



This is an author produced version of a paper published in:	
Human Psychopharmacology: Clinical and Experimental	
Cronfa URL for this paper:	
http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa34662	
Paper:	

Parrott, A., Hayley, A. & Downey, L. (2017). Recreational stimulants, herbal, and spice cannabis: The core psychobiological processes that underlie their damaging effects. *Human Psychopharmacology: Clinical and Experimental, 32*(3), e2594

http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hup.2594

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/iss/researchsupport/cronfa-support/

Recreational stimulants, herbal and spice cannabis: the core psychobiological processes which underlie their damaging effects.

Andrew C. Parrott ^{1,2}, Amie Hayley ², Luke Downey ^{2,1}

- 1. Department of Psychology, Swansea University, Swansea, United Kingdom.
- 2. Centre for Human Psychopharmacology, Swinburne University, Melbourne, Australia.

Correspondence:

Professor Andrew C. Parrott,

Department of Psychology

Swansea University,

Swansea, SA2 8PP,

United Kingdom.

Tel: +44 (0) 1792 295271

Email: a.c.parrott@swansea.ac.uk

Abstract.

Aims: recreational drugs are taken for their positive mood effects, yet their regular

usage damages wellbeing. The psychobiological mechanisms underlying these

damaging effects will be debated.

Methods: the empirical literature on recreational cannabinoids and stimulant drugs

will be briefly reviewed. A theoretical explanation for how they cause similar types of

damage will be outlined.

Results. All psychoactive drugs cause moods and psychological states to fluctuate.

The acute mood gains underlie their recreational usage, while the mood deficits on

withdrawal explain their addictiveness. Cyclical mood changes are found with every

CNS stimulant, and also occur with cannabis. These mood state changes provide a

surface index for more profound psychobiological fluctuations. Homeostatic balance

is altered, with repetitive disturbances of the HPA axis, and disrupted patterns of

cortisol-neurohormonal secretion. Hence these drugs cause increased stress, disturbed

sleep, neurocognitive impairments, altered brain activity, and psychiatric

vulnerability. Equivalent deficits occur with novel psychoactive stimulants such as

mephedrone, and artificial 'spice' cannabinoids. These psychobiological fluctuations

underlie drug dependency, and make cessation difficult. Psychobiological stability

and homeostatic balance are optimally restored by quitting psychoactive drugs.

Conclusions: recreational stimulants such as cocaine and sedative drugs like cannabis,

damage human homeostasis and well-being through similar core psychobiological

mechanisms.

Keywords: cannabis - amphetamine - MDMA - spice - cocaine - cognition

2

Introduction.

Current campaigns to decriminalize the use of cannabis for recreational purposes have portrayed it as a relatively benign substance. Proponents for cannabis suggest that it is a relaxant and euphoriant, which makes the user feel better, and everyone should be free to use it. For professionals working in the field of drug dependency, this description is very limited in its narrow focus, and fails to cover its many adverse effects. It is also extremely worrying, since increasing numbers of people are attending drug clinics for cannabis dependency. In the USA around 300,000 new individuals seek professional help for cannabis dependency each year (Herrmann et al, 2015). The United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime has published international consensus reports on its damaging health effects (UNODC, 2011, 2016), while the adverse psychiatric sequelae have also been reviewed (Volkow et al, 2014: Copeland et al, 2014). Herbal cannabis contains a number of different cannabinoids, including delta 9-THC which is psychoactive, and cannabidiol which is non-psychoactive (or minimally psychoative). Cannabidiol has been investigated for a range of potentially benefical medicinal properties (UNODC, 2016). It is however important that medicinal cannabidiol is used as a monosubsatnace (and not mixed with THC). The focus of this article is on herbal cannabis, which contains delta 9-THC and is being used for its psychoactive properties. One of our core aims is to explain how any acute mood gains, are ouweighed by its chronically damaging effects. The limited empirical data on artificial 'spice' cannabinoids will also be covered, noting that they can be even more damaging to human well-being (Zimmermann et al, 2009; Schifano et al, 2011, 2015; Downey et al, 2014; Gurney et al, 2014).

A second and rather more complex aim, is to compare the psychobiological effects of cannabis, with those of the recreational stimulants. The comparative effects of different CNS stimulant drugs, such as cocaine, nicotine, methamphetaime and MDMA, were the topic for an earlier review in this Human Psychopharmacology series (Parrott, 2015). The current article will debate the many psychobiological similarities between cannabis and the recreational stimulants. This undertaking may be perceived as a rather unusual, since sedative and stimulant drugs are

traditionally seen as quite different. There are, however, important precedents for debating them within the same theoretical framework. Wise and Bozarth (1987) noted that all addictive drugs displayed the 'common denominator' of activation of dopaminergic fibres, which led to similar patterns of compulsive drug self-administration. Koob (2009) focused on the role of allostatic load for all forms of drug dependency, with the dysregulation of hedonic-pleasure control, and impaired homeostasis. Hence all addictive drugs tended to heighten stress, with impairments both to the HPA axis, and to those neural regions underlying motivation and reward such as the amygdala. The current article takes a similarly broad and eclectic approach. It proposes that there are many similarities in the core psychobiological processes altered by these different drugs, and that they underlie the various forms of damage they cause in humans (Table 1).

Acute mood effects.

