



Swansea University
Prifysgol Abertawe



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in:
Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences

Cronfa URL for this paper:
<http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa23531>

Paper:

Ellison, A., Rodriguez Lopez, C., Moran, P., Breen, J., Swain, M., Megias, M., Hegarty, M., Wilkinson, M., Pawluk, R. et. al. (2015). Epigenetic regulation of sex ratios may explain natural variation in self-fertilization rates. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 282(1819), 20151900-20151900.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.1900>

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

<http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/>

ARTICLE

**Epigenetic regulation of sex-ratios may explain natural variation in
self-fertilisation rates**

Authors: Amy Ellison^{1†ε}, Carlos Marcelino Rodríguez López^{2 ε}, Paloma Moran³, James Breen², Martin Swain¹, Manuel Megias³, Matthew Hegarty¹, Mike Wilkinson², Rebecca Pawluk⁴, Sofia Consuegra^{1,4*}

Affiliations:

¹IBERS, Aberystwyth University, Penglais Campus, Aberystwyth SY23 3DA, UK

²School of Agriculture, Wine and Food, University of Adelaide, Australia 5005

³Facultad de Biología, Universidad de Vigo, Vigo 36310, Spain

⁴Department of Biosciences, College of Science, Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK

†Current address: Cardiff School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AX, UK

εEqual contributors

*Correspondence to: Sofia Consuegra, s.consuegra@swansea.ac.uk

Keywords: selfing, mate availability, mixed-mating, inbreeding, DNA methylation, mangrove killifish

Abstract: Self-fertilisation (selfing) favours reproductive success when mate availability is low but renders populations more vulnerable to environmental change by reducing genetic variability. A mixed-breeding strategy (alternating selfing and outcrossing) may allow species to balance these needs but requires a system for regulating sexual identity. We explored the role of DNA methylation as a regulatory system for sex-ratio modulation in the mixed-mating fish *Kryptolebias marmoratus*. We found a significant interaction between sexual identity (male or hermaphrodite), temperature and methylation patterns when two selfing lines were exposed to different temperatures during development. We also identified several genes differentially methylated in males and hermaphrodites that represent candidates for the temperature-mediated sex regulation in *K. marmoratus*. We conclude that an epigenetic mechanism regulated by temperature modulates sexual identity in this selfing species, providing a potentially widespread mechanism by which environmental change may influence selfing rates. We also suggest that *K. marmoratus*, with naturally inbred populations, represents a good vertebrate model for epigenetic studies.

1 **Introduction**

2 Self-fertilisation (selfing) has been an evolutionary enigma ever since Darwin[1]. Selfing is a
3 particularly cost-effective breeding mode compared to outcrossing (bi-parental
4 reproduction)[2]. The ability of hermaphrodites to reproduce without a mate avoids the cost
5 and uncertainty of gonochoric reproduction, and should be particularly advantageous when
6 mate availability is low[3]. Conversely, outcrossing preserves populations allelic diversity
7 and heterozygosity that help to confer resilience against future epidemiological or
8 environmental challenges. Many hermaphrodite animals and plants that are able to self-
9 fertilise seem to balance the contrasting needs for reproductive assurance (favouring selfing)
10 and allelic diversity (favoured by outcrossing) by operating a mixed-mating strategy where
11 selfing and outcrossing occur at variable rates[4]. Thus, in mixed-mating species the main
12 genetic consequences of selfing (i.e. accumulation of deleterious mutations, reduced rate of
13 adaptation, inbreeding depression and loss of heterozygosity) can be counteracted by the
14 relative advantages of outcrossing, such as greater offspring plasticity and genetic
15 variability[5]. Species with a mixed mating model could thereby circumvent the issue of
16 inbreeding depression as a critical evolutionary constraint of self-fertilisation[6]. However,
17 selfing rates are very variable in natural populations and non-genetic and ecological factors
18 seem to influence them[7]. Among the non-genetic factors influencing selfing, mate
19 availability and the need for reproductive assurance have received particular attention[4, 8],
20 although the regulatory mechanism remains unclear[9]. Mate availability plays an important
21 role in regulating selfing; in cestodes and nematodes, low population density induces delayed
22 selfing[10, 11] whereas stress alters both sex ratios and outcrossing rates[12]. Yet, the
23 mechanisms that modulate the sex ratios of mixed mating species in the face of changes to
24 population structure and the environment remain elusive.

1 Epigenetic modifications (such as DNA methylation or histone modification) are
2 influenced by fluctuations in the environment and mediate changes in gene expression that
3 contribute to phenotypic diversity in populations without altering the underlying genetic
4 code[13]. Changes in temperature can alter DNA methylation in plants at specific genomic
5 loci [14] and in animals, dietary regimes have been shown to affect DNA methylation and
6 therefore expression of specific genes [15]. Epigenetic modifications thereby can create
7 phenotypic variability in asexual and selfing organisms that, without involving DNA
8 mutations, allows them to adapt to environmental change[16]. In addition, there is a
9 relationship between inbreeding depression and DNA methylation that suggests that
10 epigenetic modulation may influence the magnitude of environment-dependent inbreeding
11 depression [17, 18] and therefore could contribute to polymorphism in selfing rates[19]. We
12 therefore hypothesized that in species with mixed mating epigenetic control systems could
13 play an important role in determining sex ratios, hence the balance between selfing and
14 outcrossing rates. *Kryptolebias marmoratus* (the mangrove killifish) is an androdioecious fish
15 with a mixed mating reproductive strategy that is moderated by temperature[20]. Here, we
16 compare sex ratios and methylation patterns in isogenic lines under different environmental
17 conditions (incubation temperatures) to test the hypothesis that DNA methylation plays a role
18 in determining temperature-dependent sex ratios, and ultimately influences selfing rates. In
19 this and other androdioecious species, hermaphrodites coexist with males, with the latter
20 being usually present at low frequencies. Hermaphrodites are able to self-fertilise but cannot
21 outcross, and so the frequency of males is critical in determining outcrossing rates. The
22 ability of *K. marmoratus* to self-fertilise makes it easy to control for genetic variation when
23 studying epigenetic effects. Its natural populations live under highly variable environmental
24 conditions and, although predominantly consist of highly homozygous selfing
25 hermaphrodites, the presence of males has been observed in some populations at proportions

1 varying from 1% to 20% [21]. Factors determining the frequency of males in natural
2 populations of *K. marmoratus* are not well known but the proportion of males can be
3 manipulated by modifying egg incubation temperature (lower incubation temperature
4 increases male percentage) [22]. Although selfing is the predominant mode of reproduction,
5 outcrossing is common in the populations with the highest proportion of males, resulting in
6 high individual heterozygosity and low population linkage disequilibria, that contrast with the
7 patterns of high homozygosity characteristic of the populations with the lowest percentage of
8 males [21]. We have previously demonstrated that outcrossing with males increases genetic
9 diversity and parasite resistance in the offspring compared with selfed progeny [23, 24],
10 suggesting a potential adaptive value of maintaining males for outcrossing. In addition, males
11 show a behavioural preference for hermaphrodites that are genetically dissimilar to them, a
12 potential mechanism to increase genetic diversity in this species through outcrossing [25].

