

Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in:

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences

Cronfa URL for this paper:

<http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa44729>

Paper:

Thompson, F., Marshall, H., Sanderson, J., Vitikainen, E., Nichols, H., Gilchrist, J., Young, A., Hodge, S. & Cant, M. (2016). Reproductive competition triggers mass eviction in cooperative banded mongooses. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 283(1826), 20152607

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.2607>

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

<http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/>

1 **Electronic Supplementary Material**

2

3 **Controlling for regression to the mean**

4 To account for potential problems with regression to the mean, we calculated ΔC_S , ΔC_E and ΔH as an adjusted change using the formulae from Kelly & Price
5 (2005):

6
$$\Delta X = (X_2 - \bar{X}_2) - \hat{p}(X_1 - \bar{X}_1)$$

7 and

8
$$\hat{p} = \frac{2rs_1s_2}{s_1^2 + s_2^2}$$

9 where X_1 and s_1 are the observation and standard deviation of the observation in the breeding attempt before eviction, and X_2 and s_2 are the observation
10 and standard deviation of the observation in the breeding attempt of the eviction, and r is the correlation between the observations in the two consecutive
11 breeding attempts.

12

- 13 ESM Table 1: 'Female evictions'. Model performance in predicting the probability of an eviction event occurring during a breeding attempt ($N=415$ breeding attempts in 15 groups). Analysis using the female reproductive success (C_s) measure of helping performance under the coercion of cooperation hypothesis.
- 14 Models above the line comprise the top model set where $\Delta AIC \leq 6$.

Hyp.	Int.	C_s	H	$C_s:H$	R	E	ΔC_s	ΔH	$\Delta C_s:\Delta H$	B	$B:E$	$R:E$	G	$R:G$	$R:B$	k	logLik	AIC	ΔAIC	w_i	Retained	Adj. w_i
R	-5.44									0.37						3	-108.63	223.26	0.00	0.34	✓	1.00
A	-3.34				-14.46					0.11						5	-107.25	224.50	1.24	0.18		
A/R	-5.49				0.42					0.37						4	-108.62	225.25	1.99	0.13		
R	-5.45				0.00					0.37						4	-108.63	225.26	2.00	0.13		
A	-3.29				-14.52	0.00				0.11						6	-107.25	226.50	3.24	0.07		
A/R	-5.51				0.43	0.00				0.37						5	-108.62	227.24	3.99	0.05		
R	-5.37				0.00					0.36	0.00					5	-108.63	227.26	4.00	0.05		
A	-3.34				-14.11	0.00				0.11	-0.01					7	-107.25	228.49	5.23	0.02		
A	-5.25				-1.44	0.00				0.37	0.03					6	-108.60	229.21	5.95	0.02		
R	-5.42				0.44	0.00				0.36	0.00					6	-108.62	229.24	5.98	0.02		
C	-4.99	0.47	0.40	-0.07												5	-111.65	233.30	10.04	0.00		
C	-5.07	0.47	0.40	-0.07	0.57											6	-111.63	235.27	12.01	0.00		
C	-4.97	0.47	0.40	-0.07		0.00										6	-111.65	235.30	12.04	0.00		
C	-5.05	0.46	0.40	-0.07	0.57	0.00										7	-111.63	237.27	14.01	0.00		
A	-2.75				-11.13							0.01	0.56			5	-115.16	240.31	17.05	0.00		
A	-4.32				1.65							0.07				4	-116.75	241.50	18.24	0.00		
A	-2.98				-10.94	0.00						0.01	0.56			6	-115.10	242.21	18.95	0.00		
A	-4.60				1.76	0.00						0.07				5	-116.66	243.33	20.07	0.00		
A	-2.65				-13.35	0.00						0.04	0.01	0.56		7	-115.07	244.14	20.88	0.00		
A	-4.19				-1.11	0.00						0.05	0.07			6	-116.62	245.25	21.99	0.00		
C	-2.86				0.37	0.11	0.01									5	-118.19	246.37	23.11	0.00		
Null	-2.87															2	-121.28	246.57	23.31	0.00		
C	-3.37				0.01	0.38	0.11	0.01								6	-117.86	247.71	24.45	0.00		
C	-3.19				2.03	0.38	0.11	0.01								6	-117.98	247.96	24.70	0.00		
Any	-3.15				1.69											3	-121.14	248.28	25.02	0.00		
C	-3.80				2.32	0.01	0.39	0.11	0.01							7	-117.59	249.19	25.93	0.00		
Any	-3.62				1.93	0.01										4	-120.90	249.81	26.55	0.00		
A	-3.00				-2.86	0.00						0.08				5	-120.79	251.57	28.31	0.00		