Cannabis is primarily a sedative drug, whereas CNS stimulant drugs are by definition activating and alerting. Hence acute cannabis typically leads to feelings of relaxation, whereas stimulant drugs increase physiological arousal and feelings of alertness. The neurotransmitter changes which underlie these alerting, sedative, and other psychopharmacological effects, are outlined in the follow reviews (Green et al, 2003; Cruickshank and Dyer, 2009; Hall, 2015; Panenka et al, 2013). Despite these fundamental differences in arousal between CNS stimulants and cannabis, there are a number of broad similarities in their overall mood effects. In particular the moods they engender comprise a mixture of positive or desired mood states, along with other less desirable mood state changes. For instance, the acute effects of recreational cannabis may include positive feelings of sociability, happiness, and calmness (Green et al, 2003; Titus et al, 2007); yet cannabis can also generate more negative feelings of anxiety, agitation, and suspiciousness (Volkow et al, 2014; Hall, 2015). Furthermore there are individual differences in these mood reactions, and they can influence the decision to continue (or discontinue) further drug usage. Le Strat et al (2009) investigated initial responses to cannabis, and found that those experimenters who reported 5 or more positive mood reactions were 28 times more likely to become regular cannabis users, than those who reported no positive mood reactions. One interesting research question is which factors cause this variability. Adverse reactions typically occur more often after higher doses, although they also occur after low doses in some individuals. So do they reflect 'hard-wired' differences in personality and/or neurochemistry, or are they more related to psychological factors such as expectancy?

CNS stimulant drugs such as amphetamine and cocaine can also intensify a wide range of mood states, including some which are positive and desirable, and others which are more negative and undesirable. The positive effects of CNS activation can include feelings of sociability and happiness, while the more negative moods may include feelings of anxiety and tension (Cruickshank and Dyer, 2004; Parrott et al, 2004; Carvalho et al, 2013; Panenka et al, 2013). When higher doses are taken, the stimulatory effects can be far stronger, with recreational users reporting a physical rush or hit, along with feelings of elation or euphoria. Yet these higher doses can also lead to intensely negative moods, with pronounced feelings of tension, suspiciousness, or clinical paranoia (Carvalho et al, 2013; Panenka et al, 2013). These positive and negative mood effects can occur together, leading to changeable and unpredictable patterns of behaviour. Even the methamphetamine derivative MDMA, or 'ecstasy', traditionally seen as the most euphoriant of all the recreational stimulants, can paradoxically lead to feelings of anger and aggression (Reid et al, 2007). Indeed the mixture of positive and negative moods with MDMA, has been empirically shown to be similar to the mixed mood profiles generated by recreational cocaine (Parrott et al, 2011a), methamphetamine (Parrott et al, 2011b; Kirkpatrick et al, 2012), and mephedrone (Jones et al, 2016).

- Table 1 near here -

Drug withdrawal and repetitive mood vacillation

One of the core problems found with every psychoactive drug, is that the on-drug period is followed by a period of neurochemical rebound, when the opposite moods develop. All psychoactive drugs can cause these repetitive mood vacillations (Parrott, 2008). They may be illustrated by the legal stimulant nicotine, or by the illegal stimulant cocaine, since both drugs display rapid profiles of action. For a

detailed review of the many pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic similarities of nicotine and cocaine, in pre-clinical animal research, see Mello (2010). Physiologically nicotine is a powerful CNS stimulant, with the first cigarette of the day increasing resting heart rate by around 16 bpm, while 4mg nicotine gum can increase it by around 6 bpm (Parrott and Winder, 1989). Cigarette smokers report feeling more alert after their first cigarette of the day, but this activation is rapidly lost, with smokers soon *needing* another cigarette to maintain alertness. This craving for nicotine commences around 20-60 minutes after the last cigarette in regular smokers, illustrating how the essence of nicotine dependency is this repetitive vacillation in psychobiological states (see Figure 1 in Parrott, 1994). Similar patterns of mood fluctuation are also found with cocaine users. Nasal insufflation leads to a rapid hit, but this is soon followed by low moods, and the desire for another 'rush' or 'hit'. Hence cocaine, just like nicotine, displays a very high addiction potential (Cadet et al, 2007; Parrott, 2008, 2015; Mello, 2010; Carvalho et al, 2013).

Similar patterns of mood fluctuations are found with every other CNS stimulant. Cathinone has slightly weaker CNS stimulant properties than cocaine or amphetamine, and is self-administered by chewing Khat leaves. The drug-habit is common in countries around the Horn of Africa, and associated immigrant communities in Western cities (Parrott, 2007). The mood effects of cathinone have been summarised by Aden et al (2006). Khat chewers report mood gains when chewing, but these are soon followed by negative moods when not-chewing. The same pattern of positive moods on drug, followed by negative moods post-drug, is also evident with recreational MDMA or Ecstasy. This methamphetamine derivative displays a far longer time profile, so that the acute mood gains take 1 to 4 hours to develop and peak, and the post-MDMA recovery period may last for several days. Hence recreational Ecstasy/MDMA users report moods such as happiness or euphoria for a few hours (Parrott and Lasky, 1998), but they are followed by feelings of sadness and unsociability two days later. Curran et al (2004) also found very positive moods on-MDMA, but again they were followed by significant levels of aggression and depression in the days afterwards. This long pharmacodynamic profile helps to explain why Ecstasy/MDMA is typically used intermittently (Parrott, 2005).

A similar pattern of repetitive mood vacillation also occurs with cannabis. Vandrey et al (2005) compared the profiles of cannabis and tobacco withdrawal symptoms, and concluded that the 'magnitude and time course of withdrawal effects are similar across the two syndromes'. The unpleasant mood effects of cannabis withdrawal included irritability, anxiety, anger and depression; these negative feelings were commonly reported, although to a different extent across individuals (Budney et al, 2001; Vandrey et al, 2005; Allsop et al, 2014). These adverse feelings are commonly reported, with Vandrey et al (2005) finding that 2/3rds of their sample experienced 4 or more cannabis withdrawal symptoms. Other psychophysiolgial and behavioural effects of cannabis withdrawal can include psychmotor agitation, reduced appetite, and impaired sleep architecture. The breadth of these psychobiological symptoms can make cessation very difficult (Allsop et al, 2014). The key problem is that mood states on drug are followed by negative moods off-drug, so causing repetitive mood vacillations, and heightening the propensity for drug dependency. The above studies have typically employed standardised questionnaires, such as the Marijuana Craving Questionnaire (Heishman et al., 2001), and the Cannabis Withdrawal Discomfort Scale (Budney et al, 1999), to measure the severity of withdrawal symptoms.

Dependency and addiction potential.

