the natural map of  $S^1$ -spectra*

$$M_{fr}(X)(n) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X)(n+1))$$

*is a schemewise stable equivalence;*

- (2) *the induced map of  $S^1$ -spectra*

$$M_{fr}(X)(n)_f \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X)(n+1)_f)$$

*is a schemewise stable equivalence. Here  $M_{fr}(X)(n)_f$  and  $M_{fr}(X)(n+1)_f$  are Nisnevich local stable fibrant replacements of  $M_{fr}(X)(n)$  and  $M_{fr}(X)(n+1)$  in the injective local stable model structure of  $S^1$ -spectra.*

As an application of Theorem A we prove the following

**Theorem B.** *Let  $k$  be an infinite perfect field,  $X \in Sm/k$  and  $n \geq 0$ . Then the bispectrum*

$$M_{fr}^{\mathbb{G}}(X)_f = (M_{fr}(X)_f, M_{fr}(X)(1)_f, M_{fr}(X)(2)_f, \dots)$$

*obtained from  $M_{fr}^{\mathbb{G}}(X)$  by taking levelwise Nisnevich local stable fibrant replacements with structure maps those of Theorem A(2) is a motivically fibrant  $(S^1, \mathbb{G})$ -bispectrum.*

The main strategy of proving Theorem A is to reduce it to the ‘‘Linear Cancellation Theorem’’. In order to formulate it, recall from [GP1, Definition 8.3] that the category  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$  is the additive category whose objects are those of  $Sm/k$  with Hom-groups described in Definition 2.4. Briefly speaking, for every  $n \geq 0$  and  $X, Y \in Sm/k$  we set

$$\mathbb{Z}F_n(X, Y) := \mathbb{Z}Fr_n(X, Y) / \langle Z_1 \sqcup Z_2 - Z_1 - Z_2 \rangle,$$

where  $Z_1, Z_2$  are supports of framed correspondences level  $n$  in the sense of Voevodsky [V1] (see Definition 2.4 as well). In other words,  $\mathbb{Z}F_n(X, Y)$  is the free abelian group generated by the framed correspondences of level  $n$  with connected supports. We then set

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)}(X, Y) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}F_n(X, Y).$$

Given smooth varieties  $X, Y \in Sm/k$  and  $n \geq 0$ , there is a canonical suspension morphism  $\Sigma : \mathbb{Z}F_n(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}F_{n+1}(X, Y)$ . We can stabilise in the  $\Sigma$ -direction to get an abelian group (see Definition 2.6)

$$\mathbb{Z}F(X, Y) := \mathrm{colim}(\mathbb{Z}F_0(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}F_1(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \dots).$$

The presheaf  $\mathbb{Z}F(Y) := \mathbb{Z}F(-, Y)$  has a canonical structure of a  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$ -presheaf. For each scheme  $Y \in Sm/k$  and each scheme  $S \in Sm/k$  pointed at a  $k$ -rational point  $s \in S$ , the natural functor

$$\boxtimes : \mathrm{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)) \times \mathrm{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}F_0(k)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}F_*(k))$$

defined on p. 6 takes the pair  $(\mathbb{Z}F(Y), (S, s))$  to the  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$ -presheaf  $\mathbb{Z}F(Y) \boxtimes (S, s)$  which we also denote by  $\mathbb{Z}F(Y \wedge (S, s))$ . By the General Framework of [GP1, Section 5] (also see p. 6) one has a  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$ -presheaf  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}((S, s), \mathbb{Z}F(Y \wedge (S, s)))$  together with a morphism of  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$ -presheaves

$$\mathbb{Z}F(Y) \xrightarrow{-\boxtimes(S, s)} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}((S, s), \mathbb{Z}F(Y \wedge (S, s))).$$

The Linear Cancellation Theorem is formulated as follows (see Section 2 for details).

**Theorem C (Linear Cancellation).** *Let  $k$  be an infinite perfect field and let  $Y$  be a  $k$ -smooth scheme. Then*

$$-\boxtimes(\mathbb{G}_m, 1) : \mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, Y) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}((\mathbb{G}_m, 1), \mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1)))$$

*is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes of  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$ -presheaves of abelian groups. Here  $\Delta^\bullet$  is the standard cosimplicial object in  $Sm/k$ .*

One of the main computational results of [GNP] says that schemewise homology of the complex  $\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, Y)$  computes homology of the framed motive  $M_{fr}(Y)$  of  $Y \in Sm/k$ . Moreover, the complex represents the ‘‘linear framed motive’’ of  $Y$  (see [GNP] for details).

Throughout the paper the base field  $k$  is supposed to be infinite. We also employ the following notation:

- all schemes are separated Noetherian  $k$ -schemes, all morphisms of schemes are  $k$ -morphisms; write  $\mathrm{pt}$  for the scheme  $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ .
- $Sm/k$  is the category of smooth  $k$ -schemes of finite type;
- we refer to the objects of  $Sm/k$  as  $k$ -smooth schemes or smooth  $k$ -schemes;
- Following [GrD], by an essentially smooth  $k$ -scheme we mean a Noetherian  $k$ -scheme  $X$  which is the inverse limit of a left filtering system  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  with each transition morphism  $X_i \rightarrow X_j$  being an étale affine morphism between smooth  $k$ -schemes.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect basic facts for framed correspondences. We start with preparations.

Let  $V$  be a scheme and  $Z$  be a closed subscheme. Recall that an *étale neighborhood of  $Z$  in  $V$*  is a triple  $(W', \pi' : W' \rightarrow V, s' : Z \rightarrow W')$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\pi'$  is an étale morphism;
- (ii)  $\pi' \circ s'$  coincides with the inclusion  $Z \hookrightarrow V$  (thus  $s'$  is a closed embedding);
- (iii)  $(\pi')^{-1}(Z) = s'(Z)$ .

A morphism between two étale neighborhoods  $(W', \pi', s') \rightarrow (W'', \pi'', s'')$  of  $Z$  in  $V$  is a morphism  $\rho : W' \rightarrow W''$  such that  $\pi'' \circ \rho = \pi'$  and  $\rho \circ s' = s''$ . Note that such  $\rho$  is automatically étale by [EGA4, VI.4.7].

**Definition 2.1** (Voevodsky [V1]). For  $k$ -smooth schemes  $X, Y$  and  $n \geq 0$  an *explicit framed correspondence*  $\Phi$  of level  $n$  consists of the following data:

- (1) a closed subset  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{A}_X^n$  which is finite over  $X$ ;
- (2) an étale neighborhood  $p : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^n$  of  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{A}_X^n$ ;
- (3) a collection of regular functions  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$  on  $U$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{\varphi_i = 0\} = Z$ ;
- (4) a morphism  $g : U \rightarrow Y$ .

The subset  $Z$  will be referred to as the *support* of the correspondence. We shall also write triples  $\Phi = (U, \varphi, g)$  or quadruples  $\Phi = (Z, U, \varphi, g)$  to denote explicit framed correspondences.

Two explicit framed correspondences  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  of level  $n$  are said to be *equivalent* if they have the same support and there exists an étale neighborhood  $V$  of  $Z$  in  $U \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} U'$  such that the morphism  $g \circ pr$  agrees with  $g' \circ pr'$  and  $\varphi \circ pr$  agrees with  $\varphi' \circ pr'$  on  $V$ . A *framed correspondence of level  $n$*  is an equivalence class of explicit framed correspondences of level  $n$ .

We let  $\text{Fr}_n(X, Y)$  denote the set of framed correspondences from  $X$  to  $Y$ . It is a pointed set with the distinguished point being the class  $0_n$  of the explicit correspondence with  $U = \emptyset$ .

As an example, the set  $\text{Fr}_0(X, Y)$  coincides with the set of pointed morphisms  $X_+ \rightarrow Y_+$ . In particular, for a connected scheme  $X$  one has

$$\text{Fr}_0(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{Sm/k}(X, Y) \sqcup \{0_0\}.$$

If  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  is a morphism of schemes and  $\Phi = (U, \varphi, g)$  an explicit correspondence from  $X$  to  $Y$  then

$$f^*(\Phi) := (U' = U \times_X X', \varphi \circ pr, g \circ pr)$$

is an explicit correspondence from  $X'$  to  $Y$ .

The following definition is to describe compositions of framed correspondences.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $X, Y$  and  $S$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes and let  $a = (Z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n), g)$  be an explicit correspondence of level  $n$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  and let  $b = (Z', U', (\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_m), g')$  be an explicit correspondence of level  $m$  from  $Y$  to  $S$ . We define their composition as an explicit correspondence of level  $n + m$  from  $X$  to  $S$  by

$$(Z \times_Y Z', U \times_Y U', (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n, \psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_m), g').$$

Clearly, the composition of explicit correspondences respects the equivalence relation on them and defines associative pairings

$$\text{Fr}_n(X, Y) \times \text{Fr}_m(Y, S) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{n+m}(X, S).$$

Given  $X, Y \in Sm/k$ , denote by  $\text{Fr}_*(X, Y)$  the set  $\bigsqcup_n \text{Fr}_n(X, Y)$ . The composition of framed correspondences defined above gives a category  $\text{Fr}_*(k)$ . Its objects are those of  $Sm/k$  and the morphisms

are given by the sets  $\text{Fr}_*(X, Y)$ ,  $X, Y \in \text{Sm}/k$ . Since the naive morphisms of schemes can be identified with certain framed correspondences of level zero, we get a canonical functor

$$\text{Sm}/k \rightarrow \text{Fr}_*(k).$$

One can easily see that for a framed correspondence  $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y$  and a morphism  $f : X' \rightarrow X$ , one has  $f^*(\Phi) = \Phi \circ f$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $X, Y, S$  and  $T$  be smooth schemes. There is an *external product*

$$\text{Fr}_n(X, Y) \times \text{Fr}_m(S, T) \xrightarrow{-\boxtimes-} \text{Fr}_{n+m}(X \times S, Y \times T)$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} (Z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n), g) \boxtimes (Z', U', (\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_m), g') = \\ (Z \times Z', U \times U', (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n, \psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_m), g \times g'). \end{aligned}$$

For the constant morphism  $c : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \text{pt}$ , we set (following Voevodsky [V1])

$$\Sigma = -\boxtimes(t, c, \{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, t, c) : \text{Fr}_n(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_{n+1}(X, Y)$$

and refer to it as the *suspension*. If there is no likelihood of confusion, we shall also write  $\Sigma$  to denote the element  $1 \cdot (t, c, \{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, t, c)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_1(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$  and  $\Sigma^n$  for  $\Sigma \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$ . It will always be clear from the context which of the meanings for  $\Sigma$  is used (either as the suspension or as the element in  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_1(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$ ).

Also, following Voevodsky [V1], one puts

$$\text{Fr}(X, Y) = \text{colim}(\text{Fr}_0(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \text{Fr}_1(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \dots \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \text{Fr}_n(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \dots)$$

and refer to it as the *set of stable framed correspondences*. The above external product induces external products

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fr}_n(X, Y) \times \text{Fr}(S, T) &\xrightarrow{-\boxtimes-} \text{Fr}(X \times S, Y \times T), \\ \text{Fr}(X, Y) \times \text{Fr}_0(S, T) &\xrightarrow{-\boxtimes-} \text{Fr}(X \times S, Y \times T). \end{aligned}$$

Recall now the definition of the *category of linear framed correspondences*  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_*(k)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** (see [GP1]) Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth schemes. Denote by

- ◇  $\mathbb{Z}\text{Fr}_n(X, Y) := \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}[\text{Fr}_n(X, Y)] = \mathbb{Z}[\text{Fr}_n(X, Y)]/\mathbb{Z} \cdot 0_n$ , i.e the free abelian group generated by the set  $\text{Fr}_n(X, Y)$  modulo  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot 0_n$ ;
- ◇  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(X, Y) := \mathbb{Z}\text{Fr}_n(X, Y)/A$ , where  $A$  is a subgroup generated by the elements

$$\begin{aligned} (Z \sqcup Z', U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n), g) - \\ - (Z, U \setminus Z', (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n)|_{U \setminus Z'}, g|_{U \setminus Z'}) - (Z', U \setminus Z, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n)|_{U \setminus Z}, g|_{U \setminus Z}). \end{aligned}$$

We shall also refer to the latter relation as the *additivity property for supports*. In other words, it says that a framed correspondence in  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(X, Y)$  whose support is a disjoint union  $Z \sqcup Z'$  equals the sum of the framed correspondences with supports  $Z$  and  $Z'$  respectively. Note that  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(X, Y)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Fr}_n(X, Y)]$  modulo the subgroup generated by the elements as above, because  $0_n = 0_n + 0_n$  in this quotient group, hence  $0_n$  equals zero. Indeed, it is enough to observe that the support of  $0_n$  equals  $\emptyset \sqcup \emptyset$  and then apply the above relation to this support.

The elements of  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(X, Y)$  are called *linear framed correspondences of level  $n$*  or just *linear framed correspondences*.

Denote by  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$  the additive category whose objects are those of  $Sm/k$  with Hom-groups defined as

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)}(X, Y) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}F_n(X, Y).$$

The composition is induced by the composition in the category  $\mathrm{Fr}_*(k)$ . Denote by  $\mathrm{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}F_*(k))$  the Grothendieck category of additive presheaves of abelian groups on the additive category  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$ .

Denote by  $\mathbb{Z}F_0(k)$  the additive category whose objects are those of  $Sm/k$  with Hom-groups defined as  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}F_0(k)}(X, Y) = \mathbb{Z}F_0(X, Y)$ . Clearly,  $\mathbb{Z}F_0(k)$  is an additive subcategory of the additive category  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$ . Finally, denote by  $\mathrm{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}F_0(k))$  the category of additive presheaves of abelian groups on the additive category  $\mathbb{Z}F_0(k)$ .

