



### Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in: *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases* 

Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa49699

### Paper:

Ansari, M., Walker, M. & Dyson, P. (2019). Fungi as Biocontrol Agents of Culicoides Biting Midges, the Putative Vectors of Bluetongue Disease. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases* http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2018.2300

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

# <sup>1</sup> Fungi as biocontrol agents of Culicoides biting midges,

## 2 the putative vectors of bluetongue disease

### 3 Minshad Ansari<sup>1\*</sup>, Miranda Walker<sup>1</sup> and Paul Dyson<sup>1</sup>

- 4 <sup>1</sup>Institute of Life Science 1, College of Medicine, Swansea University, Singleton Park,
- 5 Swansea, SA2 8PP, UK

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondance : email: <u>m.a.ansari@swansea.ac.uk</u> Tel : +44 17922606916

7 Abstract: Biting midges of the genus Culicoides (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) are the principal 8 vectors of several notable viral pathogens infecting animal livestock. Sickness and animal 9 deaths caused by the Culicoides-transmitted bluetongue virus as well as the recent 10 Schmallenberg virus outbreak have threatened the livestock industry in Europe. Recent 11 studies highlight how, in the near future, the application of 'dry' fungal conidia of Metarhizium anisopliae in animal shelters and microenvironment (e.g. dung, manure, leaf 12 litter, livestock surroundings) may be used to control the Culicoides vector, thus, reducing 13 14 the incidence of *Culicoides*-borne diseases. Keywords: bluetongue virus, biocontrol, Beauveria bassiana, Culicoides, Metarhizium 15

16 *anisopliae,* vector control

#### 18 Introduction

Several microorganisms have been extensively explored for decades in order to develop 19 20 environmental friendly and cost-effective pest management strategies in agriculture and 21 livestock farming. The well-known bacterial bioinsecticides, Bacillus thuringiensis and 22 Lysinibacillus sphaericus, are widely used to control many insect species (Jurat-Fuentes and Jackson 2012, Silva-Filha et al. 2014). Several strains of the entomopathogenic fungi such as 23 24 Metarhizium anisopliae and Beauveria bassiana have been used for the biological control 25 (e.g. 'Green Muscle' programme in Africa, Met52 G bioinsecticide) of crop pests (Shah et al. 2007, Ansari et al. 2007, 2008, Skinner et al. 2014), insect species transmitting diseases to 26 27 livestock (Mochi et al. 2010, Lpez-Snchez et al. 2012, Mishra et al. 2013, García-Munguía et 28 al. 2015, Cruz-Vázquez et al. 2017, Holderman et al. 2017), and with Ceratopogonidae such as biting midge, Culicoides spp. (Ansari et al. 2010, 2011, de Souza et al. 2014, Nicholas and 29 30 McCorkell 2014, Narladkar et al. 2015). However, their use against insect vectors of livestock 31 disease is not fully explored.

32

A few studies have shown the potential of entomopathogenic fungi to control *Culicoides*biting midges, hereafter referred to as 'midges', vectors of numerous important livestock
diseases including bluetongue, which pose a severe economic risk to the ruminant livestock
industry (van Schaik et al. 2008, Velthuis et al. 2010, Zanella et al. 2012, Pinior et al. 2018).
The economic impact of the bluetongue serotype 8 (BTV8) epidemics of 2006 and 2007 in
the Netherlands alone accounted for 32.4 and 164-175 million, respectively (Velthuis et al.
2010). Whereas, the recent estimates indicate that a total cost of €41.9 million was invested

in the bluetongue virus vaccination and surveillance programmes in Austria and Switzerland
alone (Pinior et al. 2018).