- 16 Hyp. = Hypothesis: A = Adaptive Forced Dispersal; C = Coercion of Cooperation; R = Reproductive Competition; Any = Any of the three hypotheses; Null = null model. Columns 2 to 16 show parameter effect sizes from GLMMs on the logit scale: Int. = Intercept; C_s = number of emergent pups per female that contributed to the previous litter; H = number of female helpers in the

18 previous breeding attempt; R = mean group relatedness; E = mean rainfall in previous 6 months; ΔC_S = change in the number of emergent pups per female that contributed to the litter in the
19 breeding attempts before and of the eviction; ΔH = change in the number of female helpers in the breeding attempts before and of the eviction; B = number of breeding females; G = group
20 size; $:$ = interaction. k = number of estimated parameters including a random intercept for group ID; logLik = log-likelihood; AIC = Akaike's information criterion; ΔAIC = change in AIC value
21 from the best performing model; w_i = Akaike's model weight; Retained = tick indicates that the model was retained after applying the nesting rule of Richards et al. (2011); Adj. w_i = adjusted
22 Akaike's model weight for the retained models. Blank cells indicate that the term was absent from that model.

23 ESM Table 2: 'Female evictions'. Model performance in predicting the probability of an eviction event occurring during a breeding attempt ($N=270$ breeding
 24 attempts in 14 groups). Analysis using the helping effort (C_E) measure of helping performance under the coercion of cooperation hypothesis. Models above
 25 the line comprise the top model set where $\Delta\text{AICc} \leq 6$.

Hyp.	Int.	C_E	H	$C_E:H$	R	E	ΔC_E	ΔH	$\Delta C_E:\Delta H$	B	$B:E$	$R:E$	G	$R:G$	$R:B$	k	logLik	AICc	ΔAICc	w_i	Retained	Adj. w_i			
R	1.28					-0.11				-0.67	0.02					5	-62.26	134.76	0.00	0.27	✓	0.49			
R	1.66					-3.95	-0.11			-0.62	0.02					6	-61.82	135.96	1.20	0.15					
R	-7.65					0.03				0.43						4	-64.04	136.22	1.47	0.13	✓	0.24			
R	-5.73									0.44						3	-65.16	136.41	1.65	0.12	✓	0.22			
A/R	-6.95					-4.25	0.03			0.45						5	-63.50	137.23	2.48	0.08					
A/R	-5.10					-4.46				0.46						4	-64.55	137.24	2.49	0.08					
A	-6.67					4.71				0.66						-1.13	5	-64.36	138.95	4.20	0.03				
A	-8.49					4.67	0.03			0.64						-1.10	6	-63.33	138.99	4.23	0.03				
A	-8.18					3.23	0.05			0.45						-0.12	6	-63.43	139.17	4.42	0.03				
C	-5.30	-5.16	0.17	0.79		0.79				0.03							6	-64.13	140.58	5.83	0.01	✓	0.03		
C	-3.44	-5.13	0.18	0.79													5	-65.18	140.60	5.84	0.01	✓	0.02		
A	-9.65					11.65	0.05			0.64						-0.11		-1.07	7	-63.27	140.96	6.21	0.01		
C	-3.02	-5.04	0.18	0.79		-2.66											6	-64.95	142.21	7.46	0.01				
C	-4.86	-5.11	0.17	0.79		-2.45	0.03										7	-63.94	142.30	7.55	0.01				
A	-4.97					-1.57										0.13	4	-67.35	142.85	8.10	0.00				
A	-6.56					-1.51	0.03									0.13	5	-66.51	143.24	8.48	0.00				
A	-4.45					-4.97										0.11	5	-67.33	144.88	10.12	0.00				
A	-7.75					5.59	0.05									-0.11	6	-66.44	145.20	10.44	0.00				
A	-5.84					-6.40	0.03									0.09	6	-66.45	145.22	10.47	0.00				
A	-7.02					0.63	0.05									-0.12	7	-66.37	147.18	12.42	0.00				
Null	-2.61																	2	-75.89	155.83	21.07	0.00			
Any	-5.13					-0.03	0.04											4	-74.03	156.21	21.46	0.00			
C	-5.09					0.04	0.26	-0.54	0.19									6	-72.56	157.45	22.69	0.00			
Any	-2.63					0.15												3	-75.89	157.87	23.12	0.00			
A	-6.61					9.04	0.06									-0.14			5	-73.91	158.05	23.30	0.00		
C	-2.58							0.25	-0.49	0.11								5	-74.37	158.98	24.22	0.00			
C	-5.04					-0.23	0.04	0.26	-0.53	0.19								7	-72.56	159.55	24.80	0.00			
C	-2.53					-0.24	0.25	-0.49	0.11									6	-74.37	161.07	26.31	0.00			