There is a natural functor from  $Sm/k$  to  $\mathbb{Z}F_0(k)$ . It is the identity on objects and takes a regular morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  to the linear framed correspondence  $1 \cdot (X, X \times \mathbb{A}^0, pr_{\mathbb{A}^0}, f \circ pr_X) \in \mathbb{Z}F_0(k)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $X, Y, S$  and  $T$  be schemes. The external product from Definition 2.3 induces a unique external product

$$\mathbb{Z}F_n(X, Y) \times \mathbb{Z}F_m(S, T) \xrightarrow{-\boxtimes-} \mathbb{Z}F_{n+m}(X \times S, Y \times T)$$

such that for any elements  $a \in \mathrm{Fr}_n(X, Y)$  and  $b \in \mathrm{Fr}_m(S, T)$  one has  $1 \cdot a \boxtimes 1 \cdot b = 1 \cdot (a \boxtimes b) \in \mathbb{Z}F_{n+m}(X \times S, Y \times T)$ .

**Definition 2.6.** For any  $k$ -smooth variety  $Y$ , the presheaf represented by  $Y$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(-, Y)$ . One of the main  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$ -presheaves of this paper is defined as

$$\mathbb{Z}F(-, Y) = \mathrm{colim}(\mathbb{Z}F_0(-, Y) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}F_1(-, Y) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \dots \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}F_n(-, Y) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \dots).$$

For a  $k$ -smooth variety  $X$ , the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}F(X, Y)$  are also called *stable linear framed correspondences*. Notice that stable linear framed correspondences do not form morphisms of a category.

**General Framework.** The pairing  $\boxtimes$  of Definition 2.5 gives rise to a functor

$$\mathbb{Z}F_*(k) \times \mathbb{Z}F_0(k) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$$

taking a pair of schemes  $(X, S)$  to  $X \times S$  and taking a pair of morphisms  $(a, b)$  to the morphism  $a \boxtimes b$ . It is naturally extended to a functor

$$\mathrm{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)) \times \mathrm{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}F_0(k)) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathrm{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)).$$

Given schemes  $Y, S \in \mathbb{Z}F_0(k)$ , consider the presheaf  $\mathbb{Z}F(S)$  in  $\mathrm{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}F_0(k))$  and the presheaf  $\mathbb{Z}F(Y)$  in  $\mathrm{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}F_*(k))$ . Similarly to [GP1, General Framework, Section 5] there are defined  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$ -presheaves  $\mathbb{Z}F(Y) \boxtimes S$  and  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(S, \mathbb{Z}F(Y) \boxtimes S)$  as well as a natural  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$ -morphism  $\mathbb{Z}F(Y) \xrightarrow{-\boxtimes S} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(S, \mathbb{Z}F(Y) \boxtimes S)$ . By construction,  $\mathbb{Z}F(Y) \boxtimes S = \mathbb{Z}F(Y \times S)$ . Thus one has the following morphism of  $\mathbb{Z}F_*(k)$ -presheaves

$$-\boxtimes id_S : \mathbb{Z}F(Y) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(S, \mathbb{Z}F(Y \times S))$$

taking  $a \in \mathbb{Z}F(X, Y)$  to  $a \boxtimes id_S \in \mathbb{Z}F(X \times S, Y \times S)$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(S, s)$  be a  $k$ -smooth pointed scheme. Then the morphism  $e_s : S \rightarrow \mathrm{pt} \xrightarrow{s} S$  defines an idempotent  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(S, e_s) : \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(S, \mathbb{Z}F(Y \times S)) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(S, \mathbb{Z}F(Y \times S))$  in the category of  $\mathbb{Z}F_*$ -presheaves. Set,

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(S, \mathbb{Z}F(Y \wedge (S, s))) := \mathrm{Ker}[\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(S, e_s)].$$

Consider the idempotent  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(e_s, \underline{\text{Hom}}(S, \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(Y \wedge (S, s))))$  of  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(S, \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(Y \wedge (S, s)))$  in the category of  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(k)$ -presheaves. Set,

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}((S, s), \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(Y \wedge (S, s))) := \text{Ker}[\underline{\text{Hom}}(e_s, \underline{\text{Hom}}(S, \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(Y \wedge (S, s))))].$$

For any  $X \in \text{Sm}/k$  denote by  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(X \wedge (S, s), Y \wedge (S, s))$  the value of  $\underline{\text{Hom}}((S, s), \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(Y \wedge (S, s)))$  on  $X$ . There is a natural morphism of  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(k)$ -presheaves

$$- \boxtimes id_{(S, s)} : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(Y) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}((S, s), \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(Y \wedge (S, s))).$$

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes and let  $(S, s)$  be a  $k$ -smooth pointed scheme.

- ◇ Denote by  $e_s : S \rightarrow \text{pt} \xrightarrow{s} S$  the idempotent in  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_0(k)}(S) = \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_0(S, S)$  given by the composition of the constant map and the embedding of  $s$  into  $S$ .
- ◇ For each integer  $m \geq 0$  define  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(X \wedge (S, s), Y \wedge (S, s))$  as a subgroup of the group  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(X \times S, Y \times S)$  consisting of all  $a$  such that  $a \circ (\text{id}_X \boxtimes e_s) = (\text{id}_Y \boxtimes e_s) \circ a = 0$ . Note that the suspension map  $\Sigma : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(X \times S, Y \times S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(X \times S, Y \times S)$  takes the subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(X \wedge (S, s), Y \wedge (S, s))$  to the subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(X \wedge (S, s), Y \wedge (S, s))$ . Set,

$$\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(X \wedge (S, s), Y \wedge (S, s)) := \text{colim}[\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_0(X \wedge (S, s), Y \wedge (S, s)) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(X \wedge (S, s), Y \wedge (S, s)) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \dots],$$

It is easy to see that the morphisms  $id_X \boxtimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_s) : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(X \times S, Y \times S)$  take values in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(X \wedge (S, s), Y \wedge (S, s))$ . They are compatible with the suspension  $\Sigma$  and we define a morphism

$$id_X \boxtimes id_{(S, s)} : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(X \wedge (S, s), Y \wedge (S, s)).$$

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth scheme and let  $(S, s)$  be a  $k$ -smooth pointed scheme. Then one has a commutative diagram of  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(k)$ -presheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(-, Y) & \xrightarrow{-\boxtimes(\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_s)} & \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(- \wedge (S, s), Y \wedge (S, s)) \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow \text{can} \\ \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(-, Y) & \xrightarrow{-\boxtimes id_{(\mathbb{G}_m, 1)}} & \underline{\text{Hom}}((S, s), \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(-, Y \wedge (S, s))), \end{array}$$

where *can* is the canonical isomorphism.

**Theorem C.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes and let  $(\mathbb{G}_m, 1)$  be the scheme  $\mathbb{G}_m$  pointed by the point 1. Then the morphisms

$$- \boxtimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1) : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(\Delta^\bullet \times -, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}((\Delta^\bullet \times -) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1)) \quad (1)$$

$$- \boxtimes id_{(\mathbb{G}_m, 1)} : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(\Delta^\bullet \times -, Y) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}((\mathbb{G}_m, 1), \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(\Delta^\bullet \times -, Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))) \quad (2)$$

are sectionwise quasi-isomorphisms of complexes of  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(k)$ -presheaves of abelian groups.

**Remark 2.10.** By Lemma 2.9 the morphism (1) is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if the morphism (2) is a quasi-isomorphism. Sometimes it is convenient to work with the morphism (1) and sometimes it is convenient to work with the morphism (2).

Theorem C will be proved at the end of the paper.

### 3. THEOREM A AND THEOREM B

Before proving Theorem A we recall some definitions and constructions for framed motives for the convenience of the reader. We adhere to [GP1]. Let  $\text{Fr}_0(k)$  be the category whose objects are those of  $\text{Sm}/k$  and whose morphism set between  $X$  and  $Y$  is given by the set of framed correspondences of level zero [V1, Example 2.1], [GP1, Definition 2.1]. As it is shown in [GP1, Section 5], the category of framed correspondences of level zero  $\text{Fr}_0(k)$  has an action by finite pointed sets  $Y \otimes K := \bigsqcup_{K \setminus *} Y$  with  $Y \in \text{Sm}/k$  and  $K$  a finite pointed set. Let  $U, X \in \text{Fr}_0(k)$ . By the Additivity Theorem of [GP1] the  $\Gamma$ -space in the sense of Segal [Seg] is special.

$$K \in \Gamma^{\text{op}} \mapsto C_* \text{Fr}(U, X \otimes K) := \text{Fr}(U \times \Delta^\bullet, X \otimes K)$$

is special.

**Definition 3.1** (see [GP1]). The framed motive  $M_{fr}(X)$  of a smooth algebraic variety  $X \in \text{Fr}_0(k)$  is the Segal  $S^1$ -spectrum  $(C_* \text{Fr}(-, X), C_* \text{Fr}(-, X \otimes S^1), C_* \text{Fr}(-, X \otimes S^2), \dots)$  associated with the special  $\Gamma$ -space  $K \in \Gamma^{\text{op}} \mapsto C_* \text{Fr}(-, X \otimes K)$ . The framed motive  $M_{fr}(X) \in \text{Sp}_{S^1}(k)$  is covariantly functorial in framed correspondences of level zero.

Let  $\text{Fr}_0(k)$  be the category whose objects are those of  $\text{Sm}/k$  and whose morphism set between  $X$  and  $Y$  is given by the set of framed correspondences of level zero [V1, Example 2.1], [GP1, Definition 2.1]. As it is shown in [GP1, Section 5], the category of framed correspondences of level zero  $\text{Fr}_0(k)$  has an action by finite pointed sets  $Y \otimes K := \bigsqcup_{K \setminus *} Y$  with  $Y \in \text{Sm}/k$  and  $K$  a finite pointed set. The cone of  $Y$  is the simplicial object  $Y \otimes I$  in  $\text{Fr}_0(k)$ , where  $(I, 1)$  is the pointed simplicial set  $\Delta[1]$  with basepoint 1. There is a natural morphism  $i_0 : Y \rightarrow Y \otimes I$  in  $\Delta^{\text{op}} \text{Fr}_0(k)$ . Given a closed inclusion of smooth schemes  $j : Y \hookrightarrow X$ , denote by  $X//Y$  a simplicial object in  $\text{Fr}_0(k)$  which is obtained by taking the pushout of the diagram  $X \leftarrow Y \xrightarrow{i_0} Y \otimes I$  in  $\Delta^{\text{op}} \text{Fr}_0(k)$ . The simplicial object  $X//Y$  termwise equals  $X, X \sqcup Y, X \sqcup Y \sqcup Y, \dots$ . By  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}$  we mean the simplicial object  $\mathbb{G}_m//\{1\}$  in  $\text{Fr}_0(k)$ . It looks termwise as

$$\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m \sqcup pt, \mathbb{G}_m \sqcup pt \sqcup pt, \dots$$

Applying  $M_{fr}(X \times -)$  to  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}$  and realizing by taking diagonals, one gets a framed  $S^1$ -spectrum  $M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})$ . We shall also denote it by  $M_{fr}(X)(1)$ . The  $n$ th iteration gives the spectrum  $M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})$ , also denoted by  $M_{fr}(X)(n)$ .

Similarly to the General Framework on p. 6 there is a natural pairing

$$\boxtimes : sPre_\bullet^{fr}(k) \times sPre_\bullet(\text{Fr}_0(k)) \rightarrow sPre_\bullet^{fr}(k),$$

where  $sPre_\bullet^{fr}(k)$  (respectively  $sPre_\bullet(\text{Fr}_0(k))$ ) is the category of pointed simplicial presheaves with framed correspondences (respectively the category of pointed simplicial presheaves on  $\text{Fr}_0(k)$ ). It is extended from the pairing  $\text{Fr}_*(k) \times \text{Fr}_0(k) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \text{Fr}_*(k)$  that takes  $(X, Y)$  to  $X \times Y$  and  $a \in \text{Fr}_m(X, X')$ ,  $b \in \text{Fr}_0(Y, Y')$  to  $a \boxtimes b \in \text{Fr}_m(X \times X', Y \times Y')$ .

We will also write  $\wedge$  for the monoidal product in  $\text{Fr}_0(k)$  and in  $\Delta^{\text{op}} \text{Fr}_0(k)$ . The Yoneda embedding identifies  $\Delta^{\text{op}} \text{Fr}_0(k)$  with a full subcategory of  $sPre_\bullet(\text{Fr}_0(k))$ . For each integer  $n \geq 0$  there is a natural map of spectra

$$a_n : M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}) \xrightarrow{-\boxtimes \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}, M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n+1})) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n+1})),$$

where the right arrow is induced by the adjunction unit  $\text{adj} : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}|_{\text{Sm}/k})$ . Note that  $a_n$  respects framed correspondences of level zero and coincides with the morphism described in [GP1, p. 297]).



**Definition 3.2.** The  $(S^1, \mathbb{G})$ -bispectrum  $M_{fr}^{\mathbb{G}}(X)$  is defined as

$$(M_{fr}(X), M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}), M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 2}), \dots)$$

together with the structure morphisms  $a_n$ -s.

We shall prove below (see the proof of Theorem A) that each  $a_n$  is a schemewise stable equivalence of spectra, but first let us discuss further useful spectra. Denote by  $\mathbb{Z}M_{fr}(X)$ ,  $X \in Sm/k$ , the Segal  $S^1$ -spectrum  $(\mathbb{Z}Fr(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X), \mathbb{Z}Fr(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X \otimes S^1), \dots)$ . Denote by  $LM_{fr}(X)$  the Segal  $S^1$ -spectrum  $EM(\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X)) = (\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X), \mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X \otimes S^1), \dots)$ .