It is widely recognised that all the recreational stimulants are addictive, and for an overview of the addictive properties of amphetamine, methamphetamine and cocaine, the following reviews are recommended (Cruickshank and Dyer, 2004; Carvalho et al, 2013; Panenka et al, 2013; Glasner-Edwards and Mooney, 2014). These reviews note that two of the most addictive stimulant drugs are 'ice' methamphetamine, and 'crack' cocaine, due to their strength and rapidity of action. Cannabis also shows strong addiction potential, with higher strength products such as 'skunk' being more addictive that normal herbal supplies (Copeland et al, 2014). The more recent artificial 'spice' cannabinoids, which an be *total* rather than *partial* agonists for the cannabinoid receptor, are even stronger in their addiction potential (Schifano et al, 2011, 2015; Steeley et al, 2012; Papanti et al, 2013; Downey et al, 2014). Indeed it

has been suggested that they can be just as addictive as the strongest CNS stimulants (Zimmermann et al, 2009).

In the USA, it has been estimated that around 300,000 individuals seek professional help for cannabis dependency each year (Herrmann et al, 2015). The proportion of cannabis users with clinical dependency has been estimated to be around 10% of those who have ever tried the drug (Wagner and Anthony, 2002). More recent reports suggest even higher rates of clinical problems, probably due to the more potent strains of modern cannabis (Copeland et al, 2014). Furthermore, a far higher proportion of cannabis users display sub-clinical levels of dependency. In one large survey of British users, 65% of recreational users reported some degree of cannabis dependence, although only 3% of this sample had sought clinical treatment (Terry et al, 2007). Regular cannabis users experience adverse moods during withdrawal, and the extent of these negative feelings predicts their 'difficulty in quitting' (Budney et al, 2004). Dependency is greater in frequent users, with around 50% of daily cannabis users showing clinical levels of dependency (Coffey et al., 2002). Young initiates are also more vulnerable, with commencement before age 17 years, demonstrating an eighteen fold increase in subsequent cannabis dependence (Silins et al, 2014). Dependent cannabis users suffer more from memory impairments, mental health problems, respiratory diseases, financial problems, conflicts with family/friends, and occupational or employment problems (Coffey et al, 2003).

The Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis and homeostasis.

In physiological terms, good health and psychological stability are dependent on homeostasis. When homeostasis is disrupted, the organism displays psychological imbalance and increased levels of stress (Seyle, 1955). The Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) axis underlies the maintenance of psychophysiological stability, with cortisol being the key neurohormone (Lovallo, 1997). Hence normal healthy individuals show a regular circadian rhythm of cortisol secretion, and when the HPA axis is disrupted, the organism typically shows signs of stress (Selye, 1955; Parrott, 2009). CNS stimulant drugs such as cocaine which activate the HPA axis, cause an increase in cortisol release, which leads to acute and/or chronic stress (Mello, 2010). Cortisol release is similarly heightened by MDMA. In the laboratory, Harris et al

(2002) found an acute cortisol increase of 150% after a moderate dose of MDMA. While in recreational Ecstasy/MDMA users, Parrott et al (2008) found an acute cortisol increase of 800%. Wetherell and Montgomery (2013) found that the Cortisol Awakening Response was altered in recreational Ecstasy/MDMA users. Cortisol can also be measured in 3-month hair samples, with regular Ecstasy/MDMA users displaying a 400% increase in this stress hormone (Parrott et al, 2014). Cannabis can also adversely affect the HPA axis. Raganathan et al (2009) showed that acute THC administration led to a significant increase in cortisol secretion. In large prospective study of Dutch adolescents, Van Leeuwen et al (2011) found that regular users of cannabis demonstrated lower hormonal reactivity to a standard laboratory test of social stress. King et al (2011) found that chronic cannabis users had significantly higher salivary cortisol levels than controls, and noted the implications for changes in psychomotor performance and brain activity.

Psychiatric aspects.

The world's oldest pharmacopeia, attributed to Emperor Shen Nung in China, noted that although cannabis had some useful medicinal properties: 'If taken in excess it will produce visions of devils' (Nung, 1998). Modern research has confirmed that cannabis can generate cognitive distortions and a range of psychiatric problems (Volkow et al., 2014). Acute cannabis can adversely affect cognitive integrity, by inducing bizarre thoughts and feelings of depersonalisation (Ashton, 2001). In a placebo-controlled laboratory study, D'Souza and colleagues (2004) administered THC to recreational cannabis users without any prior psychiatric history. Acute THC led to significant increases in schizophrenia-like symptoms, as assessed using the Positive and Negative Symptom Scale (PANSS). The emergent thoughts and bizarre cognitions included the following subjective experiences following acute cannabis: 'I thought I could see into the future ... I thought I was god', another volunteer stated: 'I could hear someone typing on the computer...and I thought you were trying to program me'; while a third person noted: 'I thought you could read my mind, that is why I did not answer'; many other examples were also given (D'Souza et al, 2004). The extent of PANSS positive symptoms induced by tetra-hydrocannabinol has been shown to correlate with specific changes in brain activity (Nottage et al, 2015).

