1	RUNNING HEAD: SPORT PSYCHOLOGY PERCEPTIONS
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	Understanding Elite Youth Athletes' Knowledge and Perceptions of Sport Psychology
9	Alexandra F. Bell ¹ ; Camilla J. Knight ^{1,3} ; Victoria E. Lovett ² ; Catherine Shearer ⁴
10	¹ School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Swansea University; ² Department of Psychology,
11	Swansea University; ³ Welsh Institute of Performance Science; ⁴ Sport Wales
12	
13	Date of Resubmission: 19th January 2020
14	
15	Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Camilla J. Knight, School of
16	Sport and Exercise Sciences, Swansea University, Bay Campus, Fabian Way, Crymlyn
17	Burrows, Swansea, SA1 8EN, United Kingdom. E-mail: c.j.knight@swansea.ac.uk
18	
19	This is the final accepted manuscript to be published in Journal of Applied Sport Psychology
20	The edited and typeset version is available from https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/uasp20 .
21 22	

	SPORT PSYCHOLOGY PERCEPTIONS
23 24	Abstract
25	The purpose of this study was to examine elite youth athletes' knowledge, perceptions, and
26	understanding of sport psychology, psychological characteristics, and psychological skills.
27	To address this purpose an interpretive description methodology was employed. Data were
28	collected through five focus groups with 34 elite youth swimmers, triathletes, and athletes
29	(aged 13 to 20 years). Following each focus group, the recordings were transcribed verbatim
30	and analysed using thematic analysis. The first theme labelled perceptions, knowledge, and
31	experiences of sport psychology encompassed athletes' current and future perceptions of
32	sport psychology as well as the factors impacting on athletes' perceptions of sport
33	psychology. The second theme was psychological characteristics for success in elite sport,
34	which included athletes' perceptions of the characteristics held by other elite athletes as well
35	as the development of their own characteristics. The final theme accounted for the
36	psychological skills deemed necessary for success in elite sport. Overall, the findings provide
37	an insight into youth athletes' varying perceptions of sport psychology, as well as their
38	limited knowledge and understanding of key psychological considerations for sport.
39	
40	Keywords: Youth sport, psychological skills, psychological characteristics, perceptions
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	

49	1
50	Lay Summary
51	Focus groups were used to explore the perception and understanding of sport psychology of
52	elite youth athletes involved in swimming, athletics, and triathlon. Overall perceptions of
53	sport psychology were varied, with multiple factors impacting upon them including the use
54	and understanding of sport psychology, as well as coaches' perceptions.
55	
56	Implications for Practice
57	• Athletes should be provided with the opportunity to be educated on the benefits of
58	sport psychology from a young age, so that as they progress they are aware of what it
59	is, how it can be of use, and when they might choose to use it.
60	• Athletes would also benefit from being taught about the variety of characteristics that
61	elite athletes possess and the available psychological skills that are commonly used to
62	enhance performance.
63	• In addition to educating athletes, it could be beneficial for national governing bodies
64	to also educate coaches around the topic of sport psychology given their influence on
65	athletes.
66	
67	
68	

69 2

70	The importance of psychology within sport has become increasingly recognized over
71	time, with continual growth in both research and applied fields (Barker & Winter, 2014).
72	Sport psychology is more readily utilized by elite athletes, with many athletes indicating that
73	they have a positive perception of sport psychology (Anderson, Miles, Mahoney, &
74	Robinson, 2002; Byrne & Cusack, 2015). However, perceptions of sport psychology vary
75	among individuals. For instance, research carried out by Martin (2005) looking at the
76	perceptions of sports people aged $14-27$ years old revealed that athletes who were male,
77	young (14-18 years old), or involved in contact sports were more likely to possess a negative
78	perception towards sport psychology and were least likely to seeking out psychological
79	support. In contrast, female athletes and those who have had previous positive experiences of
80	sport psychology reported more positive perceptions and appreciation of psychological
81	services and were more likely to seek out support from a sport psychologist (Wrisberg,
82	Simpson, Loberg, Withycombe, & Reed, 2009).
83	Unfortunately, if athletes (or coaches) possess a negative perception of sport psychology,
84	it may reduce the likelihood of them seeking out psychological support during their sporting
85	career, which could have both performance and wellbeing implications (Martin, 2005;
86	Wrisberg et al., 2009). Thus, insights into perceptions of sport psychology are important to
87	help practitioners anticipate behaviors or barriers that may impact on their work. Fortunately,
88	research in this area is growing particularly with college age and adult elite athletes (e.g.,
89	Holland, Woodcock, Cumming, & Duda, 2010; MacNamara, Button, & Collins, 2010a,
90	2010b). However, there is a noticeable lack of research considering the perceptions of youth
91	athletes (cf. Dohme, Piggott, Backhouse, & Morgan, 2019). Given the potential influence
92	that initial experiences of sport psychology can have on subsequent perceptions and
93	engagement, seeking such insights from athletes who are located on an earlier stage on a

performance or talent pathway is warranted. Thus, the first purpose of the current study was to examine youth athletes' knowledge and perceptions of sport psychology.

In addition to general perceptions of sport psychology, understanding youth athletes' beliefs regarding the psychological characteristics and skills¹ that are necessary to succeed at a youth and elite adult level may also be useful (Dohme et al, 2019). Specifically, by understanding youth athletes' perceptions of psychological skills and characteristics needed to achieve at an elite level, practitioners may be able to tailor their delivery to align with or challenge such beliefs and maximize the efficacy of sport psychology program/interventions. For instance, in a recent review of psychological characteristics and skills among elite youth athletes, Dohme and colleagues (2019) highlighted the possibility that psychological demands and understanding likely vary depending upon the sport athletes are involved in, with specific differences between team and individual sports. Armed with such information, practitioners may be able to position their work more appropriately for different athletes and maximize the chances of youth athletes having positive introductions to sport psychology.

Unfortunately, there is limited research specifically examining youth athletes' perceptions of psychological characteristics and skills that are deemed important for success. A number of papers include retrospective reports from adult elite athletes (e.g., MacNamara et al., 2010a, 2010b) or sport psychologists' suggestions/perceptions of important skills/characteristics for young athletes (e.g., Harwood, Cumming, & Fletcher, 2004). However, such papers do not include the voices of young athletes themselves and thus, the extent to which they account for athletes' views while they are at these younger ages remains unknown. Some initial insights into youth athletes' perceptions of psychological skills and characteristics has been gained from adolescent rugby players (Holland et al., 2010). Overall,

-

¹ For the purpose of this study, psychological characteristics and skills are defined in line with Dohme et al., 2019; characteristics are considered as predispositions that impact upon athlete development and skills are learned psychological strategies.

the findings supported previous research carried out with adults (e.g., Gould, Dieffenbach, &
Moffett, 2002) with a range of similar characteristics such as confidence, focus, and optimal
mental state perceived as vital for success in elite sport. However, the youth athletes also
identified several additional characteristics that had not previously arisen in studies with
adults such as squad spirit, peer support, effectiveness as a team player, and leadership roles.
With regards to psychological skills, athletes again shared similar thoughts to adult athletes
(Gould et al., 2002) indicating a range of skills they used during their sport to enhance their
performance. Such skills included goal setting, relaxation techniques, routines, self-talk, and
visualization.
Although Holland et al's., (2010) work demonstrates an important start to
understanding youth athletes' perceptions, it focuses only on one team sport and was
conducted almost a decade ago, when sport psychology was less common than it is currently.
As Dohme et al. (2019) outlined there are likely different perceptions and understanding
between athletes involved in different types of sports (i.e., team versus individual sport).
Thus, in an attempt to further extend the work of Holland and colleagues, the second aim of
this study was to examine youth athletes' perceptions of psychological characteristics and
skills needed within select individual sports. To this end, the overall purpose of the current
study was to understand elite youth athletes' knowledge and perceptions of sport psychology
Specifically, the study sought to answer these three research questions:
1. What do elite youth athletes know and understand about sport psychology?
2. What are elite youth athletes' perceptions of sport psychology?
3. What psychological characteristics and skills do youth athletes think are important in
sport and for their personal success as an elite athlete?