13

14 **Material and Methods**

15 *(a) Sex-ratios of K. marmoratus at different incubation temperatures*

16 The study fish had two different selfing backgrounds; the R strain derived originally from
17 Belize in the early 1990's and the DAN strain derived also from Belize in early 2000's [26].
18 These strains were chosen due to known differences in their levels of genotypic diversity; i.e.
19 DAN strain has higher levels of individual and within-strain heterozygosity than R [24]. A
20 total of 240 eggs (30 from each strain and temperature) were collected on the day of
21 oviposition and incubated at four different temperatures between 18°C-25°C, within the
22 natural temperature range experienced by this species. Eggs were allowed to develop for 750
23 degree-days before being hatched by dechoriation. Newly hatched fry were then reared
24 under standard growing conditions of 25°C and 12:12 hour light/dark. Fish were euthanized

1 according to Home Office Schedule One methods 60 days post-hatching, and fish heads were
2 removed and preserved in ethanol for brain tissue dissection. Sexual identity (male or
3 hermaphrodite) was determined by histological examination of the gonads; hermaphrodites
4 possess oocytes and spermatogenic tissue whereas males are characterized by pure
5 spermatogenic tissue. Logistic regression was used to analyse variation in sex ratios
6 (proportion of males) in relation to selfing strain and temperature. Logistic regression was
7 used to analyse variation in sex ratios (proportion of males) in relation to strain and
8 temperature.

9

10 *(b) Genome-wide methylation analysis (MSAP)*

11 Genome-wide DNA methylation was assessed in 79 fish from both genetic strains incubated
12 at the 2 temperature extremes (18°C and 25°C). Total genomic DNA was extracted from
13 whole brains (which can show sex differences before gonadal hormones start acting), and
14 analysed for differential patterns of DNA methylation using a modification of the
15 methylation-sensitive amplified fragment length polymorphism (MS-AFLP) method [27]. A
16 single organ was chosen in order to minimize tissue specific differences in methylation. The
17 method involved the digestion of genomic DNA with restriction enzymes, subsequent
18 ligation of linkers and selective PCR amplification with primers complementary to the linkers
19 but with unique 3' overhangs. Genomic DNA was cleaved using restriction enzymes *HpaII* +
20 *EcoRI* or restriction enzymes *MspI* + *EcoRI* in two separate reactions. *HpaII* and *MspI* vary
21 in their sensitivity to methylation. Both enzymes recognise CCGG sequences but cleaving by
22 *MspI* is blocked when the inner C is methylated whereas cleaving by *HpaII* is blocked when
23 either or both cytosines are fully or hemimethylated. Comparison of the two restriction
24 profiles for each individual allowed assessment of the methylation state of the restriction sites
25 in *K. marmoratus*. The four possible types of variation were pooled in methylated and not

1 methylated restriction sites [28]. For the selective amplification step the combination of
2 primers used was *HpaII* + CA (5'-GATGAGTCTAGAACGGCA-3') / *EcoRI*+ AAA (5'-
3 GACTGCGTACCAATTCAAA). Fragments were run on an ABI PRISM 3100® (Applied
4 Biosystems) and resultant profiles were analysed using GeneMapper® v 4.0 (Applied
5 Biosystems). Only fragments larger than 100 bp in size were considered, to reduce the
6 potential impact of size homoplasy[29]. Singleton observations were excluded from the
7 dataset, i.e. markers with only one non-consensus sample.

8 We used hierarchical Analyses of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) in GenAlEx v.6
9 (Peakall & Smouse 2006) on both enzyme combinations to compare genetic and epigenetic
10 variances among selfing lineages (Φ_{RT}), among sex and incubation temperature groups within
11 selfing lineages (Φ_{PR}) and among individuals (Φ_{PT}). We also performed independent
12 hierarchical AMOVAs to compare variances with the individuals grouped by sex or
13 incubation temperatures separately. Groups with less than five individuals were not
14 considered. For AMOVA analyses, we used 9999 permutations to estimate statistical
15 significance, adjusting for multiple comparisons by the sequential Bonferroni method.
16 Differences in the presence/absence MS-AFLP profiles were also explored using principal
17 coordinate analysis (PCA) in GenAlEx v.6 (Peakall & Smouse 2006). PCA was first carried
18 out to compare methylation-sensitive (*HpaII/EcoRI*) and methylation-insensitive
19 (*MspI/EcoRI*) fragment presence/absence profiles. The variance of the scores for the first two
20 coordinates of profiles from *HpaII/EcoRI* and *MspI/EcoRI* was calculated and 95%
21 confidence intervals were determined using jack-knifing[30]. PCA was then used to analyse
22 epigenetic variation between groups (separated by sex, temperature and lineage) from
23 combined presence/absence profiles from *HpaII* enzyme combinations. In addition, to assess
24 the statistical significance in the differences of principal coordinate scores between genetic
25 strains, sexes and temperatures, general linear modelling (GLM) was performed on the scores

1 of the first 2 coordinates, with individual as random effect. The initial models (where 1st or
2 2nd coordinate score was the dependant variable) used all factors (sex, temperature and
3 genotype, where genotype represented the *MspI* scores of the first principal component) as
4 independent variables plus all two-term interactions. Model selection was then carried out
5 based on the AICc (AIC corrected for small sample size) criterion[31]. All statistical
6 modelling was carried out using R (version 2.11.0) with the MuMIn package [32].

7

8 *(c) Detection of Differentially Methylated Regions (DMRs) using Methyl-Capture Sequencing*
9 *(MethylCap-seq)*

10 Genomic DNA was isolated from a male (18°C incubation) and a hermaphrodite (25°C
11 incubation) from the R selfing line, sheared to 200-400 bp by sonication and enriched for
12 methylated DNA using MethylMiner™ Methylated DNA Enrichment Kit (Invitrogen Inc.,
13 Carlsbad, CA, USA). This method also reduces the amount of template and sequencing
14 needed to measure the methylated regions compared to bisulfite-based methylome
15 sequencing, representing an advantage given the small size of the mangrove killifish brain.
16 Following methyl capture, the recovered DNA was diluted to 0.2ng/μl and used to create a
17 Nextera XT library (Illumina, Inc.) for each sample. Libraries were uniquely indexed for
18 multiplexing on the Illumina MiSeq NGS platform. Insert size was 200-500 bp. Libraries
19 were pooled at 10nM and diluted to 8pM for sequencing. The sequencing run produced
20 paired-end 2x150bp reads that were automatically trimmed to remove adaptors. DNA was
21 also isolated from a single R hermaphrodite and sequenced in a similar way to provide a
22 reference draft sequence for the alignment of the methyl-captured DNA. Since the genome
23 sequence for *K. marmoratus* is not yet available, resulting sequences were aligned to the
24 published genome from tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Read information was extracted from

1 BAM files and methylation levels across the genome were extrapolated from the sequencing
2 coverage for each region (i.e., more coverage indicates higher level of methylation).

