### **Human Brain Mapping**

The default mode network in affective learning

# Adaptive contextualization: A new role for the default mode network in affective learning

Lars Marstaller<sup>1,2</sup>, Hana Burianová<sup>1,3</sup>, and David C. Reutens<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Advanced Imaging, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
 <sup>2</sup>School of Psychology, Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychology, Swansea University, Swansea, United Kingdom

# Correspondence should be addressed to:

Lars Marstaller, PhD

Centre for Advance Imaging

University of Queensland

QLD 4072. AUSTRALIA

E-mail: l.marstaller@uq.edu.au

Telephone: (+61) 7 334 69963

Pages: 29

Figures: 4

# Acknowledgements:

This study was funded by the Australian Research Council Special Research Initiative: Science of Learning Research Centre (project number SR120300015). The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

John Wiley<sup>1</sup> & Sons, Inc.

### Abstract

Safety learning describes the ability to learn that certain cues predict the absence of a dangerous or threatening event. Although incidental observations of activity within the default mode network (DMN) during the processing of safety cues have been reported previously, there is as yet no evidence demonstrating that the DMN plays a functional rather than a corollary role in safety learning. Using functional magnetic resonance imaging and a Pavlovian fear conditioning and extinction paradigm, we investigate the neural correlates of danger and safety learning. Our results provide evidence for a functional role of the DMN by showing that (i) the DMN is activated by safety but not danger cues, (ii) the DMN is anti-correlated with a fear-processing network, and (iii) DMN activation increases with safety learning. Based on our results, we formulate a novel proposal, arguing that activity within the DMN supports the contextualization of safety memories, constrains the generalization of fear, and supports adaptive fear learning. Our findings have important implications for our understanding of affective and stress disorders, which are characterized by aberrant DMN activity, as they suggest that therapies targeting the DMN through mindfulness practice or brain stimulation might help prevent pathological over-generalization of fear associations.

Keywords: safety learning; generalization; context; fear; fMRI

### Introduction

Learning that a particular sensory cue signals danger is fundamental to successful behavioural adaptation in humans and other animals and is governed by similar neural networks across species (Bechara et al., 1995; Büchel et al., 1998; Fanselow & LeDoux, 1999; Freese & Amaral, 2009; McHugh et al., 2014; Sah et al., 2003). However, learning that a cue that was previously associated with danger is now signalling safety is equally important because it reduces the physiological consequences of stress and enables the adaptation of behaviour to changing circumstances (Lupien et al., 2009). Safety learning can be assessed through Pavlovian fear conditioning and extinction experiments, in which participants are first trained to predict an electric shock that follows an otherwise neutral tone (conditioning) and then learn not to respond to the tone with fear when it is no longer followed by the electric shock (extinction; Greco & Liberzon, 2016; Phelps et al., 2004; Schiller et al., 2008). Animal studies have shown that extinction and hence safety learning are facilitated by activity in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), which inhibits the fear response previously associated with a dangersignalling sensory cue in the basolateral amygdala (Greco & Liberzon, 2016; Do-Monte et al., 2015; Quirk et al., 2003; for a review, see Tovote et al., 2015).

In humans, neuroimaging studies have provided evidence for a similar neural mechanism of safety learning by demonstrating increased vmPFC activity during extinction (Kalisch et al., 2006; Milad & Quirk, 2010). However, a recent meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies showed that regions overlapping with the default mode network (DMN) – including the vmPFC – are de-activated during the processing of danger cues and activated during the processing of safety cues (Fullana et al., 2015). This finding suggests that in addition to the vmPFC, a large-scale

The default mode network in affective learning

network, and specifically the DMN may play a functional role in safety learning. The DMN is known to be activated during internally-driven cognitive operations, e.g., self-referential processing, long-term memory retrieval, and mind-wandering, which are especially likely to occur during the presentation of safety cues that do not direct attention to the environment (Anticevic et al., 2012; Buckner et al., 2008; Burianová et al., 2007, 2010). As such, Fullana et al.'s (2015) finding of DMN activation during the presentation of safety cues could simply reflect a corollary rather than functional role during safety learning. That is, the DMN might be an *epiphenomenon* of safety learning – allowing the individual to engage in mind-wandering whenever thev consider themselves to be safe – rather than an integral part of the learning process that leads to the impression of safety associated with a reduced fear response. However, a number of recent studies demonstrate reduced or aberrant connectivity within the DMN associated with an impairment in safety learning in patients with post-traumatic stress and anxiety disorders, thereby suggesting that in healthy individuals, activity within the DMN may play a *functional* role and actively contribute to successful safety learning (DiGangi et al., 2016; Jovanovic et al., 2012; Lissek & Grillon, 2010; Lissek et al., 2014b; Patriat et al., 2016).

The objective of this study was to investigate whether the DMN has a functional rather than corollary role in safety learning in healthy individuals. Learning is associated with changes in physiological and neural responses to altered cuereinforcement contingencies, e.g., if a cue were repeatedly associated with a shock, the observation of an increased BOLD response in the amygdala together with an increased physiological fear response to the cue would be interpreted as fear learning. Therefore, if the DMN were functionally related to safety learning then activity in the main nodes of the DMN would increase significantly in response to modulations of

#### Human Brain Mapping

The default mode network in affective learning

cue-reinforcement contingencies and in parallel with physiological fear responses. In contrast, if DMN activity were a consequence of safety learning, no such increases over time would be observed and the DMN would be activated to the same extent whenever safety signals are presented. To test this hypothesis, we assessed the skin conductance response (SCR) and functional brain activity during danger and safety learning with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) in a fear conditioning and extinction paradigm, in which the cue-reinforcement contingencies shift from a cue predicting danger (conditioning) to the cue predicting safety (extinction). To increase the number of changes in cue-reinforcement contingencies, a standard differential delay-conditioning paradigm was modified to include repeated conditioning and extinction phases, resulting in an A-B-A-B fear-learning paradigm. Based on previous human neuroimaging studies (Fullana et al., 2015), we hypothesized that (i) during danger cue processing, SCRs would be stronger and functional brain activity would significantly increase in the amygdala, thalamus, somatosensory cortex, anterior insula, and anterior cingulate cortex, and (ii) during safety cue processing, SCRs would be weaker and functional brain activity would significantly increase in the main nodes of the DMN, such as the vmPFC, hippocampus, inferior parietal lobule, and posterior cingulate cortex. Finally, positing that DMN activity fulfils a function in safety learning, we hypothesized that (iii) the difference between the two activation patterns associated with safety and danger learning would increase over time and that activity in DMN regions would increase with repeated extinction phases, whereas SCRs would decrease.

