



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in : Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra

Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa20867

Paper:

Beggs, E. & Brzeziski, T. (2014). Noncommutative differential operators, Sobolev spaces and the centre of a category. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 218*(1), 1-17.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2013.04.006

This article is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Authors are personally responsible for adhering to publisher restrictions or conditions. When uploading content they are required to comply with their publisher agreement and the SHERPA RoMEO database to judge whether or not it is copyright safe to add this version of the paper to this repository. http://www.swansea.ac.uk/iss/researchsupport/cronfa-support/

Noncommutative differential operators, Sobolev spaces and the centre of a category

E.J. Beggs & Tomasz Brzeziński Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Wales.

December 30, 2013

Abstract

We consider differential operators over a noncommutative algebra A generated by vector fields. These are shown to form a unital associative algebra of differential operators, and act on A-modules E with covariant derivative. We use the repeated differentials given in the paper to give a definition of noncommutative Sobolev space for modules with connection and Hermitian inner product. The tensor algebra of vector fields, with a modified bimodule structure and a bimodule connection, is shown to lie in the centre of the bimodule connection category ${}_{A}\mathcal{E}_{A}$, and in fact to be an algebra in the centre. The crossing natural transformation in the definition of the centre of the category is related to the action of the differential operators on bimodules with connection.

1 Introduction

The reader will be familiar with the local description of differential operators involving partial derivatives along coordinate directions on a manifold. This can be modified to be given in terms of derivatives along globally defined vector fields. In this paper we will consider the noncommutative analogue of such differential operators. We will not consider pseudo-differential or fractional differential operators.

Take a possibly noncommutative algebra A, with differential structure given by a differential graded algebra $\Omega^n A$ (with $\Omega^0 A = A$), differential d : $\Omega^n A \to \Omega^{n+1} A$ (with $d^2 = 0$) and product \wedge (see [5] for a more detailed description). We shall assume that $\Omega^1 A$ is finitely generated projective as a right A module, and set the vector fields $\operatorname{Vec} A = \operatorname{Hom}_A(\Omega^1 A, A)$, the right module maps from $\Omega^1 A$ to A. These vector fields will not act on the algebra A as derivations in general. However this dual idea is just what corresponds to vector fields in commutative geometry.

We take the tensor algebra of vector fields,

$$\mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec} A = A \bigoplus \operatorname{Vec} A \bigoplus \operatorname{Vec} A \bigoplus_A \operatorname{Vec} A \bigoplus_A$$

and give it a new associative product \bullet , involving differentiating the vector fields. This new algebra \mathcal{T} Vec A_{\bullet} then acts on modules with covariant derivative by repeated differentiation. This is the content of one of the main results, Theorem 4.5.

One feature of this paper is the number of covariant derivatives used, but this should not be too surprising. Given a left module E with a left covariant derivative $\nabla_E : E \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A E$, if we apply the covariant derivative twice (without using the wedge product, which would give curvature) we have to differentiate elements of $\Omega^1 A$, and the most convenient way to do that is by a right covariant derivative $\Box : \Omega^1 A \to$ $\Omega^1 A \otimes_A \Omega^1 A$. The vector fields VecA are dually paired with $\Omega^1 A$, and we obtain an induced left covariant derivative $\Box : \operatorname{Vec} A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec} A$. (It will be convenient to overload the symbol \Box and distinguish these just by their domain.) We also have to assume that \Box is a bimodule covariant derivative. This idea had its origins in [8, 7] and [22], and was later used in [17, 9]. In [4] it was shown that this idea allowed tensoring of bimodules with connections.

We use the category ${}_{A}\mathcal{E}_{A}$ of A-bimodules with bimodule covariant derivative (see Definition 2.5). The A-bimodule $\mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec}A_{\bullet}$ with the bimodule covariant derivative given in Proposition 7.1 is in the centre $\mathcal{Z}({}_{A}\mathcal{E}_{A})$ of ${}_{A}\mathcal{E}_{A}$, using the natural transformation $\vartheta : \mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec}A_{\bullet}\otimes_{A} - \Rightarrow - \otimes_{A}\mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec}A_{\bullet}$ defined in Proposition 6.1. In addition $\mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec}A_{\bullet}$ is a unital associative algebra in $\mathcal{Z}({}_{A}\mathcal{E}_{A})$, using the previously mentioned product \bullet . The natural transformation ϑ is related to the action of differential fields on modules with connection by Fig. 8. This is the content of the other of the main results, Theorem 8.2.

We can view classical vector fields as the Lie algebra of the diffeomorphism group, or 'infinitesimal' diffeomorphisms. Then we can give a coproduct on the tensor algebra over the Lie algebra, and make a bialgebra. It might be expected that a similar construction in the noncommutative case would give rise to a Hopf algebroid [3], or something similar. However those familiar with the theory of Hopf algebroids will be expecting complications. We have given the crossing natural transformation ϑ and the centre of the category construction as an alternative method to describe the action of differential operators on tensor products of bimodules with bimodule connection. It is not at all obvious whether a coproduct can be made to work, and we would be happy to hear from other authors on the matter. One interesting coproduct, though not quite what is needed in this case, is the braided shuffle coproduct [23].