26 Hyp. = Hypothesis: A = Adaptive Forced Dispersal; C = Coercion of Cooperation; R = Reproductive Competition; Any = Any of the three hypotheses; Null = null model. Columns 2 to 16 show

27 parameter effect sizes from GLMMs on the logit scale: Int. = Intercept; C_E = number of female helpers left per day of babysitting of the previous litter; H = number of female helpers in the

28 previous breeding attempt; R = mean group relatedness; E = mean rainfall in previous 6 months; ΔC_E = change in the number of female helpers left per day of babysitting in the breeding
29 attempts before and of the eviction; ΔH = change in the number of female helpers in the breeding attempts before and of the eviction; B = number of breeding females; G = group size; $: =$
30 interaction. k = number of estimated parameters including a random intercept for group ID; logLik = log-likelihood; AICc = corrected Akaike's information criterion; ΔAICc = change in AICc
31 value from the best performing model; w_i = Akaike's model weight; Retained = tick indicates that the model was retained after applying the nesting rule of Richards et al. (2011); Adj. w_i =
32 adjusted Akaike's model weight for the retained models. Blank cells indicate that the term was absent from that model.

33 ESM Table 3: 'Male evictions'. Model performance in predicting the probability that males are evicted alongside females when an eviction event occurs
 34 (N=37 eviction events in 7 groups). Analysis using the female reproductive success (C_s) measure of helping performance under the coercion of cooperation
 35 hypothesis. Models above the line comprise the top model set where $\Delta\text{AICc} \leq 6$.

Hyp.	Int.	C_s	H	$C_s:H$	R	E	ΔC_s	ΔH	$\Delta C_s:\Delta H$	B	$B:E$	$R:E$	G	$R:G$	$R:B$	k	logLik	AICc	ΔAICc	w_i	Retained	Adj. w_i	
R	-2.28									0.38						3	-20.42	47.57	0.00	0.47	✓	0.95	
R	-1.81					-0.01				0.39						4	-20.32	49.88	2.32	0.15			
A/R	-2.16						-0.68			0.38						4	-20.41	50.07	2.51	0.13			
R	-0.30						-0.04			-0.10	0.01					5	-19.78	51.51	3.94	0.07			
A	-0.94						-9.71			0.11						2.02	5	-20.24	52.41	4.85	0.04		
A/R	-1.64						-0.90	-0.01		0.39						5	-20.31	52.55	4.98	0.04			
A	-3.82						-1.58				0.15					4	-22.08	53.41	5.84	0.03	✓	0.05	
Null	-0.38															2	-24.98	54.31	6.75	0.02			
R	-0.25					-0.35	-0.04			-0.10	0.01					6	-19.78	54.37	6.80	0.02			
A	-0.21					-10.96	-0.01			0.09						6	-20.10	55.00	7.44	0.01			
A	-1.35					-3.19	-0.01			0.39		0.03				6	-20.30	55.40	7.84	0.01			
A	-3.45					-1.54	-0.01					0.16				5	-21.90	55.74	8.18	0.01			
A	-6.12					14.14					0.25	-0.70				5	-21.95	55.84	8.27	0.01			
Any	0.09					-2.97										3	-24.83	56.38	8.82	0.01			
A	0.11					-13.50	-0.01			0.08		0.04				7	-20.10	58.05	10.49	0.00			
A	-5.84					14.56	-0.01					0.26	-0.71			6	-21.78	58.36	10.80	0.00			
A	-2.14					-11.17	-0.03					0.14	0.16			6	-21.85	58.49	10.93	0.00			
Any	0.18					-3.00	0.00									4	-24.82	58.90	11.33	0.00			
C	-1.61	0.38	0.11	-0.02												5	-24.15	60.24	12.68	0.00			
C	-0.53							0.35	0.01	-0.05						5	-24.21	60.35	12.78	0.00			
A	2.51					-22.06	-0.03					0.28				5	-24.48	60.90	13.34	0.00			
A	-4.48					4.69	-0.03					0.16	0.27	-0.76		7	-21.71	61.28	13.72	0.00			
C	-1.25	0.37	0.12	-0.02		-2.29										6	-24.07	62.95	15.38	0.00			
C	-1.47	0.38	0.11	-0.02		0.00										6	-24.14	63.09	15.52	0.00			
C	-0.25					-1.74		0.33	0.02	-0.05						6	-24.16	63.12	15.55	0.00			
C	-0.81					0.00		0.37	0.01	-0.05						6	-24.17	63.14	15.57	0.00			
C	-1.10	0.37	0.12	-0.02		-2.33	0.00									7	-24.06	65.98	18.42	0.00			
C	-0.52					-1.55	0.00	0.35	0.01	-0.05						7	-24.13	66.12	18.56	0.00			