# **Materials & Methods**

**Participants** 

The default mode network in affective learning

Thirty right-handed adults (15 females, mean age = 26 years, age range = 21-34 years) with normal or corrected to normal vision took part in the experiment, which was approved by the Human Ethics Research Committee of the University of Queensland, after giving written consent. All participants were screened for neuropsychological disorders, brain damage, and substance abuse. Images were acquired with a Siemens Magnetom Trio 3T scanner and a 32-channel head coil at the Centre for Advanced Imaging at the University of Queensland.

#### Procedure

Participants took part in a partially reinforced, differential fear conditioning experiment with repeated conditioning and extinction phases (A-B-A-B paradigm; see Figure 1). During each phase, two visual stimuli (a triangle and a circle) were repeatedly presented in randomized order. The stimuli were presented in either of two contexts (blue or orange background) associated with the different experimental phases to reduce interference from context-conditioning effects (Lang et al., 2009). Participants were asked to identify the stimuli by pressing one of two buttons with the second and third digits of their right hand. One of the two stimuli (CS+) was paired with electro-dermal stimulation (unconditioned stimulus, UCS) during the first and third phases (conditioning) but not the second and fourth phases (extinction), while the other stimulus (CS-) was never paired with stimulation. The CS+ constitutes a danger signal during the conditioning phases and a safety signal during the extinction phases, whereas the CS- constitutes a control safety stimulus throughout the experiment. Stimuli and contexts were randomly assigned and assignments were counterbalanced across individuals. Each block started with 15 sec of background presentation to allow for the electro-dermal response to settle and for the participants to habituate. During each experimental block, following 1s of

The default mode network in affective learning

background, 20 stimuli (10 CS+, 10 CS-) were presented for 3 sec and followed by 14 sec of background in a randomized order. All stimuli were presented using Presentation software (Neurobehavioral Systems, Inc.) and projected onto a screen, which could be viewed with a mirror attached to the head coil.

#### (INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE)

Sixty percent of CS+ presentations co-terminated with a 50 msec electrodermal stimulation using two pre-gelled carbon snap electrodes attached to the right wrist (EL508, Biopac Systems, Inc.). Prior to scanning, stimulation strength was adjusted to individual tolerances following established procedures to ensure that stimulation was highly uncomfortable, but not painful (LaBar et al., 1998). Stimulation was administered using a STIMISOC isolator connected to a STM100C stimulator, which was controlled by a MP150 (Biopac Systems, Inc.).

Skin conductance responses (SCRs) were sampled at 1 kHz using pre-gelled carbon snap electrodes (EL508, Biopac Systems, Inc.) attached to the medial phalanges of the second and third digits of the left hand and connected to an EDA100C module attached to a MP150 (Biopac Systems, Inc.). SCRs were defined as the peak response of the low-pass filtered (0.1 Hz) electro-dermal activity above 0.02  $\mu$ S that occurred within 1 - 4 sec after the onset of the conditioned stimuli (Lockhardt, 1966).

#### Image Acquisition & Preprocessing

For each participant, a T1-weighted volumetric anatomical MRI was acquired with the following parameters: 176 slices sagittal acquisition MP2-RAGE; 1 mm<sup>3</sup> isotropic volume; repetition time (TR) = 4000 msec; echo time (TE) = 2.89 msec; flip

angle =  $6^{\circ}$ ; FOV = 256 mm, GRAPPA acceleration factor = 3. Functional images were acquired using a T2\*-weighted echo-planar image pulse sequence with the following parameters: 45 slices; 2.7 mm slice thickness; voxel size =  $2.5 \times 2.5 \times 2.7$ mm; TR = 3000 msec; TE = 30 msec; FOV = 192 mm; flip angle =  $90^{\circ}$ . Brain activation was assessed using the blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) effect (Ogawa et al., 1990). For functional analysis, T2\*-weighted images were Statistical Parametric preprocessed with Mapping software (SPM8: http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). Images were realigned to the mean image for headmotion correction and then spatially normalized into a standard stereotaxic space with voxel size of 2 mm<sup>3</sup> (Montreal Neurological Institute template) using segmented white and gray matter T1 maps. Head movement and rotation in the three dimensions did not exceed 1 mm and no dataset had to be excluded from analysis. Finally, the functional images were spatially smoothed with a 6-mm full width half maximum Gaussian kernel. All subsequent analysis of fMRI data was based on non-reinforced trials.

### Whole-Brain Analysis

Following preprocessing, whole-brain fMRI data were analyzed with Partial Least Squares (PLS; https://www.rotman-baycrest.on.ca/index.php?section=84). PLS is based on principal component analysis and assumes that brain function reflects the coordinated activity of groups of brain regions rather than the independent activity of any single brain region. In brief, PLS mean-centers and then decomposes the covariance matrix between brain activity and the experimental design for the whole group in a single analytic step using singular value decomposition (SVD). SVD results in separate, mutually orthogonal latent variables (LVs), which describe patterns of brain activity related to the experimental design (McIntosh et al., 2004).

#### Human Brain Mapping

The default mode network in affective learning

SVD maximizes covariance in the partial least squares sense and generates a weight for each voxel, which designates its degree of covariance with the whole brain activity pattern. PLS then assesses the statistical significance of each LV using permutation testing with 500 permutations (McIntosh et al., 1996) and the reliability of the brain activity patterns for each voxel by using a bootstraping procedure with 100 bootstraps, resulting in an estimate of the standard error, which is used to calculate the bootstrap ratio (BSR; Efron & Tibshirani, 1985). Peak voxels with a BSR > 3.0 are considered to be reliable, as this approximates p < 0.005 (Sampson et al., 1989). Because computation of the LVs and corresponding brain images is conducted in a single analytic step across all voxels and participants, no correction for multiple comparisons is required. Finally, a brain score indicating how strongly the group pattern is expressed in each individual data set is calculated for each participant by multiplying each individual data set with the whole-brain activation loadings.