Method

Methodology and Philosophical Assumptions

This study drew upon interpretive description methodology (Thorne, 2016).

Originating from the work of Thorne, Kirkham, and MacDoald-Emes (1997), interpretive description is an inductive analytical approach used to understand phenomena. The aim of interpretive description is to explore multiple people's knowledge, understanding, and experience of a specific phenomenon and pull out any commonalities, providing useful, applied information (Thorne, 2016). Interpretive description recognises the constructs and contextual experiences of individuals, while acknowledging a shared reality (Thorne, Kirkham, & O'Flynn-Magee, 2004). As such, this inductive analytical approach allows for the researcher and participant to interact and influence each other, which ultimately results in the formation of multiple constructed realities and inevitable individual variations.

Interpretive description was chosen as a suitable methodology for this study because it enabled insights to be gained into the overarching phenomena of interest (i.e., sport psychology) as well as the multiple experiences and interpretations from individual athletes (Thorne et al., 2004). Furthermore, this study was carried out with the intent of feeding back applied information to a national governing body, which aligns with interpretive description.

Participants

Participants were recruited through maximum variation purposeful sampling to ensure they had sufficient experience of the phenomena of interest and could draw on a broad range of experiences. The purposeful sampling criteria included adolescent athletes across the ages of 13-20 years who were competing at an elite standard in either athletics, swimming, or triathlon. In the case of this research, aligned with the classifications of Swann, Moran, and Piggot (2014), elite standard was classified as having represented their country at national or international standard or having been selected for a national squad and training program. The decision to include participants across the ages of 13-20 years was based on an understanding

that participants in some sports (i.e., swimming) may have been involved at an elite standard from a younger age than those involved in other sports (i.e., triathlon). Having been exposed to the elite sporting environment for multiple years was important to ensure athletes were able to provide an understanding of their knowledge and perception of sport psychology within this specific setting. For many participants, sharing their insights into the phenomena included demonstrating their lack of knowledge, often exposing the absence of education of sport psychology within elite youth sport.

Moreover, including a broad age range enabled the comparison of knowledge and perceptions based upon age and experiences. In the current study, athletes were aged 13 to 20 years and were classified as youth athletes. Although it may be argued that athletes aged 18 and above are no longer youth athletes, there is a vast amount of literature that instead demonstrates support for this classification of 18 to 20 year olds as youths. Steinberg (2005) discusses the cognitive and emotional changes within the brain throughout adolescence. The research suggests that adolescents can be classified as youths until the age of 24 years old, based on their brain development and cognition (Steinberg, 2005). Further research on youth cognition was carried out by Gardner and Steinberg (2005), who classify youths in their study as 19 to 22 years old. Again, psychological research on the social brain suggests adolescence is still occurring up until the individual is between 22 and 25 years old when they begin to enter adulthood (Blakemore, 2008). This is due to the continued brain development throughout the adolescent period of an individual's life.

Overall, the sample consisted of 34 athletes: 19 males and 15 females ranging from 13 to 20 years (M = 16.29 years, SD = 1.85). Participants had been involved in their sport for between four months² and 16 years (M = 7.27 years, SD = 3.95). See Table 1 for further details.

-

² Three triathletes had participated in sport for less than three years, however all three had been involved in youth sport, either swimming, athletics, or cycling, prior to transferring to triathlon.

*****Insert Table 1 around here *****

Procedure

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

Following ethical approval, the research team contacted a national sport organising body to begin the recruitment of participants. The organising body then contacted the NGBs of athletics, swimming, and triathlon to request permission for their athletes to take part in the research. Subsequently, the lead researcher contacted the performance director/lead coach for each of these sports to arrange a convenient time to attend training and speak to potential participants regarding participating in the study. Prior to taking part in the study, participants were informed that the study was focused upon perceptions of sport psychology. This information was shared with participants prior to the study to ensure they were aware of the topic of discussion and selected to take part if they believed they had information to share (i.e., they were information-rich) and discuss. It was made clear there were no right or wrong perceptions or experiences, and that we were interested in everyone's experiences, knowledge, and thoughts whether positive, negative, or neutral. Interested participants then indicated their willingness to be involved and provided parental consent (if needed). Focus groups were arranged based on the availability of participants from each sport and, drawing on guidance from Carlsen and Glenton (2011), between four and 12 participants were sought for each focus group. Such a number allows for an in-depth exploration of the phenomena while ensuring each participant is included and given the opportunity to share their insights.

Data Collection

Focus groups were selected as the most appropriate method of data collection to fit the study aims and methodology. Focus groups enable researchers to gain a comprehensive understanding of participants' perspectives through a detailed discussion moderated by the lead researcher (Carey & Smith, 1994). The opportunity to gain contrasting views was deemed particularly important for the current study because it was anticipated that many of

the athletes would have been involved in similar sporting experiences but have differing perspectives and opinions on sport psychology (cf. Kidd & Parshall, 2000). Using focus groups also encourages involvement from participants who may be less inclined to speak or would have been uncomfortable in an individual interview (Owen, 2001).

Overall, five focus groups were carried out (one for athletics and two for both swimming and triathlon). These focus groups were conducted by a postgraduate researcher who had previously completed a qualitative study prior to commencing this research, conducted a pilot focus group prior to this study to increase her experience, and engaged in numerous conversations with a broader research team regarding the questions being asked and how to manage different scenarios that may arise (e.g., if certain participants dominated the conversation, if participants did not speak). Additionally, the researcher had previous knowledge and experience both of sport psychology (having engaged with a sport psychologist as an athlete and had both positive and negative experiences and also studied it within her undergraduate and postgraduate degree) and high-level competitive sport (as a swimmer and now coach). These experiences helped the researcher to develop rapport with the participants prior to the start of the focus groups. Following each focus group, the researcher reviewed the questions asked, the information obtained, and her reflections on the running of the focus group with the wider research team. These debriefing sessions enabled tweaks or adaptations to be made for subsequent focus groups if deemed necessary.