3 Two approaches with different stringencies were used to determine the significance of
4 the observed methylation differences. First, DMRs were calculated for each window by
5 simple subtraction of each (normalized) sample count. Differential methylation was deemed
6 significant when the calculated difference in methylation between both samples was 2
7 ($p < 0.01$) or 3 standard deviations away from the mean ($p < 0.001$). Loci counts were
8 calculated based on 1,857,793 500bp non-overlapping sliding windows covering the entire
9 aligned genome. Read counts were normalized to window size (reads per base) to account for
10 windows smaller than 500bp. Windows with no counts for either sample (819,840) were
11 discarded. Normalized counts for both samples were plotted to identify the correlation
12 between the loci count from each sample. Second, an additional analysis with a higher level
13 of stringency was used to identify significant differentially methylated regions. Analysis was
14 carried out using a model-based peak-calling algorithm previously been used to analyse
15 methyl capture data [32]. Model-based Analysis of ChIP-Seq version 2 (MACS2 [33]) was
16 run on both samples, using default parameters, pvalue and qvalue cut-offs and an effective
17 genome size of 9.27×10^8 . DMRs resulting from both approaches were inspected for the presence
18 of *K. marmoratus* sex-determining related sequences [33] aligned to tilapia with a minimum
19 BLAST bit score of 192, in particular: *cyp19a* (DQ339107.1), *ER alpha* (AB251458.1), *ER*
20 *beta* (AB251457), *aromatase B* (AB251459), *Sox9a* (DQ683739.1), *Sox9b* (DQ683740.1),
21 *Sox9c* (DQ683741.1), *figalpha* (DQ683743.1), *dmrt1* (DQ683742.1), *foxl2* (DQ683738.1)
22 and *GnRHR* (DQ996268.2).

23 (d) Validation of putative DMRs using High Resolution Melting Analysis

24 We then used methylation sensitive High Resolution Melting (MS-HRM) to validate
25 the observed differences in methylation between males and hermaphrodites in three of the

1 genes: *cyp19a*, *Sox9a* and *dmrt1*. For this analysis, DNA from 17 individuals from the R
2 strain (males (5) and hermaphrodites (5) incubated at low temperature (18°C) and males (2)
3 and hermaphrodites (5) incubated at high temperature (25°C)) was extracted, and sodium
4 bisulphite treated using the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold kit (Zymo Research) according to the
5 manufacturer's instructions. Converted DNA concentration was then assessed using a
6 NanoDrop® 1000 spectrophotometer with the RNA setting. PCRs for *cyp19a* and *dmrt1* were
7 carried out using primers designed for Japanese flounder as described by[34]. PCRs for *Sox9a*
8 were carried out using primers designed for alligator by Parrott et al (2013). PCR conditions
9 for the *Sox9a* and *dmrt1* promoters were as follows: 5 min at 95°C; 5 cycles of 20 s at 95°C,
10 15 s at 55°C, 40 s at 68; 30 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 30 s at 53°C, 40 s at 68°C. The *cyp19a*
11 promoter was analysed by nested PCR with external cycle as follows: 95° C for 5 min,
12 followed by 7 cycles of 10 s at 95°C, 60 s at 55°C, 40 s at 72; 35 cycles at 95° C for 30 s, 52°
13 C for 30 s, 72° C for 30 s and final extension at 72° C for an additional 10 min period. Nested
14 PCR conditions for *cyp19a* were: 5 min at 95°C; 40 cycles of 30s at 95°C, 60 s at 50°C, 60 s
15 at 72°C. After PCR resultant fragments were directly subjected to the same HRM conditions.
16 In brief, melting curve analysis was conducted on the Rotor-Gene 6000® (software version
17 1.7, Qiagen, U.K.) using the Cycling A-Green channel. During HRM, temperature was
18 increased from 50 to 90 °C in 0.1 °C incremental steps, with each step held for 2s. Using
19 Rotor-Gene 6000 software, melting curves were normalized by calculation of the 'line of best
20 fit' between two normalization regions selected before and after the major decrease in
21 fluorescence (representing the 'fragment melting'). Comparisons were made between
22 sex/temperature DNA samples in terms of T_m or by a combination of T_m and altered curve
23 shape.

24 *(e) Analysis of expression of differentially methylated sex determination genes*

1 A total of 17 fish were selected for RNA extraction: males (5) and hermaphrodites (5)
2 incubated at low temperature and males (2) and hermaphrodites (5) incubated at high
3 temperature. RNA was extracted with the ISOLATE II RNA Mini Kit® (Bioline UK). Fish
4 were euthanized following Schedule 1 using benzocaine, the head of each fish was removed
5 and transferred into 350µl lysis buffer RLY and kept on ice. Samples were homogenized
6 using a micro pestle, 3.5 µl of β-mercaptoethanol were added and the rest of the extraction
7 followed the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was eluted in 60µl of RNase-free water and 2
8 µl were used for quantification using the NanoDrop 2000. In order to validate the methylation
9 results, the expression profiles of 2 genes (*sox 9a* and *cyp 19a1a*), were analysed by Reverse
10 Transcription Quantitative-PCR (RT-qPCR) using the primers and TM conditions detailed in
11 [33]. The reactions were performed in duplicate per sample using the SensiFAST™ SYBR
12 No-ROX One Step kit (Bioline Ltd, UK) in a Bio Rad CFX 96™ Real-Time System®.
13 Reaction mixes of 20µl were prepared following the manufacturer's instructions with 2µl of
14 extracted RNA. 18S rRNA was used as standard. PCR efficiency was estimated by linear
15 regression analysis of the logarithm of SYBR Green fluorescence versus cycle number. We
16 then used Pfaffl's method [35] to estimate the ratio of gene expression of each sample
17 relative to that of the most common phenotype, used as calibrator (hermaphrodites incubated
18 at high temperature). Normalisation was carried out against the 18S rRNA housekeeping
19 gene. In addition, for each experimental group we estimated the ΔC_t of each gene normalised
20 relative to the standard and then compared them using a Kruskal-Wallis test [36] in Systat
21 v.11.