The psychotic-like effects of acute cannabis wear off as the drug is metabolised and excreted, but its regular use can lead to various forms of drug-induced psychosis, and other psychiatric problems (Paparelli et al, 2011). The Swedish Conscript study was the first prospective investigation to demonstrate an association between cannabis and schizophrenia (Andréasson et al, 1987). It has been followed by several further prospective studies, and they have also found that recreational cannabis leads to an increased risk of psychotic breakdown in later years. In a comprehensive review, Le Bec et al (2009) concluded that every prospective study showed a link between cannabis use, and the later emergence of psychosis or psychotic symptoms. One important modulating factor is the premorbid personality, since some individuals are more susceptible to psychiatric breakdown. Henquet et al (2005) prospectively followed 2437 young cannabis users with or without a predisposition for psychosis, and found an increased risk in both groups, although the effect was more pronounced in the predisposed group (Henquet et al, 2005). As with many studies, a highly significant dosage effect was present. Cannabis users who used the substance 'less than monthly' showed no increase in psychotic symptoms (OR= 0.99), those who took it '1-2 times/week' showed a significantly increased risk (OR=1.95), and this was further increased in those who used cannabis 'almost daily' (OR=2.23). Cannabis use was also associated with other chronic mental health problems, including depression, anxiety, and mania (Richardson, 2010; Bovasso, 2014; Patton et al., 2002; Van Laar et al, 2007). Again dosage effects are typically noted, with heavier users showing the greater risk. Lubman et al (2015) noted that the 'endocannabinoid system plays an important part in brain development', and suggested that this may explain why heavy cannabis use during adolescence was associated with 'more severe and persistent negative outcomes', including cognitive impairment and mental illness. Levine et al (2017) similarly noted the strong association between heavy cannabis use during adolescence, and adverse psychiatric/cognitive outcomes, but noted that it was still unclear whether 'cannabis alone' was the causal factor. They further noted that the animal literature showed that 'adolescent-onset exposure to cannabinoids can catalyze molecular processes that lead to persistent functional deficits in adulthood', and recommended future longitudinal studies with carefully integrated batteries of assessment measures.

The recreational use of CNS stimulants is also associated with greater psychiatric distress. Even comparatively weak CNS stimulants such as cathinone, can lead to psychiatric problems. Feyissa and Kelly (2008) undertook a functional review of Khat chewing, and concluded that cathinone could induce a range of 'mood disturbances, particularly depression' in otherwise normal subjects, while some regular users developed a form of hypomania. The authors further noted that many of the problems of cathinone users were similar to those occurring in regular amphetamine users. Indeed the chronic use of recreational amphetamine, cocaine, and methamphetamine, can lead to a wide range of adverse psychobiological and/or psychiatric consequences (Cadet et al, 2007; Cruickshank and Dyer, 2009; Panenka et al, 2013). The adverse psychophysiolgical effects may include tremors, dyskinesias, repetitive stereotypical movements, while the adverse psychiatric effects can include anxious irritability, anger or physical aggression, feelings of paranoia, and full psychosis (Williamson et al, 1997; Fasano et al, 2008; Cruickshank and Dyer, 2009; Panenka et al, 2013; Vearrier et al, 2012; Glaser-Edwards and Mooney, 2014). MDMA is a methamphetamine derivative, and despite being called 'Ecstasy', is also associated with a range of adverse psychiatric consequences (Schifano et al, 1998; MacInnes et al, 2001; Parrott et al, 2001, 2014a,b; Scholey et al, 2011). Brière et al (2012) undertook a prospective study of disadvantaged Canadian schoolchildren, and found that youngsters who commenced taking recreational MDMA reported significantly higher depression one year later [Note: a similar pattern of increasing depression was also found with novice methamphetamine users]. While in another prospective study, Turner et al (2014) found that females who quit taking Ecstasy/MDMA, reported significantly lower levels of depression over a year later. In contrast, in a large crosssectional study, Taurah et al (2013) found that former users continued to display high levels of depression, along with other psychobiological deficits such as impulsiveness, poor memory, and disturbed sleep.

Neurocognitive effects.

Many cognitive skills are impaired by acute cannabis, including memory, learning new information, sustained attention, higher cognitive abilities such as decision making, and more basic abilities such as psychomotor integrity. The regular use of cannabis can also lead to a range of cognitive deficits in abstinent users, with the

extent of these deficits related to factors, such as frequency and duration of recreational usage (Pope et al, 2001; Bolla et al, 2002; Grant et al, 2003; Yücel et al, 2008). The adolescent brain may also be more susceptible to the adverse effects of cannabis (Jager et al, 2010). In long term users who ocmm durin adolescence, there may even be a slow decline in intelligence test scores over time (Meier et al, 2012). Neuroimaging studies show that two brain regions particularly affected by cannabis are the hippocampus and amygdala, since although cannabinoid receptors are found across the whole brain, these regions display high levels of cannabinoid receptor density. Dose-related reductions in hippocampal and amygdala volumes have also been reported (Yucel et al, 2008). In a review of the adverse effects of cannabis on brain structure and activity, Mandelbaum and de la Monte (2016) noted that: 'Neuroimaging studies demonstrated that the major targets of cannabis-mediated neurodegeneration include white matter in the frontal lobes, fornix, fimbria of the hippocampus, frontal-limbic connections, corpus callosum, and commissural fibers. In addition, cannabis targets the cerebellar structure and function such that cerebellar white matter atrophy can be significant and associated with neurobehavioral deficits and psychotic symptoms'.

The recreational use of cocaine, methamphetamine and MDMA, are also associated with neurocognitive impairments. Cruickshank and Dyer (2009) noted that methamphetamine use was associated with impairments in executive functioning, learning of new information, various aspects of memory, and impairments in motor skills. The similarity of this list to that described for cannabis users in the previous paragraph, may be noted. Many other reviews have generated similar lists of neurocognitive impairments, following the use of other CNS stimulant drugs. Cocaine users display a wide range of neuropsychological and neurocognitive deficits (Soar et al, 2012), with deficits in attention, memory, and executive functioning (Vonmoos et al, 2013). Drug-free Ecstasy/MDMA users demonstrate deficits in retrospective memory with a meta-analysis showing moderate-to-large effect sizes (Laws and Kokkalis, 2007). Other neurocognitive deficits are found with prospective memory, executive planning, and problem solving, while complex visual processing can also be affected (Fisk et al, 2005; Fox et al, 2002; Mejias et al, 2005; Montgomery et al, 2010).

Summary and overview.