The equalities  $\mathbb{Z}F(-, X \sqcup X') = \mathbb{Z}F(-, X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}F(-, X')$  show that the  $\Gamma$ -space  $(K, *) \mapsto \mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times U, X \otimes K)$  corresponds to the complex of abelian groups  $\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times U, X)$ . Hence  $LM_{fr}(X)$  is the Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectrum for the complex  $\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X)$ . The  $\Gamma$ -space morphism

$$[(K, *) \mapsto \mathbb{Z}Fr(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X \otimes K)] \rightarrow [(K, *) \mapsto \mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X \otimes K)]$$

induces a morphism of  $S^1$ -spectra  $l_X : \mathbb{Z}M_{fr}(X) \rightarrow EM(\mathbb{Z}F(-, X))$ .

Note that homotopy groups of  $LM_{fr}(X) = EM(\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X))$  are equal to homology groups of the complex  $\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X)$ . By [Sch, §II.6.2] the homotopy groups  $\pi_*(\mathbb{Z}M_{fr}(X)(U))$  of  $\mathbb{Z}M_{fr}(X)$  evaluated at  $U \in Sm/k$  are the homology groups  $H_*(M_{fr}(X)(U))$  of  $M_{fr}(X)(U)$ .

The following result, referred to as the Linearisation Theorem in [GNP, Theorem 1.2], is true:

**Theorem 3.3** (see [GNP]). *The morphism of  $S^1$ -spectra*

$$l_X : \mathbb{Z}M_{fr}(X) \rightarrow LM_{fr}(X)$$

is a schemewise stable equivalence. In particular, if  $U$  is smooth, then

$$H_*(M_{fr}(X)(U)) = \pi_*(\mathbb{Z}M_{fr}(X)(U)) = \pi_*(LM_{fr}(X)(U)) = H_*(\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times U, X)).$$

Replacing simplicial framed sheaves  $C_*Fr$  in Definition 3.2 by simplicial abelian framed presheaves  $C_*\mathbb{Z}F$ , we define Segal  $S^1$ -spectra  $LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})$ -s. Following the General Framework on p. 6, there is a natural morphism of  $S^1$ -spectra for each integer  $n \geq 0$

$$c_n : LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}) \xrightarrow{-\boxtimes \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}, M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n+1})) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n+1})), \quad (3)$$

where the right arrow is induced by the adjunction unit  $\text{adj} : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}|_{Sm/k})$ .

**Definition 3.4.** The  $(S^1, \mathbb{G})$ -bispectrum  $LM_{fr}^{\mathbb{G}}(X)$  is defined as

$$(LM_{fr}(X), LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}), LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 2}), \dots)$$

together with the structure morphisms  $c_n$ -s.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem A.

*Proof of Theorem A.* (1). We claim that for every  $n > 0$  the sequence

$$M_{fr}(X)(n-1) \rightarrow M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m)(n-1) \rightarrow M_{fr}(X)(n)$$

is a homotopy cofiber sequence of  $S^1$ -spectra. Since all spectra are connected, it is enough to show that

$$\mathbb{Z}M_{fr}(X)(n-1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m)(n-1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}M_{fr}(X)(n)$$

is a homotopy cofiber sequence of  $S^1$ -spectra. By Theorem 3.3 the latter is equivalent to showing that

$$LM_{fr}(X)(n-1) \rightarrow LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m)(n-1) \rightarrow LM_{fr}(X)(n)$$

is a homotopy cofiber sequence of  $S^1$ -spectra. This sequence is a homotopy cofiber sequence if and only if

$$\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge(n-1)}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge(n-1)} \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})$$

is a homotopy cofiber sequence of complexes of abelian presheaves. But this is obvious because  $\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times -, X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})$  is the mapping cone of the left arrow, and hence the desired claim follows. We have used here the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}F(-, X \sqcup Y) = \mathbb{Z}F(-, X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}F(-, Y)$ .

Next, it is enough to prove that

$$a_0 : M_{fr}(X) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X)(1))$$

is a schemewise equivalence of spectra. Indeed, consider a commutative diagram of homotopy cofiber sequences in  $Sp_{S^1}(k)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_{fr}(X)(n-1) & \longrightarrow & M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m)(n-1) & \longrightarrow & M_{fr}(X)(n) \\ a_{n-1} \downarrow & & a_{n-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow a_n \\ \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X)(n)) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m)(n)) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X)(n+1)) \end{array}$$

with  $n \geq 1$ . If  $a_{n-1}$  is a schemewise equivalence of spectra, then so is  $a_n$  by [Hir, 13.5.10]. Thus using induction in  $n$ , it suffices to verify that  $a_0$  is a schemewise equivalence of spectra.

By the stable Whitehead theorem [Sch, II.6.30]  $a_0$  is a stable equivalence if and only if so is

$$a_0 : \mathbb{Z}M_{fr}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}))].$$

Consider a commutative diagram of homotopy fiber sequences in  $Sp_{S^1}(k)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}_m, M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})) & \longrightarrow & M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}[\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}))] & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{Z}M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}) \\ \ell_X \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow l_X \\ \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}_m, LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})) & \longrightarrow & LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}) \end{array}$$

The arrow  $l_X$  and the middle lower arrow are a stable weak equivalences of spectra by Theorem 3.3. It follows that  $\ell_X$  is a stable weak equivalence. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}M_{fr}(X) & \xrightarrow{a_0} & \mathbb{Z}[\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}))] \\ l_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \ell_X \\ LM_{fr}(X) & \xrightarrow{c_0} & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})). \end{array}$$

Since  $l_X, \ell_X$  are stable weak equivalences, it follows that  $a_0$  is a stable local equivalence if and only if so is  $c_0$ . By Theorem D from Appendix A the morphism  $c_0$  is a sectionwise stable weak equivalence. The proof of the first part of the theorem is completed.

(2). Since each spectrum  $M_{fr}(X)(n)_f$  is fibrant in the injective local stable model structure of  $S^1$ -spectra, it is enough to show that each map

$$b_n : M_{fr}(X)(n)_f \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X)(n+1)_f), \quad n \geq 0,$$

is a Nisnevich local stable equivalence of spectra. Using the same argument as in the proof of the first statement, it suffices to verify that  $b_0$  is a local stable equivalence.

There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{fr}(X) & \xrightarrow{a_0} & \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X)(1)) \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow d_1 \\ M_{fr}(X)_f & \xrightarrow{b_0} & \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X)(1)_f) \end{array}$$

in which the left vertical arrow is a local stable equivalence and  $a_0$  is a schemewise stable equivalence by the first statement. It follows that  $b_0$  is a local stable equivalence if and only if so is  $d_1 = \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, \alpha)$ .

The presheaves of stable homotopy groups of  $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X)(1))$  equal  $(\pi_n(M_{fr}(X)(1)))_{-1}$ . These presheaves are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant quasi-stable radditive with framed correspondences (see [GP2, Introduction] for the definition of such presheaves). It follows from [GP2, Theorem 1.1] (complemented by [DP] in characteristic 2) that each Nisnevich sheaf  $(\pi_n(M_{fr}(X)(1)))_{-1}^{\text{nis}}$  is strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant quasi-stable radditive with framed correspondences.

Each spectrum  $M_{fr}(X)(n)$  has homotopy invariant, quasi-stable radditive presheaves with framed correspondences of stable homotopy groups  $\pi_*(M_{fr}(X)(n))$ . By [GP2, Theorem 1.1] (complemented by [DP] in characteristic 2) the Nisnevich sheaves  $\pi_*^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(n))$  are strictly homotopy invariant. It follows from [GP1, Proposition 7.1] that  $M_{fr}(X)(n)_f$  is motivically fibrant in the injective stable motivic model structure of  $S^1$ -spectra.

In order to compute the Nisnevich sheaf  $\pi_n^{\text{nis}}(\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X)(1)_f))$ , consider the Brown–Gersten convergent spectral sequence

$$H_{\text{nis}}^p(V \times \mathbb{G}_m, \pi_q^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1))) \Rightarrow \pi_{q-p}(M_{fr}(X)(1)_f(V \times \mathbb{G}_m)), \quad V \in \mathit{Sm}/k.$$

It follows from [GP2, Corollary 16.8, Theorems 17.15–16] that each presheaf

$$V \mapsto H_{\text{nis}}^p(V \times \mathbb{G}_m, \pi_q^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1)))$$

is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant quasi-stable radditive with framed correspondences.

Let  $V \in \mathit{Sm}/k$  be irreducible,  $u \in V$  be a point,  $U = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{V,u})$ . Let  $U_u^h$  be the henselization of  $U$  at  $u$  and let  $k(U_u^h)$  be the function field on  $U_u^h$ . Consider the above spectral sequence and replace  $V$  by  $U_u^h$  in it. We claim that in this case the spectral sequence degenerates and  $H_{\text{nis}}^0(U_u^h \times \mathbb{G}_m, \pi_n^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1))) = \pi_n(M_{fr}(X)(1)_f(U_u^h \times \mathbb{G}_m))$ . For this notice that by [GP2, 3.15(3')] the map  $H_{\text{nis}}^p(\mathbb{G}_m \times U, \pi_q^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1))) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^p(\mathbb{G}_{m,k(U_u^h)}, \pi_q^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1)))$  is injective, where  $\eta_h : \text{Spec}(k(U_u^h)) \rightarrow U_u^h$  is the canonical morphism. In turn, by [GP2, 3.15(1)] the canonical homomorphism

$$H_{\text{nis}}^p(\mathbb{G}_{m,k(U_u^h)}, \pi_q^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1))) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^p(\text{Spec}(k(U_u^h)(t)), \pi_q^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1)))$$

is injective. Since  $0 = H_{\text{nis}}^p(\text{Spec}(k(U_u^h)(t)), \pi_q^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1)))$  for  $p > 0$ , the group  $H_{\text{nis}}^p(U_u^h \times \mathbb{G}_m, \pi_q^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1)))$  vanishes for  $p > 0$ . Thus we have checked the equality

$$H_{\text{nis}}^0(U_u^h \times \mathbb{G}_m, \pi_n^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1))) = \pi_n(M_{fr}(X)(1)_f(U_u^h \times \mathbb{G}_m)).$$

We can conclude that  $\pi_n^{\text{nis}}(\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}_m, M_{fr}(X)(1)_f)) = \pi_n^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1)_f)(\mathbb{G}_m \times -)$ . It follows that

$$\pi_n^{\text{nis}}(\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, M_{fr}(X)(1)_f)) = (\pi_n^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1)_f))_{-1} = (\pi_n^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1)))_{-1}.$$

It remains to show that the morphism of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant radditive quasi-stable framed sheaves

$$((\pi_n(M_{fr}(X)(1)))_{-1})^{\text{nis}} \rightarrow (\pi_n^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1)))_{-1}$$

is an isomorphism. Using [GP2, 3.15(3')] it suffices to check that it is an isomorphism for every field extension  $K/k$ . The homomorphism of abelian groups

$$((\pi_n(M_{fr}(X)(1)))_{-1})^{\text{nis}}(K) = (\pi_n(M_{fr}(X)(1)))_{-1}(K) \rightarrow (\pi_n^{\text{nis}}(M_{fr}(X)(1)))_{-1}(K)$$

is an isomorphism, because for every homotopy invariant radditive quasi-stable framed presheaf of abelian groups  $\mathcal{F}$  and every open  $V \subset \mathbb{A}_K^1$ , one has  $\mathcal{F}(V) = \mathcal{F}^{\text{nis}}(V)$  (see the proof of [GP2, 3.1]). This completes the proof of Theorem A.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem B.* The proof of Theorem A(2) shows that  $M_{fr}(X)(n)_f$  is motivically fibrant in the injective stable motivic model structure of  $S^1$ -spectra. By Theorem A each structure map  $b_n$  is a schemewise equivalence. We conclude that the bispectrum  $M_{fr}^{\mathbb{G}}(X)_f$  is a motivically fibrant  $(S^1, \mathbb{G})$ -bispectrum in the sense of Jardine [Jar].  $\square$

#### 4. USEFUL LEMMAS

In this section we discuss several useful  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopies and collect a number of facts used in the following sections. We start with some definitions and notation.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F} : Sm/k \rightarrow Sets$  be a presheaf of sets. Let  $X \in Sm/k$  be a smooth variety and  $a, b \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  be two sections. We write  $a \sim b$  if  $a$  and  $b$  are in the same connected component of the simplicial set  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta^\bullet \times X)$ . If  $h \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta^1 \times X)$  is such that  $\partial_0(h) = a$  and  $\partial_1(h) = b$ , then we will write  $a \stackrel{h}{\sim} b$ . In this case  $a \sim b$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} : Sm/k \rightarrow Ab$  be a presheaf of abelian groups. Let  $X \in Sm/k$  be a smooth variety and  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  be two sections. We will write  $a \sim b$  if the classes of  $a$  and  $b$  in  $H_0(\mathcal{A}(\Delta^\bullet \times X))$  coincide. This is equivalent to saying that there is  $h \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta^1 \times X)$  such that  $\partial_0(h) = a$  and  $\partial_1(h) = b$ . For such an  $h$  we will write  $a \stackrel{h}{\sim} b$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be two presheaves of sets on the category of  $k$ -smooth schemes and let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 : \mathcal{F} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$  be two morphisms. An  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy between  $\varphi_0$  and  $\varphi_1$  is a morphism  $H : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{G})$  such that  $H_0 = \varphi_0$  and  $H_1 = \varphi_1$ . We will write  $\varphi_0 \sim \varphi_1$  if there is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy between  $\varphi_0$  and  $\varphi_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two presheaves of abelian groups on the category of  $k$ -smooth schemes and let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{B}$  be two morphisms. An  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy between  $\varphi_0$  and  $\varphi_1$  is a morphism  $H : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{B})$  of presheaves of abelian groups such that  $H_0 = \varphi_0$  and  $H_1 = \varphi_1$ . If  $H$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy between  $\varphi_0$  and  $\varphi_1$ , then we will write  $\varphi_0 \stackrel{H}{\sim} \varphi_1$ . If we do not specify an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy between  $\varphi_0$  and  $\varphi_1$ , then we will write  $\varphi_0 \sim \varphi_1$ .