22 .

23 **Results**

24 *(a) Sex-ratios*

1 In the DAN strain, the proportion of males ranged from 0% at 25°C to 83.33% at 18°C. In
2 contrast, the R strain yielded 6.66% males at 25°C but only 50.00% at 18°C. Logistic
3 regression showed both genetic strain ($P = 0.003$) and temperature ($P < 0.001$) to be
4 significant predictors of the probability of being male (Table S1). We also found a significant
5 interaction ($P = 0.006$) between temperature and strain (Figure 1).

6 *(b) Genome-wide analysis of temperature induced methylation changes using MSAPs*

7 The MS-AFLP analysis of the 77 (from 2 strains at 4 incubation temperatures: DAN: 13
8 hermaphrodites at 25°C and 5 at 18°C, 14 males at 18°C; R: 13 hermaphrodites at 25°C and
9 15 at 18°C; 4 males at 25°C and 13 at 25°C) samples yielded 105 scorable polymorphic
10 fragments, 91 generated by *MspI* (restriction enzyme insensitive to methylation) and 76 by
11 *HpaII* (sensitive to methylation) (63 common to both enzymes; Table S2; Figure S1).
12 AMOVA analysis of the *MspI* profiles indicated that 89.29% of the variation was explained
13 by differences between genetic strains ($\Phi_{RT} = 0.893$, $P = 0.001$); 0.12% by differences
14 between groups defined by incubation temperature and sex ($\Phi_{PR} = 0.011$, $P = 0.357$) and
15 10.58% by individual differences ($\Phi_{PT} = 0.894$, $P = 0.001$) (Table S3). In contrast, for *HpaII*
16 profiles, 44.16% of the variation was explained by differences between genetic strains (Φ_{RT}
17 $= 0.442$, $P = 0.001$); 2.88% to differences between temperature/sex groups ($\Phi_{PR} = 0.052$, $P =$
18 0.018) and 52.97% was due to individual differences ($\Phi_{PT} = 0.470$, $P = 0.001$), implying a
19 methylation basis for the differences between sex and temperature groups as well as more
20 epigenetic than genetic individual differences. *HpaII* AMOVAs grouping the fish by either
21 sex or temperature within genetic strains indicated that 2.07% and 3.63% of the variance was
22 explained by differences between sexes ($\Phi_{PR} = 0.037$, $P = 0.034$) and temperature ($\Phi_{PR} =$
23 0.064 , $P = 0.015$) respectively (Table S3). To evaluate whether genetic strain, egg incubation
24 temperature and/or sex produced different epigenetic profiles, PCA was used to describe and
25 visualize the variation contained in the polymorphic MS-AFLP loci. The first two coordinates

1 of the PCA explained 47.47% and 15.58% of variation in MS-AFLP profiles, respectively
2 (Figure 2). General linear modelling selection showed that for the coordinate 1 PCA scores,
3 genotype ($P < 0.001$) and sex ($P = 0.014$) had a significant influence with an additional
4 significant interaction between and sex and genotype ($P = 0.007$) (Table 1; Figure S2). For
5 coordinate 2 scores, only temperature was included in the final GLM ($P = 0.045$)

6 *(c) Characterization of Putative DMRs associated to sex determination using Methyl-Cap-seq*

7 After sequencing and subsequent alignment, the coverage of the read mappings across the
8 tilapia genome was calculated from a samtools “pileup” file: 96.5 % of the genome was
9 covered by less than 1 read, and about 1 % of the genome had an average coverage of more
10 than 4 reads. In total, 19.65 million *K. marmoratus* reads of genomic DNA reads mapped to
11 the tilapia genome of a total of 29.04 million, i.e. 67.65 %. For the *K. marmoratus* methyl
12 captured DNA, 4.39 million reads (male) mapped of a total of 7.24 million, (60.75%) and
13 4.04 million reads (hermaphrodite) mapped from a total of 6.67 million (60.66%). Read
14 numbers showed a simple linear correlation between both captured genomes (Figure S5).

15 A total of 18,225 windows across the compared genomes presented significantly
16 different normalised number of reads ($p < 0.001$) and were considered DMRs. We then
17 analysed genome locations where 11 *K. marmoratus* sequences related to sex-determination
18 or sex differentiation[33] aligned to tilapia with a minimum BLAST bit score of 192 (Figure
19 S3). Six of these sequences were present among the methylated-enriched sequences and
20 overlapped with DMRs. These included homologous to sex determination genes, namely
21 *cyp19a* (GL831420.1 on the tilapia genome), *Sox9a* (two different loci on the tilapia genome
22 GL831217.1 and GL831136.1), *dmrt1* (locus GL831221 on the tilapia genome), *foxl2* (locus
23 GL831570 on the tilapia genome) and gonadotropin-releasing hormone receptor (*GnRHR*)
24 (locus GL831133 on the tilapia genome). A further 35,894 windows were significant DMRs
25 at $p < 0.01$. Using the MACS2 peak calling algorithm [33], 827 peaks with statistically

1 significant different number of reads were called between the two samples. Of these, 482
2 were located in gene regions, while 30 overlapped with promoter regions (defined as 1.5kb
3 before the transcription start site) (Table S4; Figure S3). Observed methylation level
4 differences in these DMRs ranged from 2 to almost 300 fold (Figure S3A).

5

6 *(d) Validation of putative DMRs using High Resolution Melting Analysis*

7 We then used methylation sensitive High Resolution Melting (MS-HRM) to validate the
8 methylated status of three of the genes (*cyp19a*, *Sox9a* and *dmrt1*) in 17 individuals from the
9 R strain from all experimental groups. These genes had been previously identified as being
10 involved in sex-reversal regulated by temperature and controlled by DNA methylation [34,
11 37]. We found significantly higher levels of methylation in males incubated at low
12 temperature compared to the other three groups (hermaphrodites at low temperature and
13 males and hermaphrodites at high temperature for *Sox9a* (Figure 3a)). Conversely differences
14 in methylation related to incubation temperature during embryo development but not sex in
15 *cyp19a* (Figure 3b). Finally, HRM analysis for *dmrt1* did not display methylation differences
16 between sexes or temperatures (Figure 3c).