36 Hyp. = Hypothesis: A = Adaptive Forced Dispersal; C = Coercion of Cooperation; R = Reproductive Competition; Any = Any of the three hypotheses; Null = null model. Columns 2 to 16 show parameter effect sizes from GLMMs on the logit scale: Int. = Intercept; C_s = number of emergent pups per female that contributed to the previous litter; H = number of male helpers in the

37 parameter effect sizes from GLMMs on the logit scale: Int. = Intercept; C_s = number of emergent pups per female that contributed to the previous litter; H = number of male helpers in the

38 previous breeding attempt; R = mean group relatedness; E = mean rainfall in previous 6 months; ΔC_S = change in the number of emergent pups per female that contributed to the litter in the
39 breeding attempts before and of the eviction; ΔH = change in the number of male helpers in the breeding attempts before and of the eviction; B = number of breeding males; G = group size; :
40 = interaction. k = number of estimated parameters including a random intercept for group ID; logLik = log-likelihood; AICc = corrected Akaike's information criterion; ΔAICc = change in AICc
41 value from the best performing model; w_i = Akaike's model weight; Retained = tick indicates that the model was retained after applying the nesting rule of Richards et al. (2011); Adj. w_i =
42 adjusted Akaike's model weight for the retained models. Blank cells indicate that the term was absent from that model.

- 43 ESM Table 4: 'Male evictions'. Model performance in predicting the probability that males are evicted alongside females when an eviction event occurs
- 44 ($N=22$ eviction events in 6 groups). Analysis using the helping effort (C_E) measure of helping performance under the coercion of cooperation hypothesis.
- 45 Models above the line comprise the top model set where $\Delta\text{AICc} \leq 6$.

Hyp.	Int.	C_E	H	$C_E:H$	R	E	ΔC_E	ΔH	$\Delta C_E:\Delta H$	B	$B:E$	$R:E$	G	$R:G$	$R:B$	k	logLik	AICc	ΔAICc	w_i	Retained	Adj. w_i
Null	0.18															2	-15.16	34.95	0.00	0.31	✓	1.00
R	-1.00									0.24						3	-14.24	35.80	0.86	0.20		
A	-3.25					1.65						0.13				4	-13.56	37.47	2.52	0.09		
Any	0.06					0.70										3	-15.15	37.64	2.69	0.08		
R	-2.36						0.02					0.22				4	-14.07	38.50	3.55	0.05		
R	8.86						-0.16					-2.33	0.04			5	-12.42	38.59	3.65	0.05		
A/R	-1.28						1.53					0.24				4	-14.21	38.77	3.82	0.05		
C	-0.07							-0.11	0.52	0.53						5	-12.83	39.41	4.46	0.03		
Any	-1.98					1.23	0.03									4	-14.83	40.01	5.06	0.02		
A	-1.86						-8.18									5	-13.50	40.75	5.81	0.02		
A	-2.66					1.49	-0.02									5	-13.50	40.76	5.81	0.02		
C	-2.04	0.46	0.27	-0.07												5	-13.92	41.59	6.65	0.01		
A/R	-2.75					1.86	0.02					0.23				5	-14.03	41.82	6.87	0.01		
A	-0.63						-2.63					0.08				5	-14.18	42.11	7.16	0.01		
R	8.82					3.88	-0.17					-2.40	0.04			6	-12.28	42.16	7.21	0.01		
A	-7.27					36.23	0.11						-0.55			5	-14.51	42.77	7.82	0.01		
C	1.11						-0.02	-0.21	0.58	0.66						6	-12.77	43.13	8.18	0.01		
C	-0.35					1.53		-0.10	0.52	0.53						6	-12.81	43.21	8.27	0.01		
A	-6.95					31.77	0.05					-0.47	0.15			6	-13.27	44.14	9.19	0.00		
A	-1.68						-6.27	-0.01				0.09	0.36			6	-13.47	44.55	9.60	0.00		
A	-7.38					32.88	0.10					0.22	-0.48			6	-13.79	45.18	10.23	0.00		
C	-2.74	0.46	0.25	-0.07			0.01									6	-13.87	45.34	10.40	0.00		
C	-1.87	0.50	0.28	-0.08		-1.25										6	-13.91	45.41	10.47	0.00		
A	-1.84						-5.55	0.03				-0.06				6	-13.96	45.51	10.56	0.00		
C	0.83					1.48	-0.02	-0.20	0.58	0.66						7	-12.74	47.49	12.54	0.00		
A	-7.32					33.14	0.13					-0.98	-0.08	1.48		7	-12.93	47.85	12.91	0.00		
A	-7.20					30.57	0.12					-0.26	-0.63			7	-13.59	49.18	14.23	0.00		
C	-2.58	0.49	0.26	-0.08		-1.02	0.01									7	-13.86	49.72	14.78	0.00		