If  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a morphism of presheaves of abelian groups, then there is a constant  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy  $H_\varphi$  between  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi$  defined as follows. Given  $a \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  set  $H_\varphi(a) = pr_X^*(\varphi(a)) \in \mathcal{B}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two presheaves of abelian groups on the category of  $k$ -smooth schemes and let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{B}$  be two morphisms such that  $\varphi_0 \sim \varphi_1$ . Then the induced morphisms

$$\varphi_0, \varphi_1 : \mathcal{A}(\Delta^\bullet) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{B}(\Delta^\bullet)$$

between two simplicial abelian groups give the same morphisms on the homology of the associated Moore complexes.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be morphisms of presheaves of abelian groups and let  $\varphi_0 \xrightarrow{H'} \varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_1 \xrightarrow{H''} \varphi_2$ . Then

$$\varphi_0 \xrightarrow{H' + H'' - H_{\varphi_1}} \varphi_2$$

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two presheaves of abelian groups on the category of  $k$ -smooth schemes and let  $\varphi_0 \xrightarrow{H} \varphi_1$ . Let  $\rho : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a morphism. Then  $\varphi_0 \circ \rho \xrightarrow{H \circ \rho} \varphi_1 \circ \rho$ . Moreover, let  $\eta : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$  be a morphism, then  $\psi \circ \varphi_0 \xrightarrow{\psi \circ H} \psi \circ \varphi_1$  with  $\psi = \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{A}^1, \eta) : \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{B}')$ .

We now want to discuss actions of matrices on framed correspondences and associated homotopies. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes and  $A \in GL_n(k)$  be a matrix. Then  $A$  defines an automorphism

$$\varphi_A : \text{Fr}_n(- \times X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_n(- \times X, Y)$$

of the presheaf  $\text{Fr}_n(- \times X, Y)$  in the following way. Given  $W \in \text{Sm}/k$  and  $a = (Z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n), g) \in \text{Fr}_n(W \times X, Y)$ , set

$$\varphi_A(Z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n), g) := (Z, U, A \circ (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n), g),$$

where  $A$  is regarded as a linear automorphism of  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$ .

The automorphism  $\varphi_A$  of the presheaf  $\text{Fr}_n(- \times X, Y)$  induces an automorphism of the free abelian presheaf  $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Fr}_n(- \times X, Y)]$  and an automorphism  $\varphi_A$  of the presheaf of abelian groups  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(- \times X, Y)$ .

**Definition 4.6.** Let  $A \in SL_n(k)$ . Choose a matrix  $A_s \in SL_n(k[s])$  such that  $A_0 = id$  and  $A_1 = A$ . The matrix  $A_s$ , regarded as a morphism  $\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ , gives rise to an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy  $h$  between  $id$  and  $\varphi_A$  as follows. Given  $a = (\alpha, f, Z, U, \varphi, g) = ((\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n), f, Z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n), g) \in \text{Fr}_n(W \times X, Y)$ , one sets

$$h(a) = (\alpha, f \times id_{\mathbb{A}^1}, Z \times \mathbb{A}^1, U \times \mathbb{A}^1, A_s \circ (\varphi \times id_{\mathbb{A}^1}), g \circ pr_U) \in \text{Fr}_n(W \times X \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y).$$

Clearly,  $h_0(a) = a$  and  $h_1(a) = \varphi_A(a)$ . By linearity the homotopy  $h$  induces an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy  $H_{A_s}$

$$id \xrightarrow{H_{A_s}} \varphi_A : \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(- \times X, Y) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(- \times X, Y)$$

between the identity  $id$  and the morphism  $\varphi_A$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** Let  $\rho : \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m(- \times X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(- \times X, Y)$  be a presheaf morphism. Let  $A \in SL_n(k)$ ,  $A_s \in SL_n(k[s])$  and  $H_{A_s}$  be as in Definition 4.6. Then one has

$$\rho \xrightarrow{H_{A_s} \circ \rho} \varphi_A \circ \rho : \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m(- \times X, Y) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(- \times X, Y).$$

For  $b \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m(Y, S)$  define a presheaf morphism

$$\varphi_b : \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(- \times X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_{n+m}(- \times X, S)$$

sending  $a \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(W \times X, Y)$  to  $b \circ a \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_{n+m}(W \times X, S)$ . Also, any  $b \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m(pt, pt)$  defines a morphism of presheaves

$$- \boxtimes b : \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(- \times X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_{n+m}(- \times X, Y)$$

sending  $a \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(W \times X, Y)$  to  $a \boxtimes b \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_{n+m}(W \times X, Y)$ .

The next three lemmas are straightforward.

**Lemma 4.8.** Let  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(Y, S)$  be such that  $b_1 \sim b_2$ , then

$$\varphi_{b_1} \sim \varphi_{b_2} : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(- \times X, Y) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{n+m}(- \times X, S).$$

**Lemma 4.9.** Let  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$  and  $h \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(\mathbb{A}^1, \text{pt})$  be such that  $b_1 \stackrel{h}{\sim} b_2$ , then

$$(- \boxtimes b_1) \xrightarrow{- \boxtimes h} (- \boxtimes b_2) : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(- \times X, Y) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{n+m}(- \times X, Y).$$

The following lemma is proved in Appendix B.

**Lemma 4.10.** Let  $z \in \mathbb{A}^m$  be a  $k$ -rational point. Set  $U' = (\mathbb{A}^m)_z^h$  to be the henselization of  $\mathbb{A}^m$  at the point  $z$ . Let  $i_z : \text{pt} \hookrightarrow U'$  be the closed point of  $U'$ . Let  $U'_s := (\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^m)_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times z}^h$  be the henselization of  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^m$  at  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times z$ . Then the morphism  $f_s : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$  mapping  $(s, y)$  to  $s \cdot (y - x) + x$  induces a morphism  $H_s := f_s^h : U'_s \rightarrow U'$  such that:

- (a)  $H_1 := (f_s^h)|_{(1 \times X)_{(1, x)}} : U' \rightarrow U'$  is the identity morphism;
- (b)  $H_0 := (f_s^h)|_{(0 \times X)_{(0, x)}} : U' \rightarrow U'$  coincides with the composite morphism  $U' \xrightarrow{p^h} \text{pt} \xrightarrow{s_z} U'$ , where  $p^h : U' \rightarrow \text{pt} = \text{Spec}(k)$  is the structure morphism and  $s_z : \text{pt} \hookrightarrow U'$  is the closed point of  $U'$ .

Let  $z \in \mathbb{A}^m$  be a  $k$ -rational point. The projection  $pr : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$  induces a morphism  $can_s := pr^h : U'_s \rightarrow U'$  such that  $can_0 = can_1 = \text{id}_{U'}$  (see Appendix B). The preceding lemma gives the following

**Corollary 4.11.** Let  $z \in \mathbb{A}^m$  be a  $k$ -rational point and let  $(z, U', \psi; \text{id}_{U'}) \in \text{Fr}_m(\text{pt}, U')$  with  $U'$  as in Lemma 4.10. Suppose  $U'_s$  is as in Lemma 4.10 and let  $h_s = (\mathbb{A}^1 \times z, U'_s, can_s^*(\psi); H_s) \in \text{Fr}_m(\mathbb{A}^1, U')$ . Then one has:

- (a)  $h_1 = (z, U', \psi; \text{id}_{U'}) \in \text{Fr}_m(\text{pt}, U')$ ;
- (b)  $h_0 = (z, U', \psi; s_z \circ p^h) = s_z \circ (\{z\}, U', \psi; p^h) \in \text{Fr}_m(\text{pt}, U')$ , where  $p^h : U' \rightarrow \text{pt} = \text{Spec}(k)$  is the structure morphism and  $s_z : \text{pt} \hookrightarrow U'$  is the closed point of  $U'$ .

**Lemma 4.12.** Let  $z \in \mathbb{A}^m$  be a  $k$ -rational point. Let  $Y$  be a  $k$ -smooth scheme and let  $(z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g) \in \text{Fr}_m(\text{pt}, Y)$  be a framed correspondence. Then

$$(z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g) \sim (z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), c_{g(z)}),$$

where  $c_{g(z)} = g(z) \circ p : U \xrightarrow{p} \text{pt} \xrightarrow{g(z)} Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U', U'_s, i_z$  and  $h_s$  be as in Corollary 4.11. Let  $\pi : U' \rightarrow U$  be the canonical morphism. Set  $h'_s = g \circ \pi \circ h_s \in \text{Fr}_m(\text{pt}, Y)$ . We want to check that  $h'_1 = (z, U, \varphi, g)$  and  $h'_0 = (z, U, \varphi, c_{g(z)})$ . This will prove our statement. One has,

$$\begin{aligned} h'_1 &= (g \circ \pi) \circ h_1 = (g \circ \pi) \circ (z, U', \varphi \circ \pi; \text{id}_{U'}) = (z, U', \varphi \circ \pi; g \circ \pi) = (z, U, \varphi; g), \\ h'_0 &= (g \circ \pi) \circ h_0 = (g \circ \pi) \circ (z, U', \varphi \circ \pi; s_z \circ p^h) = (z, U', \varphi \circ \pi; g \circ \pi \circ s_z \circ p^h) = \\ &= (z, U', \varphi \circ \pi; c_{g(z)} \circ \pi) = (z, U, \varphi; c_{g(z)}) \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.13.** Let  $Y$  be a  $k$ -smooth scheme and let  $(Z, U, \varphi, g) \in \text{Fr}_1(\text{pt}, Y)$  be a framed correspondence. Suppose that  $U \subset \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $\varphi = p(t) \in k[t]$  is a polynomial, where  $t$  is the coordinate function on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . Let  $g : U \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism.

(1) Then for every  $a \in k$  we have

$$(Z, U, p(t), g(t)) \sim (m_a^{-1}(Z), m_a^{-1}(U), p(t-a), g(t-a)) \in \text{Fr}_1(\text{pt}, Y),$$

where  $m_a: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is given by  $m_a(t) = t - a$ .

(2) If  $Z = \{x_0\}$  for some  $x_0 \in k$  and  $p(t) = (t - x_0)^n r(t)$  with  $r(t)$  invertible on  $U$ , then

$$(Z, U, p(t), g) \sim (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, r(x_0)t^n, c_{g(x_0)}) \in \text{Fr}_1(\text{pt}, Y),$$

where  $c_{g(x_0)}: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \text{pt} \xrightarrow{g(x_0)} Y$  is the constant map taking  $\mathbb{A}^1$  to the point  $g(x_0) \in Y$ .

*Proof.* (1) The homotopy is given by

$$(m_{sa}^{-1}(Z), m_{sa}^{-1}(U), p(t-sa), g(t-sa)) \in \text{Fr}_1(\mathbb{A}^1, Y),$$

where  $s$  is the homotopy parameter and  $m_{sa}: \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is the morphism  $m_{sa}(t) = t - sa$ .

(2) Using the preceding statement, we may assume that  $x_0 = 0$ . Consider a polynomial

$$h(s, t) = sr(t)t^n + (1-s)r(0)t^n \in k[s, t].$$

If  $r_1(t)$  is such that  $r(t) = r(0) + t \cdot r_1(t)$ , then one has  $h(s, t) = t^n \cdot (r(0) + t \cdot r_1(t) \cdot s)$ . If  $S$  is the vanishing locus of  $r(0) + t \cdot r_1(t) \cdot s$ , then  $S \cap \mathbb{A}^1 \times 0 = \emptyset$ . Hence for the zero locus  $Z(h)$  of  $h$  one has  $Z(h) = (\mathbb{A}^1 \times 0) \sqcup S$ . The framed correspondence

$$(\mathbb{A}^1 \times \{0\}, (\mathbb{A}^1 \times U) \setminus S, sr(t)t^n + (1-s)r(0)t^n, g \circ pr_U) \in \text{Fr}_1(\mathbb{A}^1, Y)$$

yields the relation  $(\{0\}, U, r(t)t^n, g) \sim (\{0\}, U, r(0)t^n, g)$  in  $\text{Fr}_1(\text{pt}, Y)$ . Lemma 4.12 shows that

$$(\{0\}, U, r(0)t^n, g) \sim (\{0\}, U, r(0)t^n, g(0)) = (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, r(0)t^n, g(0)) \in \text{Fr}_1(\text{pt}, Y)$$

and our lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.14.** Let  $a \in k^\times$ . Let  $p(t), q(t) \in k[t]$  be two polynomials of degree  $n$  with the leading coefficient  $a$ . Let  $(Z(p), \mathbb{A}^1, p(t), c) \in \text{Fr}_1(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$ ,  $(Z(q), \mathbb{A}^1, q(t), c) \in \text{Fr}_1(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$  be two framed correspondences. Here  $c: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \text{pt}$  is the structure morphism. Then

$$(Z(p), \mathbb{A}^1, p(t), c) \sim (Z(q), \mathbb{A}^1, q(t), c) \in \text{Fr}_1(\text{pt}, \text{pt}).$$

*Proof.* As a polynomial in  $t$  the leading coefficient of the polynomial  $p(t) + s(q(t) - p(t))$  is  $a \in k^\times$ . Hence the  $k[s]$ -module  $k[s, t]/(p(t) + s(q(t) - p(t)))$  is a free module rank  $n$ . Let  $Z_s \subset \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  be the vanishing locus of  $p(t) + s(q(t) - p(t))$ . The desired homotopy is given by the framed correspondence

$$(Z_s, \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1, p(t) + s(q(t) - p(t)), c'),$$

where  $s$  is the homotopy parameter and  $c': \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \text{pt}$  is the canonical projection.  $\square$

## 5. HOMOTOPIES FOR SWAPPING COORDINATES OF $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$

In this section we follow notation of Section 2. Denote by  $\varepsilon = (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, -t, c) \in \text{Fr}_1(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$ , where  $c: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \text{pt}$  is the canonical projection. We work in this Section with the elements  $\Sigma^n \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_n(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$  as in Definition 2.3.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $Y$  be a  $k$ -smooth scheme. Then the canonical homomorphism

$$H_0(\mathbb{Z}\text{F}(\Delta^\bullet \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y)) \rightarrow H_0(\mathbb{Z}\text{F}(\Delta_{\text{Spec}k(t, u)}^\bullet, Y))$$

is injective.