Before the commencement of each focus groups, each athlete was asked to complete a short demographic sheet and engage in general discussion about their sport to develop group rapport (approximately 30 minutes). The focus groups then started and lasted between 36 and 59 minutes (M = 46.93 minutes, SD = 10.26). The focus group guide was structured using a questioning route developed based upon previous research in a similar area (Holland et al.,

2010). In line with Horner's (2000) suggestion, the initial questions were very broad, becoming more specific over the course of the focus group with a target of five main topics for discussion. Each focus group began with introductory questions, which aimed to relax participants and establish rapport with the researcher. Introductory questions included, "What are the best parts about being an elite athlete?", following this, the focus group moved onto transition questions. The aim of these questions was to direct the focus groups towards the topic of skill use in sport, for example, "Do you use any skills or strategies to maximise your sporting performance?" The main questions involved athletes being asked more specifically about any psychological characteristics or skills they could identify as useful for an elite youth athlete, followed by how the skills were taught and developed. Every time a question was asked or a new topic arose, the researcher ensured all participants were given the opportunity to contribute by asking every athlete if they had anything to add.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using Braun and Clarke's (2006, 2013) approach to thematic analysis. The use of thematic analysis aligns with the overall interpretive approach adopted in this study, as it provided an opportunity to examine each individual participant's experiences and subsequently identify similarities and differences in their experiences. Prior to starting the analysis process, the data from each video file were transcribed verbatim. The verbatim transcripts were then read multiple times to ensure the lead researcher was familiar with the data set. Next, by identifying any feature of the data that was relevant and interesting, an initial list of codes was created. Some of the initial codes included positive and negative reactions to sport psychology, any indication of an understanding of sport psychology, any mention of use of sport psychology, and significant others within elite youth sport. Through this process we captured both the group and individual response, highlighting some interesting intra-group differences.

Following the initial coding, analysis was re-focused and initial codes were sorted into potential themes. Relevant codes were collated together and the relationship between codes considered with the aim of producing overarching themes. Next, the themes were reviewed and refined. Through this process it became clear that some themes were not substantial enough on their own and therefore were collapsed into other themes, while others were broken down into multiple smaller themes. This process was carried out at two levels, the initial stage of refinement was to review all coded data extracts followed by a review of the entire data set. After the final review of the data set, themes were defined and named, based on the essence and core meaning of each theme. At this stage, the themes were further collapsed into three main themes, each substantial enough to possess multiple subthemes and provide in depth insights into each phenomenon.

Methodological Rigour

A relativist approach to considering the rigour of this study was adopted (Sparkes & Smith, 2009). As such, in this study, steps were taken to enhance the rigour of this work in line with an interpretive descriptive methodology. According to interpretive description, the evaluation guidelines used to demonstrate and highlight credible research include: epistemological integrity, representative credibility, analytical logic, interpretive authority, and contextual awareness (Thorne, 2016):

- Epistemological integrity was achieved throughout this study by maintaining
 methodological congruence, aligned with an interpretive paradigm. Specifically,
 through the use of focus groups and interpretive thematic analysis, underpinned by an
 interpretive description methodology, this study was consistent in its attempt to
 understand the individual experiences of participants.
- Representative credibility was attained through the use of focus groups with the aim
 of understanding the individual experiences of the participants as well as shared
 experiences. Further, the lead researcher took part in regular debriefing sessions with

the co-authors between focus groups to review the data collected and discuss
alternative approaches if any flaws were identified. This collaborative discussion als
provided an opportunity for any initial interpretations of the data to be identified,
facilitating a clear and transparent research process.

- Analytic logic was established by drawing on previous research with a similar
 research area and focus (e.g., Holland et al., 2010) to guide and educate the
 researchers on the subject area throughout the research process. For instance, it helped
 to increase the appropriateness of the questions asked and to enhance the quality of
 the discussion.
- Interpretive authority was met through frequent discussions by the research team to ensure clear logical steps of the data analysis process were being followed and to give the research team a chance to discuss the findings and enhance interpretations. The extended research team acted as critical friends during the constant discussions and increased confidence in the findings and awareness in the analysis. As per Smith and McGannon (2018), engagement with critical friends provided an opportunity for critical dialogue between the research team to encourage reflexivity regarding interpretations and construction of knowledge.
- Contextual awareness was enhanced due to the lead researcher's previous personal experiences in youth sport, which helped to gain rapport with the athletes, as well as the research team's extensive experience of working within youth sport settings.

310 Results

Throughout the focus groups, participants discussed their knowledge and application of sport psychology relevant to their experience. Across all of the focus groups there was large variation in perceptions but three key areas were identified: (a) Perception, knowledge, and experience of sport psychology; (b) Psychological characteristics for achieving success

in elite sport, and; (c) Psychological skills for achieving success in elite sport. The shared and individual experiences are discussed below.

Perception, Knowledge, and Experience of Sport Psychology

Participants described mixed views of sport psychology with many apparent conflicting perceptions. It appeared that participants' knowledge impacted perceptions of sport psychology, with those athletes who indicated a limited understanding of sport psychology demonstrating more negative initial perceptions. This theme consists of two subthemes, the first being current perceptions of sport psychology and the second being factors impacting upon perceptions.

Current perceptions of sport psychology. Some participants displayed extremely negative perceptions of sport psychology and struggled to appreciate why it was beneficial.

For example, in FG1 athlete one shared the following thoughts on sport psychology: Seems a bit pointless, some of it seems okay, can work on a bit but I still don't see how there's special jobs for people that like, loads of jobs for those kinds of people, like England employ sports psychologists to help them get over taking penalties and I just don't see why they need it, they just need to do it themselves because they [sports psychologist] can't do it [take the penalties].

In contrast to the very strong opinions shared by above, other participants instead offered a much more positive response, as the following dialogue from the same focus group shows:

A1: You can't really have like sessions on like "I need to try this, try this." I still say "well try that" and anyone can really tell you that, like a coach can just say "well try talking to yourself positive, believe in yourself" rather than have a specific job [sport psychologist].

A4: No I disagree because I think some people actually have like major anxiety before races and stuff like that and that like affects them not in the competition but

340	outside of the competition and I feel like you need someone who's actually you know
341	got a degree
342	A2: I can see both sides but I feel it's something I can just do without thinking about
343	it so if I just talk positively to myself I feel like I don't need to think about doing it,
344	do it automatically before the race. It can be taught but in a difficult way.
345	A3: Yeah, I think it can, when it's being taught it's more like guidance and breaking
346	down what's going on in your mind and trying to correct it and set a way forwards to
347	turn the negative into more positives so I think that when people have a degree in it,
348	because they're really knowledgeable on how they can help yourather than you
349	trying to figure out yourself
350	Despite some participants having a positive view of sport psychology and identifying
351	the benefits, it was noticeable that it was almost exclusively discussed as a "fix" or something
352	that certain individuals needed when there was a "psychological weakness" or an athlete was
353	"in a bad place." There was limited discussion of the benefits of sport psychology to enhance
354	performance if the participant was doing well. As swimmer four discussed in FG3:
355	Umm I feel like it [sport psychology] can help an athlete when they're in times of like
356	depressionand stuff like that, struggle, it can like, I think it can, you can feel like
357	you can open up to a psychologist and err kind of get things off your chest, just like
358	can help you with your sport.
359	Factors impacting on perception of sport psychology. The participants' perceptions
360	of sport psychology appeared to be influenced by two main factors, understanding of, and
361	previous engagement with, sport psychology, and others' beliefs. These are discussed below.
362	Understanding of, and previous engagement with, sport psychology. Understanding
363	and knowledge of sport psychology often appeared to be influenced by participants' exposure
364	to sport psychology, which in turn seemed to impact athletes' perceptions. However, it is

important to note that it is not possible to know the direction of influence from experience and knowledge to perceptions (i.e., which came first). Nevertheless, the participants constantly made a link between use and perceptions as illustrated below.