42

43 There are many other fungal entomopathogens, apart from *M. anisopliae* and *B. bassiana*, which have been explored for controlling midges by many authors. For example, de Souza et 44 al. (2014) reviewed thoroughly and gave a detailed account of fungal and oomycete 45 46 parasites of chironomids, ceratopogonids and simulids. The naturally occurring Oomycete 47 fungal pathogen, Lagenidium giganteum, was recorded as biocontrol agent of Culicoides 48 molestus larvae, which caused mortality up to 33% in New South Wales, Australia (Wright 49 and Easton 1996). Another dominant marine Oomycetes, Halophytophthora species was reported to colonize both living and dead pupae of C. subimmaculatus in coastal waters of 50 51 Hervey Bay region in Queensland, Australia (Stephen and Kurtböke 2011). Yet another 52 deuteromycete fungus, Culicinomyces clavisporus, was highlighted as the potential 53 biocontrol agent against European biting midge, C. nubeculosus larvae (Unkles et al. 2004). 54 55 The impact of Culicoides-transmitted viruses such as Akabane in Australia, African horse sickness in Africa, bluetongue (BTV) in North America, Africa and Europe, as well as recently 56 57 emerged Schmallenberg livestock disease in Europe, highlight the worldwide importance of 58 midges (Elbers et al. 2013). The wide distribution of infected vector species of midges 59 contribute to the rapid spread of the virus. At least 83 species of *Culicoides* are found in Europe (Venail et al. 2012), however, only around 30 species have been associated with BTV 60 61 transmission (EFSA 2017): In Europe, Culicoides species that have been implicated as 62 potential vectors of BTV generally belong to the subgenera Avaritia and Culicoides.

63	Culicoides (Avaritia) imicola, C. (Avaritia) obsoletus and C. (Avaritia) scoticus are presently
64	considered confirmed BTV vectors, while C. (Avaritia) chiopterus, C. (Avaritia) dewulfi, C.
65	(Culicoides) pulicaris and C. (Culicoides) punctatus as probable vectors (Purse et al. 2015,
66	Foxi et al. 2016).

67 Current surveillance measures and control programmes focus on quarantine or movement restrictions of livestock during periods of insect activity as well as animal vaccination (Racloz 68 69 et al. 2006, OIE 2013, Collins et al. 2016, EFSA 2017). Where disease control by vaccines is 70 not available, midge control by use of fungal biocontrol agents may play an important role in limiting disease outbreaks. Presently, midge control rely predominantly on synthetic 71 72 pesticides, which pose a risk to humans and the environment (Carpenter et al. 2008a, Webb 73 et al. 2010, Del Rio et al. 2014, Baker et al. 2015, De Keyser et al. 2017). Climate change models predict warmer and wetter weather, which in turn is expected to lead to larger 74 75 midges densities (Guis et al. 2012, White et al. 2017). Therefore, safe and effective methods 76 of vector control are urgently needed. The application of entomopathogenic fungi may 77 provide potential eco-friendly alternatives for the reduction of midge numbers and 78 consequent reduction in disease transmission.

79

#### 80 Case studies

Previous research carried by our group involved several of the commercially viable strains of *Metarhizium, Beauveria, Isaria* and *Lecanicillium* (Deuteromycotina: Hyphomycetes) to test
the ability of these strains in killing an indigenous *C. nubeculosus* (Ansari et al. 2010, 2011).
Though *C. nubeculosus* is not a common midge, nor is it considered an important vector
species for Schmallenberg or bluetongue viruses, thus it was used as a model insect in our

86 studies, which was sourced from a colonised line. Ansari et al. (2011) demonstrated the biocontrol potential use of fungal application to different substrates (peat, leaf litter, 87 88 manure) as the representative resting sites for *Culicoides* midges to simulate a more 89 accurate estimation of fungal application in livestock microenvironment. Whereas, Nicholas 90 and McCorkell (2014) obtained 98% reduction in emergence of C. brevitarsis adults by incorporating *M. anisopliae* conidia to cattle dung. Superior control was achieved as cattle 91 92 dung serve substrate for the growth and development of *C. brevitarsis*. Also, Narladkar et al. (2015) reported the use of high dose of fungal spores against unknown species of *Culicoides* 93 94 larvae (in drainage channel) and adults (resting on cattle shed walls) and claimed LC<sub>50</sub> values of 3837 mg and 2692 mg (10<sup>8</sup> cfu/g) for *M. anisopliae* and *B. bassiana*, respectively. 95