*Proof.* By [GP2, 3.15(1)] the canonical homomorphisms

$$H_0(\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y)) \rightarrow H_0(\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times \mathbb{G}_{m,k(u)}, Y))$$

and

$$H_0(\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times \mathbb{G}_{m,k(u)}, Y)) \rightarrow H_0(\mathbb{Z}F(\Delta_{\text{Spec}k(t,u)}^\bullet, Y))$$

are injective, hence the lemma.  $\square$

Let  $Y$  be a  $k$ -smooth variety and  $F/k$  be a field extension. There is a map of pointed sets

$$\text{adj} : \text{Fr}_n(\text{Spec}(F), Y) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_n^F(\text{Spec}(F), Y_F)$$

given by the assignment  $(Z, W, \varphi, g) \mapsto (Z, W, \varphi^F, g^F)$ . Here for a  $k$ -morphism  $g : W \rightarrow Y$  we write  $g^F$  to denote the  $F$ -morphism  $(g, \text{pr}_{\text{Spec}(F)}) : W \rightarrow Y_F$  and  $\text{pr}_{\text{Spec}(F)} : W \rightarrow \text{Spec}(F)$  is the structure morphism. In particular, for  $Y = \mathbb{A}^n$  and  $\varphi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^n$  we write  $\varphi^F$  for  $(\varphi, \text{pr}_{\text{Spec}(F)}) : W \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_F^n$ . It is easy to see that the map  $\text{adj}$  is a bijection. Moreover, it induces bijections

$$\text{adj} : \mathbb{Z}F_n(\text{Spec}(F), Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}F_n^F(\text{Spec}(F), Y_F) \text{ and } \mathbb{Z}F(\text{Spec}(F), Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}F^F(\text{Spec}(F), Y_F).$$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $F/k$  be a field extension, choose  $x, y \in F^\times$  such that  $x \neq y^{\pm 1}$  and let  $u_1, u_2$  be coordinates on  $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ . Consider morphisms  $f, g : \text{Spec}F \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$  given by  $u_1 \mapsto x, u_2 \mapsto y$  and  $u_1 \mapsto y, u_2 \mapsto x$  respectively. Then for  $p = (\text{id} - e_1) \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)$  we have*

$$p \circ (f \boxtimes \Sigma) \sim p \circ (g \boxtimes (-\mathcal{E}))$$

in  $\mathbb{Z}F(\text{Spec}F, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ .

*Proof.* The above adjunction isomorphism

$$\text{adj} : \mathbb{Z}F(\text{Spec}F, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \mathbb{Z}F^F(\text{Spec}F, \mathbb{G}_{m,F} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,F})$$

implies it is sufficient to verify the case  $F = k$ . So we have morphisms  $f, g : \text{pt} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ ,  $\text{pt} \mapsto (x, y)$  and  $\text{pt} \mapsto (y, x)$  respectively. Taking suspensions, we obtain framed correspondences

$$(\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, t, c_{(x,y)}), (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, t, c_{(y,x)}) \in \text{Fr}_1(\text{pt}, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m),$$

where  $c_{(x,y)}$  and  $c_{(y,x)}$  are morphisms on  $\mathbb{A}^1$  sending it to the points  $(x, y)$  and  $(y, x)$  respectively.

Consider  $h(s, t) = \frac{1}{x-y}(t^2 - (s(x+y) + (1-s)(xy+1))t + xy) \in k[s, t, t^{-1}] = k[\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{G}_m]$  and a framed correspondence

$$H_s := (Z(h), \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{G}_m, h(s, t), (t, xyt^{-1}) \circ \text{pr}_{\mathbb{G}_m}) \in \text{Fr}_1(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m). \quad (4)$$

We have  $h(0, t) = \frac{1}{x-y}(t - xy)(t - 1)$  and  $h(1, t) = \frac{1}{x-y}(t - x)(t - y)$ . Using the additivity property for supports in  $\mathbb{Z}F_1(\text{pt}, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  (see Definition 2.4) and Lemma 4.13 we will check below that

$$(\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, t, c_{(x,y)}) + (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, -t, c_{(y,x)}) \sim (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, \frac{1-xy}{x-y}t, c_{(1,xy)}) + (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, \frac{xy-1}{x-y}t, c_{(xy,1)}) \quad (5)$$

in  $\mathbb{Z}F_1(\text{pt}, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ . The composition with the idempotent  $p$  annihilates all extra summands and proves the lemma.

In order to prove the relation (5), consider the framed correspondence (4) in  $\mathbb{Z}F_1(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ . Observe that in  $\mathbb{Z}F_1(\text{pt}, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= (Z(h(t, 1), \mathbb{G}_m, h(1, t), (t, xyt^{-1}))) = \\ &= (\{x\}, \mathbb{G}_m - \{y\}, \frac{1}{x-y}(t-x)(t-y), (t, xyt^{-1})) + (\{y\}, \mathbb{G}_m - \{x\}, \frac{1}{x-y}(t-x)(t-y), (t, xyt^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$



By Lemma 4.13 one has in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\text{pt}, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\{x\}, \mathbb{G}_m - \{y\}, \frac{1}{x-y}(t-x)(t-y), (t, xyt^{-1})) &\sim (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, \frac{x-y}{x-y}t, c_{(x,y)}) = (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, t, c_{(x,y)}), \\ (\{y\}, \mathbb{G}_m - \{x\}, \frac{1}{x-y}(t-x)(t-y), (t, xyt^{-1})) &\sim (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, \frac{y-x}{x-y}t, c_{(x,y)}) = (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, -t, c_{(x,y)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $H_1 \sim (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, t, c_{(x,y)}) + (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, -t, c_{(y,x)})$  in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\text{pt}, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ . Similar computations show that  $H_0 \sim (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, \frac{1-xy}{x-y}t, c_{(1,xy)}) + (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, \frac{xy-1}{x-y}t, c_{(xy,1)})$  in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\text{pt}, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ . The equality (5) is proved. Since the right hand side of the equality (5) is annihilated by the idempotent  $p$ , our lemma follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $\tau: \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$  be the permutation of coordinates morphism. Denote  $p = (\text{id} - e_1) \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)$ . Then  $p \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m} \boxtimes (-\varepsilon)) \sim p \circ (\tau \boxtimes \Sigma)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\tau \boxtimes (-\varepsilon) = (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m} \boxtimes (-\varepsilon)) \circ \tau$ . Hence

$$p \circ (\tau \boxtimes (-\varepsilon)) \circ \tau = p \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m} \boxtimes (-\varepsilon)) \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

Similarly,  $p \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m} \boxtimes \Sigma) \circ \tau = p \circ (\tau \boxtimes \Sigma)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ . It remains to check that

$$p \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m} \boxtimes \Sigma) = p \circ (\tau \boxtimes (-\varepsilon)) \quad (6)$$

in  $H_0(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(\Delta^\bullet \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m))$ .

Let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be coordinate functions on  $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ . Let  $f: \text{Spec}k(u_1, u_2) \rightarrow \text{Spec}k[u_1, u_2]$  be the canonical embedding and  $g: \text{Spec}k(u_1, u_2) \rightarrow \text{Spec}k[u_1, u_2]$  be given by  $g^*(u_1) = u_2, g^*(u_2) = u_1$ . By Proposition 5.2 we know that  $p \circ (f \boxtimes \Sigma) \sim p \circ (g \boxtimes (-\varepsilon))$  in  $H_0(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(\Delta_{k(u_1, u_2)}^\bullet, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m))$ . Proposition 5.1 yields the desired equality (6) in  $H_0(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(\Delta^\bullet \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m))$ .  $\square$

Recall that  $\Sigma = (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, t) \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$ . For every  $k > 0$  we write  $\Sigma^k$  to denote  $\Sigma \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \Sigma \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_k(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$ .

Let  $\tau: \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$  be the permutation of coordinates morphism. For each even integer  $m \geq 0$  and each integer  $n \geq 0$  consider two presheaf morphisms

$$(- \boxtimes \Sigma^{2n}): \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(- \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n}(- \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m),$$

$$(- \boxtimes \Sigma^{2n}) \circ sw: \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(- \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n}(- \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m),$$

where  $sw(a) = (\text{id}_Y \times \tau) \circ a \circ (\text{id}_X \times \tau)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $X, Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes. Given an even integer  $m \geq 0$ , there exists a large enough  $n$  and a homotopy*

$$H: \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(- \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n}(- \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$$

such that for any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(W \times X \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$  one has

$$a \boxtimes \Sigma^{2n} = H_0(a) \text{ and } H_1(a) = \Sigma^{2n}([( \text{id}_Y \times \tau ) \circ a \circ ( \text{id}_X \times \tau )]).$$

And both  $H_0(a)$  and  $H_1(a)$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n}(W \times X \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 5.3 that there exists a large enough integer  $n$  and a homotopy  $\Psi \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  such that  $i_0^*(\Psi) = p \circ (-\varepsilon \boxtimes (\Sigma^{n-1} \text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}))$  and  $i_1^*(\Psi) = p \circ \Sigma^n \tau$ , where  $p = (\text{id} - e_1) \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)$ .

Given any element  $a \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(W \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ , set

$$H'(a) = (id_Y \times \Psi) \circ (a \times id_{\mathbb{A}^1}) \circ (id_{W \times X} \times \Psi \times id_{\mathbb{A}^1}) \circ (id_{W \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m} \times \Delta) \in \\ \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n}(W \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m),$$

where  $\Delta : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is the diagonal morphism. Then for any element  $a \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(W \times X \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$  one has

$$H'(a)_0 = [id_Y \times \Sigma^{n-1}(-\varepsilon)] \circ a \circ [id_X \times \Sigma^{n-1}(-\varepsilon)] \text{ and } H'(a)_1 = [id_Y \times \Sigma^n(\tau)] \circ a \circ [id_X \times \Sigma^n(\tau)].$$

It is easy to see that there are matrices  $A, B \in SL_{m+2n}(k)$  such that for any element  $a$  in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(W \times X \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$  one has

$$\varphi_A([id_Y \times \Sigma^{n-1}(-\varepsilon)] \circ a \circ [id_X \times \Sigma^{n-1}(-\varepsilon)]) = a \boxtimes \Sigma^{2n} = \Sigma^{2n}(a),$$

$$\varphi_B([id_Y \times \Sigma^n(\tau)] \circ a \circ [id_X \times \Sigma^n(\tau)]) = ([id_Y \times \tau] \circ a \circ [id_X \times \tau]) \boxtimes \Sigma^{2n} = \Sigma^{2n}([id_Y \times \tau] \circ a \circ [id_X \times \tau]).$$

Choose matrices  $A_s, B_s \in SL_{m+2n}(k[s])$  such that  $A_0 = id$ ,  $A_1 = A$ ,  $B_0 = id$ ,  $B_1 = B$ . Then for the matrix  $C_s = B_s \circ A_{1-s} \in SL_{m+2n}(k[s])$  one has  $C_0 = A$ ,  $C_1 = B$ . Set  $H = \varphi_{C_s} \circ H'$ . Then for the chosen element  $a \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(W \times X \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$ , one has

$$H_0(a) = \varphi_A(H'(a)_0) = \Sigma^{2n}(a) \text{ and } H_1(a) = \varphi_B(H'(a)_1) = \Sigma^{2n}([id_Y \times \tau] \circ a \circ [id_X \times \tau]),$$

as was to be proved.  $\square$

## 6. THE INVERSE MORPHISM

The main aim of this section is to define for any integers  $n, m \geq 0$  a subpresheaf  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(n)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  of the presheaf  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  and define a morphism of abelian presheaves

$$\rho_n : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(n)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(-, Y).$$

We also prove certain properties of morphisms  $\rho_n$  and of presheaves  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(n)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  which are used in the proof of the Linear Cancellation Theorem (Theorem C).

We begin with some general remarks. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes. Consider a framed correspondence

$$a = (Z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g) \in \text{Fr}_m(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

Let  $(U, p : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m \times (X \times \mathbb{G}_m), s : Z \rightarrow U)$  be the étale neighborhood of  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{A}^m \times (X \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  from the definition of the framed correspondence  $a$ . Let  $t$  be the invertible function on  $X \times \mathbb{G}_m$  corresponding to the projection on  $\mathbb{G}_m$  and  $u$  be invertible function on  $Y \times \mathbb{G}_m$  corresponding to the projection on  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . Let  $f_2 = g^*(u)$  and  $f_1 = p_{X \times \mathbb{G}_m}^*(t)$  be two invertible functions on  $U$ , where  $p_{X \times \mathbb{G}_m} = pr_{X \times \mathbb{G}_m} \circ p : U \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{G}_m$ . Set  $g = (g_1, g_2)$ , where  $g_1 = pr_Y \circ g$  and  $g_2 = pr_{\mathbb{G}_m} \circ g$ .