There ways for CNS stimulant drugs to damage neuropsychobiological integrity of the organism. In overall terms, they disrupt psychological equilibrium, by acutely stimulating multiple mood states, then impairing them during the post-drug recovery period. This vacillation in mood states may be seen as an index for more profound psychological changes. So that feelings of alertness, confidence, motivation, and sociability, can all show similar patterns of repetitive vacillation. Psychobiological vacillation also raises questions over their efficacy and safety, when stimulant drugs such as MDMA are being used for medicinal or therapeutic purposes (Parrott, 2014). It also explains why every CNS stimulant displays a strong addiction potential. The regular user suffers from many negative states when off-drug, and feels correspondingly better when ondrug; this underlies their desire to take the drug repeatedly (Parrott, 1994, 2008). All stimulant drugs adversely affect the HPA axis, causing hormonal dysregulation, and increasing the susceptibility for psychiatric distress (Table 1). In an earlier review (Parrott, 2015) it was noted that the healthy human organism displays a natural balance between sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous system activity. When humans used recreational stimulant drugs, they disturbed this natural balance, and this led to numerous adverse consequences (Parrott, 2015).

Cannabis induces a similar pattern of disrupted homeostasis, despite being primarily a sedative. It causes moods to fluctuate, and as with the recreational stimulants, this provides the core rationale for its addiction potential (Table 1). The regular user may feel 'better' on-drug, but afterwards they develop feelings of anxiety, anger, or other negative mood states when off-drug. Again this repetitive mood fluctuation helps to explain cannabis's strong addiction potential. Cannabis also affects the HPA axis, and by impairing homeostasis, it can disrupt psychological integrity and impair sleep (Table 1). The cognitive skills which are impaired by cannabis, are also broadly similar to those damaged by recreational stimulants such as cocaine or amphetamine. Cannabis can also leads to a wide range of psychiatric problems, with spice cannabinoids showing an even greater propensity for psychobiological/psychiatric abreactions (Fergusson et al, 2003; Zimmermann et al, 2009; Nottage et al, 2015). In summary, despite their very

different effects on arousal and feelings of alertness, cannabis and the recreational stimulants display a surprisingly similar profile of acute and chronic psychobiological effects.

Finally, it is important to educate society about the adverse effects of all these psychoactive drugs. Proponents for drug use typically focus on acute drug effects, and with this narrow focus, any psychoactive drug could be misperceived as beneficial (Parrott, 2008). It is only by covering all aspects of their acute and chronic usage that a total picture of their damaging effects emerges. Governments need to fund basic education campaigns, describing their adverse health and psychobiological consequences. Public health campaigns have been effective at educating the public about the adverse effects of tobacco smoking, and have led to massive reductions in tobacco usage, while similar or are needed for excessive drinking (Parrott et al, 2016). Similar education campaigns are urgently needed for both herbal cannabis, and the artificial spice cannabinoids.

References

Aden A, Dimba EA, Neola UM, Chindia ML (2006). Socio-economic effects of khat chewing in north eastern Kenya. East Africa Med Jour 83: 69-73.

Andréasson, S., Engström, A., Allebeck, P., Rydberg, U. (1987). Cannabis and schizophrenia: a longitudinal study of Swedish conscripts. Lancet, 330(8574): 1483-1486.

Ashton, C. H. (2001). Pharmacology and effects of cannabis: a brief review. Brit Jour Psychiat 178: 101-106.

Bolla KI, Brown K, Eldreth D, Tate K, Cadet JL (2002). Dose-related neurocognitive effects of marijuana use. Neurology 59: 1337-1343.

Bovasso GB (2014). Cannabis abuse as a risk factor for depressive symptoms. American Journal of Psychiatry.

Brière FN, Fallu JS, Janosz M, Pagani LS (2012). Prospective associations between meth/amphetamine (speed) and MDMA (ecstasy) use and depressive symptoms in secondary school students. Jour Epidemiol Comm Health 66: 990-994.

Budney AJ, Hughes JR, Moore BA, Novy PL (2001). Marijuana abstinence effects in marijuana smokers maintained in their home environment. Arch Gen Psychiat 58: 917-924.

Budney AJ, Hughes JR, Moore BA, Vandrey R (2004). Review of the validity and significance of cannabis withdrawal syndrome. Amer Jour Psychiat 161: 1967-1977.

Budney AJ, Novy PL, Hughes JR (1999). Marijuana withdrawal among adults seeking treatment for marijuana dependence. Addiction 94: 1311-1322.

Cadet JL, Krasnova I, Jayanthi S, Lyles J (2007). Neurotoxicity of substituted amphetamines: Molecular and cellular mechanisms. Neurotox Res 11: 183-202.

Carvalho M, Carmo H, Costa VM, Capela JP, Pontes H, Remiao F (2013). Toxicology of amphetamines: an update. Arch Toxicol 86: 1167-1231.

Coffey C, Carlin JB, Degenhardt L, Lynskey M, Sanci L, Patton GC (2002). Cannabis dependence in young adults: an Australian population study. Addiction 97; 187-194.

Copeland J, Clement N, Swift W (2014). Cannabis use, harms and the management of cannabis use disorder. Neuropsychiatry 4: 55-63.

Cruickshank CC, Dyer KR (2009). A review of the clinical pharmacology of methamphetamine. Addiction 104: 1085-1099.

Curran HV, Rees H, Hoare T, Hoshi R, Bond A (2004). Empathy and aggression: two faces of ecstasy? A study of interpretive cognitive bias and mood change in ecstasy users. Psychopharmacology 173: 425-433.

D'Souza DC, Perry E, MacDougall L, Ammerman Y, Cooper T, Yu-te W, Krystal JH (2004). The psychotomimetic effects of intravenous delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy individuals: implications for psychosis. Neuropsychopharmacology 29: 1558-1572.

Downey LA, Verster JC. (2014). Cannabis Concerns: increased potency, availability and synthetic analogues. Curr Drug Abuse Revs 7: 67-68.

Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Swain-Campbell LNR (2003). Cannabis dependence and psychotic symptoms in young people. Psychol Med 33: 15-21.

Feyissa AM, Kelly JP (2008). A review of the neuropharmacological properties of khat. Prog Neuro Psychopharmacol Biol Psychiat 32: 1147-1166.

Fisk JE, Montgomery C, Wareing M, Murphy PN (2005). Reasoning deficits in ecstasy (MDMA) polydrug users. Psychopharmacology 181: 550-559.