96

Different conidial formulations aimed at improving conidial application and consequently 97 98 ease of use were tested, i.e. dry conidia dusted uniformly on each substrate ('dry' 99 formulation) and conidia suspended in 0.03% aq. Tween 80 ('wet' formulation). It was found 100 that conidia attach to the adult midge and infect it by penetrating the cuticle or integument. 101 Once inside the insect, the fungus grows rapidly producing toxins that kill the midges within 24 h (Ansari et al. 2011). Following colonisation of the hemocoel, the fungus erupts through 102 103 the intersegmental sections and produces conidiophores and conidia (Fig. 1). A 104 commercially available strain, *M. anisopliae* F52 (Met52<sup>®</sup> G bioinsecticide, currently 105 available for control of black vine weevil, Otiorhynchus sulcatus (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in horticultural crops, killed 100% of *C. nubeculosus* within 24 h at 10<sup>11</sup> conidia per m<sup>2</sup>. 106 Furthermore, C. nubeculosus adults exposed to 'dry' or 'wet' conidia under semi-field 107 108 condition showed that dry conidia were more effective than wet conidia, causing 100%

109 mortality after 5 days compared to 70%, respectively. Met52 granular formulation is approved in several European countries but is not available as dry spores or in a powder 110 111 form for use in midge control. Irrespective of application method or substrate, all surviving 112 adults collected from *M. anisopliae*-treated substrates in a greenhouse study died from fungal infection. Midges were observed directly transmitting infective conidia between 113 males and females. Similarly, transmission of *M. anisopliae* between adult mosquitoes 114 115 (Anopheles gambiae), has been demonstrated. Further studies in Australia demonstrated 116 the susceptibility of another important species of biting midge (C. brevitarsis) to different strains of *M. anisopliae* infection (Nicholas and McCorkell 2014). The authors suggest that 117 118 *M. anisopliae* has the potential to control *C. brevitarsis* through either surface treatment or topical application to cattle or through incorporation into fresh cattle dung. They found that 119 120 the two strains of *M. anisopliae* were able to cause 70% mortality in adult *C. brevitarsis* after 121 exposure for 5 days to surfaces treated with approximately 0.6 g/m<sup>2</sup> of dry conidia. These 122 mortalities increased to 96% and 94% after 7 days. Moreover, they showed that when M. anisopliae spores were incorporated into fresh cattle dung (between 0.25 and 1 g 123 124 conidia/kg) the emergence of adult *C. brevitarsis* was reduced by up to 98%. 125

Importantly, the fungal strains tested pose no obvious risk to humans or the environment
(Strasser et al. 2000, Darbro and Thomas 2009). US Environmental Protection Agency
conducted risk assessment and found that *Metarhizium brunneum* (=*M. anisopliae* strain
F52) was not harmful to earthworms or to such beneficial insects as lady beetles, green
lacewings, parasitic wasps, honey bee larvae, and honey bee adults (EPA 2011, Fischhoff et
al. 2017). Their production involves relatively low cost and simple technology processes,