In order to test the overlap of our results with the DMN, a DMN mask was generated from 820 resting state datasets published by the Human Connectome Project (http://humanconnectome.org). The DMN was identified as component #2 of the HCP900-PTN data that was preprocessed and analysed following the HCP pipeline with FMRIB Software Library (FSL, http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk) using a 25-dimensional independent component analysis (ICA). Each 15-minute run of each subject's four fMRI scans was processed according to Smith et al. (2013). It was minimally-preprocessed (Glasser et al., 2013), and had artefacts removed using ICA+FIX (Griffanti et al., 2014; Salimi-Khorshidi et al., 2014). Each dataset was then temporally demeaned and had variance normalisation applied according to (Beckmann et al., 2004). Group-PCA output was generated by MIGP (MELODIC's Incremental Group-PCA) from 820 subjects. This comprises the top 4500 weighted

spatial eigenvectors from a group-averaged PCA (a very close approximation to concatenating all subjects' timeseries and then applying PCA; Smith et al., 2014). The MIGP output was fed into group-ICA using FSL's MELODIC tool (Hyvärinen, 1999; Beckmann et al., 2004).

#### Results

There were no significant differences in reaction times in response to CS+ and CS- presentations. However, a trend suggested slower reaction times in responses to CS+ than to CS- in the initial conditioning phase (t(29) = 1.9, p = 0.07, d = 0.39; see Figure 2a). Psychophysiological evidence of successful differential fear conditioning was provided by a 2 x 2 x 2 analysis of variance of the SCRs with factors stimulus (CS+, CS-), context (conditioning, extinction), and time (first, second presentations) that yielded significant main effects for the factors stimulus (F(1,1) = 5.4, p < 0.05) and context (F(1,1) = 17.2, p < 0.001). Repeated, two-sided t-tests demonstrated significantly larger SCRs to CS+ than CS- presentations as well as to CS presentations during the conditioning than during the extinction phases (all t(29) > 2.1, p < 0.05, d > 0.27; see Figure 2b).

#### (INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE)

Whole-brain fMRI analysis resulted in one significant LV (p < 0.001) accounting for 51.4 % of the variance in the data. The LV differentiated activity related to danger cues from activity related to safety cues. In contrast to safety cues, the presentation of danger cues (i.e., CS+ during the first and second conditioning phase) engaged dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, bilateral insula, middle temporal

#### Human Brain Mapping

The default mode network in affective learning

gyrus, temporal pole, ventrolateral prefrontal and inferior parietal cortex, thalamus, brainstem, and medial cerebellum (see cool coloured regions in Figure 3). In contrast to danger cues, the presentation of safety cues (i.e., CS+ and CS- during the first and second extinction phase as well as CS- during the second conditioning phase) engaged orbitofrontal cortex, ventromedial prefrontal cortex, subgenual anterior cingulate cortex, bilateral hippocampus, precuneus, superior parietal gyrus, right fusiform gyrus, medial superior frontal gyrus, posterior cingulate gyrus, ventral striatum, and lateral cerebellum (see warm coloured regions in Figure 3). The results further show that this pattern increased over time and repeated measures, two-tailed ttests revealed significant differences between presentations of CS- during the first and the second conditioning and extinction phases (for both t(29) > 2.8, p < 0.008, d > 0.008(0.57) but not between presentations of CS+ during the first and second extinction phase (t(29) = 0.7, p = 0.5, d = 0.16).

#### (INSERT FIGURE 3 HERE)

The comparison between the DMN mask derived from 820 resting-state data sets and our results demonstrate an overlap of 42 % of the pattern associated with safety learning and regions considered to form the core of the DMN (Andrews-Hanna, Smallwood & Spreng, 2014) including the ventromedial prefrontal cortex, anterior & posterior cingulate cortex, superior frontal gyrus, and angular gyrus (see Figure 4).

#### (INSERT FIGURE 4 HERE)

Discussion

The default mode network in affective learning

The aim of this study was to investigate whether the activation of the DMN that has been observed incidentally in previous fear conditioning studies (Fullana et al., 2015) is functionally related to safety learning. Based on patient studies, which link impaired safety learning to a reduction in DMN activity, we hypothesized that in healthy adults, the DMN would be activated in response to safety cues and that DMN activity would increase as learning progresses with repeated presentations of safety cues, whereas physiological fear measures would decrease (DiGangi et al., 2016; Jovanovic et al., 2012; Lissek & Grillon, 2010; Lissek et al., 2014b; Patriat et al., 2016). Our results demonstrate activity within the core nodes of the DMN (ventromedial prefrontal cortex, anterior & posterior cingulate cortex, superior frontal gyrus, and angular gyrus) in response to safety cues. Importantly and in contrast to previous studies (Fullana et al., 2015), the multivariate, data-driven method used in our analysis was able to identify the hidden factors or latent variables underlying the co-variation within brain regions, thereby yielding two activation patterns that are negatively correlated with each other (Abdi & Williams, 2010). As a consequence, our results extend previous findings by showing that DMN activity associated with safety cues is directly anti-correlated with activity in regions associated with fear learning. In other words, these findings strongly suggest that the DMN is deactivated whenever the fear-learning network is activated and vice versa. Furthermore, we show increases in DMN activity over time in response to safety cues during extinction (CS+ and CS-) and also, importantly, during the conditioning phases (CS-), while the physiological indicator of fear (skin conductance response) decreases over time in response to safety cues during extinction (CS+ and CS-) as well as during the conditioning phases (CS-). These parallel changes over time not only demonstrate that the DMN is related to safety learning but also suggest that activation of the DMN is

#### Human Brain Mapping

The default mode network in affective learning

not an epiphenomenon of safety learning but that it performs the function of safety learning. Taken together, the findings of this study show that the DMN is activated during safety learning, directly anti-correlated with fear learning and, its activity increases over time in parallel to decreasing physiological indicators of fear. Thus, our study provides strong evidence for a functional rather than a corollary role of the DMN.