46 Hyp. = Hypothesis: A = Adaptive Forced Dispersal; C = Coercion of Cooperation; R = Reproductive Competition; Any = Any of the three hypotheses; Null = null model. Columns 2 to 16 show

47 parameter effect sizes from GLMMs on the logit scale: Int. = Intercept; C_E = number of male helpers left per day of babysitting of the previous litter; H = number of male helpers in the

48 previous breeding attempt; R = mean group relatedness; E = mean rainfall in previous 6 months; ΔC_E = change in the number of male helpers left per day of babysitting in the breeding
49 attempts before and of the eviction; ΔH = change in the number of male helpers in the breeding attempts before and of the eviction; B = number of breeding males; G = group size; $: =$
50 interaction. k = number of estimated parameters including a random intercept for group ID; logLik = log-likelihood; AICc = corrected Akaike's information criterion; ΔAICc = change in AICc
51 value from the best performing model; w_i = Akaike's model weight; Retained = tick indicates that the model was retained after applying the nesting rule of Richards et al. (2011); Adj. w_i =
52 adjusted Akaike's model weight for the retained models. Blank cells indicate that the term was absent from that model.

53 ESM Table 5: ‘Temporary evictions’. Model performance in predicting the probability that evictees are allowed to return to their group following an eviction
 54 event ($N=37$ eviction events in 7 groups). Analysis using the female reproductive success (C_s) measure of helping performance under the coercion of
 55 cooperation hypothesis. Models above the line comprise the top model set where $\Delta\text{AICc} \leq 6$.