132 facilitating the potential for large-scale production. Currently, resting sites are poorly defined for Culicoides species and different vector species have different larval habitats and 133 134 feeding preferences, e.g. adult *C. brevitarsis* prefer grass tussocks (Bishop et al. 1995) 135 whereas *C. impunctatus* prefer downy birch (Carpenter et al. 2008b), therefore, it's impractical for the widespread application of fungal spores. Zimmer et al. (2014) assessed 136 and recorded several substrates which serve as suitable breeding sites and micro-habitats 137 138 for the larval development of midges, e.g. maize silage residues, cattle dung, ground of flooded meadow, green filamentous algae and underlying substrate, silt from a pond, and 139 140 ground of hollows. Whereas, Carpenter et al. (2008b) found high levels of lichen, moss and 141 liverwort as commonly resting sites of midge adults near downy birch trees. Breeding sites such as cattle dung could provide a means of exposing midges larvae to *M. anisopliae* in the 142 143 field via treated dung (Nicholas and McCorkell 2014). However, targeting newly emerged 144 adults prior to their initiation of blood feeding would be preferable to achieve significant 145 reduction in disease transmission rates. Another factor is temperature, which is particularly important as the best time to treat the vector populations would be earlier in the season 146 147 when midge density is still relatively low and few within the population are infected. The limitation of our studies is that the colonised line is adapted to higher temperatures than 148 149 wild caught midges, which are cold tolerant, therefore, further studies are required to be 150 conducted with the application testing using wild, field-caught midge populations. Thus, 151 currently control studies has demonstrated for a colonised midges species which has a limited vector capacity in the potential use of entomopathogenic fungi for the reduction of 152 153 midge-borne disease in livestock (Ansari et al. 2010, 2011, Nicholas and McCorkell 2014). 154 The success of midge control programmes using these fungi require large-scale field trials in

155	different microclimate conditions to establish the most effective formulations and
156	application methods for the fungal spores.
157	
158	Authors' contribution: MA conceptualised and wrote the manuscript. PD and MW provided
159	logistic support and additional information. All authors revised the manuscript and
160	approved the final version.
161	
162	Acknowledgments: Authors want to acknowledge Eric Denison, Vector-borne Disease
163	Programme, Institute for Animal Health, Pirbright, Surrey, UK for providing adult Culicoides
164	nubeculosus.
165	
166	Funding: No funding received for this study.
167	
168	Competing interest: None declared.
169	
170	Ethical approval: Not required.
171	
172	References
173	
174	1. Ansari MA, Carpenter S, Butt TM. Susceptibility of <i>Culicoides</i> biting midges larvae to
175	the entomopathogenic fungus, Metarhizium anisopliae: prospects for bluetongue
176	vector control. Acta Trop 2010; 113:1–6.

177	2.	Ansari MA, Carpenter S, Schulte EJ, Pope EC, et al. Entomopathogenic fungus as a
178		biological control for an important vector of livestock disease: the Culicoides biting
179		midge. PLoS One 2011; 6(1): e16108. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016108
180	3.	Ansari MA, Shah FA, Butt TM. Combined use of entomopathogenic nematodes and
181		Metarhizium anisopliae as a new approach for black vine weevil, Otiorhynchus sulcatus
182		(Col.: Curculionidae) control. Entomol Exp Appl, 2008; 129:340–347.
183	4.	Ansari MA, Shah FA, Whittaker M, Prasad M, Butt TM. Control of western flower thrips
184		(Frankliniella occidentalis) pupae with Metarhizium anisopliae in peat and peat
185		alternative growing media. Biol Control 2007; 40:293–297.
186	5.	Baker T, Carpenter S, Gubbins S, Newton R, Iacono GL, Wood J, Harrup LE. Can
187		insecticide-treated netting provide protection for equids from Culicoides biting midges
188		in the United Kingdom? Parasites & Vectors 2015; 8:604. doi: 10.1186/s13071-015-
189		1182-x
190	6.	Bishop AL, McKenzie HJ, Barchia IM, Harris AM. The effects of habitat on the
191		distribution of Culicoides brevitarsis Kieffer (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) during its
192		resting phase. Austral J Zool 1995; 43:531–539.
193	7.	Carpenter S, Mellor PS, Torr J. Control techniques for Culicoides biting midges and their
194		application in the U.K. and northwestern Palaearctic. Med Vet Entomol 2008a; 22:
195		175–187.
196	8.	Carpenter S, Mordue W, Mordue J. Selection of resting areas by emerging Culicoides
197		impunctatus (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) on downy birch (Betula pubescens). Int J Pest
198		Manage 2008b; 54(1):39–42.