Initially, most participants were able to provide a basic description of their thoughts about sport psychology, generally indicating that it was simply the "mental side of sport". Quotes from participants who had no previous experience working with sport psychologists tended to be shorter and less descriptive when trying to explain what sport psychology involved. For instance: "The way you think before you race" (FG3, S9), "Studying how people think, stuff like that" (FG5, T16), and "How you feel...emotions" (FG4, T11). Such participants indicated that they did not know what sport psychology was because they did not have any experience of it: "But I don't know because I haven't really used it yet" (FG5, T16).

In contrast, some participants shared slightly more advanced or detailed understanding. Understandably and consistently, those participants who demonstrated a more advanced knowledge of sport psychology had often experienced individual or group sport psychology sessions. For example, a triathlete who worked with a sport psychologist provided the most detailed explanation of why one may engage in sport psychology: If there's a qualification race and maybe there's two spots and ten athletes going for it, like some athletes might thrive off that pressure and do really well and others might not do well and not get the qualification that they need and like I guess looking into why that happened and maybe it could have been a result of them being more negative in the race, that's around like mental processes and stuff (FG5, T14). Importantly, none of the participants who had engaged with sport psychology reported negative perceptions regarding sport psychology.

Beliefs of others. As well as use and knowledge of sport psychology, another factor that appeared to influence the participants' perception of sport psychology was the perception others around them had, specifically their coach. Many participants, especially the

swimmers, reported their coach to have a negative perception surrounding sport psychology. For instance, during one focus group the swimmers reported their coaches did not support the psychological side of sport and they had to deal with this on their own. As one participant's said: "He'd [coach] most likely say it's your own problem, sort it out yourself" (FG3, S9). This perception appears to have impacted this swimmer's use of sport psychology as demonstrated in this conversation:

S9: I told [name of coach] like I want to see one [sport psychologist] like once every month just for like a check in so if I've got anything to get off my chest I can get it off my chest.

I: How seriously do they [coaches] take it when you say that?

S9: If he thinks it was that serious he would have sorted something out but as of yet he hasn't sorted anything out (FG3).

Here the participant believed that his need for a psychologist was not considered important and did not seek a sport psychologist on his own. This attitude appeared to have arisen because the coach was not perceived to take the request seriously or hold sport psychology in high esteem.

Psychological Characteristics for Success in Elite Sport

Participants were asked to discuss psychological characteristics that athletes may possess for developing excellence. Participants spoke about characteristics that they thought were necessary for success as an elite adult athlete, as well as how such characteristics might change over time, and also what influenced their development.

Perceived characteristics of elite athletes. Across all focus groups, participants were initially hesitant to recall any psychological characteristics and appeared to doubt their knowledge in this area. Specifically, many participants provided no reply or a short response when asked what psychological characteristics they thought were useful for success in sport.

For instance, one swimmer simply described psychological characteristics as: "The way you
process stuff" (FG3, S8). This swimmer expanded his answer when pushed for a further
explanation, however still demonstrated doubt and hesitancy: "Yeah the way you approach
things like do you take things on in training as a challenge sort of thing or you can bottle it
and not, is that sort of the right lines?" (FG3, S8).
Although initially hesitant to recall characteristics, when asked to consider these in
relation to their favourite athletes or role models, participants eventually listed multiple
psychological characteristics. For instance:
Interviewer: Ok so think of your role model, what traits do you think they have that
you feel you need to have to be a swimmer?
S8: Committed, like determined
S13: Positive attitude
S10: Confidence
S13: Yeah like care free
S10: Stress free
S12: Be passionate towards the sport (FG3).
From this list of characteristics, the most commonly discussed were determination,
motivation, and positive thinking. For instance:
We said, well determination, you've got to be able to keep motivated and keep going
but also you've got to think positively about everything so like you know if
something bad happens in training or a race you've got to, rather than get upset
you've got to keep positive and like learn from it (FG4, T5).
Some athletes were unable to recall certain terminology, however they were able to
demonstrate an understanding of the characteristic instead. This was especially common in
the most popular characteristics discussed by athletes, such as determination and positive

thinking. Triathlete 6 explained: "And like if you get knocks back as well you've got to like keep...if you get knock backs for some reason like illness and that, you've got to, rather than get upset, you've got to keep positive" (FG4, T6).

Changes in psychological characteristics over time. In identifying the characteristics they believed enabled elite athletes to succeed, the participants were keen to highlight that the importance or need for these characteristics might change over time or in different situations. For instance, most participants indicated that when they were younger and participated in sport for enjoyment only, there was no value to psychological characteristics because, "It's just all for fun of it" (S13, FG3), and "Like you didn't think you were going to get this far" (S9, FG3).

However, participants reported that the importance of psychological characteristics increased as their sporting involvement became more serious. When discussing the point at which psychological characteristics became important to participants, one of the swimmers reported: "I think you just kind of like start to realize when you start qualifying for bigger events, meets like British nationals and your kind of like the best, you're better than everybody else" (FG3, S10). Similarly, participants reported that as sport became more important to them, they started to develop key psychological characteristics. For instance, one of the participants from FG3 described how his commitment developed: "I think from 13 I started to realize that I had to sort of commit myself to it, like I had before but from that point I realized it was either commit yourself to it or give up" (S4).

Participants further indicated that psychological characteristics developed as a result of their training or competition experiences. For instance, one athlete spoke about developing motivation as a result of training on his own during his early athletic career:

So I trained a lot when I was younger by myself so I'd be the only one in the group so that's how I learnt self-motivation because I knew well if I just give up now I'm not going to

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

get there so I started to motivate myself during the sessions and then I also became focused so I was focusing, well I thought if I do this I'll improve and then motivated myself into doing to and it's just led on from there (FG1, A1). Another athlete reported the development of motivation and determination as a result of success in their sport: I think when people start to notice me more like other athletes knowing who I was that would give me more motivation then when I started getting all the titles and [Country] Champion then I started to like become more determined to keep on having like successes so I'd say gradually like first year of under 17's and now this year starting to become more motivated on my own (FG1, A3). Factors influencing possession or presentation of characteristics. Participants perceived that psychological characteristics were constantly changing rather than a stable trait. For instance, one participant shared: "You don't possess them all the time though" (S9, FG3). Such changes in psychological skills could relate to the competition they were engaged in, for as one swimmer explained: I think I'm like more confident when it's a race like I know it isn't a big deal, I'm a lot more confident but it's just like when I go into a big race like I don't feel like as confident that I'm going to do well and stuff (FG5, T16). Another situational factor discussed by participants that was seen to particularly impact upon motivation was competition frequency. For instance, participants indicated that they often struggled to maintain motivation during a large block of training with no competitions: I feel like you feel a lot more motivated coming up to an event, like a long time out from an event and you're in a massive like training block and it's all hard work, you find it hard to motivate yourself to keep like pushing through it (FG3, S10). Factors external to sport, such as school issues, were also perceived to influence their display of psychological characteristics, specifically confidence and self-esteem:

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

It just varies, not necessarily just with sport, there could be other factors. So like in school or something if you haven't done so well that can make you feel a bit down and that can affect your sport so it's not just with the races or anything (FG4, T5). Psychological Skills for Success in Elite Sport

Participants recalled a range of psychological skills they thought were important for success in elite sport and discussed their experiences of using and developing these skills.