17 *(e) Analysis of temperature induced differential expression of differentially methylated sex*
18 *determination genes*

19 Relative expression of differentially methylated genes *sox 9a* and *cyp 19a1a* determined
20 by quantitative RT-qPCR indicated differences in relative expression for *sox9a* with males at
21 both temperatures showing lower expression in relation to all hermaphrodites (Figure 3d).
22 Based on the ΔC_t values the differences among the four groups were significant (KW=11.7,
23 P=0.008) and paired test indicated that there were significant differences between males and
24 hermaphrodites incubated at low temperatures (Mann-Withney U=6.8 P=0.009),
25 hermaphrodites incubated at high temperature and males at low (U=6.0 P=0.014) and high

1 temperatures (U=3.4 P=0.000), but not between hermaphrodites incubated at different
2 temperatures (U=1.5 P=0.221) or males incubated at different temperatures (U=0.6 P=0.439).
3 In contrast, the differences in expression of *cyp19a* were less clear, males incubated at both
4 temperatures displayed lower relative expression with males at high temperature presenting
5 the lowest levels of expression (Figure 3e) but the ΔC_t values indicated no significant
6 differences among the four groups (KW=3.1 P=0.376).

7

8 **Discussion**

9 Taken together, our results show that temperature-related variation in the sex-ratio of the
10 mixed-mating fish *Kryptolebias marmoratus* is related to changes in methylation patterns.
11 between males and hermaphrodites in this species results in offspring with increased genetic
12 diversity and lower parasite loads compared to selfed offspring [23, 24]. Therefore, changes
13 in the proportion of males are likely to influence outcrossing rates, offspring genetic diversity
14 and ultimately population fitness. Differences in the proportion of males found in natural
15 populations of *K. marmoratus* are attributed to environment-dependent sex
16 determination[38], with population sex ratios controlled by local environmental
17 conditions[39], but a regulatory mechanism has thus far not been described. However, the
18 proportion of males varies significantly between selfing lines even under constant rearing
19 conditions, suggesting a genetic component of sex determination in this species[40]. The
20 combination of environmental and genetic factors in sex regulation, a mechanism more
21 common to fish than previously recognised[41], seems the most plausible explanation for our
22 results. Epigenetic regulation of gene expression during gonad differentiation is involved in
23 the adaptive sex reversal observed in some fish and other organisms with genetic sex
24 determination[42]. For example, methylation has been related to sexual reversal in relation to

1 incubation temperature in the half-smooth tongue sole[34]. This is because, although also
2 linked to genomic imprinting [43], transposon immobilization [44] and suppression of
3 transcriptional noise [45], the major biological consequence of DNA methylation throughout
4 plants, fungi and animals is gene silencing [46]. Thus, transcription of sex-related genes can
5 be directly inhibited by methylated cytosine bases that preclude the association of DNA
6 transcription factors [47] or indirectly, mediated by methyl-CpG binding proteins [48]. DNA
7 methylation states are affected by the environment, providing a potential link between
8 phenotypic variation and genotype-environment interaction [49]. Epigenetic mechanisms,
9 especially DNA methylation, may be critical factors in sex determination and reproductive
10 development of certain plants and animals [50] and *K. marmoratus*, because of its life history
11 and genetic architecture, represents a particularly good model for epigenetic studies. We have
12 identified a number of genes involved in temperature sex-regulation potentially modulated by
13 DNA methylation in the mangrove killifish. We tested three of them, *cyp19a*, *sox9a* and
14 *dmrt1* using three methods (MethylCap-seq, well suited to assess relative differences between
15 samples [51] particularly when used in combination with next-generation sequencing [52],
16 MS-HRM and RT-qPCR of total RNA), and found evidence suggesting that two of them
17 (*cyp19a*, *sox9a*) could be involved in modulating *K. marmoratus* sex-ratios in response to
18 environmental change during embryo development. However, although methylation changed
19 significantly at a local level, these changes were not always in the same direction (i.e, all
20 DMRs showing higher methylation levels in males when compared with hermaphrodites, or
21 vice versa). Therefore, no significant global changes in methylation levels were observed
22 across the studied genomes. Differential DNA methylation of promoters can suppresses the
23 transcription of either male or female specific loci, thus determining an individual's sex [53].
24 The inactivation of one X chromosome in female mammals [43] is a well-known example of
25 epigenetic control and there is indication that there is a similar mechanism in some dioecious

1 plants [54]; experimental modification of DNA methylation in plants has also been shown to
2 induce sex reversal [55]. For some time DNA methylation was hypothesized to be involved
3 in sex determination of fish [56] and more recently methylation of the gonadal aromatase
4 promoter (*cyp 19a*) has been related to the regulation of temperature-mediated sex ratios in
5 two fish with environmental and genetic sex-determination, the European sea bass
6 (*Dicentrarchus labrax*)[37] and the half-smooth tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*)[34],
7 suggesting that DNA methylation is indeed a crucial mechanism linking environmental
8 temperature and sex determination in some fish species.

9 The duplicated *sox9a* and *sox9b* are critical for testis differentiation in fish mammals,
10 but also seem to be highly expressed in the brain[57], particularly *sox9a*. We found that
11 *sox9a* was hypermethylated in *K. marmoratus* males incubated at low temperature and the
12 expression of the gene was lower in males than in hermaphrodites, providing for the first time
13 an explanation for the increase of males at low incubation temperatures. We also found that
14 the expression of *cyp19a1a* was down-regulated in the brain of males incubated at 25°C, but
15 lower levels of methylation at higher temperatures in both sexes. This contradicts previous
16 studies in this species where *cyp19a1a* was downregulated in fish incubated at 20°C [33] but
17 coincides with those in seabass where high incubation temperatures result in higher
18 methylation of the promoter and decreased the expression of this gene in males [37].
19 Expression levels of *cyp19a1a* vary temporally and between tissues during fish
20 embryogenesis [37] and possibly in relation to the genotype in *K. marmoratus* [33]. In
21 contrast to mammals, adult teleost fish display a very intense expression of aromatase genes
22 (*cyp19a1a* and *cyp19a1b*) in the brain, but less is known regarding differences in brain
23 aromatase activities between sexes[58]. A more detailed temporal study including different
24 tissues may be needed to fully understand the role of methylation in the regulation of this
25 gene in *K. marmoratus* under different environmental conditions. Finally, we found that

1 *dmrt1* was differentially methylated between male and hermaphrodite in the Methyl-cap-seq
2 analysis, but the MS-HRM results did not show any differences between any of the
3 sex/temperature groups, possibly reflecting individual differences that could not be taken into
4 account with the initial sequencing.

5 Sex ratios also vary with environmental conditions in other mixed mating species, for
6 example the proportion of males increases in *Caenorhabditis elegans* under stressful
7 conditions[59], and populations that strictly outcross with males show a clear fitness
8 advantage with respect to obligate selfed populations, displaying better ability to adapt to
9 environmental change[59, 60]. Thus, males may play an important adaptive role in mixed-
10 mating species where hermaphrodites can self-fertilise but not outcross with other
11 hermaphrodites. The environmental instability of the *K. marmoratus* habitat[61], widely
12 different availability of males in different areas (<1% to >20%) and the uniform distribution
13 of isogenic lineages, without evidence of high local frequencies[62], suggest that
14 reproductive assurance[63] may be the main adaptive advantage of selfing for *K.*
15 *marmoratus*, as for other selfing species[62].