- Collins AB, Barrett D, Doherty ML, Larska M, et al. Post-epidemic Schmallenberg virus
   circulation: parallel bovine serological and *Culicoides* virological surveillance studies in
   Ireland. BMC Vet Res 2016; 12(1):234–244.
- 202 10. Cruz-Vázquez C, Carvajal-Márquez J, Lezama-Gutiérrez R, Vitela-Mendoza I, Ángel-
- 203 Sahagún CA, Efficacy of *Metarhizium anisopliae* in the control of the horn fly,
- 204 *Haematobia irritans* (Diptera: Muscidae), under natural infestation conditions. Vet
   205 México 2017; 4(2). doi: 10.21753/vmoa.4.2.384
- 206 11. Darbro JM, Thomas MB. Spore persistence and likelihood of aeroallergenicity of
- 207 entomopathogenic fungi used for mosquito control. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2009;

208 80(6):992–997.

- 209 12. De Keyser R, Cassidy C, Laban S, Gopal P, Pickett JA, et al. Insecticidal effects of
- 210 deltamethrin in laboratory and field populations of *Culicoides* species: how effective
- are host-contact reduction methods in India? Parasites & Vectors 2017; 10:54. doi
- 212 10.1186/s13071-017-1992-0
- 213 13. de Souza JI, Gleason FH, Ansari MA, Lastra CCL, Garcia JJ, Pires-Zottarelli CLA, Marano
- AV. Fungal and oomycete parasites of Chironomidae, Ceratopogonidae and Simuliidae
- 215 (Culicomorpha, Diptera). Fungal Biol Rev 2014; 28:13–23.
- 216 14. Del Rio R, Venail R, Calvete C, Barcelo C, Baldet T, Lucientes J, Miranda MA. Sensitivity
- 217 of *Culicoides obsoletus* (Meigen) (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) to deltamethrin
- 218 determined by an adapted WHO standard susceptibility test. Parasitology 2014;

219 141:542–546.

- 15. EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (2017). Bluetongue: control, surveillance
- and safe movement of animals. EFSA J 2017; 15(3), [e04698].

- 16. Elbers ARW, Meiswinkel R, van Weezep E, Sloet van Oldruitenborgh-Oosterbaan MM,
- et al. Schmallenberg virus in *Culicoides* spp. biting midges, the Netherlands, 2011.
- 224 Emerg Infect Dis 2013; 19(1):106–109.
- 17. Environmental Protection Agency, US. Biopesticides Registration Action Document:
- 226 *Metarhizium anisopliae* strain F52 (029056) Biopesticide Fact Sheet. Available:
- 227 https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem\_search/reg\_actions/registration/fs\_PC-
- 228 029056\_18-Apr-11.pdf
- 18. Fischhoff IR, Keesing F, Ostfeld RS. The tick biocontrol agent Metarhizium brunneum (=
- 230 *M. anisopliae*) (strain F52) does not reduce non-target arthropods. PLoS ONE 2017;
- 231 12(11): e0187675. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187675
- 19. Foxi C, Delrio G, Falchi G, Marche MG, Satta G and Ruiu L. Role of different *Culicoides*
- 233 vectors (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in bluetongue virus transmission and

overwintering in Sardinia (Italy). Parasit Vectors 2016; 9:440.

- 235 20. García-Munguía CA, Reyes-Villanueva F, Rodriguez-Perez MA, H. Cortez-Madrigal,
- 236 Acosta-Ramos M, et al. Autodissemination of Metarhizium anisopliae and Beauveria
- 237 *bassiana* in *Musca domestica* L. results in less oviposition and short gonotrophic cycle.
- 238 Southwestern Entomologist, 2015; 40(3):519–529.
- 239 21. Guis H, Caminade C, Calvete C, Morse AP, et al. Modelling the effects of past and
- future climate on the risk of bluetongue emergence in Europe. J R Soc Interface 2012;
- 241 9(67):339–350.
- 242 22. Holderman CJ, Wood LA, Geden CJ, Kaufman PE. Discovery, development, and
- 243 evaluation of a horn fly-isolated (Diptera: Muscidae) *Beauveria bassiana* (Hypocreales:
- 244 Cordyciptaceae) strain from Florida, USA. J Insect Sci 2017; 17(2): 51.