Understanding and use of psychological skills. In general participants found it easier to recall psychological skills than characteristics. However, similar to their views on psychological characteristics, participants perceived that psychological skills would become more important as they progressed in their sport, for example one triathlete shared: "Yeah, I think they'll get more important as we get older, maybe more than now" (FG5, T17). Specifically, participants indicated that it was only in the last few years that they felt they had needed to use any psychological skills to help their performance, as a swimmer explained: It's only been the last couple of years [I've used psychological skills] because when you're younger you just kind of jump in and think "oh it's a race, swim it" and you don't really think about anything else or how you could do better ... because you're younger, but as you mature and grow up a bit you do seem to do a bit more (S5).

The most commonly discussed skills were goal setting, visualization, positive selftalk, and reflection. However, participants who had previously participated in individual sport psychology sessions were clearly able to list the most skills during the focus group.

Goal setting. Nearly every participant was aware of and believed they had a strong understanding of goal setting. Overall the older participants seemed to have a better appreciation and knowledge of a complete goal setting process, including understanding the use of process as well as outcome goals and the reasons behind the practice of goal setting. This was demonstrated by one of the older triathletes in focus group four:

Um like so I use it to like calm my nerves so instead of focusing purely on the
outcome so like at the start of the race like working hard, fast turnovers, sprinting at the
start and focusing on things like that instead of like worrying about the other people
around me. So like focusing on the stuff that you can control and thinking about your
technique and not stuff that other people are going to influence (T3). In general, the
younger participants talked a lot about short, mid, and long-term goals and occasionally
mentioned the SMART principles. However, the descriptions they provided when asked to
explain things further were often quite brief, for example:
I've always set goals, it's just I've never known short term, long term, medium term
so I've always had, well this is my long-term goal but more recently I've started
saying well to reach that I need to do this (FG1, A1).
Some participants also worried about engaging in goal setting due to a fear of failing to meet
their goals or because others might subsequently expect too much from them:
Because I don't like putting like too much pressure on myself so expectations is just
one of them, where if I don't meet it [the goal] then I feel like I've put myself down
and probably give up so I just go with the flow (FG4, T11).
Participants who used goal setting spoke about the importance increasing in the last
few years due to the step up of international competitions: "I think it motivates me more now
than it used to because now it's more important the goals I want to achieve because it will get
me to more like international standard" (FG1, A3). However, not all participants felt goal
setting was a beneficial process with some placing little or no value on it. For instance,
multiple swimmers reflected that they sometimes found goal setting to be tedious, especially
when they had too many goals to think about:

S3: It's a bit tedious

539	S2: Having one or two minor ones I find because you can like think about it when
540	you're swimming and like we're always told to stay positive but like too many can
541	get like
542	S3: Put you under pressure (FG2)
543	Visualization. Visualization was the other psychological skill that most participants
544	discussed. It became apparent that most of the younger participants who spoke about
545	visualization had gained their knowledge through group sessions at national training camps
546	and in turn had a basic knowledge. However, they did not demonstrate the ability to apply it
547	to their sporting situation and perform it themselves, for example:
548	Yeah, we had a talk on that [visualization] so it's the visual, thermal, is it? I don't
549	know finger imagery, so you can feel theso if you open a fridge and you can feel
550	the cold air rush out on you, yeah, umm you can visualize where it is, visualize how
551	big it is, what it looks like (FG1, A1).
552	In contrast, older swimmers had a very good understanding of visualization and seemed to be
553	able to apply it in specific situations:
554	I think I was like taught when I was, a couple of years ago when I was at like one of
555	the younger camps and they sat us down and like told us we were all doing like the
556	same event and you got to swim it how you'd want to do it and they like put a
557	stopwatch on it and you had to figure out like how many strokes and that and then
558	you had to later on try and go in the pool and replicate it to see how far off (FG3,
559	S13).
560	Overall, participants generally highlighted the value of visualization prior to or during a
561	competition: "I normally like in the day building up to a competition just start to visualize
562	yourself doing well and yeah visualize yourself doing the correct techniques" (FG1, A4).

Although identified as a key psychological skill, compared to goal setting,
visualization was perceived to be less important and participants indicated they were less
likely to use it. One of the reasons for the lack of use was that participants felt it increased
their feelings of pressure leading up to competitions and were worried that the race outcome
might differ to what they hoped. This was discussed in focus group two:
S2: I never like actually just imagine myself in the race but like I think about it and
like where I want to be and like what I want to be doing but I never like picture it.
S3: Yeah neither do I, I feel too under pressure
S2: Because like if you picture it and then it doesn't like go the way you want it to
then like you're like stressed even though you might still be doing well.
Positive self-talk. Generally, participants indicated that they did not consciously
engage in positive self-talk. However, as participants were discussing their races it was
apparent they did use this skill and when encouraged to further talk about this they realized
that they did use it. For instance, one swimmer explained: "You kind of do it without
thinking in training but then like when you come to a race it's just part of the process, you
want to get yourself psyched up and do it" (FG3, S13). Participants perceived that perhaps it
was a skill they need for longer events. For instance, one swimmer explained:
Sometimes, during races but like I've used it during really long races like in open
water where I'm swimming like 3km 5km, it's just like really long and tedious so
singing to yourself, talking to yourself can like make the race feel shorter (S1).
Other psychological skills. A range of other skills were discussed but with limited
indication of how or why they were used. For instance, reflection was another skill that
multiple participants talked about using, with some participants being required to complete a
formal reflection process. As the triathletes explained:

Last year we had to do like, they kept sending us these like little things, you had to say exactly what you did before the race, like how you planned for the race and what you think went well and then you'd speak about each element like what went well and how you could have done better so there's like loads of reflection (T16). However, beyond stating that they completed these forms, participants provided little understanding of why they would use this skills.

Pre-performance routines were only mentioned by triathletes, however it seemed to be considered more for practical reasons rather than to enhance their psychological preparation. The participants spoke about arriving at their race venues early to ensure they had time to set up their transition areas and physically prepare for the race. One of the participants spoke about the difficulty of having a formal set routine due to timings and the issues if you do not have time to complete it: "It depends from race to race sometimes because like different races you've got different wait lengths and like sometimes that can throw you off a bit" (FG4, T5).

Discussion

The purpose of the current study was to understand the psychological needs of elite youth athletes within swimming, triathlon, and athletics. The findings suggest that athletes across the sports often had conflicting opinions of sport psychology, with both positive and negative perceptions being discussed. Those participants who had a limited understanding of sport psychology often had more negative perceptions compared to those with a greater understanding of the area. Many athletes were initially hesitant to discuss psychological characteristics due to an apparent lack of knowledge and psychological skills were generally limited in their descriptions.