16 Our results serve to illustrate the role of an epigenetic mechanism (methylation) in
17 modulating sex ratios in a selfing species, and provide a potential mechanistic explanation for
18 the influence of the environment in maintaining variable selfing rates by modulating mate
19 availability. Methylation has also been associated to environment-dependent inbreeding
20 depression[18], that in turn can result in variable selfing rates. We suggest that this form of
21 epigenetic modification could provide a mechanism of control of sexual identity in species
22 exhibiting mixed mating systems and thereby a means by which environmental change may
23 directly influence the balance between selfing and outcrossing, optimising population
24 resilience in the light of inbreeding depression, mate availability and environmental
25 instability.

1

2 **Ethics statement**

3 All fish experimentation was carried out following the Association for the Study of Animal
4 Behaviour / Animal Behavior Society Guidelines for the Use of Animals in Research
5 (Animal Behaviour, 2006, 71, 245-253), in consultation with the NACWO officer of
6 Aberystwyth University.

7 **Data accessibility**

8 Sequences were archived under SRA project accession number - SRP033207.

9 **Competing interests**

10 We have no competing interests.

11 **Authors' contributions**

12 Conceived the work SC; carried out lab experiments AE, CMRL, PM, MM, RP; analysed the
13 data AE, CMRL, JB, MH, MS; wrote the manuscript SC, AE with help from CMRL, JB, MS
14 and MW.

15 **Funding:**

16 Funding was provided by a Waitt grant from the National Geographic Society (W76–09) to
17 S.C. and an IBERS PhD scholarship to A.E.

18 **Acknowledgments:**

19 We thank Dr Tina Bianco-Miotto, The University of Adelaide, for support and reagents for
20 HRM analysis. Carlos Garcia de Leaniz, Karl Hoffmann, Stuart Campbell, Kelly Zamudio
21 and Zamudio lab members provided very valuable discussion and comments.

1 **References**

- 2 1. Darwin C.R. 1876 *The effects of cross and self-fertilization in the vegetable kingdom*.
3 London, John Murray.
- 4 2. Fisher R.A. 1941 Average excess and average effect of a gene substitution. *Annals of*
5 *Eugenetics* **11**, 53-63.
- 6 3. Herlihy C.R., Eckert C.G. 2002 Genetic cost of reproductive assurance in a self-
7 fertilizing plant. *Nature* **416**(6878), 320-323.
- 8 4. Jarne P., Auld J.R. 2006 Animals mix it up too: the distribution of self-fertilization
9 among hermaphroditic animals. *Evolution* **60**(9), 1816-1824. (doi:doi:10.1554/06-246.1).
- 10 5. Prahlad V., Pilgrim D., Goodwin E.B. 2003 Roles for Mating and Environment in *C.*
11 *elegans* Sex Determination. *Science* **302**(5647), 1046-1049. (doi:10.1126/science.1087946).
- 12 6. Charlesworth D., Willis J.H. 2009 The genetics of inbreeding depression. *Nat Rev*
13 *Genet* **10**(11), 783-796.
- 14 7. Eckert C.G., Kalisz S., Geber M.A., Sargent R., Elle E., Cheptou P.-O., Goodwillie
15 C., Johnston M.O., Kelly J.K., Moeller D.A. 2010 Plant mating systems in a changing world.
16 *Trends Ecol Evol* **25**(1), 35-43.
- 17 8. Busch J.W., Delph L.F. 2012 The relative importance of reproductive assurance and
18 automatic selection as hypotheses for the evolution of self-fertilization. *Annals of Botany*
19 **109**(3), 553-562. (doi:10.1093/aob/mcr219).
- 20 9. Cheptou P.O., Donohue K. 2012 Epigenetics as a new avenue for the role of
21 inbreeding depression in evolutionary ecology. *Heredity*.
- 22 10. Tsitrone A., Jarne P., David P. 2003 Delayed Selfing and Resource Reallocations in
23 Relation to Mate Availability in the Freshwater Snail *Physa acuta*. *Am Nat* **162**(4), 474-488.
24 (doi:doi:10.1086/376889).

- 1 11. Schjørring S. 2009 Delayed selfing in relation to the availability of a mating partner in
2 the cestode schistocephalus solidus. *Evolution* **58**(11), 2591-2596.
- 3 12. Morran L.T., Cappy B.J., Anderson J.L., Phillips P.C., Schoen D.J. 2009 Sexual
4 Partners for the Stressed: Facultative Outcrossing in the Self-Fertilizing Nematode
5 *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Evolution* **63**(6), 1473-1482. (doi:doi:10.1111/j.1558-
6 5646.2009.00652.x).
- 7 13. Oliver B., Christina L.R., Massimo P. 2008 Epigenetics for ecologists. *Ecol Lett*
8 **11**(2), 106-115.
- 9 14. Steward N., Ito M., Yamaguchi Y., Koizumi N., Sano H. 2002 Periodic DNA
10 methylation in maize nucleosomes and demethylation by environmental stress. *J Biol Chem*
11 **277**(40), 37741-37746.
- 12 15. Cooney C.A., Dave A.A., Wolff G.L. 2002 Maternal methyl supplements in mice
13 affect epigenetic variation and DNA methylation of offspring. *The Journal of Nutrition*
14 **132**(8), 2393S-2400S.
- 15 16. Castonguay E., Angers B. 2012 The key role of epigenetics in the persistence of
16 asexual lineages. *Genetics Research International* **2012**, 9. (doi:10.1155/2012/534289).
- 17 17. Fox C.W., Reed D.H. 2011 Inbreeding depression increases with environmental
18 stress: An experimental study and meta-analysis. *Evolution* **65**(1), 246-258.
19 (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01108.x).
- 20 18. Vergeer P., Wagemaker N., Ouborg N.J. 2012 Evidence for an epigenetic role in
21 inbreeding depression. *Biol Lett* **8**(5), 798-801. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2012.0494).
- 22 19. Cheptou P.O., Mathias A. 2001 Can varying inbreeding depression select for
23 intermediary selfing rates? *Am Nat* **157**(4), 361-373. (doi:doi:10.1086/319320).