Based on these findings, we propose that it may be the DMN's function during affective learning to contextualize safety memories and that such contextualization of safety memories inhibits fear responses and thereby contributes to the adaptive generalization of fear associations. At the core of our proposal is the idea that the engagement of the DMN during safety learning reflects the contextualization of safety memories. The DMN is mainly associated with perceptually decoupled and internallydriven cognitive processes, such as mind-wandering, self-referential processing, and autobiographical long-term memory retrieval (Andrews-Hanna et al., 2010; Binder et al., 1999; Herold et al., 2015; Spreng & Grady, 2010; Smallwood et al., 2013; Mason et al., 2007; Mantini & Vanduffel, 2013). However, a number of studies have reported DMN activity related to behavioral performance in stimulus-directed tasks (Chen et al., 2013; Elton & Gao, 2015; Gilbert et al., 2007; Hahn et al., 2007; Smallwood et al., 2012; Vatansever et al., 2015), suggesting that the DMN is also involved in unfocused attention and broad monitoring of the external environment for unexpected events (Buckner et al., 2008; Leech & Sharp, 2014; Pearson et al., 2011; Mantini & Vanduffel, 2013). The convergence of these two different aspects of the DMN is plausible because stimulus-independent processes (such as self-generated thoughts) often occur in conjunction with unfocused monitoring of the environment (as when one travels on the bus, for instance). In a recent proposal, Hasson et al. (2015) provide

The default mode network in affective learning

evidence for the view that these two aspects – internally-driven processing and unfocused environmental monitoring – are even more integrated and argue that the DMN is engaged in the formation of a 'process memory' that constitutes the meaningful continuous context of our subjective experience over time on the scale of several minutes. As such, the DMN seems to be responsible for the maintenance of a cohesive sense of self across time that forms a reference point for the interpretation of novel information (Northoff & Bermpohl, 2004; Andrews-Hanna, Smallwood & Spreng, 2014; Roy, Shohamy & Wagner, 2012; Kajimura et al., 2016). Our finding that the DMN is engaged during safety learning might thus reflect the process of embedding safety cues that predict the absence of shock within the context of selfgenerated thought.

The contextualisation of safety memories is important for fear learning in general, as adaptive fear learning depends not only on the generation of appropriate fear responses but also on the inhibition of inappropriate fear responses (Rescorla & Wagner, 1972). Fear associations readily generalize to similar stimuli, whereas the inhibition of fear responses is highly context-dependent, which suggests that context-dependent inhibition plays a crucial role in preventing the maladaptive overgeneralization of fear associations (Bouton, 2004; Quirk, 2002). Recent evidence implicates a role of the DMN in the regulation of fear generalization by showing that the generalization of fear is counterbalanced by activity within the DMN (Lissek et al., 2014a). Such a regulatory function is commonly associated with the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) – the frontal node of the DMN, which shows the strongest overlap with the areas activated during safety learning in our results. Animal and human studies have demonstrated that the vmPFC is engaged in the inhibition of fear responses (Todd et al., 2014; Quirk & Beer, 2006) and that it plays a major role in

The default mode network in affective learning

regulating emotions and decision-making (Bechara, Tranel & Damasio, 2000; Etkin, Egner & Kalisch, 2011). The engagement of the vmPFC and the DMN during safety learning suggests that the contextualisation of safety memories contributes to the inhibition of inappropriate fear responses and consequently to the adaptive generalization of fear.

Importantly, our findings demonstrate that the DMN is engaged in safety learning during both extinction and differential conditioning, i.e., learning that both a previously conditioned stimulus (CS+) after a period of non-reinforcement and a nonreinforced conditioned stimulus (CS-) within the context of threat signal safety. It is yet unclear how these different forms of safety learning are related to each other and more research is necessary to address this question. Based on our results, we suggest that the DMN might constitute a high-level form of safety learning that integrates other cognitive functions involved in threat and safety processing, such as perception, attention, cognitive inhibition, and higher-order reasoning, as well as working and long-term memory (Elton & Gao, 2015; Anderson, Folk & Courtney, 2016; Dayan et al., 2016). The DMN's functional profile, the rich-club properties of its hub regions (especially the medial prefrontal and medial temporal cortices), as well as its effective, global structural connectivity make it well suited for such an integrated, contextual form of safety learning (van den Heuvel & Sporns, 2011; Vatansever et al., 2015).

Our proposal that the DMN's function in adaptive, affective learning may be the contextualization of safety memories has important implications for pathologies that are characterized by an over-generalization of fear associations, more specifically for anxiety and stress disorders (Dymond et al., 2015; Jovanovic et al., 2012). The findings of this study suggest that these patient populations might be impaired in their

The default mode network in affective learning

ability to appropriately contextualize and integrate safety cues into their current experience as a result of insufficient recruitment of the DMN or less sustained activation within the DMN. Our proposal might help improve our understanding of aberrant DMN activity and connectivity commonly found in patients suffering from anxiety disorders (Zhao et al., 2007), post-traumatic stress disorder (Bluhm et al., 2009; Reuveni et al., 2016), or bipolar disorder (Vargas et al., 2013), as these disorders are also characterized by an impairment in safety learning (Woody & Rachman, 1994; Grillon, 2002; Kim et al., 2011; Jovanovic et al., 2012) and pathological over-activation of limbic regions and over-generalization of fear associations (Dymond et al., 2015; Grillon & Morgan, 1999; Lissek & Grillon, 2010; Lissek et al., 2014b). Based on the findings of this study, we suggest that disruptions in functional and structural connectivity within the DMN might be a potential biomarker for the early detection of insufficient contextualization of safety memories in affective, mood, and stress disorders, informing effective therapeutic and pharmacological interventions. In addition, our results support emerging evidence that patients with affective disorders might benefit from therapeutic interventions, which target the DMN through mindfulness techniques or brain stimulation (Dichter et al., 2014; Fox et al., 2014; Hölzel et al., 2011; King et al., 2016; Liston et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2013).