Hyp.	Int.	C_s	H_F	H_M	$C_s:H_F$	$C_s:H_M$	R	E	ΔC_s	ΔH_F	ΔH_M	$\Delta C_s: \Delta H_F$	$\Delta C_s: \Delta H_M$	B_F	B_M	$B_F:E$	$B_M:E$	$R:E$	G	$R:G$	$R:B_F$	$R: B_M$	k	logLik	AICc	$\Delta AICc$	w_i	Ret.	Adj. w_i
A	-0.7						15.6					0.3	-0.1					-0.4	0.0		-2.5		6	-22.7	60.1	7.8	0.0		
A	-1.2						19.1	0.0														6	-22.8	60.4	8.1	0.0			
C	2.4						-8.8		-0.2	-0.3	0.4	-0.4	-0.2									8	-19.6	60.4	8.1	0.0			
C	2.8	-0.7	-0.1			0.1		0.0														6	-22.8	60.4	8.1	0.0			
A	1.1						10.5	0.0						-0.1				-0.2			6	-22.8	60.4	8.1	0.0				
C	0.0	0.8			0.2				-0.1		0.0										6	-22.9	60.5	8.2	0.0				
R	1.1							-4.1	0.0					0.1		0.0					6	-22.9	60.6	8.3	0.0				
A	-0.1						15.1	0.0							0.0			-0.3			6	-22.9	60.6	8.3	0.0				
A	1.5							4.4	NA				-0.2	0.3						-2.0	6	-23.0	60.7	8.4	0.0				
R	1.5							-5.6	0.0					0.3		0.0					6	-23.0	60.7	8.4	0.0				
A/R	3.3							-4.8	0.0				-0.1	0.0							6	-23.0	60.7	8.4	0.0				
R	1.5							0.0				-0.1	0.3		0.0						6	-23.0	60.8	8.5	0.0				
C	3.5						-8.1	0.0	-0.2		0.4		-0.3								7	-21.6	61.1	8.7	0.0				
R	-0.3							0.0				0.2	0.0	0.0							6	-23.1	61.1	8.8	0.0				
A	1.8						-0.9	0.0					0.1							-1.0	6	-23.2	61.1	8.8	0.0				
A	2.7						-10.0	0.0									0.0	0.2			6	-23.2	61.2	8.9	0.0				
C	2.0	-0.4	-0.1			0.1	-3.8		0.0	0.0	-0.3	0.4	-0.4	-0.2							6	-23.3	61.5	9.2	0.0				
C	1.2							0.0													8	-20.4	61.9	9.6	0.0				
C	0.9	0.8			0.2			-5.9	0.0												7	-22.3	62.5	10.2	0.0				
C	0.7	-0.1	-0.3	0.2	0.1	-0.1															7	-22.4	62.6	10.3	0.0				
A	-1.2						22.8	0.0				0.2				-0.2			-0.2		7	-22.5	62.9	10.6	0.0				
A	-1.0						19.8					0.2	0.2							-2.2	7	-22.5	62.9	10.6	0.0				
A	0.2						13.2	0.0				0.3	-0.1							-2.2	7	-22.6	63.0	10.7	0.0				
R	2.2						-4.8	0.0				-0.1	0.3		0.0						7	-22.6	63.2	10.9	0.0				
C	3.2	-0.7	-0.1			0.1	-3.1	0.0													7	-22.7	63.2	10.9	0.0				
A	-0.3						12.4	0.0								-0.4	0.0	0.4			7	-22.7	63.3	11.0	0.0				
A	2.3						2.9	0.0				-0.1	0.2							-1.7	7	-22.8	63.5	11.1	0.0				
A	1.1						10.5	0.0				-0.1	0.0		-0.2					7	-22.8	63.5	11.2	0.0					
A	-0.7						19.3	0.0				0.1		-0.3						-1.0	7	-22.9	63.6	11.3	0.0				
R	1.1						-4.2	0.0				0.2	0.0	0.0							7	-22.9	63.6	11.3	0.0				
C	3.2						-9.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.3	0.5	-0.4	-0.2								9	-19.5	63.7	11.4	0.0				
R	0.4						0.0					0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0						7	-23.0	63.8	11.5	0.0				
C	1.9	-0.3	-0.2	0.2	0.2	-0.1	0.0														8	-21.9	64.8	12.5	0.0				
C	1.3	0.1	-0.3	0.3	0.1	-0.1	-4.9														8	-22.1	65.3	13.0	0.0				
A	-0.3						17.3	0.0				0.2	0.1						-2.0	-1.3	8	-22.5	66.1	13.8	0.0				
A	-1.2						22.9	0.0				0.3	0.0		-0.2				-2.0		8	-22.5	66.1	13.8	0.0				
R	2.2						-4.8	0.0				-0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0					8	-22.6	66.4	14.1	0.0					
A	0.5						15.5	0.0				-0.1	0.2		-0.2					-1.5	8	-22.7	66.5	14.2	0.0				
C	2.3	-0.2	-0.2	0.2	0.2	-0.1	-4.2	0.0												9	-21.6	67.9	15.6	0.0					
A	-1.5						25.9	0.0				0.2	0.1		-0.2				-1.9	-1.2	9	-22.4	69.5	17.2	0.0				

56 Hyp. = Hypothesis: A = Adaptive Forced Dispersal; C = Coercion of Cooperation; R = Reproductive Competition; Any = Any of the three hypotheses; Null = null model. Columns 2 to 23 show

57 parameter effect sizes from GLMMs on the logit scale: Int. = Intercept; C_s = number of emergent pups per female that contributed to the previous litter; H_F = number of female helpers in the

58 previous breeding attempt; H_M = number of male helpers in the previous breeding attempt; R = mean group relatedness; E = mean rainfall in previous 6 months; ΔC_S = change in the number
59 of emergent pups per female that contributed to the litter in the breeding attempts before and of the eviction; ΔH_F = change in the number of female helpers in the breeding attempts before
60 and of the eviction; ΔH_M = change in the number of male helpers in the breeding attempts before and of the eviction; B_F = number of breeding females; B_M = number of breeding males; G =
61 group size; $:$ = interaction. k = number of estimated parameters including a random intercept for group ID; logLik = log-likelihood; AICc = corrected Akaike's information criterion; $\Delta AICc$ =
62 change in AICc value from the best performing model; w_i = Akaike's model weight; Ret. = tick indicates that the model was retained after applying the nesting rule of Richards et al. (2011);
63 Adj. w_i = adjusted Akaike's model weight for the retained models. Blank cells indicate that the term was absent from that model.