- 1 20. Taylor D.S. 2012 Twenty-four years in the mud: what have we learned about the
2 natural history and ecology of the mangrove rivulus, *Kryptolebias marmoratus*? *Integr Comp*
3 *Biol.* (doi:10.1093/icb/ics062).
- 4 21. Mackiewicz M., Tatarenkov A., Taylor D.S., Turner B.J., Avise J.C. 2006 Extensive
5 outcrossing and androdioecy in a vertebrate species that otherwise reproduces as a self-
6 fertilizing hermaphrodite. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**(26), 9924-9928.
7 (doi:10.1073/pnas.0603847103).
- 8 22. Harrington R.W.J. 1967 Environmentally controlled induction of primary male
9 gonochorists from eggs of the selffertilizing hermaphroditic fish, rivulus marmoratus poey.
10 *Biological Bulletin* **132**(2), 174-199.
- 11 23. Ellison A., Cable J., Consuegra S. 2011 Best of both worlds? Association between
12 outcrossing and parasite loads in a selfing fish. *Evolution* **65**(10), 3021-3026.
13 (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01354.x).
- 14 24. Ellison A., Allainguillaume J., Girdwood S., Pachebat J., Peat K.M., Wright P.,
15 Consuegra S. 2012 Maintaining functional major histocompatibility complex diversity under
16 inbreeding: the case of a selfing vertebrate. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
17 *Sciences* **279**(1749), 5004-5013. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.1929).
- 18 25. Ellison A., Jones J., Inchley C., Consuegra S. 2013 Choosy males could help
19 explaining androdioecy in a selfing fish. *Am Nat* **181**, 855-862.
- 20 26. Tatarenkov A., Ring B.C., Elder J.F., Bechler D.L., Avise J.C. 2010 Genetic
21 composition of laboratory stocks of the self-fertilizing fish *Kryptolebias marmoratus*: a
22 valuable resource for experimental research. *PLoS ONE* **5**(9), e12863.
23 (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012863).

- 1 27. Rodríguez López C.M., Morán P., Lago F., Espiñeira M., Beckmann M., Consuegra
2 S. 2011 Detection and quantification of tissue of origin in salmon and veal products using
3 methylation sensitive AFLPs. *Food Chemistry*.
- 4 28. Schjørring S., Jäger I. 2007 Incestuous mate preference by a simultaneous
5 hermaphrodite with strong inbreeding depression. *Evolution* **61**(2), 423-430.
6 (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00028.x).
- 7 29. Caballero A., Quesada H., Rolan-Alvarez E. 2008 Impact of amplified fragment
8 length polymorphism size homoplasmy on the estimation of population genetic diversity and
9 the detection of selective loci. *Genetics* **179**(1), 539-554. (doi:10.1534/genetics.107.083246).
- 10 30. Verhoeven K.J.F., Jansen J.J., van Dijk P.J., Biere A. 2010 Stress-induced DNA
11 methylation changes and their heritability in asexual dandelions. *New Phytol* **185**(4), 1108-
12 1118. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03121.x).
- 13 31. Motulsky H., Christopoulos A. 2004 *Fitting models to biological data using linear*
14 *and nonlinear regression: A practical guide to curve fitting*. USA, Oxford University Press.
- 15 32. Barton K. 2009 *MuMin: Multi-model inference*. 1.7.11 ed.
- 16 33. Strykowski J. 2011 Effects of temperature on gene expression and sex determination
17 in the mangrove rivulus, *Kryptolebias marmoratus*, University of Maryland.
- 18 34. Shao C., Li Q., Chen S., Zhang P., Lian J., Hu Q., Sun B., Jin L., Liu S., Wang Z., et
19 al. 2014 Epigenetic modification and inheritance in sexual reversal of fish. *Genome Research*
20 **24**(4), 604-615. (doi:10.1101/gr.162172.113).
- 21 35. Pfaffl M.W. 2001 A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time
22 RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res* **29**(9), e45-e45.
- 23 36. Yuan J.S., Stewart Jr C.N. 2005 Real-time PCR statistics. *PCR Encyclopedia* **1**,
24 101127-101149.

- 1 37. Navarro-Martin L., Vinas J., Ribas L., Diaz N., Gutierrez A., Di Croce L., Piferrer F.
2 2011 DNA methylation of the gonadal aromatase (*cyp19a*) promoter is involved in
3 temperature-dependent sex ratio shifts in the European sea bass. *PLoS Genet* **7**(12),
4 e1002447.
- 5 38. Harrington R.W. 1968 Delimitation of the thermolabile phenocritical period of sex
6 determination and differentiation in the ontogeny of the normally hermaphroditic fish *Rivulus*
7 *marmoratus* Poey. *Physiol Zool* **41**(4), 447-460.
- 8 39. Weibel A.C., Dowling T.E., Turner B.J. 1999 Evidence that an outcrossing population
9 is a derived lineage in a hermaphroditic fish (*Rivulus marmoratus*). *Evolution* **53**(4), 1217-
10 1225.
- 11 40. Turner B.J., Fisher M.T., Taylor D.S., Davis W.P., Jarrett B.L. 2006 Evolution of
12 ‘maleness’ and outcrossing in a population of the self-fertilizing killifish, *Kryptolebias*
13 *marmoratus*. *Evol Ecol Res* **8**, 1475–1486.
- 14 41. Ospina-Alvarez N., Piferrer F. 2008 Temperature-Dependent Sex Determination in
15 Fish Revisited: Prevalence, a Single Sex Ratio Response Pattern, and Possible Effects of
16 Climate Change. *PLoS ONE* **3**(7), e2837.
- 17 42. Manolakou P., Lavranos G., Angelopoulou R. 2006 Molecular patterns of sex
18 determination in the animal kingdom: a comparative study of the biology of reproduction.
19 *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* **4**(1), 59.
- 20 43. Jaenisch R. 1997 DNA methylation and imprinting: Why bother? *Trends Genet* **13**(8),
21 323-329.
- 22 44. Yoder J.A., Walsh C.P., Bestor T.H. 1997 Cytosine methylation and the ecology of
23 intragenomic parasites. *Trends Genet* **13**(8), 335-340.
- 24 45. Bird A.P. 1995 Gene number, noise reduction and biological complexity. *Trends*
25 *Genet* **11**(3), 94-100.