#### Human Brain Mapping

The default mode network in affective learning

# References

- Abdi, H., & Williams, L. J. (2010) Principal component analysis. WIREs Comp Stat, 2, 433-59.
- Addis, D. R., Wong, A. T., & Schacter, D. L. (2007) Remembering the past and imagining the future: common and distinct neural substrates during event construction and elaboration. Neuropsychologia, 45, 1363-77.
- Amft, M., Bzdok, D., Laird, A. R., Fox, P. T., Schilbach, L., & Eickhoff, S. B. (2015)Definition and characterization of an extended social-affective default network.Brain Structure and Function, 220, 1031-49.
- Anderson, B. A., Folk, C. L., & Courtney, S. M. (2016). Neural mechanisms of goalcontingent task disengagement: Response-irrelevant stimuli activate the default mode network. Cortex, 81, 221-30.
- Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Reidler, J. S., Sepulcre, J., Poulin, R., & Buckner, R. L. (2010)
  Functional-anatomic fractionation of the brain's default-mode network. Neuron, 65, 550-62.
- Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Smallwood, J., Spreng, R. N. (2014) The default network and self-generated thought: component processes, dynamic control, and clinical relevance. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1316, 29–52.
- Anticevic, A., Cole, M. W., Murray, J. D., Corlett, P. R., Wang, X. J., & Krystal, J. H. (2012) The role of default network deactivation in cognition and disease. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 16, 584-92.
- Bechara, A., Tranel, D., Damasio, H., Adolphs, R., Rockland, C., & Damasio, A. R. (1995) Double dissociation of conditioning and declarative knowledge relative to the amygdala and hippocampus in humans. Science, 269, 1115-8.

- Beckmann, C. F., & Smith, S. M. (2004) Probabilistic independent component analysis for functional magnetic resonance imaging. IEEE Trans Med Imaging, 23, 137-52.
- Binder, J. R., Frost, J. A., Hammeke, T. A., Bellgowan, P. S. F., Rao, S. M., & Cox,R. W. (1999) Conceptual processing during the conscious resting state: a functional MRI study. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 11, 80-93.
- Bluhm, R. L., Williamson, P. C., Osuch, E. A., Frewen, P. A., Stevens, T. K.,
  Boksman, K., Neufeld, R. W. J., Théberge, J., & Lanius, R. A. (2009)
  Alterations in default network connectivity in posttraumatic stress disorder related to early-life trauma. Journal of Pychiatry & Neuroscience: JPN, 34, 187.
- Bouton, M. E. (2004) Context and behavioral processes in extinction. Learning & Memory, 11, 485-94.
- Buckner, R. L., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., & Schacter, D. L. (2008) The brain's default network. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1124, 1-38.
- Büchel, C., Morris, J., Dolan, R. J., & Friston, K. J. (1998) Brain systems mediating aversive conditioning: an event-related fMRI study. Neuron, 20, 947-57.
- Burianová, H., & Grady, C. L. (2007) Common and unique neural activations in autobiographical, episodic, and semantic retrieval. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 19, 1520-34.
- Burianová, H., McIntosh, A. R., & Grady, C. L. (2010) A common functional brain network for autobiographical, episodic, and semantic memory retrieval. NeuroImage, 49, 865-74.
- Chen, A. C., Oathes, D. J., Chang, C., Bradley, T., Zhou, Z. W., Williams, L. M., Glover, G. H., Deisseroth, K., & Etkin, A. (2013) Causal interactions between fronto-parietal central executive and default-mode networks in humans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110, 19944-19949.

# John Wiley<sup>1</sup> Sons, Inc.

- Dayan, E., Sella, I., Mukovskiy, A., Douek, Y., Giese, M. A., Malach, R., & Flash, T. (2016) The default mode network differentiates biological from non-biological motion. Cerebral Cortex, 26, 234-45.
- Dichter, G. S., Gibbs, D., & Smoski, M. J. (2014) A systematic review of relations between resting-state functional-MRI and treatment response in major depressive disorder. Journal of Affective Disorders, 172C, 8-17.
- DiGangi, J. A., Tadayyon, A., Fitzgerald, D. A., Rabinak, C. A., Kennedy, A., Klumpp, H., Rauch, S. A., & Phan, K. L. (2016) Reduced default mode network connectivity following combat trauma. Neuroscience Letters, 615, 37-43.
- Do-Monte, F. H., Manzano-Nieves, G., Quiñones-Laracuente, K., Ramos-Medina, L.,
  & Quirk, G. J. (2015) Revisiting the Role of Infralimbic Cortex in Fear Extinction with Optogenetics. The Journal of Neuroscience, 35, 3607-15.
- Dymond, S., Dunsmoor, J. E., Vervliet, B., Roche, B., & Hermans, D. (2015) Fear Generalization in Humans: Systematic Review and Implications for Anxiety Disorder Research. Behavior Therapy, 46, 561-82.
- Efron, B., & Tibshirani, R. (1985) The bootstrap method for assessing statistical accuracy. Behaviormetrika, 12, 1-35.
- Elton, A., & Gao, W. (2015) Task-positive functional connectivity of the default mode network transcends task domain. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 27, 2369-81.
- Fanselow, M. S., & LeDoux, J. E. (1999) Why we think plasticity underlying Pavlovian fear conditioning occurs in the basolateral amygdala. Neuron, 23, 229-32.
- Fox, M. D., Buckner, R. L., Liu, H., Chakravarty, M. M., Lozano, A. M., & Pascual-Leone, A. (2014) Resting-state networks link invasive and noninvasive brain

stimulation across diverse psychiatric and neurological diseases. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, 111, E4367-75.