64 ESM Table 6: ‘Temporary evictions’. Model performance in predicting the probability that evictees are allowed to return to their group following an eviction
 65 event ($N=22$ eviction events in 6 groups). Analysis using the helping effort (C_E) measure of helping performance under the coercion of cooperation
 66 hypothesis. Models above the line comprise the top model set where $\Delta\text{AICc} \leq 6$.

Hyp.	Int.	C_{EF}	H_F	$C_{EF}:H_F$	C_{EM}	H_M	$C_{EM}:H_M$	R	E	ΔC_{EF}	ΔH_F	$\Delta C_{EF}: \Delta H_F$	ΔC_{EM}	ΔH_M	$\Delta C_E: \Delta H_M$	B_F	B_M	$B_F:E$	$B_M:E$	$R:E$	G	$R:G$	$R:B_F$	$R:B_M$	k	logLik	AICc	ΔAICc	w_i	Ret.	Adj. w_i	
Null	0.0																									2	-15.2	35.1	0.0	0.3	✓	1.0
R	2.0																									3	-14.6	36.5	1.4	0.1		
R	0.9																									3	-14.7	36.8	1.7	0.1		
Any	-0.2																									3	-15.2	37.8	2.7	0.1		
C	-2.8	32.0	0.3	-4.0																						5	-12.1	38.0	2.9	0.1		
R	3.1																									4	-14.0	38.4	3.2	0.0		
R	2.9																									4	-14.4	39.1	4.0	0.0		
R	3.1																									4	-14.4	39.2	4.0	0.0		
A/R	1.8																									4	-14.5	39.5	4.3	0.0		
Any	2.4																									4	-14.7	39.8	4.7	0.0		
A/R	0.8																									4	-14.7	39.8	4.7	0.0		
A	0.9																									4	-15.1	40.5	5.3	0.0		
C	0.3																									5	-13.4	40.5	5.4	0.0		
A	-6.6																									5	-13.7	41.2	6.1	0.0		
A	-3.1																									5	-13.9	41.5	6.3	0.0		
C	-3.9	33.3	0.4	-4.2																						6	-12.0	41.5	6.4	0.0		
R	3.8																									5	-13.9	41.6	6.5	0.0		
R	23.3																									5	-14.0	41.7	6.6	0.0		
A/R	3.0																									5	-14.0	41.8	6.6	0.0		
C	-2.0	31.4	0.4	-4.0																						6	-12.1	41.8	6.7	0.0		
R	3.4																									5	-14.4	42.5	7.4	0.0		
A/R	3.0																									5	-14.4	42.5	7.4	0.0		
A/R	2.9																									5	-14.4	42.5	7.4	0.0		
C	3.7																									6	-12.7	42.9	7.8	0.0		
A	1.8																									5	-14.7	43.1	8.0	0.0		
A	2.4																									5	-14.7	43.2	8.1	0.0		
A	-3.6																									6	-12.9	43.3	8.2	0.0		
C	1.1	-0.3	-0.1	0.1																						5	-14.8	43.4	8.2	0.0		
C	-0.1																									5	-15.0	43.8	8.6	0.0		
A	0.4																									5	-15.0	43.8	8.7	0.0		
A	-7.3																									6	-13.1	43.9	8.8	0.0		
C	0.9																									6	-13.3	44.2	9.1	0.0		
A	-5.4																									6	-13.5	44.7	9.5	0.0		
A	-2.8																									6	-13.6	44.7	9.6	0.0		
R	19.0																									6	-13.7	45.0	9.9	0.0		
A	-6.6																									6	-13.7	45.0	9.9	0.0		
R	24.5																									6	-13.9	45.4	10.3	0.0		
C	4.3																									6	-13.9	45.5	10.3	0.0		
A/R	3.7																									6	-13.9	45.5	10.3	0.0		
R	3.5																									6	-13.9	45.5	10.3	0.0		