Fox HC, McLean A, Turner JJD, Parrott AC, Rogers R, Sahakian BJ (2002) Neuropsychological evidence of a relatively selective profile of temporal dysfunction in drug-free MDMA ("ecstasy") polydrug users. Psychopharmacology 162: 203-214

Freeman TP, Morgan CJA, Vaughn-Jones J, Hussain N, Karimi K, Curran VH (2011). Cognitive and subjective effects of mephedrone and factors influencing use of a new 'legal high'. Addiction 107: 792-800.

Glasner-Edwards S, Mooney LJ (2014). Methamphetamine psychosis: epidemiology and management. CNS Drugs 28: 1115-1126.

Grant I, Gonzalez R, Carey CL, Natarajan L, Wolfson T (2003). Non-acute (residual) neurocognitive effects of cannabis use: A meta-analytic study. Jour Internat Neuropsychol Soc 9: 679-689.

Green BOB, Kavanagh D, Young R (2003). Being stoned: a review of self-reported cannabis effects. Drug Alc Rev 22: 453-460.

Gurney SM, Scott KS, Kacinko SL, Presley BC, Logan BK (2014). Pharmacology, Toxicology, and Adverse Effects of Synthetic Cannabinoid Drugs. Forensic Sci Rev 26: 53-78.

Hall W (2015). What has research over the past two decades revealed about the adverse health effects of recreational cannabis use? Addiction 110: 19-35.

Harris DS, Baggott M, Mendelson JH, Mendelson JE, Jones RT (2002). Subjective and hormonal effects of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) in humans. Psychopharmacology 162: 396-405.

Heishman SJ, Singleton EG, Liguori A (2001). Marijuana Craving Questionnaire: development and initial validation of a self-report instrument. Addiction 96: 1023-1034.

Henquet C, Krabbendam L, Spauwen J, Kaplan C, Lieb R, Wittchen H-U, van Os J (2005). Prospective cohort study of cannabis use, predisposition for psychosis, and psychotic symptoms in young people. Brit Med Jour 330: (7481) 11.

Herrmann ES, Weerts EM, Vandrey R (2015). Sex differences in cannabis withdrawal symptoms among treatment-seeking cannabis users. Experiment Clin Psychopharmacol 23: 415-421.

Jager G, Block RI, Luijten M, Ramsey NF (2010). Cannabis use and memory brain function in adolescent boys: a cross-sectional multicenter fMRI study. Jour Amer Acad Child Adoles Psychiat 49: 561-572.

Jones L, Reed P, Parrott AC (2016). Mephedrone and MDMA: a comparison of their acute and chronic effects, as described by young recreational polydrug users. Jour Psychopharmacol (in press).

King GR, Ernst T, Deng W, Stenger A, Gonzales RMK, Nakama H, Chang L (2011). Effects of chronic active cannabis use on visuomotor integration, in relation to brain activation and cortisol levels. Jour Neurosci 31: 17923-17931.

Kirkpatrick MG, Gunderson EW, Perez AY, Haney M, Foltin RW, Hart CL (2012). A direct comparison of the behavioral and physiological effects of methamphetamine and 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) in humans. Psychopharmacology 219: 109-22.

Laws KR, Kokkalis J (2007). Ecstasy (MDMA) and memory function: a meta-analytic update. Hum Psychopharmacol. 22: 381-388.

Le Bec PY, Fatséas M, Denis C, Lavie E, Auriacombe M (2009). [Cannabis and psychosis: search of a causal link through a critical and systematic review: in French]. L'Encephale 35; 377-385.

Le Strat Y, Ramoz N, Horwood J, Falissard B, Hassler C, Romo L, Gorwood P (2009). First positive reactions to cannabis constitute a priority risk factor for cannabis dependence. Addiction 104: 1710-1717.

Levine et al 2017

Lovallo WR (1997). Stress and health: biological and psychological interactions. Sage, California.

Lubman et al 2015.

MacInnes N, Handley SL, Harding GF (2001). Former chronic methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA or ecstasy) users report mild depressive symptoms. Jour Psychopharmacol 15: 181-186.

Mandelbaum DE, de la Monte SM (2016). Adverse structural and functional effects of marijuana on the brain: evidence reviewed. Pediatric Neurol (in press).

Martin WR, Sloan JW, Sapira JD, Jasinski DR (1971). Physiologic, subjective and behavioral effects of amphetamine, methamphetamine, ephedrine, phenmetrazine, and methylphenidate in man. Clin Pharmacol Therap 12: 245-58.

Meier MH, Caspi A, Ambler A, Harrington H, Houts R, Keefe RSE, Moffitt TE (2012). Persistent cannabis users show neuropsychological decline from childhood to midlife. Proc Nat Acad Sci 109: E2657–E2664.

Mejias S, Rossignol M, Debatisse D, Streel E, Servais L, Guerit JM, Philippot P, Campanella S (2005). Event-related potentials in ecstasy (MDMA) users during a visual oddball task. Biol Psychol 69: 333-352.

Mello NK (2010). Hormones, nicotine, and cocaine: clinical studies. Hormones and Behav 58: 57-71.

Montgomery C, Hatton NP, Fisk JE, Ogden RS, Jansari A (2010), Assessing the functional significance of ecstasy-related memory deficits using a virtual reality paradigm, Hum Psychopharmacol 25: 318-325.

Nottage J, Stone J, Murray R, Sumich A, Bramon-Bosch E, ffytche D, Morrison P (2015). Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol, neural oscillations above 20 Hz and induced acute psychosis. Psychopharmacology, 232(3), 519-528.

Nung S (1998). The Divine Farmer's Materia Medica Classic: Blue Poppy Press.

Papanti D, Schifano F, Botteon G, Bertossi F, Mannix J, Vidoni D, Bonavigo T (2013). "Spiceophrenia": a systematic overview of "Spice"-related psychopathological issues and a case report. Human Psychopharmacology 28: 379-389.