- Freese, J., & Amaral, D. (2009) Neuroanatomy of the Primate Amygdala. In: P. E. Whalen, E. Phelps (eds). The human amygdala. New York: Guilford. p 3-42.
- Fullana, M. A., Harrison, B. J., Soriano-Mas, C., Vervliet, B., Cardoner, N., Àvila-Parcet, A., & Radua, J. (2015) Neural signatures of human fear conditioning: an updated and extended meta-analysis of fMRI studies. Molecular Psychiatry. doi: 10.1038/mp.2015.88.
- Gilbert, S. J., Dumontheil, I., Simons, J. S., Frith, C. D., & Burgess, P. W. (2007) Comment on' Wandering minds: The default network and stimulus-independent thought". Science, 317, 43.
- Glasser, M. F., Sotiropoulos, S. N., Wilson, J. A., Coalson, T. S., Fischl, B., Andersson, J. L., Xu, J., Jbabdi, S., Webster, M., Polimeni, J. R., Van Essen, D. C., Jenkinson, M., & WU-Minn HCP Consortium (2013) The minimal preprocessing pipelines for the Human Connectome Project. NeuroImage, 80, 105-24.
- Greco, J. A., & Liberzon, I. (2016) Neuroimaging of fear-associated learning. Neuropsychopharmacology Reviews, 41, 320–34.
- Griffanti, L., Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Beckmann, C. F., Auerbach, E. J., Douaud, G., Sexton, C. E., Zsoldos, E., Ebmeier, K. P., Filippini, N., Mackay, C. E., Moeller, S., Xu, J., Yacoub, E., Baselli, G., Ugurbil, K., Miller, K. L., & Smith,

S. M. (2014) ICA-based artefact removal and accelerated fMRI acquisition for improved resting state network imaging. NeuroImage, 95, 232-47.

Grillon, C. (2002) Associative learning deficits increase symptoms of anxiety in humans. Biological Psychiatry, 51, 851-8.

# John Wiley<sup>20</sup> Sons, Inc.

The default mode network in affective learning

- Grillon, C., & Morgan, C. A. (1999) Fear-potentiated startle conditioning to explicit and contextual cues in Gulf War veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 108, 134-42.
- Hahn, B., Ross, T. J., Stein, E. A. (2007) Cingulate activation increases dynamically with response speed under stimulus unpredictability. Cerebral Cortex, 17, 1664-71.
- Hasson, U., Chen, J., & Honey, C. J. (2015) Hierarchical process memory: memory as an integral component of information processing. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 19, 304-13.
- Herold, D., Spengler, S., Sajonz, B., Usnich, T., & Bermpohl, F. (2015) Common and distinct networks for self-referential and social stimulus processing in the human brain. Brain Structure and Function. doi: 10.1007/s00429-015-1113-9.
- Hölzel, B. K., Lazar, S. W., Gard, T., Schuman-Olivier, Z., Vago, D. R., & Ott, U.
  (2011) How Does Mindfulness Meditation Work? Proposing Mechanisms of Action From a Conceptual and Neural Perspective. Perspectives on Psychological Science 6(6):537-59.
- Hyvärinen, A. (1999) Fast and robust fixed-point algorithms for independent component analysis. IEEE Trans Neural Netw, 10, 626-34.
- Jovanovic, T., Kazama, A., Bachevalier, J., & Davis, M. (2012) Impaired safety signal learning may be a biomarker of PTSD. Neuropharmacology, 62, 695-704.
- Kajimura, S., Kochiyama, T., Nakai, R., Abe, N., & Nomura, M. (2016) Causal relationship between effective connectivity within the default mode network and mind-wandering regulation and facilitation. NeuroImage, 133, 21-30.
- Kalisch, R., Korenfeld, E., Stephan, K. E., Weiskopf, N., Seymour, B., Dolan, R. J. (2006) Context-dependent human extinction memory is mediated by a

ventromedial prefrontal and hippocampal network. The Journal of Neuroscience, 26, 9503-11.

- Kim, M. J., Loucks, R. A., Palmer, A. L., Brown, A. C., Solomon, K. M., Marchante,A. N., & Whalen, P. (2011) The structural and functional connectivity of the amygdala: from normal emotion to pathological anxiety. Behavioral Brain Research, 223, 403-20.
- King, A. P., Block, S. R., Sripada, R. K., Rauch, S., Giardino, N., Favorite, T., Angstadt, M., Kessler, D., Welsh, R., & Liberzon, I. (2016) Altered default mode network (DMN) resting state functional connectivity following a mindfulness-based exposure therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in combat veterans of Afghanistan and Iraq. Depression and Anxiety, 33, 289-99.
- LaBar, K. S., Gatenby, J. C., Gore, J. C., LeDoux, J. E., & Phelps, E. (1998) Human amygdala activation during conditioned fear acquisition and extinction: a mixed-trial fMRI study. Neuron, 20, 937-45.
- Lang, S., Kroll, A., Lipinski, S. J., Wessa, M., Ridder, S., Christmann, C., Schad, L.
  R., & Flor, H. (2009) Context conditioning and extinction in humans: differential contribution of the hippocampus, amygdala and prefrontal cortex. European Journal of Neuroscience, 29, 823-32.
- Leech, R., & Sharp, D. J. (2014) The role of the posterior cingulate cortex in cognition and disease. Brain, 137, 12-32.
- Lissek, S., Bradford, D. E., Alvarez, R. P., Burton, P., Espensen-Sturges, T., Reynolds, R. C., & Grillon, C. (2014a) Neural substrates of classically conditioned fear-generalization in humans: a parametric fMRI study. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 9, 1134-42.

#### Human Brain Mapping

The default mode network in affective learning

- Lissek, S., Kaczkurkin, A. N., Rabin, S., Geraci, M., Pine, D. S., & Grillon, C. (2014b) Generalized anxiety disorder is associated with overgeneralization of classically conditioned fear. Biological Psychiatry, 75, 909-15.
- Lissek, S., & Grillon, C. (2010) Overgeneralization of conditioned fear in the anxiety disorders: Putative memorial mechanisms. Journal of Psychology, 218, 146-8.
- Liston, C., Chen, A. C., Zebley, B. D., Drysdale, A. T., Gordon, R., Leuchter, B., Voss, H. U., Casey, B. J., Etkin, A., & Dubin, M. J. (2014) Default mode network mechanisms of transcranial magnetic stimulation in depression. Biological Psychiatry, 76, 517-26.
- Lockhardt, R. A. (1966) Comments regarding multiple response phenomena in long interstimulus interval conditioning. Psychophysics, 3, 108-14.
- Lupien, S. J., McEwen, B. S., Gunnar, M. R., & Heim, C. (2009) Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 10, 434-45.
- Mantini, D., & Vanduffel, W. (2013) Emerging roles of the brain's default network. The Neuroscientist, 19, 76-87.
- Mason, M. F., Norton, M. I., Van Horn, J. D., Wegner, D. M., Grafton, S. T., & Macrae, C. N. (2007) Wandering minds: the default network and stimulusindependent thought. Science, 315, 393-5.
- McHugh, S. B., Barkus, C., Huber, A., Capitão, L., Lima, J., Lowry, J. P., & Bannerman, D. M. (2014) Aversive prediction error signals in the amygdala. The Journal of Neuroscience, 34, 9024-33.
- McIntosh, A. R., Bookstein, F. L., Haxby, J. V., & Grady, C. L. (1996) Spatial pattern analysis of functional brain images using partial least squares. NeuroImage, 3, 143-57.