Since  $Z$  is finite over  $X \times \mathbb{G}_m$ , the  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{G}_m \times Y \times \mathbb{G}_m}$ -sheaf  $P_a := \mathcal{O}_U / (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m)$  is finite over  $X \times \mathbb{G}_m$ . Since the sheaf  $P_a$  is finite over  $X \times \mathbb{G}_m$ , it is flat over  $X \times \mathbb{G}_m$  by [OP, Lemma 7.3].

Let  $Z_n^+$  be the closed subset of  $Z$  defined by the equation  $(f_1^{n+1} - 1)|_Z = 0$ . Let  $Z_n^-$  be the closed subset of  $Z$  defined by the equation  $(f_1^{n+1} - f_2)|_Z = 0$ . Note that  $Z_n^+$  is finite over  $X$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_U / (f_1^{n+1} - 1, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m)$  is finite over  $X$ . By [S, 4.1] the latter  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module is always finite and even flat. Note that  $Z_n^-$  is finite over  $X$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_U / (f_1^{n+1} - f_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m)$  is finite over  $X$ . We have mentioned above that the  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{G}_m}$ -module  $P_a = \mathcal{O}_U / (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m)$  is finite and flat over  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{G}_m}$ . Thus by [S, 4.1.b] there exists an integer  $N$  such that for any  $n \geq N$  the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{O}_U / (f_1^{n+1} - f_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m)$  is finite and even flat over  $X$ . In particular,  $Z_n^-$  is finite over  $X$  for any  $n \geq N$ .

The following definition is inspired by [S, Section 4].

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes. Consider a framed correspondence  $a = (Z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g) \in \text{Fr}_m(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ . Set

$$\rho_{n,fr}^+(a) := (Z_n^+, U, (f_1^{n+1} - 1, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g_1)$$

and

$$\rho_{n,fr}^-(a) := (Z_n^-, U, (f_1^{n+1} - f_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g_1).$$

As we have mentioned above,  $Z_n^+$  is finite over  $X$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , hence  $\rho_{n,fr}^+(a) \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(X, Y)$ . We say that  $\rho_{n,fr}^-(a)$  is *defined* if  $Z_n^-$  is finite over  $X$ , which is equivalent to saying that the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $P_a/(f_1^{n+1} - f_2)P_a$  is finite and flat over  $X$ . If  $\rho_{n,fr}^-(a)$  is defined, then we set

$$\rho_{n,fr}(a) = \rho_{n,fr}^+(a) - \rho_{n,fr}^-(a) \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(X, Y)$$

and say that  $\rho_{n,fr}(a)$  is *defined*.

Given integers  $m, n \geq 0$ , denote by  $\text{Fr}_m^{(n)}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  the subset of those framed correspondences  $a \in \text{Fr}_m(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  for which the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $P_a/(f_1^{n+1} - f_2)P_a$  is finite over  $X$  (that is  $\rho_{n,fr}(a)$  is defined). It follows from [S, 4.4] that the assignment  $X' \mapsto \text{Fr}_m^{(n)}(X' \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  is a subpresheaf of  $\text{Fr}_m(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ .

**Definition 6.2.** Define a presheaf of abelian groups  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(n)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  as follows. Its sections on  $X$  is the abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Fr}_m^{(n)}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)]$  modulo a subgroup generated by all elements of the form

$$(Z_1 \sqcup Z_2, U_1 \sqcup U_2, \varphi_1 \sqcup \varphi_2, g_1 \sqcup g_2) - (Z_1, U_1, \varphi_1, g_1) - (Z_2, U_2, \varphi_2, g_2).$$

It is straightforward to check that  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(n)}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  is a free abelian group with a free basis consisting of the elements of the form  $a = (Z, U, \varphi, g)$ , where  $Z$  is connected and the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $P_a/(f_1^{n+1} - f_2)P_a$  is finite and flat over  $X$ . Moreover, the group  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(n)}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  is a subgroup of the group  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(n)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  is a subpresheaf of the presheaf  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ .

It follows from [S, 4.4] that for any morphism  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  of smooth varieties the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fr}_m^{(n)}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) & \xrightarrow{(f \times \text{id})^*} & \text{Fr}_m^{(n)}(X' \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) \\ \downarrow \rho_{n,fr} & & \downarrow \rho_{n,fr} \\ \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(X', Y). \end{array}$$

We see that  $\rho_{n,fr} : \text{Fr}_m^{(n)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(-, Y)$  is a morphism of pointed presheaves. We can extend it to get a morphism of presheaves of abelian groups  $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Fr}_m^{(n)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(-, Y)$ . This morphism annihilates the elements of the form

$$(Z_1 \sqcup Z_2, U_1 \sqcup U_2, \varphi_1 \sqcup \varphi_2, g_1 \sqcup g_2) - (Z_1, U_1, \varphi_1, g_1) - (Z_2, U_2, \varphi_2, g_2).$$

**Definition 6.3.** The above arguments show that the presheaf morphism  $\rho_{n,fr}$  induces a unique presheaf of abelian groups morphism

$$\rho_n : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(n)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(-, Y)$$

such that for any  $a \in \text{Fr}_m^{(n)}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  one has  $\rho_n(a) = \rho_{n,fr}(a)$ . We also call  $\rho_n$  the *inverse morphism*.

**Lemma 6.4.** *The following relations are true:*

$$\text{Fr}_m(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) = \text{colim}_n \text{Fr}_m^{(n)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m),$$

$$\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) = \text{colim}_n \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m^{(n)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

This lemma follows from the following

**Proposition 6.5.** ([S, 4.1]) *For any framed correspondence  $a \in \text{Fr}_m(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  one has:*

- (a) *for any  $n \geq 0$ , the sheaf  $P_a/(f_1^{n+1} - 1)P_a$  is finite and flat over  $X$ ;*
- (b) *there exists an integer  $N$  such that, for any  $n \geq N$ , the sheaf  $P_a/(f_1^{n+1} - f_2)P_a$  is finite and flat over  $X$ .*

We shall need the following obvious property of  $\rho_n$ .

**Lemma 6.6.** *For any integers  $m, n, r \geq 0$ , the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m^{(n)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^r} & \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_{m+r}^{(n)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) \\ \downarrow \rho_n & & \downarrow \rho_n \\ \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_{m+1}(-, Y) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^r} & \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_{m+1+r}(-, Y). \end{array}$$

**Lemma 6.7.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes. Then for any integers  $m$  and  $n$  and any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m(X, Y)$ , one has  $a \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1) \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m^{(n)}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ . In particular, for any integers  $m$  and  $n$  there is a well defined composite morphism*

$$\rho_n \circ (- \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)) : \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m(- \times X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m^{(n)}(- \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_{m+1}(- \times X, Y).$$

Moreover, for an element  $a \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m(W \times X, Y)$  of the form  $(Z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g)$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n(a \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)) &= -(Z \times Z(t^{n+1} - t), U \times \mathbb{G}_m, (t^{n+1} - t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g) + \\ &+ (Z \times Z(t^{n+1} - 1), U \times \mathbb{G}_m, (t^{n+1} - 1, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g) \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_{m+1}(W \times X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m(W \times X, Y)$  be the image of  $(Z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g) \in \text{Fr}_m(W \times X, Y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1) &= (Z \times \mathbb{G}_m, U \times \mathbb{G}_m, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), (g, t)) - \\ &- (Z \times \mathbb{G}_m, U \times \mathbb{G}_m, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), (g, e_1)) \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m(W \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m), \end{aligned}$$

where  $t$  is the coordinate function on  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . Clearly,  $Z_n^+ = Z \times Z(t^{n+1} - 1) \subset Z \times \mathbb{G}_m$  and  $Z_n^- = Z \times Z(t^{n+1} - t) \subset Z \times \mathbb{G}_m$ . Both sets are finite over  $X$ . Hence  $a \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1) \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m^{(n)}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  in this case. Any element of  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_m(W \times X, Y)$  is a linear combination of elements of the form  $(Z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g)$ . This proves the first assertion of the lemma.

Computing  $\rho_n(a \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1))$  for  $a = (Z, U, (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n(a \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)) &= -(Z \times Z(t^{n+1} - t), U \times \mathbb{G}_m, (t^{n+1} - t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g) + \\ &+ (Z \times Z(t^{n+1} - 1), U \times \mathbb{G}_m, (t^{n+1} - 1, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m), g) \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_{m+1}(W \times X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.8.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes. Then for every even integer  $m$  and any  $n$  one has*

$$\rho_n \circ (-\boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)) \sim (-\boxtimes \varepsilon) : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(-\times X, Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(-\times X, Y),$$

where  $\varepsilon = (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, -t, c') \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\eta_n = \rho_n \circ (-\boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1))$ . Take the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_{m+1}(k)$$

and let  $A_s \in SL_{m+1}(k[s])$  be such that  $A_0 = \text{id}$ ,  $A_1 = A$ . Let  $H_{A_s}$  be the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy from Definition 4.6 between the identity and  $\varphi_A$ . By Definition 4.6 one has

$$\eta_n = \rho_n \circ (-\boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)) \xrightarrow{H_{A_s} \circ \eta_n} \varphi_A \circ \rho_n \circ (-\boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)) = \varphi_A \circ \eta_n.$$

Set  $H' = H_{A_s} \circ \eta_n$ . By Lemma 4.4 it remains to find an  $H''$  such that  $\varphi_A \circ \eta_n \xrightarrow{H''} (-\boxtimes \varepsilon)$  and set  $H = H' + H'' - H_{\varphi_A \circ \eta_n}$ . In this case by Lemma 4.4 one gets  $\rho_n \circ (-\boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)) = \eta_n \xrightarrow{H} (-\boxtimes \varepsilon)$ .

To construct  $H''$ , note that by the last statement of Lemma 6.7 one has

$$\varphi_A \circ \eta_n = -\boxtimes [(Z(t^{n+1} - 1), \mathbb{G}_m, t^{n+1} - 1, c) - (Z(t^{n+1} - t), \mathbb{G}_m, t^{n+1} - t, c)]$$

and  $(-\boxtimes \varepsilon) = -\boxtimes (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, -t, c')$ , where  $c : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{pt}$  is the canonical projection. By Lemma 4.9 one can take  $H''$  to be an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy of the form  $H'' = (-\boxtimes h'')$ , where  $h'' \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\mathbb{A}^1, \text{pt})$  is such that

$$(Z(t^{n+1} - 1), \mathbb{G}_m, t^{n+1} - 1, c) - (Z(t^{n+1} - t), \mathbb{G}_m, t^{n+1} - t, c) = h''_0$$

and

$$h''_1 = (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, -t, c') \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\text{pt}, \text{pt}),$$

where  $c' : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \text{pt}$  is the canonical projection. Now let us find the desired element  $h''$ . Since  $t^{n+1} - 1$  does not vanish at  $t = 0$ , we can extend the neighborhood from  $\mathbb{G}_m$  to  $\mathbb{A}^1$  to get an equality,

$$(Z(t^{n+1} - 1), \mathbb{G}_m, t^{n+1} - 1, c) = (Z(t^{n+1} - 1), \mathbb{A}^1, t^{n+1} - 1, c') \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\text{pt}, \text{pt}).$$

By Lemma 4.14 there is  $h''' \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\mathbb{A}^1, \text{pt})$  such that

$$(Z(t^{n+1} - 1), \mathbb{A}^1, t^{n+1} - 1, c') = h'''_0 \text{ and } h'''_1 = (Z(t^{n+1} - t), \mathbb{A}^1, t^{n+1} - t, c') \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\text{pt}, \text{pt}),$$

because polynomials  $t^{n+1} - t$  and  $t^{n+1} - 1$  have the same degree and the same leading coefficient. Using the additivity property for supports in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$  and the second statement of Lemma 4.13, we can find an element  $h^{iv} \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\mathbb{A}^1, \text{pt})$  such that

$$(Z(t^{n+1} - t), \mathbb{G}_m, t^{n+1} - t, c) = h^{iv}_0 \text{ and } h^{iv}_1 = (Z(t^{n+1} - t), \mathbb{A}^1, t^{n+1} - t, c') - (\{0\}, \mathbb{A}^1, -t, c') \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$$

Set  $h'' := h''' - h^{iv} \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1(\mathbb{A}^1, \text{pt})$ . Then  $h''$  is the desired element.

Set  $H'' = (-\boxtimes h'')$  and  $H = H' + H'' - H_{\varphi_A \circ \eta_n}$ . Then  $H$  is the desired  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy. That is

$$\rho_n \circ (-\boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)) \xrightarrow{H} (-\boxtimes \varepsilon)$$

and our statement follows.  $\square$

## 7. THEOREM C

The main purpose of this section is to prove Theorem C. We sometimes identify simplicial abelian groups with chain complexes concentrated in non-negative degrees by using the Dold–Kan correspondence.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes and  $m, r, N \geq 0$  be integers. Then for any Moore cycle  $a \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(\Delta^r \times X, Y)$  of the simplicial abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y)$ , one has  $a \boxtimes (id - e_1) \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(N)}(\Delta^r \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ . Moreover,  $\rho_N(a \boxtimes (id - e_1))$  is a Moore cycle. The homology classes of Moore cycles*

$$a \boxtimes \varepsilon \text{ and } \rho_N(a \boxtimes (id - e_1))$$

*coincide in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y)$ .*

*Proof.* The element  $a \boxtimes (id - e_1)$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(N)}(\Delta^r \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  by Lemma 6.7. Since  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(N)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  is a presheaf, then  $\partial_i(a \boxtimes (id - e_1)) \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(N)}(\Delta^r \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ . Since the morphism  $\rho_N$  is a morphism of presheaves, then

$$\partial_i(\rho_N(a \boxtimes (id - e_1))) = \rho_N(\partial_i(a \boxtimes (id - e_1))) = \rho_N(\partial_i(a) \boxtimes (id - e_1)) = 0.$$

This proves the first assertion of the lemma.