Paparelli A, Di Forti M, Morrison PD, Murray RM (2011). Drug-induced psychosis: how to avoid star gazing in schizophrenia research by looking at more obvious sources of light. Front Behav Neurosci 5:

Panenka WK, Procyshyn RM, Lecomte T, MacEwan GW, Flynn SW, Honer WG, Barr AM (2013). Methamphetamine use: a comprehensive review of molecular, preclinical and clinical findings. Drug Alc Depend 129: 167-179.

Parrott AC (1994). Individual differences in stress and arousal during cigarette smoking. Psychopharmacol 115: 389-96.

Parrott AC (2005). Chronic tolerance to recreational MDMA (3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine) or Ecstasy. Jour Psychopharmacol 19: 71-83.

Parrott AC (2007). Drug related harm: a complex and difficult concept to scale. Human Psychopharmacology 22: 423-425.

Parrott AC (2008). Drug taking – for better or for worse? Psychologist 21: 924-927.

Parrott AC (2009). Cortisol and MDMA (3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine): neurohormonal aspects of bioenergetic-stress in Ecstasy users. Neuropsychobiology 60: 148-158.

Parrott (2013a). MDMA, serotonergic neurotoxicity, and the diverse functional deficits of recreational 'Ecstasy' users. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews 37: 1466-1484.

Parrott AC (2013b). Human psychobiology of MDMA or 'Ecstasy': an overview of 25 years of empirical research. Human Psychopharmacology 28: 289-307.

Parrott 2014 psychotherapy

Parrott AC, Winder G (1989) Nicotine chewing gum (2mg, 4mg) and cigarette smoking: comparative effects upon vigilance and heart rate. Psychopharmacology 97: 257-261.

Parrott AC, Lasky J (1998). Ecstasy (MDMA) effects upon mood and cognition; before, during, and after a Saturday night dance. Psychopharmacology 139: 261-268.

Parrott AC, Milani RM, Parmar R, Turner JJD (2001). Recreational Ecstasy/MDMA and other drug users form the UK and Italy: psychiatric symptoms and psychobiological problems. Psychopharmacology 159: 77-82.

Parrott A, Morinan A, Moss M, Scholey A (2004). Understanding Drugs and Behaviour. Wiley, Chichester.

Parrott AC, Lock J, Conner AC, Kissling C, Thome J (2008). Dance clubbing on MDMA and during abstinence from Ecstasy/MDMA: prospective neuroendocrine and psychobiological changes. Neuropsychobiology 57: 165-180.

Parrott AC, Evans LJ, Howells J, Robart R. (2011). Cocaine versus Ecstasy/MDMA: comparative effects on mood and cognition in recreational users. Open Addiction Journal 4: 36-37.

Parrott AC, Sands HR, Jones L, Clow A, Evans P, Downey L, Stalder T (2014a). Increased cortisol levels in hair of recent Ecstasy/MDMA users. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 24: 369-374.

Parrott AC, Montgomery CA, Wetherell MA, Downey LA, Stough C, Scholey AB (2014b). MDMA, cortisol, and heightened stress in recreational Ecstasy/MDMA users. Behav Pharmacol 25: 458-472.

Parrott AC, Drayson R, Henry LA (2016). Alcohol: drink less and live more. Jour Alc Drug Depend Subst Abuse 2: 004.

Pope HG, Gruber AJ, Hudson JI, Huestis MA, Yurgelun-Todd D (2001). Neuropsychological performance in long-term cannabis users. Arch Gen Psychiat 58: 909-915.

Ranganathan M, Braley G, Pittman B, Cooper T, Perry E, Krystal J, D'Souza DC (2009). The effects of cannabinoids on serum cortisol and prolactin in humans. Psychopharmacology 203: 737-744.

Richardson T (2010). Cannabis use and mental health: A review of recent epidemiological research. Internat Jour Pharmacol 6: 796-807.

Reid LW, Elifson KW, Sterk CE (2007). Hug drug or thug drug? Ecstasy use and aggressive behavior. Violence Victims 22: 104-119

Schifano F, Di Furia L, Forza G, Minicuci N, Bricolo R (1998). MDMA ('ecstasy') consumption in the context of polydrug abuse: a report on 150 patients. Drug Alcohol Depend 52: 85-90.

Schifano F, Albanese A, Fergus S, Stair JL, Deluca P, Corraza O (2011). Mephedrone (4-methylmethcathinone; 'meow meow'): chemical, pharmacological and clinical issues. Psychopharmacology 214: 593-602.

Schifano F, Orsolini L, Papanti GD, Corkery J (2015). Novel psychoactive substances of interest for psychiatry. World Psychiatry (in press).

Scholey AB, Owen L, Gates J, Rodgers J, Buchanan T, Ling J, Heffernan T, Swan P, Stough C, Parrott AC (2011). Hair MDMA samples are consistent with reported Ecstasy use: findings from an internet study investigating effects of Ecstasy on mood and memory. Neuropsychobiology 63: 15-21.

Seely KA, Lapoint J, Moran JH, Fattore L (2012). Spice drugs are more than harmless herbal blends: a review of the pharmacology and toxicology of synthetic cannabinoids. Prog Neuro-Psychopharmacol & Biol Psychiat 39: 234-243.

Selye H (1956). The Stress of Life. McGraw Hill, New York.

Silins E, Horwood LJ, Patton GC, Fergusson DM, Olsson CA, Hutchinson DM, Mattick RP (2014). Young adult sequelae of adolescent cannabis use: an integrative analysis. Lancet Psychiatry 1: 286-293.

Soar K, Turner JJD, Parrott AC (2001). Psychiatric disorders in Ecstasy (MDMA) users: a literature review focusing upon personal predispositions and drug histories. Hum Psychopharmacol 16: 641-645.

Soar K, Mason C, Potton A, Dawkins L (2012). Neuropsychological effects associated with recreational cocaine use. Psychopharmacology 222: 633-43.