# John Wiley 23 Sons, Inc.

- McIntosh, A. R., Chau, W. K., & Protzner, A. B. (2004) Spatiotemporal analysis of event-related fMRI data using partial least squares. NeuroImage, 23, 764-75.
- Milad, M. R., & Quirk, G. J. (2010) Neurons in medial prefrontal cortex signal memory for fear extinction. Nature, 420, 70-4.
- Northoff, G., & Bermpohl, F. (2004) Cortical midline structures and the self. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 8, 102-7.
- Ogawa, S., Lee, T. M., Kay, A. R., & Tank, D. W. (1990) Brain magnetic resonance imaging with contrast dependent on blood oxygenation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, 87, 9868–72.
- Patriat, R., Birn, R. M., Keding, T. J., & Herringa, R. J. (2016) Default-Mode Network Abnormalities in Pediatric Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 55, 319-27.
- Pearson, J. M., Heilbronner, S. R., Barack, D. L., Hayden, B. Y., & Platt, M. L. (2011) Posterior cingulate cortex: adapting behavior to a changing world. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 15, 143-51.
- Phelps, E., Delgado, M. R., Nearing, K. I., & LeDoux, J. E. (2004) Extinction learning in humans: role of the amygdala and vmPFC. Neuron, 43, 897-905.
- Quirk, G. J., Likhtik, E., Pelletier, J. G., & Pare, D. (2003) Stimulation of medial prefrontal cortex decreases the responsiveness of central amygdala output neurons. The Journal of Neuroscience, 23, 8800–07.
- Rescorla, R. A., & Wagner, A. R. (1972) A theory of Pavlovian conditioning: variations in the effectiveness of reinforcement and nonreinforcement. In: A. H. Black, W. F. Prokasy (eds). Classical conditioning II: current research and theory. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts. p 64-99.

# John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

 The default mode network in affective learning

- Reuveni, I., Bonne, O., Giesser, R., Shragai, T., Lazarovits, G., Isserles, M., Schreiber, S., Bick, A. S., & Levin, N. (2016) Anatomical and functional connectivity in the default mode network of post-traumatic stress disorder patients after civilian and military-related trauma. Human Brain Mapping, 37, 589-99.
- Roy, M., Shohamy, D., & Wager, T. D. (2012) Ventromedial prefrontal-subcortical systems and the generation of affective meaning. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 16, 147-56.
- Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Douaud, G., Beckmann, C. F., Glasser, M. F., Griffanti, L., & Smith, S. M. (2014) Automatic denoising of functional MRI data: combining independent component analysis and hierarchical fusion of classifiers. NeuroImage, 90, 449-68.
- Sah, P., Faber, E. S., Lopez De Armentia, M., & Power, J. (2003) The amygdaloid complex: anatomy and physiology. Physiological Reviews, 83, 803-34.
- Sampson, P., Streissguth, A., Barr, H., & Bookstein, F. (1989) Neurobehavioral effects of prenatal alcohol: II. Partial least squares analysis. Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 11, 477–91.
- Schiller, D., Levy, I., Niv, Y., LeDoux, J. E., & Phelps, E. (2008) From fear to safety and back: reversal of fear in the human brain. The Journal of Neuroscience, 28, 11517-25.
- Smallwood, J., Brown, K., Baird, B., & Schooler, J. W. (2012) Cooperation between the default mode network and the frontal–parietal network in the production of an internal train of thought. Brain Research, 1428, 60-70.
- Smallwood, J., Tipper, C., Brown, K., Baird, B., Engen, H., Michaels, J. R., Grafton,S., & Schooler, J. W. (2013) Escaping the here and now: evidence for a role of

John Wiley 25 Sons, Inc.

the default mode network in perceptually decoupled thought. NeuroImage, 69, 120-125.

- Smith, S. M., Beckmann, C. F., Andersson, J., Auerbach, E. J., Bijsterbosch, J., Douaud, G., Duff, E., Feinberg, D. A., Griffanti, L., Harms, M. P., Kelly, M., Laumann, T., Miller, K. L., Moeller, S., Petersen, S., Power, J., Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Snyder, A. Z., Vu, A. T., Woolrich, M. W., Xu, J., Yacoub, E., Uğurbil, K., Van Essen, D. C., Glasser, M. F., & WU-Minn HCP Consortium (2013) Resting-state fMRI in the Human Connectome Project. NeuroImage, 80, 144-68.
- Smith, S. M., Hyvärinen, A., Varoquaux, G., Miller, K. L., & Beckmann, C. F. (2014) Group-PCA for very large fMRI datasets. NeuroImage, 101, 738-49.
- Spreng, R. N., & Grady, C. L. (2010) Patterns of brain activity supporting autobiographical memory, prospection, and theory of mind, and their relationship to the default mode network. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 22, 1112-23.
- Taylor, V. A., Daneault, V., Grant, J., Scavone, G., Breton, E., Roffe-Vidal, S., Courtemanche, J., Lavarenne, A. S., Marrelec, G., Benali, H., & Beauregard, M. (2013) Impact of meditation training on the default mode network during a restful state. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 8, 4-14.
- Tovote, P., Fadok, J. P., & Lüthi, A. (2015) Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 16, 317-31.
- Vargas, C., López-Jaramillo, C., & Vieta, E. (2013) A systematic literature review of resting state network—functional MRI in bipolar disorder. Journal of Affective Disorders, 150, 727-35.