Hyp.	Int.	C_{EF}	H_F	$C_{EF}:$ H_F	C_{EM}	H_M	$C_{EM}:$ H_M	R	E	ΔC_{EF}	ΔH_F	$\Delta C_{EF}:$ ΔH_F	ΔC_{EM}	ΔH_M	$\Delta C_E:$ ΔH_M	B_F	B_M	$B_F:E$	$B_M:E$	$R:E$	G	$R:G$	$R:B_F$	$R:B_M$	k	logLik	AICc	$\Delta AICc$	w_i	Ret.	Adj. w_i		
A	3.1							-0.1																0.2	6	-14.0	45.6	10.5	0.0				
C	-3.1	32.9	0.4	-4.1				4.6	0.0															7	-11.9	45.9	10.7	0.0					
C	3.5				-0.3	-0.1	0.1		0.0															6	-14.3	46.1	11.0	0.0					
A	3.4							-3.4	0.0															0.8	6	-14.4	46.3	11.2	0.0				
R	3.4							-0.1	0.0															6	-14.4	46.3	11.2	0.0					
A	1.5							7.9	0.0															6	-14.7	47.0	11.8	0.0					
C	0.8							-0.4	-0.2	0.1	2.5												0.1	-0.4			6	-14.7	47.1	11.9	0.0		
C	4.2							-3.1	-0.1	4.0	-0.1	-1.3													7	-12.6	47.1	12.0	0.0				
A	-2.7							46.6	0.0															-6.1	7	-12.7	47.5	12.3	0.0				
C	-0.2							0.8																	6	-15.0	47.6	12.5	0.0				
A	-3.3							44.5																	6.4	7	-12.8	47.6	12.5	0.0			
A	-5.9							73.0	0.1															7	-12.9	47.8	12.7	0.0					
A	-6.5							70.8	0.1															2.6	7	-13.0	48.0	12.9	0.0				
A	-6.6							72.0	0.1															7	-13.3	48.5	13.4	0.0					
A	-7.2							70.2	0.2															7	-13.5	49.0	13.9	0.0					
R	19.9							1.9	-0.3															7	-13.7	49.3	14.2	0.0					
C	-1.5	65.7	0.9	-8.2	-4.7	-0.4	0.3																	8	-11.1	49.3	14.2	0.0					
R	21.0							-0.3																	7	-13.7	49.4	14.2	0.0				
C	4.2							0.2	-0.1															7	-13.9	49.9	14.7	0.0					
R	3.5							0.4	0.0															7	-13.9	49.9	14.7	0.0					
A	3.6							0.6	0.0															0.0	7	-13.9	49.9	14.7	0.0				
C	3.3				-0.4	-0.1	0.1	2.0	0.0														7	-14.2	50.5	15.3	0.0						
A	-6.5							75.3	0.1															8	-12.4	51.9	16.8	0.0					
A	-2.6							44.9	0.0															-6.3	1.0	8	-12.7	52.5	17.4	0.0			
A	-5.5							67.7	0.1															1.7	8	-12.9	52.8	17.7	0.0				
C	-4.1	103.6	1.6	-13.0	-7.7	-0.8	0.5	10.2																9	-10.7	54.3	19.2	0.0					
R	23.0							2.3	-0.3															8	-13.6	54.4	19.2	0.0					
C	-3.8	88.7	1.3	-11.1	-6.7	-0.7	0.4	0.0																9	-11.0	54.9	19.8	0.0					
A	-6.4							72.4	0.1															-4.4	1.9	9	-12.4	57.8	22.6	0.0			
C	-7.7	135.9	2.1	-17.1	-10.5	-1.1	0.7	11.0	0.1															10	-10.4	60.8	25.7	0.0					

67 Hyp. = Hypothesis: A = Adaptive Forced Dispersal; C = Coercion of Cooperation; R = Reproductive Competition; Any = Any of the three hypotheses; Null = null model. Columns 2 to 25 show parameter effect sizes from GLMMs on the logit scale: Int. = Intercept; C_{EF} = number of female helpers left per day of babysitting of the previous litter; H_F = number of female helpers in the previous breeding attempt; C_{EM} = number of male helpers left per day of babysitting of the previous litter; H_M = number of male helpers in the previous breeding attempt; R = mean group relatedness; E = mean rainfall in previous 6 months; ΔC_{EF} = change in the number of female helpers left per day of babysitting in the breeding attempts before and of the eviction; ΔH_F = change in the number of female helpers in the breeding attempts before and of the eviction; ΔC_{EM} = change in the number of male helpers left per day of babysitting in the breeding attempts before and of the eviction; ΔH_M = change in the number of male helpers in the breeding attempts before and of the eviction; B_F = number of breeding females; B_M = number of breeding males; G = group size; : = interaction. k = number of estimated parameters including a random intercept for group ID; logLik = log-likelihood; AICc = corrected Akaike's information criterion;

74 ΔAICc = change in AICc value from the best performing model; w_i = Akaike's model weight; Ret. = tick indicates that the model was retained after applying the nesting rule of Richards et al.
75 (2011); Adj. w_i = adjusted Akaike's model weight for the retained models. Blank cells indicate that the term was absent from that model.