Taurah L, Chandler C, Sanders G (2013). Depression, impulsiveness, sleep and memory in past and present polydrug users of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, ecstasy). Psychopharmacology

Terry P, Wright KA, Cochrane R (2007). Factors contributing to changes in frequency of cannabis consumption by cannabis users in England: A structured interview study. Addiction Res & Theory 15: 113-119.

Titus JC, Godley SH, White MK (2007). A Post-Treatment Examination of Adolescents' Reasons for Starting, Quitting, and Continuing the Use of Drugs and Alcohol. Jour Child Adolesc Subst Abuse 16: 31-49.

Turner JJD, Singer LT, Moore DG, Min MO, Goodwin J, Fulton S, Parrott AC (2014). Psychiatric profiles of mothers who take Ecstasy/MDMA during pregnancy: reduced depression one year after giving birth and quitting Ecstasy. Jour Psychopharmacol 28: 55-66.

UNODC, (2011). The world drug report. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. Vienna, Austria:

UNODC, (2016). The world drug report. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. Vienna, Austria.

Vandrey RG, Budney AJ, Moore BA, Hughes JR (2005). A cross-study comparison of cannabis and tobacco withdrawal. Amer Jour Addictions 14: 54-63.

Van Leeuwen AP, Creemers HE, Greaves-Lord K, Verhulst FC, Ormel J, Huizink AC (2011). Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis reactivity to social stress and adolescent cannabis use: the TRIALS study. Addiction 106: 1484-1492.

Vearrier D, Greenberg MI, Miller SN, Okaneku JT, Haggerty DA (2012). Methamphetamine: history, pathophysiology, adverse mental health effects, current trends, and hazards associated with the clandestine manufacture of methamphetamine. Disease a Month 58: 38-89.

Volkow ND, Baler RD, Compton WM, Weiss SRB (2014). Adverse Health Effects of Marijuana Use. New Eng Jour Med 370: 2219-2227.

Vonmoos M, Hulka LM, Preller KH, Jenni D, Baumgartner MR, Stohler R, Bolla KI, Quednow BB (2014). Cognitive dysfunction in recreational and dependent cocaine users: role of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, craving and early age at onset. Brit Jour Psychiat 203: 35-43.

Wagner FA, Anthony JC. (2002). From First Drug Use to Drug Dependence - Developmental Periods of Risk for Dependence upon Marijuana, Cocaine, and Alcohol. Neuropsychopharmacology 26: 479-488.

Wetherell MA, Montgomery C (2013). Basal functioning of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and psychological distress in recreational ecstasy polydrug users. Psychopharmacology 231: 1365-1375.

Wise, R. A., & Bozarth, M. A. (1987). A psychomotor stimulant theory of addiction. Psychological review, 94(4), 469-492.

Yücel M, Solowij N, Respondek C, Whittle S, Fornito A, Pantelis C, Lubman DI (2008). Regional brain abnormalities associated with long-term heavy cannabis use. Arch Gen Psychiat 65: 694-701.

Zimmermann US, Winklemann PR, Pilhatsch M, Nees JA, Spanagel R, Schulz K (2009). Withdrawal phenomena and dependence syndrome after the cousumption of "spice gold". Dtsch Arztebl Int 106: 464-467.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Table 1. Core psychobiological processes underlying the effects of recreational cannabis and CNS stimulant drugs. \end{tabular}$

	Summary of main effects	
Positive/desired	Range of positive mood changes found	Cruickshank and Dyer, 200?
acute mood effects	with both classes of drug. Positive moods	Le Strat et al, 2009
	tend to be activating/alerting with CNS	Hall, 2015
	stimulants, and sedative/relaxant with	
	cannabis (.	
Negative/unwanted	Range of negative mood changes reported	Carvalho et al, 2013
acute mood effects	with both types of drug. Feeling of stress,	Volkov et al, 2014
Dogt dwg	tension, and loss of control.	Domest and Lasley 1009
Post drug withdrawal	Negative moods such as irritability and depression tend to predominate, with	Parrott and Lasky, 1998 Parrott 1999
williurawai	similar patterns of drug withdrawal	Vandree et al, 2005
	following CNS stimulants such as nicotine,	vandree et al, 2003
	and sedatives such as cannabis.	
Repetitive mood	All psychoactive drugs by definition cause	Aden et al, 2006
fluctuations, as	moods to fluctuate. These mood state	
indices of broader	changes provide a surface index for wider	
changes	and more fundamental fluctuations in	
psychological state	psychological status. They also provide the	
	psychobiological basis for drug addiction.	
Addiction potential	This reflects two core factors: strength, and	Budney et al, 1999
	rapidity of action. Addiction potential is	Copeland et al, 2014
	greater in stronger drugs. Hence spice	Herrmann et al, 2014
	cannabinoids are more addictive than plant- derived street cannabis. Addictiveness	
	greater in drugs with a rapid onset and	
	withdrawal, such as 'crack' cocaine.	
Impaired	Changes to the Hypothalamic Pituitary	Van Leeuwen et al, 2011
homeostasis	Adrenal (HPA) axis, with altered patterns	Parrott et al, 2014a,b
	of cortisol release, and many other	
	neurohormonal Homeostasis adversely	
	affected, with altered patterns of sleep and	
	waking, often accompanied by increased	
	stress.	
Psychiatric deficits	Recreational stimulants associated with	Volkov et al, 2014
	many forms of psychiatric distress. Acute	Downey et al. 2014
	cannabis can elicit strange thoughts and	Schifano et al, 2011
	cognitions. Chronic cannabis use may lead to psychosis and other psychiatric	Brier et al, 2013
	to psychosis and other psychiatric disorders.	
Neurocognitive	Neuroimaging and neurocognitive studies	Yucel et al, 2008
deficits and	reveal a range of deficits. Deficits in	Kish et al, 2010
neuroimaging	working memory, attention, declarative	Taurah et al, 2013
measures brain	memory, and higher cognitive skills, found	Mandelbarum et al, 2016
activity	in regular users of cannabis and CNS	
	stimulants. Neuroimaging studies reveal	
	chronic changes in brain activity.	