By Lemma 6.8 the morphism

$$a' \mapsto \rho_N(a' \boxtimes (id_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1)) : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(- \times X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(N)}(- \times X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(- \times X, Y)$$

is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopic to the morphism  $a' \mapsto a' \boxtimes \varepsilon$ . Thus the corresponding morphisms of the simplicial abelian groups  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y)$  induce the same morphisms on homology. Hence the homology class of the Moore cycle  $\rho_N(a \boxtimes (id_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1))$  coincides with the homology class of the Moore cycle  $a \boxtimes \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.2.** *One has  $\varepsilon \boxtimes \varepsilon \sim \Sigma^2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_2(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$ . Moreover, for any integer  $r \geq 0$  one has  $\varepsilon \boxtimes \varepsilon \boxtimes \Sigma^r \sim \Sigma^{2+r}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{2+r}(\text{pt}, \text{pt})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $c : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \text{pt}$  be the structure morphism. Take the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(k).$$

There is an  $A_s \in SL_2(k[s])$  such that  $A_0 = id$ ,  $A_1 = A$ . Take

$$h_s = (\mathbb{A}^1 \times 0, \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^2, A_s \circ (t_1, t_2), c) \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_2(\mathbb{A}^1, \text{pt}).$$

Clearly,  $h_0 = \Sigma^2$  and  $h_1 = \varepsilon \boxtimes \varepsilon$ . The first assertion is proved. To prove the second one take the element  $h_s \boxtimes \Sigma^r \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{2+r}(\mathbb{A}^1, \text{pt})$ . Then  $h_0 \boxtimes \Sigma^r = \Sigma^{2+r}$  and  $h_1 \boxtimes \Sigma^r = \varepsilon \boxtimes \varepsilon \boxtimes \Sigma^r$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.3.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes and  $m \geq 0$  be an integer. Then,*

$$(- \boxtimes \varepsilon^2) \sim (- \boxtimes \Sigma^2) : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(- \times X, Y) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2}(- \times X, Y)$$

*and*

$$(- \boxtimes \varepsilon^2 \boxtimes \Sigma^r) \sim (- \boxtimes \Sigma^{2+r}) : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(- \times X, Y) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2+r}(- \times X, Y).$$

*Therefore the first pair of maps produces the same maps on homology*

$$H_*(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y)) \rightrightarrows H_*(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2}(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y)).$$

*Similarly, the second pair of maps gives the same maps on homology*

$$H_*(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y)) \rightrightarrows H_*(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2+r}(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y)).$$

**Lemma 7.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes and  $m \geq 0$  be an integer. Then for any integer  $r \geq 0$  one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}[- \boxtimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1) : H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y)) \rightarrow H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1)))] \subseteq \\ \subseteq \text{Ker}[(- \boxtimes \Sigma^2) : H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y)) \rightarrow H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2}(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y))]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Consider the associated Moore complexes. Assume that

$$a \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m(\Delta^r \times X, Y)$$

is a Moore cycle for which  $a \boxtimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1)$  is a boundary, i.e., there exists  $b \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m((\Delta^{r+1} \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  such that  $\partial_i(b) = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$  and  $\partial_{r+1}(b) = a \boxtimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1)$ . By Lemma 6.4 there exists an  $N$  such that  $b \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(N)}(\Delta^{r+1} \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(N)}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  is a presheaf, then  $\partial_i(b) \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(N)}(\Delta^r \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ . Since  $\rho_N$  is a presheaf morphism  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(N)}(- \times X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(- \times X, Y)$ , one has  $\partial_i(\rho_N(b)) = \rho_N(\partial_i(b))$ . Thus,

$$\partial_i(\rho_N(b)) = \rho_N(\partial_i(b)) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r,$$

$$\partial_{r+1}(\rho_N(b)) = \rho_N(\partial_{r+1}(b)) = \rho_N(a \boxtimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1)).$$

We see that the homology class of the Moore cycle  $\rho_N(a \boxtimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1))$  vanishes. By Lemma 7.1 the homology class of the Moore cycle  $a \boxtimes \varepsilon$  vanishes in  $H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+1}(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y))$ . Thus the homology class of the Moore cycle  $a \boxtimes \varepsilon \boxtimes \varepsilon$  vanishes in  $H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2}(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y))$ . By Corollary 7.3 the homology class of  $a \boxtimes \Sigma^2$  vanishes in  $H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2}(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y))$ , too.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.5.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes and  $m, r \geq 0$  be integers. Let  $n$  be the integer from Lemma 5.4. Then for any Moore cycle  $a \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m((\Delta^r \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$  there exists an integer  $N$  such that the element  $\rho_N(a)$  is defined and the homology class of the Moore cycle*

$$\Sigma^{2n}(\rho_N(a)) \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1) \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n+1}((\Delta^r \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$$

*coincides with the homology class of the Moore cycle  $\Sigma^{2n}(a \boxtimes \varepsilon)$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $a' = a \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)$ . Let  $H$  be the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy from Lemma 5.4. Consider the element

$$H(a') \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n}((\Delta^r \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

By Lemma 6.4 there is an integer  $N$  such that

$$a \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m^{(N)}((\Delta^r \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$$

and

$$H(a') \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n}^{(N)}((\Delta^r \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

Since  $a'$  is a Moore cycle and  $H$  is a presheaf morphism, the element  $H(a')$  is a Moore cycle in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ . Since

$$\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n}^{(N)}((- \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$$

is a subpresheaf of  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m((- \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ , it follows that  $H(a')$  is a Moore cycle in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n}^{(N)}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ .

Applying the presheaf morphism

$$\rho_N : \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n}^{(N)}((- \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n+1}((- \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$$

to the Moore cycle  $H(a')$ , we get a Moore cycle

$$\rho_N(H(a')) \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n+1}((\Delta^r \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m).$$

Hence  $i_0^*(\rho_N(H(a'))) \in \mathbb{Z}F_{m+2n+1}((\Delta^r \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  and  $i_1^*(\rho_N(H(a'))) \in \mathbb{Z}F_{m+2n+1}((\Delta^r \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  are Moore cycles, too. Furthermore,

$$i_0^*(\rho_N(H(a'))) = \rho_N(i_0^*(H(a'))) = \rho_N(\Sigma^{2n}(a')) = \Sigma^{2n}(\rho_N(a'))$$

and

$$i_1^*(\rho_N(H(a'))) = \rho_N(i_1^*(H(a'))) = \rho_N(\Sigma^{2n}[(\text{id}_Y \times \tau) \circ a' \circ (\text{id}_X \times \tau)]).$$

The two morphisms

$$i_0^*, i_1^* : \mathbb{Z}F_{n+2m+1}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Z}F_{n+2m+1}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$$

of simplicial abelian groups induce the same morphisms on homology. The element  $\rho_N(H(a'))$  is a Moore cycle. Thus the homological classes of the Moore cycles  $i_0^*(\rho_N(H(a')))$  and  $i_1^*(\rho_N(H(a')))$  coincide in  $H_r(\mathbb{Z}F_{n+2m+1}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m))$ .

By Lemma 6.6 one has  $\rho_N(\Sigma^{2n}(a')) = \Sigma^{2n}(\rho_N(a'))$ . Thus the first homological class is the class of  $\Sigma^{2n}(\rho_N(a')) = \Sigma^{2n}(\rho_N(a \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)))$ . By Lemma 7.1 the latter homological class coincides with the class of the element  $\Sigma^{2n}(a \boxtimes \varepsilon)$ .

The element  $i_1^*(\rho_N(H(a')))$  coincides with  $\rho_N(\Sigma^{2n}[(\text{id}_Y \times \tau) \circ (a \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)) \circ (\text{id}_X \times \tau)])$ . By Lemma 6.6 the latter element coincides with

$$\Sigma^{2n}(\rho_N[(\text{id}_Y \times \tau) \circ (a \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)) \circ (\text{id}_X \times \tau)]) = \Sigma^{2n}[\rho_N(a) \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)].$$

Hence the homological classes  $\Sigma^{2n}(a \boxtimes \varepsilon)$  and  $[\Sigma^{2n}[\rho_N(a) \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)]]$  coincide in  $H_r(\mathbb{Z}F_{n+2m+1}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m))$ . Finally, the complex  $\mathbb{Z}F_{n+2m+1}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$  is a direct summand in  $\mathbb{Z}F_{n+2m+1}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  and the elements  $\Sigma^{2n}(a \boxtimes \varepsilon), \Sigma^{2n}(\rho_N(a) \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1))$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}F_{n+2m+1}((\Delta^r \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$ . Hence the homological classes  $[\Sigma^{2n}[\rho_N(a) \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)]]$  and  $[\Sigma^{2n}(a \boxtimes \varepsilon)]$  coincide in  $H_r(\mathbb{Z}F_{n+2m+1}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1)))$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.6.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes and  $m, r \geq 0$  be integers. Let  $n$  be the integer from Lemma 5.4. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}[(- \boxtimes \Sigma^{2n+2}) : H_r(\mathbb{Z}F_m((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H_r(\mathbb{Z}F_{m+2n+2}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1)))] \subseteq \\ \text{Im}[(- \boxtimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1)) : H_r(\mathbb{Z}F_{m+2n+2}(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y)) \rightarrow H_r(\mathbb{Z}F_{m+2n+2}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1)))]]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Take a Moore cycle  $a' \in \mathbb{Z}F_m((\Delta^r \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$ . Then the element  $a := a' \boxtimes \varepsilon$  is a Moore cycle in  $\mathbb{Z}F_{m+1}((\Delta^r \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$ . By Lemma 7.5 the homology classes of  $\Sigma^{2n}(a \boxtimes \varepsilon)$  and  $\Sigma^{2n}(\rho_N(a) \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1))$  coincide in

$$H_r(\mathbb{Z}F_{m+2+2n}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))).$$

By Corollary 7.3 the homology classes of  $\Sigma^{2n}(a \boxtimes \varepsilon) = \Sigma^{2n}(a' \boxtimes \varepsilon \boxtimes \varepsilon)$  and  $\Sigma^{2n+2}(a')$  coincide. Hence the homology classes of  $\Sigma^{2n+2}(a')$  and  $\Sigma^{2n}(\rho_N(a' \boxtimes \varepsilon)) \boxtimes (\text{id} - e_1)$  coincide in  $H_r(\mathbb{Z}F_{m+2+2n}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1)))$ .  $\square$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem C.

**Theorem C.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $k$ -smooth schemes. Then*

$$- \boxtimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1) : \mathbb{Z}F(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}F((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$$

*is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes of abelian groups.*



*Proof.* The theorem follows from Lemmas 7.4 and 7.6.

In more detail, first prove that the morphism  $-\boxtimes(\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1)$  induces an epimorphism on homology groups. For this take an integer  $r \geq 0$  and an element  $a \in H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1)))$ . We will find an element  $b \in H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y))$  such that  $b \boxtimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1) = a$ . Note that there exist an integer  $m \geq 0$  and an element  $a_m \in H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_m((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1)))$  which is a lift of the element  $a$ . Let  $n$  be the integer from Lemma 5.4. By Lemma 7.6 there exists an element  $b_{m+2n+2} \in H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n+2}(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y))$  such that

$$b_{m+2n+2} \boxtimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1) = a_m \boxtimes \Sigma^{2n+2} \in H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_{m+2n+2}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))).$$

Let  $b$  be the image of  $b_{m+2n+2}$  in  $H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(\Delta^\bullet \times X, Y))$ . Clearly,  $b \boxtimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1) = a$  in  $H_r(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}((\Delta^\bullet \times X) \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1), Y \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1)))$ . Thus the morphism  $-\boxtimes(\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1)$  induces an epimorphism on homology. The fact that the morphism  $-\boxtimes(\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m} - e_1)$  induces a monomorphism on homology is proved in a similar fashion. Theorem C is proved.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A.

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem D.

Let  $(\mathcal{V}, \otimes)$  be a closed symmetric monoidal category and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a bicomplete category which is tensored and cotensored over  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  there are defined objects  $V \otimes C, C \otimes V, \underline{\text{Hom}}(V, C)$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . They are all functorial in  $V$  and  $C$ . Moreover, for every morphism  $r : V \rightarrow V'$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  the square in  $\mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{-\otimes V} & \underline{\text{Hom}}(V, C \otimes V) \\ \downarrow -\otimes V' & & \downarrow r_* \\ \underline{\text{Hom}}(V', C \otimes V') & \xrightarrow{r^*} & \underline{\text{Hom}}(V, C \otimes V') \end{array} \quad (7)$$

is commutative.

As an important example,  $\mathcal{V}$  is the category of simplicial objects  $s\text{Pre}(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_0(k))$  in the category  $\text{Pre}(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_0(k))$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category  $s\text{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(k))$  of simplicial objects in  $\text{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(k))$ . The General Framework of p. 6 is then immediately extended to this couple  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C})$ . Recall that the functor  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(k) \times \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_0(k) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(k)$  takes  $(X, Y)$  to  $X \times Y$ . As usual, the Yoneda embedding identifies the category simplicial objects in  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_0(k)$  with a full subcategory of  $s\text{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_0(k))$ .

The following lemma is obvious.