Perception, Knowledge, and Experience of Sport Psychology

The findings of the current study indicate that elite youth athletes have a range of perceptions of sport psychology, which is generally consistent with previous research with

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

older athletes (e.g., Wrisberg et al., 2009). It is somewhat surprising that the youth athletes' perceptions of sport psychology were so consistent with previous literature given that this work has focused on adult athletes who grew up in a period when sport psychology was less common and accepted. However, in line with previous literature (cf., Martin, 2005; Wrisberg et al., 2009), the participants in the current study had various experiences of sport psychology. Such experiences subsequently appeared to impact on their perceptions. Additionally, in line with work such as that by Fortin-Guichard, Boudreault, Gagnon, and Trottier (2017) who indicated that personal characteristics such as gender and age influenced perceptions of sport psychology and Martin (2005) which revealed that athletes who were male, young, or involved in contact sports were more likely to possess a negative perception, age (but not gender) appeared to be an influencing factor on athletes' perceptions of sport psychology. There are many other factors that may have influenced the athletes' perceptions of sport psychology, one being the recruitment of athletes from many different sports clubs. Consequently, athletes may have provided more honest opinions due to being away from their close peers and not feeling they had to share the same views as others in the group. Having a mix of male and female athletes from different clubs added to the diversity of the focus groups, which has previously been shown to increase the likelihood for more varied knowledge and perceptions to be shared (Stewart, Shamdasani, & Rook, 2007). Further, recruiting athletes from different clubs and teams will have resulted in the participants most likely having different coaches. Therefore, we have to consider the coaches' perception of sport psychology given that previous research has supported the idea that coaches are in a

Zakrajsek, Steinfeldt, Bodey, Martin, & Zizzi, 2013).

position to have a remarkable influence over the athletes they coach (Buning, 2016;

Although a number of the participants in the study had positive or negative experiences, a large group of athletes also indicated having relatively limited views on sport psychology. Surprisingly this neutral or apathetic view of sport psychology has not often emerged in previous research, potentially because adult athletes are more likely than the youth athletes in this study to have been exposed to sport psychology and consequently formed opinions on it. As previous literature has reported, athletes' perceptions of sport psychology can be impacted by their prior experience or knowledge (Wrisberg et al., 2009; Zakrajsek et al., 2013). However, many of the youth athletes in the current study had never had that prior exposure and really just did not appear to know what it was.

A consistent pattern emerged indicating that athletes with a positive perception of sport psychology appeared to have a deeper knowledge and understanding of it, as well as often having previous experience of sport psychology. Although the direction of influence between these factors can only be speculated, it is consistent with previous research with adult athletes (e.g., Gee, 2010; Wrisberg et al., 2009). For instance, previous research has shown that athletes who had a poor understanding of the sport psychology process were more reluctant to seek out psychological services because of their lack of knowledge (Gee, 2010). This suggests that if athletes know and understand more about the sport psychology process and the benefits they may be more inclined to participate and potentially have a more positive perception. Supporting this, Wrisberg and colleagues (2009) also discovered that athletes who possessed a negative perception of sport psychology often had no prior sport psychology experience or education, potentially meaning they were lacking in knowledge and understanding of sport psychology.

Given such a relationship, ensuring that athletes' initial experiences of sport psychology are positive is critical to ensuring long-term engagement with the field. Opportunities for positive early experiences of sport psychology will be largely influenced by the quality of delivery from practitioners. Thus, rather than practitioners "using" young athletes as a stepping

stone to working with elite athletes, there is a need for practitioners to have a genuine desire to work with young athletes, to have a thorough understanding of child and adolescent development, and creatively adapt approaches to working with these individuals (Gould & Szczygiel, 2017; Knight, Harwood, & Gould, 2017). Integrating specific developmental training within sport psychology education would seem pertinent.

Moreover, ensuring that individuals around young athletes have a thorough understanding of the benefits and uses of sport psychology seems particularly important, which will again require specific consideration and training among practitioners. Some of athletes in the current study had a positive perception of sport psychology, however when they spoke about when psychological services would be used they reported it as a 'quick fix' solution that was useful only when something had gone wrong. A similar perception has previously been reported among coaches (Pain & Harwood, 2004) and, interestingly, within this study, this deficit view of sport psychology to address problems or limitations appeared to be largely influenced by athletes' coaches. Such findings highlight not only the influence of others on young athletes, but also the importance of earlier sport psychology education to young athletes to minimise misconceptions developing.

Psychological Characteristics for Success in Elite Sport

Initially most of the athletes in the current study were very hesitant to report any psychological characteristics they thought were necessary to achieve success at an elite standard or that they possessed themselves. This hesitancy may have occurred due to the participants' lack of familiarity with the focus group moderator and a desire not to be perceived as giving the "wrong answer", or a result of the age/developmental trajectory of the athletes (cf., MacNamara et al., 2010b). However, once athletes got into conversation and moved beyond their initial hesitation, they were able to discuss a number of characteristics they felt were important for success at elite standard. The characteristics that were reported

for both success at elite standard and that they currently possessed were focus, determination, and motivation. Compared to the insights shared by rugby players in Holland and colleagues (2010) study, this list is extremely short. Such differences may, to some extent, be reflective of a team sport versus individual sport environment as many of the characteristics highlighted by rugby players were directly related to being part of a team (e.g., team strategies, team support and climate, and leadership (Holland et al., 2010). Similarly, compared to research with elite adult athletes, knowledge of psychological characteristics is clearly lacking (cf. Gould, Dieffenbach, & Moffett, 2002). Such a difference may be an indication that some youth athletes really do have a limited knowledge of psychological characteristics, which may limit the extent to which they believe they can develop in this area.

In the current study, the athletes also thought that psychological characteristics developed over time during their youth sporting career and were built on throughout adolescence. For instance, some of athletes discussed how the importance of the psychological characteristics increased during some of the transitions they encountered in sport. Initially this appears to be consistent with the research carried out by MacNamara and Collins (2013) in that psychological characteristics of developing excellence (PCDE) are used in assisting athletes through the challenges of development, contributing to everyday life as well as sport. However, when PCDEs were first researched, it was suggested that psychological characteristics helped adolescents to negotiate their path and overcome the challenges they face within and outside of sport. In the current study, the participants explained this relationship differently. Specifically, rather than the characteristics helping them to manage challenges within and beyond sport, the participants indicated that external factors would actually influence their development and display of characteristics. For instance, when the athletes were facing a difficult time at school or with peers, they thought it

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

influenced the psychological characteristics they displayed or needed. Overall, rather than seeing psychological characteristics as stable or trait-like, the athletes in the current study generally saw these as unstable and situation-specific. Such a view may mean that athletes would be more amenable to developing these characteristics. However, it could also be an indication that they see them as fallible and thus a threat to achieving on an elite stage