The default mode network in affective learning

- Van den Heuvel, M., Sporns, O. (2011). Rich-club organization of the human connectome. Journal of Neuroscience, 31, 15775-86.
- Vatansever, D., Menon, D. K., Manktelow, A. E., Sahakian, B. J., & Stamatakis E. A. (2015) Default mode network connectivity during task execution. NeuroImage, 122, 96-104.
- Weng, S. J., Wiggins, J. L., Peltier, S. J., Carrasco, M., Risi, S., Lord, C., & Monk, C. S. (2010) Alterations of resting state functional connectivity in the default network in adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. Brain Research, 1313, 202-14.
- Woody, S., & Rachman, S. (1994) Generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) as an unsuccessful search for safety. Clinical Psychology Review, 14, 743-53.
- Zhao, X. H., Wang, P. J., Li, C. B., Hu, Z. H., Xi, Q., Wu, W. Y., & Tang, X. W. (2007) Altered default mode network activity in patient with anxiety disorders: an fMRI study. European Journal of Radiology, 63, 373-78.

### **Figure Legends**

Figure 1: Experimental Paradigm. Participants repeatedly identify one of two stimuli (circle or triangle) through a button press. One stimulus (CS+) co-terminates with mild electric stimulation to the right wrist during the first and third (conditioning) but not during the second and fourth experimental phase (extinction). While this stimulus switches between being a danger and safety cue, the other stimulus (CS-) is never paired with stimulation and constitutes a safety cue throughout the entire experiment. CON = conditioning phase, EXT = extinction phase.

Figure 2: Behavioural Results. A) Average reaction times (+/- S.E.M.) for button presses in response to the CS+ and CS- presentation. B) Average skin conductance responses (+/- S.E.M.) in response to presentations of the CS+ and CS-. CON = conditioning phase, EXT = extinction phase, \* p < 0.05.

Figure 3: Multivariate whole-brain analysis results showing safety learning in the default mode network. PLS is a data-driven method using principal components analysis, which does not depend on a priori definitions of experimental contrasts but extracts the most salient and robust patterns based solely on the variance contained within the data. PLS first identifies anti-correlated patterns of brain activity, i.e., when one set of regions is activated, the other is deactivated and vice versa, and then assesses their relation to the experimental design by computing the contribution of the experimental manipulation to each activity pattern in the sample (brain scores). Left: PLS analysis identified two anti-correlated patterns of brain activation comprising of regions overlapping with the DMN (warm colours) and regions overlapping with the

The default mode network in affective learning

salience, somatosensory, and ventral attention networks (cool colours). The patterns are thresholded at a bootstrap ratio (BSR) estimate of the standard error of 3 (p<0.05). Right: The bar graph shows how the brain activation patterns are related to the fear conditioning and extinction task. PLS brain scores indicate the contribution of each stimulus and context to the two anti-correlated patterns across the four phases of the experiment. Positive brain scores (related to the activation pattern depicted in warm colours) are related to safety cues (CS+ in extinction and CS- in all phases). Negative brain scores (related to the activation pattern depicted in cool colours) are related to the activation pattern depicted in cool colours) are related to the activation pattern depicted in cool colours) are related to the activation pattern depicted in cool colours) are related to cues predicting shock (CS+ in conditioning phases). Increasing brain scores indicate learning and provide evidence for safety learning in the default mode network.

Figure 4: A comparison of the regions associated with safety learning (blue) with the DMN identified through group independent component analysis of resting state data from 820 participants (red) shows overlapping activations (yellow) in core DMN regions such as anterior & posterior cingulate cortex, angular gyrus, and superior frontal gyrus.



Figure 1: Experimental Paradigm. Participants repeatedly identify one of two stimuli (circle or triangle) through a button press. One stimulus (CS+) co-terminates with mild electric stimulation to the right wrist during the first and third (conditioning) but not during the second and fourth experimental phase (extinction). While this stimulus switches between being a danger and safety cue, the other stimulus (CS-) is never paired with stimulation and constitutes a safety cue throughout the entire experiment. CON = conditioning phase, EXT = extinction phase.

38x21mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2: Behavioural Results. A) Average reaction times (+/- S.E.M.) for button presses in response to the CS+ and CS- presentation. B) Average skin conductance responses (+/- S.E.M.) in response to presentations of the CS+ and CS-. CON = conditioning phase, EXT = extinction phase, \* p < 0.05.

119x44mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 3: Multivariate whole-brain analysis results showing safety learning in the default mode network. PLS is a data-driven method using principal components analysis, which does not depend on a priori definitions of experimental contrasts but extracts the most salient and robust patterns based solely on the variance contained within the data. PLS first identifies anti-correlated patterns of brain activity, i.e., when one set of exp regions is activated, the other is deactivated and vice versa, and then assesses their relation to the rn erimental design by computing the contribution of the experimental manipulation to each activity patter in the sample (brain scores). Left: PLS analysis identified two anti-correlated patterns of brain activation comprising of regions overlapping with the DMN (warm colours) and regions overlapping with the salience, somatosensory, and ventral attention networks (cool colours). The patterns are thresholded at a bootstrap ratio (BSR) estimate of the standard error of 3 (p<0.05). Right: The bar graph shows how the brain activation patterns are related to the fear conditioning and extinction task. PLS brain scores indicate the contribution of each stimulus and context to the two anti-correlated patterns across the four phases of the safety cues (CS+ in extinction and CS- in all phases). Negative brain scores (related to the activation pattern depicted in cool colours) are related to cues predicting shock (CS+ in conditioningphases). Increasing brain scores indicate learning and provide evidence for safety learning in the default mode network.

134x75mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Figure 4: A comparison of the regions associated with safety learning (blue) with the DMN identified through group independent component analysis of resting state data from 820 participants (red) shows overlapping activations (yellow) in core DMN regions such as anterior & posterior cingulate cortex, angular gyrus, and superior frontal gyrus.

134x75mm (300 x 300 DPI)