- 1 46. Colot V., Rossignol J. 1999 Eukaryotic DNA methylation as an evolutionary device.
2 *Bioessays* **21**(5), 402-411. (doi:10.1002/(sici)1521-1878(199905)21:5<402::aid-
3 bies7>3.0.co;2-b).
- 4 47. Watt F., Molloy P.L. 1988 Cytosine methylation prevents binding to DNA of a HeLa
5 cell transcription factor required for optimal expression of the adenovirus major late
6 promoter. *Genes Dev* **2**(9), 1136-1143. (doi:10.1101/gad.2.9.1136).
- 7 48. Boyes J., Bird A. 1991 DNA methylation inhibits transcription indirectly via a
8 methyl-CpG binding protein. *Cell* **64**(6), 1123-1134.
- 9 49. Richards E.J. 2006 Inherited epigenetic variation - revisiting soft inheritance. *Nature*
10 *Reviews Genetics* **7**(5), 395-401.
- 11 50. Spielman M., Vinkenoog R., Dickinson H.G., Scott R.J. 2001 The epigenetic basis of
12 gender in flowering plants and mammals. *Trends Genet* **17**(12), 705-711.
- 13 51. Li N., Ye M., Li Y., Yan Z., Butcher L.M., Sun J., Han X., Chen Q., Wang J. 2010
14 Whole genome DNA methylation analysis based on high throughput sequencing technology.
15 *Methods* **52**(3), 203-212.
- 16 52. Nair S.S., Coolen M.W., Stirzaker C., Song J.Z., Statham A.L., Strbenac D.,
17 Robinson M.D., Clark S.J. 2011 Comparison of methyl-DNA immunoprecipitation (MeDIP)
18 and methyl-CpG binding domain (MBD) protein capture for genome-wide DNA methylation
19 analysis reveal CpG sequence coverage bias. *Epigenetics* **6**(1), 34-44.
20 (doi:10.4161/epi.6.1.13313).
- 21 53. Gorelick R. 2003 Evolution of dioecy and sex chromosomes via methylation driving
22 Muller's ratchet. *Biol J Linn Soc* **80**(2), 353-368. (doi:10.1046/j.1095-8312.2003.00244.x).
- 23 54. Vyskot B., Araya A., Veuskens J., Negrutiu I., Mouras A. 1993 DNA methylation of
24 sex chromosomes in a dioecious plant, *Melandrium album*. *Mol Gen Genet* **239**(1), 219-224.
25 (doi:10.1007/bf00281621).

- 1 55. Janoušek B., Široký J., Vyskot B. 1996 Epigenetic control of sexual phenotype in a
2 dioecious plant, *Melandrium album*. *Mol Gen Genet* **250**(4), 483-490.
3 (doi:10.1007/bf02174037).
- 4 56. Martin C.C., McGowan R. 1995 Genotype-specific modifiers of transgene
5 methylation and expression in the zebrafish, *Danio rerio*. *Genetics Research* **65**(01), 21-28.
6 (doi:doi:10.1017/S0016672300032973).
- 7 57. Böhne A., Heule C., Boileau N., Salzburger W. 2013 Expression and Sequence
8 Evolution of Aromatase *cyp19a1* and Other Sexual Development Genes in East African
9 Cichlid Fishes. *Mol Biol Evol.* (doi:10.1093/molbev/mst124).
- 10 58. Diotel N., Page Y.L., Mouriec K., Tong S.-K., Pellegrini E., Vaillant C., Anglade I.,
11 Brion F., Pakdel F., Chung B.-c., et al. 2010 Aromatase in the brain of teleost fish:
12 Expression, regulation and putative functions. *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology* **31**(2), 172-
13 192. (doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2010.01.003>).
- 14 59. Morran L.T., Parmenter M.D., Phillips P.C. 2009 Mutation load and rapid adaptation
15 favour outcrossing over self-fertilization. *Nature* **462**(7271), 350-352.
- 16 60. Morran L.T., Schmidt O.G., Gelarden I.A., Parrish R.C., Lively C.M. 2011 Running
17 with the Red Queen: Host-Parasite Coevolution Selects for Biparental Sex. *Science*
18 **333**(6039), 216-218. (doi:10.1126/science.1206360).
- 19 61. Ellison A., Wright P., Taylor D.S., Cooper C., Regan K., Currie S., Consuegra S.
20 2012 Environmental diel variation, parasite loads, and local population structuring of a
21 mixed-mating mangrove fish. *Ecology and Evolution* **2**(7), 1682-1695.
22 (doi:10.1002/ece3.289).
- 23 62. Avise J.C., Tatarenkov A. 2012 Allard's argument versus Baker's contention for the
24 adaptive significance of selfing in a hermaphroditic fish. *Proceedings of the National*
25 *Academy of Sciences* **109**(46), 18862-18867. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1217202109).

1 63. Baker H.G. 1955 Self-Compatibility and Establishment After 'Long-Distance'
2 Dispersal. *Evolution* **9**(3), 347-349.

3

4 **Figure legends**

5 **Figure 1.** Logistic regression model predicting the probability of producing primary males
6 across a range of egg incubation temperatures. Black lines represent DAN strain and grey
7 lines represent R strain. Dotted lines indicate the 95% confidence interval bands.

8 **Figure 2.** Principal coordinates analysis (PCA) of variation in methylation epigenotypes
9 between hermaphrodite and male fish from R and DAN selfing lines, incubated at high and
10 low temperatures, based on presence/absence scores of polymorphic methylation-sensitive
11 amplified (MS-AFLP) markers. High: 25°C egg incubation temperature, low: 18°C egg
12 incubation temperature.

13 **Figure 3.** (A-C) Determination of gene methylation level using High Resolution Melting
14 analysis. Normalised melting curves for *Sox9a* (A), of *cyp19a* (B), and *dmrt1* (C) promoters
15 in hermaphrodite (solid line) and male (dotted line) fish incubated at 25°C (red) or 18°C
16 (blue) from a single selfing line (R). Small squares represent the average melting curves for
17 each sex/temperature combination. (D-E) Determination of expression using RT-qPCR.
18 Relative expression of *Sox9a* (D), of *cyp19a* (D) genes in males (dotted bar) and
19 hermaphrodites (full bar) incubated at different temperatures (25°C (red) or 18°C (blue))
20 using 18S rRNA as endogenous control and normalised against the expression value of the
21 most common phenotype in nature (hermaphrodites incubated at 25°C).

1 **Table 1.** Results of generalized linear models (GLMs) evaluating the effect of genotype, sex
2 and temperature on principal coordinates (PCA) scores. PCA scores derived from variation in
3 methylation epigenotypes between samples (R and DAN males and hermaphrodites incubated
4 at high and low temperatures), based on presence/absence scores of 63 polymorphic
5 methylation-sensitive amplified fragment length polymorphism (MS-AFLP) markers.
6 Significant values shown in **bold**. Genotype represents the scores of the first principal
7 component of the *MspI* PCA analysis.

Parameter	df	F	P
<i>Coordinate 1</i>			
Genotype	1	38.922	<0.001
Sex	1	2.512	0.014
Temperature	1	0.802	0.425
Genotype x Sex	1	2.796	0.007
Temperature x Sex	1	-1.620	0.108
Error	71		
<i>Coordinate 2</i>			
Temperature	1	-2.045	0.045
Error	75		

8