Psychological Skills for Success in Elite Sport

In general, the athletes in the current study knew more about psychological skills than they did psychological characteristics. However, as with the characteristics, there were differences between athletes. When the athletes discussed psychological skills they felt were important for success, it soon became apparent that some of the older athletes, specifically the swimmers, knew more than the younger athletes. Specifically, many of the younger athletes failed to acknowledge the differing types of goals one might set, with a range of perceptions and understanding surrounding the goal setting process. An explanation for such a difference can again be speculated based on MacNamara et al.'s (2010b) research. MacNamara and colleagues reported that athletes involved in team sports tend to specialize around the age of 16 years in the UK; in contrast athletes involved in individual sports may specialize when they are younger in age and development. As a result, athletes who are engaged in sport from a younger age may 'self-deploy' psychological skills earlier due to their development, or have been taught these skills earlier by coaches and practitioners meaning they may have a better understanding of the skills by the time they reach late adolescence. Another explanation for the difference in knowledge could also be due to different athletes' exposure to certain training camps and environments. In the current study the older athletes spoke about training and competition camps where they had been encouraged to carry out goal setting, often learning about the types of goals and the reasons behind it. In comparison, although some of the younger athletes reported having used goal

setting, they had only gained their knowledge verbally from a coach and as such had a more limited understanding and knowledge of the topic as they had not experienced a formal goal setting process.

A new finding from the current study was the misconception of skills that certain athletes had. A number of athletes reported that they did not like to use psychological skills, such as goal setting, because they thought it would negatively impact their performance or wellbeing. For instance, athletes discussed that if they did not meet their goals they would be disappointed and have 'failed' and therefore it was better to not set goals. This misconception of the purpose of goal setting is something that is generally not discussed in previous research, with the vast majority of studies highlighting the acceptance and importance of goal setting across a range of sports (Fournier, Camels, Durand-Bush, & Salmela, 2005). This finding once again emphasises the lack of understanding some of the athletes had around this area. If the athletes were educated in goal setting, they may have understood the process better and focused upon setting achievable goals and avoiding disappointment of not reaching their goals.

The key psychological skills that were reported by the athletes in the current study included goal setting, visualization, positive self-talk, and pre-performance routine. This list is very similar to previous literature that has reported the four traditional psychological skills that are most commonly employed by athletes, namely goal setting, visualization, self-talk, and relaxation techniques (Vealey, 2007). Seemingly, the popularity of these skills with adult athletes is filtering down to younger athletes and perhaps the accessible nature of these skills is increasing their use within younger populations. However, one caution with this is the extent to which athletes might be learning psychological skills in isolation of characteristics. That is, the athletes appeared more confident in talking about specific skills than characteristics and they did not appear to link skills to the development of characteristics. As

such, the athletes may not be aware of exactly when or why they would utilize different skills, or how they can use skills to foster the necessary characteristics to succeed in their sport. Further research examining the link between these two areas and the extent to which athletes recognise the role of skills in enhancing characteristics would likely be beneficial.

Applied Implications

From the findings of the current research there are multiple considerations that should be taken into account by sporting organisations that are attempting to improve or restructure their psychological support provided to their athletes. Firstly, athletes should be provided with the opportunity for education in sport psychology from a young age, so that as they progress they are aware of what it is, how it can be of use, and when they might choose to use it. Another potential consideration could simply involve exposing youth athletes to a group or individual sport psychology session(s) at a younger age, due to the consistency in research showing that athletes who had previous experience with psychology sessions were also more likely to have a positive perception. However, such exposure must be of an appropriate quality, which may require changes in the training and education available to sport psychology practitioners to ensure it is appropriately tailored to youth athletes. Further, it may also be beneficial to educate coaches around the topic of sport psychology. The aim of educating coaches should not be for the coaches to then deliver psychological intervention but more importantly to understand the benefits themselves and potentially relay their positive perceptions back to the athletes.

The broad range of research carried out surrounding psychological characteristics and skills demonstrates the importance of these areas within sport psychology and therefore, understanding both the meaning and terminology could potentially be another way to enhance youth athletes' perceptions and use of sport psychology. A common mistake among athletes in the current research was the lack of knowledge of the characteristics, skills, and

terminology. Athletes could be educated at a young age on a variety of characteristics that elite athletes possess and the available psychological skills which are commonly used to enhance performance.

Limitations and Future Directions

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

The findings of the current study must be considered within the study limitations. Firstly, the research was conducted with athletes only, meaning there was no opportunity to compare and contrast their results to significant others in their sporting career such as their coach or parents. Future research should seek to include the perceptions and experiences of athletes' significant others. Secondly, the current research was conducted on athletes competing in athletics, swimming, and triathlon, all of which are individual sports. Although there has already been previous literature researching team sports (Holland et al., 2010), it has only focused on male rugby. Given the differences in the findings of the current study and Holland and colleagues' work, it may be interesting to explore the perceptions of athletes in other team sports. Additionally, there would be great interest in further examining perceptions of sport psychology among athletes competing in individual sports such as golf or tennis, which have embraced sport psychology for a number of years and are recognised as having a substantial psychological/mental component. Finally, only one form of data collection was employed, from one time point. As such, the findings provide us with a one off snap shot of insights, which may not necessarily be reflective of the athletes' views at different stages of their seasons. A consideration for future research would be to introduce multiple data collection methods, over an extended period of time. This would enable researchers to develop a further understanding of whether athletes' perceptions or understanding develop over time, for example an athlete's perceptions of psychological characteristics may change over the course of a competitive season depending on how close they are to a competition.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates the lack of knowledge and
understanding many elite youth athletes have surrounding sport psychology. The study has
provided insight into the variety of perceptions of sport psychology among athletes, often
underpinned by their understanding and use of sport psychology. The athletes in the study
also illustrated their awareness of, or lack thereof, psychological characteristics and skills.
Overall, the current study has offered new and further insights into how youth athletes
perceive sport psychology and factors that are important to improve perceptions of young
athletes entering into and already within the elite sporting world.

820

821	References
822	Anderson, A., Miles, A., Mahoney, C., & Robinson, P. (2002). Evaluating the effectiveness
823	of applied sport psychology practice: Making the case for a case study approach. The
824	Sport Psychologist, 16, 432-453.
825	Barker, S., & Winter, S. (2014). The Practice of Sport Psychology: A Youth Coaches'
826	Perspective. International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching, 9, 379-392.
827	Blakemore, S. (2008). The social brain in adolescence. Nature Reviews: Neuroscience, 9,
828	267-277.
829	Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. <i>Qualitative Research</i>
830	in Psychology, 3, 77-101.
831	Buning, M. M. (2016). The relationship between coach expectations and female softball
832	athletes' motivation and perceptions of coach behavior. Women in Sport and Physical
833	Activity, 24, 43-53.
834	Byrne, A., & Cusack, T. (2015). Rugby union players' perspectives of physiotherapists' use
835	of sport psychology in injury rehabilitation. Physiotherapy, 101, 192-193.
836	Carey, M. A., & Smith, M. W. (1994). Capturing the group effect in focus groups: a special
837	concern in analysis. Qualitative Health Research, 4, 123-127.
838	Carlsen, B., & Glenton, C. (2011). What about N? A methodological study of sample size
839	reporting in focus group studies. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 11(26), 2-10.
840	Dohme, L., Piggott, D., Backhouse, S., & Morgan, G. (2019). Psychological skills and
841	characteristics facilitative of youth athletes' development: A systematic review. The
842	Sport Psychologist, Ahead of Print.
843	Fortin-Guichard, D., Boudreault, V., Gagnon, S., & Trottier, C. (2017). Experience,
844	effectiveness, and perceptions toward sport psychology consultants: A critical review
845	of peer-reviewed articles. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 30(1), 3-22.