**Lemma A.1.** *Suppose in the diagram (7) the morphisms  $r_*$ ,  $r^*$  and  $-\otimes V'$  are sectionwise weak equivalences, then the morphism  $-\otimes V$  is a sectionwise weak equivalence.*

As it is shown in [GP1, Section 5], the category of framed correspondences of level zero  $\text{Fr}_0(k)$  has an action by finite pointed sets  $S \otimes K := \bigsqcup_{K \setminus * } S$  with  $S \in \text{Sm}/k$  and  $K$  a finite pointed set. The cone of  $S$  is the simplicial object  $S \otimes I$  in  $\text{Fr}_0(k)$ , where  $(I, 1)$  is the pointed simplicial set  $\Delta[1]$  with basepoint 1. There is a natural morphism  $i_0 : S \rightarrow S \otimes I$  in  $\Delta^{\text{op}} \text{Fr}_0(k)$ . Let  $\text{pt} \xrightarrow{e_1} \mathbb{G}_m$  be the point 1 in  $\mathbb{G}_m(k)$ . Then  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}$  is the simplicial object in  $\text{Fr}_0(k)$  which is obtained by taking the pushout of the diagram  $\mathbb{G}_m \xleftarrow{e_1} \text{pt} \xrightarrow{i_0} \text{pt} \otimes I$  in  $\Delta^{\text{op}} \text{Fr}_0(k)$ .

Let  $L : \text{Fr}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_0$  be the canonical functor which is the identity on objects and which takes a morphism  $\varphi \in \text{Fr}_0(Y, X)$  to the class  $1 \cdot \varphi \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_0(Y, X)$ . If we apply the functor  $L$  to  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}$ , we get an object in  $s\text{Pre}_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_0(k))$  denoted by  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_0(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})$ .

Put  $\mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m, 1) = \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m)/\text{Im}(e_{1,*}) = \text{Ker}(e_1^*)$ . There is a unique morphism  $r : \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m, 1)$  which restricts to the quotient map  $q : \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m)/\text{Im}(e_{1,*})$  on  $\mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m)$  and which restricts to the zero map on  $\text{pt} \otimes I$ .

The following lemma is straightforward and left to the reader.

**Lemma A.2.**  $\mathbb{Z}F(X) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m, 1) = \mathbb{Z}F(X \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}F(X) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}) = \mathbb{Z}F(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})$ .

**Lemma A.3.** *The morphisms*

$$r_* : \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}), C_*(\mathbb{Z}F(X) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}))) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}), C_*(\mathbb{Z}F(X) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m, 1)))$$

$$r^* : \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m, 1), C_*(\mathbb{Z}F(X) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m, 1))) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}), C_*(\mathbb{Z}F(X) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m, 1)))$$

are sectionwise weak equivalences.

*Proof.* It is easy to see that the morphisms

$$r : \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m, 1),$$

$$id \boxtimes r : \mathbb{Z}F(X) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}F(X) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m, 1),$$

$$id \boxtimes r : C_*(\mathbb{Z}F(X) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})) \rightarrow C_*(\mathbb{Z}F(X) \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}F_0(\mathbb{G}_m, 1))$$

are sectionwise weak equivalences. The lemma now follows.  $\square$

Theorem C, Lemma A.1 and Lemma A.3 imply the following

**Corollary A.4.** *The morphism*

$$- \boxtimes \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1} : C_* \mathbb{Z}F(X) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}, C_* \mathbb{Z}F(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}))$$

is a sectionwise weak equivalence in  $s\text{Pre}_{\text{Ab}}(\mathbb{Z}F_*(k))$ .

We are now in a position to prove the following

**Theorem D.** *The morphism  $c_0 : LM_{fr}(X) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}))$  is a sectionwise stable weak equivalence of presheaves of  $S^1$ -spectra.*

*Proof.* First, the adjunction unit  $\mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{\text{adj}} (\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}|_{\text{Sm}/k})$  in  $s\text{Pre}_{\bullet}(\text{Sm}/k)$  induces an isomorphism  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}, LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})) \xrightarrow{\text{adj}^*} \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}))$  of  $S^1$ -spectra. Second, the morphism  $\text{adj}^* \circ (- \boxtimes \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})$  coincides with the morphism

$$c_0 : LM_{fr}(X) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, LM_{fr}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})).$$

It is the morphism (3). The theorem now follows from Corollary A.4.  $\square$

## APPENDIX B. SOME FACTS ON HENZELIZATION

We refer the reader to [Gab] or [FP] for the definition of henzelization of an affine scheme along a closed subscheme.

Let  $X, X_1$  be  $k$ -smooth affine varieties,  $Z \subset X$ ,  $Z_1 \subset X_1$  be closed subsets. Let  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X$  be a  $k$ -morphism such that  $Z_1 \subset f^{-1}(Z)$ . For an étale neighborhood  $(W, \pi : W \rightarrow X, s : Z \rightarrow W)$  of  $Z$  in  $X$  set  $W_1 = X_1 \times_X W$ . Let  $\pi_1 : W_1 \rightarrow X_1$  be the projection and let  $s_1 = (i_1, f|_{Z_1}) : Z_1 \rightarrow W_1$ , where  $i_1 : Z_1 \hookrightarrow X_1$  be the inclusion. Then  $(W_1, \pi_1, s_1)$  is an étale neighborhood of  $Z_1$  in  $X_1$ . Denote by  $f_W : W_1 \rightarrow W$  the projection. Then one has a morphism  $\lim(f_W) : \lim_{(W, \pi, s)} W_1 \rightarrow \lim_{(W, \pi, s)} W = X_Z^h$ . Set,

$$f^h = \lim(f_W) \circ \text{can}_f : (X_1)_{Z_1}^h \rightarrow X_Z^h, \quad (8)$$

where  $\text{can}_f : (X_1)_{Z_1}^h \rightarrow \lim_{(W, \pi, s)} W_1$  is the canonical morphism. Clearly,  $\rho \circ f^h = f \circ \rho_1$ , where  $\rho : X_Z^h \rightarrow X$  and  $\rho_1 : (X_1)_{Z_1}^h \rightarrow X_1$  are the canonical morphisms.

The following properties of the morphism  $f^h$  are straightforward:

- (1) For any affine  $k$ -smooth variety  $X$  one has  $\text{id}_X^h = \text{id}_{X_Z^h}$ . If  $p : X \rightarrow \text{pt}$  is the structure map, then for any closed  $Z$  in  $X$  the morphism  $p^h : X_Z^h \rightarrow (\text{pt})_{\text{pt}}^h = \text{pt}$  is the structure morphism.
- (2) Given a  $k$ -morphism  $f_1 : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$  of affine  $k$ -smooth varieties and a closed subset  $Z_2 \subset X_2$  with  $Z_2 \subset f_1^{-1}(Z_1)$  one has  $(f \circ f_1)^h = f^h \circ f_1^h$ .
- (3) If  $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$  is the closed inclusion,  $Z_1 = Z$ , then  $Z_2^h = Z$  and  $i^h : Z = Z_Z^h \rightarrow X_Z^h$  coincides with the canonical closed inclusion  $s : Z \rightarrow X_Z^h$ .

The last two properties imply the following property. Let  $X$  be an affine  $k$ -smooth variety and  $x \in X$  be a  $k$ -rational point. Suppose  $s : \text{pt} \rightarrow X_x^h$  is the closed point of  $X_x^h$  and  $i_x : \text{pt} \rightarrow X$  is the point  $x$ . Let  $p : X \rightarrow \text{pt}$  be the structure map. Then one has equalities

$$(i_x \circ p)^h = i_x^h \circ p^h = s \circ p^h : X_x^h \rightarrow X_x^h.$$

These observations imply the following

**Lemma B.1.** *Let  $X$  be an affine  $k$ -smooth variety and  $x \in X$  be its  $k$ -rational point. Let  $f_s : \mathbb{A}^1 \times X \rightarrow X$  be a morphism such that  $f_1 : X \rightarrow X$  is the identity,  $f_0 : X \rightarrow X$  coincides with the morphism  $X \xrightarrow{p} \text{pt} \xrightarrow{i_x} X$  and  $f_s(\mathbb{A}^1 \times \{x\}) = \{x\}$ . Then the morphism  $f_s^h : (\mathbb{A}^1 \times X)_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times x}^h \rightarrow X_x^h$  defined by (8) has the following properties:*

- (1)  $(f_s^h)|_{(1 \times X)_{(1, x)}^h} : X_x^h \rightarrow X_x^h$  is the identity;
- (2)  $(f_s^h)|_{(0 \times X)_{(0, x)}^h} : X_x^h \rightarrow X_x^h$  coincides with the morphism  $X_x^h \xrightarrow{p^h} \text{pt} \xrightarrow{s_x} X_x^h$ , where  $s_x : \text{pt} \hookrightarrow X_x^h$  is the closed point of  $X_x^h$ .

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from the equalities

$$\text{id}_{X_Z^h} = \text{id}_X^h = (f_1)^h = (f_s \circ i_1)^h = f_s^h \circ i_1^h = (f_s^h)|_{(1 \times X)_{(1, x)}^h}.$$

Let  $s_x : \text{pt} \hookrightarrow X_x^h$  be the closed point of  $X_x^h$ . As mentioned above,  $s_x = i_x^h$ , where  $i_x : \text{pt} \rightarrow X$  is the closed point  $x$  of  $X$ . The equalities

$$s_x \circ p^h = i_x^h \circ p^h = (i_x \circ p)^h = f_0^h = (f_s \circ i_0)^h = f_s^h \circ i_0^h = (f_s^h)|_{(0 \times X)_{(0, x)}^h}$$

imply the second assertion. □

If we take  $X = \mathbb{A}^m$ , a  $k$ -rational point  $x \in \mathbb{A}^m$  and the morphism  $f_s : \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$  mapping  $(s, y)$  to  $s \cdot (y - x) + x$ , then  $f_s : \mathbb{A}^1 \times X \rightarrow X$  satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma B.1. Thus Lemma B.1 implies the following statement, which is in fact Lemma 4.10.

**Corollary B.2.** *The morphism  $H_s := f_s^h : U'_s \rightarrow U'$  has the following properties:*

- (a)  $H_1 := (f_s^h)|_{(1 \times X)_{(1, x)}^h} : U' \rightarrow U'$  is the identity morphism;
- (b)  $H_0 := (f_s^h)|_{(0 \times X)_{(0, x)}^h} : U' \rightarrow U'$  coincides with the composite morphism  $U' \xrightarrow{p^h} \text{pt} \xrightarrow{s_x} U'$ , where  $p^h : U' \rightarrow \text{pt} = \text{Spec}(k)$  is the structure morphism and  $s_x : \text{pt} \hookrightarrow X_x^h$  is the closed point of  $X_x^h$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper was partly written during the visit of the authors in summer 2014 to the University of Duisburg-Essen (Marc Levine's Arbeitsgruppe). They would like to thank the University for its kind hospitality and support. The first author and the third author were supported by a grant from the Government of the Russian Federation (agreement 075-15-2019-1620). The first author was also supported by the Young Russian Mathematics award.

## REFERENCES

- [DP] A. Druzhinin, I. Panin, *Surjectivity of the étale excision map for homotopy invariant framed presheaves*, preprint arXiv:1808.07765.
- [FP] R. Fedorov, I. Panin, *A proof of the Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal bundles over regular local rings containing infinite fields*, Publ. Math. IHES 122(1) (2015), 169-193.
- [Gab] O. Gabber, *Affine analog of the proper base change theorem*, Israel J. Math., 87 (1994), 325-335.
- [GNP] G. Garkusha, A. Neshitov, I. Panin, *Framed motives of relative motivic spheres*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear. DOI <https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/8386>.
- [GP1] G. Garkusha, I. Panin, *Framed motives of algebraic varieties (after V. Voevodsky)*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 34(1) (2021), 261-313.
- [GP2] G. Garkusha, I. Panin, *Homotopy invariant presheaves with framed transfers*, Cambridge J. Math. 8(1) (2020), 1-94.
- [GP3] G. Garkusha, I. Panin, *The triangulated categories of framed bispectra and framed motives*, preprint arXiv:1809.08006.
- [EGA4] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV, Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas*, Quatrième partie, Publ. Math. IHES 32 (1967), 5-361.
- [GrD] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas (Troisième Partie)*, Publ. Math. IHÉS 28 (1966), 5-255.
- [Jar] J. F. Jardine, *Motivic symmetric spectra*, Doc. Math. 5 (2000), 445-552.
- [Hir] Ph. S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 99, 2003.
- [MV] F. Morel, V. Voevodsky,  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes, Publ. Math. IHES 90 (1999), 45-143.
- [OP] M. Ojanguren, I. Panin, *Rationally trivial hermitian spaces are locally trivial*, Math. Z. 237(1) (2001), 181-198.
- [Sch] S. Schwede, *An untitled book project about symmetric spectra*, available at [www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/schwede/SymSpec-v3.pdf](http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/schwede/SymSpec-v3.pdf) (version April 2012).
- [Seg] G. Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology 13 (1974), 293-312.
- [S] A. Suslin, *On the Grayson spectral sequence*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 241 (2003), 202-237.
- [V1] V. Voevodsky, *Notes on framed correspondences*, unpublished, 2001. Also available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/vladimir/publications>
- [V2] V. Voevodsky, *Cancellation theorem*, Doc. Math. Extra Volume in honor of A. Suslin (2010), 671-685.

ST. PETERSBURG BRANCH OF STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, FONTANKA 27, ST. PETERSBURG, 191023, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* [alseang@gmail.com](mailto:alseang@gmail.com)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SWANSEA UNIVERSITY, FABIAN WAY, SWANSEA SA1 8EN, UNITED KINGDOM

*E-mail address:* [g.garkusha@swansea.ac.uk](mailto:g.garkusha@swansea.ac.uk)

ST. PETERSBURG BRANCH OF STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, FONTANKA 27, ST. PETERSBURG, 191023, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* [paniniv@gmail.com](mailto:paniniv@gmail.com)