245	23. Jurat-Fuentes JL, Jackson TA. Bacterial pathogens. In: Vega FE, Kaya HK (Eds.), Insect
246	Pathology 2nd Edition. Academic Press, Elsevier, London, 2012; 265–349.
247	24. Lpez-Snchez J, Cruz-Vzquez C, Lezama-Gutirrez R, Ramos-Parra M, Effect of
248	entomopathogenic fungi upon adults of Stomoxys calcitrans and Musca domestica
249	(Diptera: Muscidae), Biocontrol Sci Technol, 2012; 22(8):969–973.
250	25. Mishra S, Kumar P, Malik A. Preparation, characterization, and insecticidal activity
251	evaluation of three different formulations of Beauveria bassiana against Musca
252	domestica. Parasitol Res 2013; 112(10):3485–3495. doi 10.1007/s00436-013-3529-6
253	26. Mochi DA, Monteiro AC, Machado ACR, Yoshida L. Entomopathogenic fungal activity
254	against pupae and adult Haematobia irritans (Diptera: Muscidae). Vet Parasitol. 2010;
255	168:105–110.
256	27. Narladkar BW, Shivpuje PR, Harke PC. (2015) Fungal biological control agents for
257	integrated management of Culicoides spp. (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) of livestock, Vet
258	World 2015; 8(2):156–163.
259	28. Nicholas AH, McCorkell B. Evaluation of Metarhizium anisopliae for the control of
260	Culicoides brevitarsis Kieffer (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae), the principal vector of
261	bluetongue virus in Australia. J Vector Ecol 2014; 39(1):213–218.
262	29. OIE. Technical disease cards: bluetongue. World Organization for Animal Health (OIE),
263	Paris, 2013.
264	http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Animal_Health_in_the_World/docs/pdf/Dise

265 ase\_cards/BLUETONGUE.pdf (accessed on 4 Jan. 2019)

266	30. Pinior B, Firth CL, Loitsch A, Stockreiter S, Hutter S, et al. Cost distribution of
267	bluetongue surveillance and vaccination programmes in Austria and Switzerland
268	(2007–2016). Vet Record 2018; 182:257. doi: 10.1136/vr.104448
269	31. Purse B, Carpenter S, Venter G, Bellis G and Mullens B. Bionomics of temperate and
270	tropical Culicoides midges: knowledge gaps and consequences for transmission of
271	<i>Culicoides</i> -borne viruses. Annu Rev Entomol 2015; 60:373–392.
272	32. Racloz V, Griot C, Stärk KDC. Sentinel surveillance systems with special focus on vector-
273	borne diseases. Anim Health Res Rev 2006; 7(1–2):71–79.
274	33. Shah FA, Ansari MA, Prasad M, Butt TM. Evaluation of black vine weevil (Otiorhynchus
275	sulcatus) control strategies using Metarhizium anisopliae with sublethal doses of
276	insecticides in disparate horticultural growing media. Biol Control 2007; 40:246–252.
277	34. Silva-Filha MHNL, Berry B, Regis L. Lysinibacillus sphaericus: toxins and mode of action,
278	applications for mosquito control and resistance management. In: Dhadialla TS, Gill SS
279	(Eds.), Advances in Insect Physiology, vol. 47. Academic Press, Oxford, 2014; pp. 89–
280	176.
281	35. Skinner M, Parker BL, Kim JS. Role of entomopathogenic fungi in integrated pest
282	management. In: Integrated Pest Management, Abrol DP (ed). Elsevier Inc., 2014; pp.
283	169–191.
284	36. Stephen K, Kurtböke DI. Screening of Oomycete fungi for their potential role in
285	reducing the biting midge (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) larval populations in Hervey Bay,
286	Queensland, Australia. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2011; 8:1560–1574. doi:
287	10.3390/ijerph8051560