846 34 Fournier, J. F., Camels, C., Durand-Bush, N., & Salmela, J. H. (2005). Effects of a 847 seasonlong PST program on gymnastic performance and on psychological skill development. 848 *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 3,* 59-77. 849 Gardner, M., & Steinberg, L. (2005). Peer influence on risk taking, risk preference, and risky 850 decision making in adolescence and adulthood: An experimental study. Developmental Psychology, 41 (1), 625-635. 851 852 Gee, C. J. (2010). How does sport psychology actually improve athletic performance? A 853 framework to facilitate athletes' and coaches' understanding. Behaviour Modification, 854 *34*, 386-402. 855 Gould, D., Dieffenbach, K., & Moffett, A. (2002). Psychological characteristics and their 856 development in Olympic champions. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 14, 857 172204. 858 Gould, D., & Szczygiel, L. (2017). Delivering sport psychology programmes; effective group 859 presentations. In C. Knight, C. G. Harwood, & D. Gould (Eds.), Sport Psychology for 860 young athletes (pp. 277-287). London: Routledge. Harwood, C., Cumming, J., & Fletcher, D. (2004). Motivational profiles and psychological 861 skill use within elite youth sport. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 16, 318-332. 862 Holland, M. J. G., Woodcock, C., Cumming, J., & Duda, J. L. (2010). Mental qualities and 863 employed mental techniques of young elite team sport athletes. Journal of Clinical 864 865 Sport Psychology, 4, 19-38. 866 Horner, S. D. (2000). Focus on research methods, Using focus group methods with middle school children. Research in Nursing & Health, 23, 510-517. 867 868 Kidd, P., & Parshall, M. (2000). Getting the focus and the group: Enhancing analytical rigour 869 in focus group research. Qualitative Health Research, 10, 293-308. 870 Knight, C., Harwood, C. G., & Gould, D. (2017). Sport Psychology for Young Athletes. 871 London: Routledge.

MacNamara, A., Button, A., & Collins, D. (2010a). The role of psychological characteristics 872 873 in facilitating the pathway to elite performance part 1: Identifying mental skills and 874 behaviors. The Sport Psychologist, 24, 52-73. 875 MacNamara, A., Button, A., & Collins, D. (2010b). The role of psychological characteristics 876 in facilitating the pathway to elite performance part 2: Examining environmental and 877 stage-related differences in skills and behaviors. The Sport Psychologist, 24, 74-96. 878 MacNamara, A., & Collins, D. (2013). Do mental skills make champions? Examining the 879 discriminant function of the psychological characteristics of developing excellence 880 questionnaire. Journal of Sports Sciences, 31, 736-744. 881 Martin, S. B. (2005). High school and college athletes' attitudes toward sport psychology 882 consulting. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 17, 127-139. 883 Owen, S. (2001). The practical, methodological and ethical dilemmas of conducting focus 884 groups with vulnerable clients. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 36, 652-658. 885 Pain, M. A., & Harwood, C. G. (2004). Knowledge and perceptions of sport psychology 886 within English soccer. The Journal of Sport Sciences, 22, 813-826. 887 Smith, B., & McGannon, K. R. (2018) Developing rigor in qualitative research: problems 888 and opportunities within sport and exercise psychology. *International Review of Sport* 889 and Exercise Psychology, 11, 101-121. 890 Sparkes, A. C., & Smith, B. (2009). Judging the quality of inquiry: Criteriology and 891 relativism in action. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 10, 491-497. Steinberg, L. (2005). Cognitive and affective development in adolescence. Trends in 892 893 *Cognitive Sciences*, 9 (2), 69-74. 894 Stewart, D., Shamdasani, P. N., & Rook, D. W. (Eds.). (2007). Focus Groups; Theory and Practice (3rd ed.). California: Sage Publishers. 895 896 Swann, C., Moran, A., & Piggott, D. (2014). Defining elite athletes: Issues in the study of 897 expert performance in sport psychology. Psychology Sport and Exercise, 16, 3-14. 898 Thorne, S. (2016). *Interpretive description*; qualitative research for applied practice. New

SPORT PSYCHOLOGY PERCEPTIONS 899 York: Routledge. 900 Thorne, S., Kirkham, S. R., & MacDoald-Emes, J. (1997). Interpretive Description: a 901 noncategorical qualitative alternative for developing nursing knowledge. Research in 902 Nursing and Health, 20, 169-177. 903 Thorne, S., Kirkham, S. R., & O'Flynn-Magee, K. (2004). The analytical challenge in 904 interpretive description. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 3, 1-11. Vealey, R. (2007). Mental skills training in sport. In G. Tenenbaum & R. C. Eklund (Eds.), 905 Handbook of sport psychology (3rd ed., pp.287–309). Chichester: John Wiley and 906 907 Sons. 908 Wrisberg, C.A., Simpson, D., Loberg, L.A., Withycombe, J.L., & Reed, A. (2009). NCAA 909 Division I student- athletes' receptivity to mental skills training by sport psychology 910 consultants. The Sport Psychology, 23, 470-486. 911 Zakrajsek, R. A., Steinfeldt, J. A., Bodey, K. J., Martin, S. B., & Zizzi, S. J. (2013). NCAA 912 Division I coaches' perceptions and preferred use of sport psychology services: A

qualitative perspective. The Sport Psychologist, 27, 258-26.

913

Table 1. Demographic Information

Focus group	Sport	Number of participants	Ages (years)	Sporting standard	Years involved in sport (mean)	Training frequency (Mean hours/week)	Competition frequency (Mean comps /month)	Self-declared experience of Sport psychology
FG1	Athletics	4: A1, A2, A3, A4	15-17 <i>M</i> =16.25	International	7.75	7.13	2.25	2 had experienced group sessions during national training camps. 2 had no experience
FG2	Swimming	6: S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6	14-16 <i>M</i> =15.17	National	9.17	18.70	1.00	1 swimmer had regular individual sessions to improve pre and postrace mentality. 1 swimmer had experienced multiple group sessions at national training camps. 4 swimmers had no previous experience.
FG3	Swimming	7: S7, S8, S9, S10, S11, S12, S13	18-20 <i>M</i> = 19	International	12.29	23.14	0.60	5 swimmers had experienced multiple individual sessions offered by their national governing body on training camps. 2 swimmers had no previous experience.
FG4	Triathlon	11: T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9, T10, T11	13-16 <i>M</i> =14.82	National	4.05	13.82	2.18	None of the triathletes had any previous experience.
FG5	Triathlon	6: T12, T13, T14, T15, T16, T17	16-18 <i>M</i> =17	National	5.13	16.83	1.83	1 triathlete had experienced regular individual sessions to improve confidence following time out from the sport. 1 triathlete was provided with individual sessions through her swimming national governing body prior to starting triathlon. The other 4 triathletes had no previous experience.