



Swansea University
Prifysgol Abertawe



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in:
Scientific Reports

Cronfa URL for this paper:
<http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa44731>

Paper:

Inzani, E., Marshall, H., Sanderson, J., Nichols, H., Thompson, F., Kalema-Zikusoka, G., Hodge, S., Cant, M. & Vitikainen, E. (2016). Female reproductive competition explains variation in prenatal investment in wild banded mongooses. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1)
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep20013>

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

<http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/>

**Female reproductive competition explains variation in prenatal investment in wild
banded mongooses**

Emma L. Inzani, Harry H. Marshall, Jennifer L. Sanderson, Hazel J. Nichols, Faye J.

Thompson, , Gladys Kalema-Zikusoka, Sarah J. Hodge, Michael A. Cant* & Emma I.K.

Vitikainen

Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, Cornwall

TR10 8FE

*Corresponding author: m.a.cant@exeter.ac.uk

Supplementary Information

Table S1: Factors affecting prenatal investment

Model terms	Fetus cross-sectional area (fetus size)					Number of fetuses				
	Effect size	±	SE	χ^2	<i>P</i>	Effect size	±	SE	χ^2	<i>P</i>
Female age (months)	-0.12	±	0.38	0.10	0.75	0.12	±	0.038	10.36	0.0013
Female age ² (months)	-0.0018	±	0.0042	0.087	0.77	-0.053	±	0.025	4.81	0.028
Female weight (g)	0.30	±	0.10			0.036	±	0.033	1.19	0.27
Rainfall during pregnancy (ml)	1.81	±	0.70			-0.0079	±	0.031	0.068	0.80
Number of females	-53	±	57			-0.0094	±	0.031	0.09	0.76
Female weight x number of females	-0.029	±	0.014	4.23	0.040					
Total rainfall x number of females	-0.24	±	0.11	4.91	0.027					
Group size	1.8	±	2.6	0.089	0.77	0.0012	±	0.03	0.0015	0.97
Fetus age (days)	-45	±	20							
Fetus age ² (days)	0.64	±	0.29							
Sample	360 ultrasounds from 59 females in 41 litters from 8 groups.					361 observations from 127 females in 130 litters from 11 groups				

Random effects: female ID, litter ID and group ID. Model terms were scaled in GLMM analysis on num

Table S2: Within- and between- female variation in fetus size

Model terms	Effect size	±	SE	χ^2	<i>P</i>
Female age (months)	0.78	±	0.35	0.045	0.83
Female age ² (months)	0.00061	±	0.0041	0.021	0.89
Female weight at conception (g)	0.064	±	0.043	2.00	0.16
Rainfall during pregnancy (ml)	-0.094	±	0.23	0.17	0.68
Within-female effects	12.24	±	5.63	4.51	0.034
Between-female effects	9.55	±	4.76	3.38	0.066
Fetus age (days)	2.88	±	1.20		
Fetus age ² (days)	-0.048	±	0.093		
Sample	360 ultrasounds from 59 females in 41 litters from 8 groups.				

Random effects: female ID, litter ID and group ID.

Table S3: Consequences of prenatal investment – female reproductive success

Model terms	Number of emergent pups assigned to female				Proportion of pups in a group litter assigned to female			
	Effect size ± SE		χ^2	<i>P</i>	Effect size ± SE		χ^2	<i>P</i>
Mean fetus size (mm ²)	0.0022	± 0.0017	1.66	0.20				
Relative fetus size					0.0023	± 0.0022	1.14	0.29
Number of fetuses	0.28	± 0.12	5.44	0.020				
Fetus age (days)	0.05	± 0.03			0.0025	± 0.0017		
Sample	153 observations from 78 females in 51 litters from 10 groups.				153 observations from 78 females in 51 litters from 10 groups.			

Random effects: female ID, litter ID and group ID.

Table S4: Consequences of prenatal investment – Pup survival and growth to independence

Model terms	Pup survival to 3 months					Pup growth (age<=90 days)				
	Effect size \pm SE			χ^2	<i>P</i>	Effect size \pm SE			χ^2	<i>P</i>
Mean fetus size (mm ²)	0.0014	\pm	0.0041	0.12	0.72	0.04	\pm	0.06	0.34	0.56
Relative fetus size (mm ²)	0.0063	\pm	0.0023	1.09	0.30					
Number of fetuses	0.02	\pm	0.27	0.0058	0.94	-7.0	\pm	5.5	1.59	0.21
Total number of pups in a group litter	-0.05	\pm	0.10	0.23	0.63	-0.94	\pm	1.55	0.37	0.54
Sex of pup						9.68	\pm	10.30	0.87	0.35
Pup age (days)						4.0	\pm	1.1		
Fetus age (days)	0.02	\pm	0.06			4.1	\pm	1.0		
Sample	131 pups from 29 litters from 8 groups.					116 pups from 26 litters from 8 groups.				

Random effects: litter ID and group ID.

Table S5: Consequences of prenatal investment– female survival

Female post-reproductive survival (months). Cox regression with backward selection of terms (Wald).

Model terms	Effect size	±	SE	Wald χ^2	P
Number of females	- 0.081	±	0.0052	2.23	0.14
Total prenatal investment	-0.001	±	0.0001	6.57	0.010
Number of fetuses	-0.009	±	0.121	0.006	0.94
Mean fetus size (mm²)	-0.005	±	0.001	12.68	<0.001
Relative fetus size (mm ²)	0.001	±	0.003	0.16	0.69
Sample	109 females in 47 litters from 10 groups.				

Table S6: Consequences of prenatal investment – female participation in next litter (y/n)

Model terms	Effect size	±	SE	χ^2	P
Female age (months)	-0.01	±	0.02	0.17	0.68
Female age ² (months)	-0.0015	±	0.0029	0.28	0.60
Female weight at conception (g)	0	±	0.0031	0.012	0.91
Number of females	0.19	±	0.48	0.16	0.69
Mean fetus size (mm ²)	-0.0012	±	0.0072	0.030	0.86
Number of fetuses	-0.87	±	0.52	3.50	0.061
Fetus age (days)	0.06	±	0.14		
Sample	105 observations from 46 females in 34 litters from 7 groups.				

Random effects: female ID, litter ID and group ID.