288	37. Strasser H, Vey A, Butt TM. Are any risks in using entomopathogenic fungi for pest
289	control, with particular reference to the bioactive metabolites of Metarhizium,
290	Tolypocladium and Beauveria species? Biocontrol Sci Technol 2000; 10(6):717–735.
291	38. Unkles SE, Marriott C, Kinghorn JR, Panter C, Blackwell A. Efficacy of the
292	entomopathogenic fungus, Culicinomyces clavisporus against larvae of the biting
293	midge, Culicoides nubeculosus (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). Biocontrol Sci Technol
294	2004; 14(4):397–401.
295	39. van Schaik G, Berends IM, van Langen H, Elbers AR, Vellema P. Seroprevalence of
296	bluetongue serotype 8 in cattle in The Netherlands in spring 2007, and its
297	consequences. Vet Rec. 2008; 163:441– 444. doi: 10.1136/vr.163.15.441
298	40. Velthuis AGJ, Saatkamp HW, Mourits MCM, de Koeijer AA, Elbers ARW. Financial
299	consequences of the Dutch bluetongue serotype 8 epidemics of 2006 and 2007. Prev
300	Vet Med 2010; 93:294–304.
301	41. Venail R, Balenghien T, Guis H, Tran A, Setier-Rio M-L, Delécolle J-C, et al. Assessing
302	diversity and abundance of vector populations at a national scale: example of
303	Culicoides surveillance in France after bluetongue virus emergence. In: Mehlhorn H,
304	editor. Arthropods as vectors of Emerging Diseases. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 2012.
305	p. 77–102.
306	42. Webb L, Beaumont DJ, Nager RG, McCracken DI. Field-scale dispersal of Aphodius dung
307	beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) in response to avermectin treatments on pastures

cattle. Bull Entomol Res 2010; 100:175–183.

- 43. White SM, Sanders CJ, Shortall CR, Purse BV. Mechanistic model for predicting the
- seasonal abundance of *Culicoides* biting midges and the impacts of insecticide control.

311 Parasites & Vectors 2017; 10:162.

- 44. Wright PJ, Easton CS. 1996. Natural incidence of *Lagenidium giganteum* Couch
- 313 (Oomycetes: Lagenidiales) infecting the biting midge *Culicoides molestus* (Skuse)
- 314 (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). Aus J Entomol. 1996; 35:131–134.
- 45. Zanella G, Durand B, Sellal E, Breard E, Sailleau C, et al. Bluetongue virus serotype 8:
- 316 abortion and transplacental transmission in cattle in the Burgundy region, France,
- 317 2008 2009. Theriogenology 2012; 77:65–72. doi:
- 318 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2011.07.015
- 46. Zimmer JY, Losson B, Saegerman C, Haubruge E, Francis F. Breeding sites and species
- 320 association of the main Bluetongue and Schmallenberg virus vectors, the *Culicoides*
- 321 species (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae), in northern Europe. Annales de la Société
- 322 entomologique de France 2013; 49(3):335–344.
- 323
- 324
- 325
- 326
- 327
- 328

329	Fig. 1. Culicoides nubeculous midges at different periods after contact with dry conidia of
330	the entomopathogenic fungus Metarhizium anisopliae BNL 102. (A) Healthy adults (B) An
331	adult midge cadaver, 3 days after treatment showing fungal growth through the body wall;
332	(C) An adult cadaver, 6 days after treatment showing fungus sporulation.
333	
334	
335	
336	
337	
338	
339	
340	
341	
342	
343	
344	
345	
346	
347	
348	
349	
350	
351	
352	
323	

- 354 \* Correspondance :
- 355 Minshad Ansari<sup>1\*</sup>
- <sup>356</sup> <sup>1</sup>Institute of Life Science 1, College of Medicine, Swansea University, Singleton Park,
- 357 Swansea, SA2 8PP, UK
- 358 email : <u>m.a.ansari@swansea.ac.uk</u>
- 359 Tel. +44 17922606916



362 Fig 1.