In Section 5 we define noncommutative Sobolev spaces for modules with connection, using Hermitian inner products. [Here make the assumption, not required elsewhere, that the algebra A is a dense subalgebra of a C^* -algebra.] The reader who is familiar with Sobolev spaces will probably (and correctly) think that this is the easy part. However it is our hope that this definition will prompt people to look at the difficult part, the Sobolev embedding results. The interesting part here is that the dimension of the manifold explicitly appears in the classical Sobolev embedding results. Presumably a noncommutative version would have to make reference to a dimension of the algebra A, and there are quite a few different definitions of dimension to choose from.

It would be rather dishonest if we were to imply that many of the results were originally proved in the line by line form used for proofs here, it was much more usual to originally work with a diagrammatic form, and we give several figures to illustrate this. This form is basically the usual diagrams for the monoidal category of A-bimodules with \otimes_A as the tensor operation. The reader is reminded that the tensor product $E \otimes_A F$ is the tensor product over the field $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F$, quotiented by the additional relations

$$e.a \otimes f - e \otimes a.f = 0 ,$$

for all $e \in E$, $f \in F$ and $a \in A$. It is the appropriate tensor product to use to replace the pointwise tensor product of sections of vector bundles in the commutative case. If A = C(X) (the continuous functions on X) and E and F are modules of sections on vector bundles over X, then $E \otimes_A F$ is the sections of the tensor product bundle. Now, many of the diagrams or equations we will use involve operations which are not bimodule maps, and therefore do not sit neatly within this bimodule tensor category formulation. The best example of this is in Proposition 2.4, where we have an operation $\nabla_{E \otimes F}$ on a tensor product $E \otimes_A F$, which is a sum of two operations, but neither of these two operations is well defined on $E \otimes_A F$ (i.e. they may differ when applied to $e.a \otimes f$ and $e \otimes a.f$). The reader should think of these operations as being applied to a fixed element of the tensor product over the field $E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F$, with operations being lifted to operations on the tensor product over the field also. In this manner each diagram or equation can be given a meaning, and the problem of well definition over \otimes_A checked later.

The reader should note that we continue with the bimodule covariant derivative and finitely generated projective assumption for $\Omega^1 A$ stated in Section 2, and the consequences for VecA, throughout the rest of the paper. For notation, the reader should note that we use the 'generalised braidings' σ and σ^{-1} in a manner consistent with the notation on braided categories, so we may define σ^{-1} as the basic object, and denote its inverse (if it exists) by σ . Similarly we often use the symbols σ and σ^{-1} to stand for different maps, which are distinguished by their domains. This overloading of symbols is quite well defined, and was found to be preferable to adding many subscripts to the formulae.

The authors would like to thank N.C. Phillips for useful advice on operator algebras, and S. Majid and R. Street for very helpful comments regarding category theory.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Finitely generated projective modules

Let A be a possibly noncommutative unital algebra. The *(right) dual* F' of a right A-module F is defined to be $\operatorname{Hom}_A(F, A)$, the right module maps from F to A. Then F' has a left module structure given by $(a.\alpha)(f) = a.\alpha(f)$ for all $\alpha \in F'$, $a \in A$ and $f \in F$.

Definition 2.1 A right A-module F is said to be finitely generated projective if there are $f^i \in F$ and $f_i \in F'$ (for integer $1 \le i \le n$) (the 'dual basis') so that for all $f \in F$, $f = \sum f^i f_i(f)$. From this it follows directly that $\alpha = \sum \alpha(f^i) f_i$ for all $\alpha \in F'$. The A valued matrix $P_{qj} = f_q(f^j)$ is an idempotent associated to the module.

If F is a bimodule, then F' is also a bimodule, with left module structure given previously, and right module structure $(\alpha.a)(f) = \alpha(a.f)$. If in addition F is finitely generated projective as a right A-module, we have bimodule maps

$$\operatorname{ev}: F' \underset{A}{\otimes} F \to A \ , \quad \operatorname{coev}: A \to F \underset{A}{\otimes} F' \ ,$$

given by $ev(\alpha \otimes f) = \alpha(f)$ and $coev(1_A) = \sum_i f^i \otimes f_i$. These obey the identities

$$(\operatorname{ev} \otimes \operatorname{id})(\operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{coev}(1_A)) = \operatorname{id} : F' \to F', (\operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{ev})(\operatorname{coev}(1_A) \otimes \operatorname{id}) = \operatorname{id} : F \to F.$$
 (1)

In this paper we shall use the usual diagrammatic notation for tensor or monoidal categories. Here vertical lines represent the identity maps between objects (modules in our case), and tensor product is given by writing the vertical lines next to each other. The diagrams are meant to be read from top to bottom.



We give the usual relation between the evaluation and coevaluation maps (already given in (1)) in pictorial form in Fig. 1. Note that the algebra A is represented by an 'invisible line' in accordance with the usual diagrammatic notation of the unit element in monoidal categories.

2.2 Differential calculi and covariant derivatives

Let A be a unital algebra over \mathbb{C} . Suppose that the algebra A has a differential structure $(\Omega A, d)$ in the sense of a differential graded exterior algebra $\Omega A = \bigoplus_n \Omega^n A$ with d increasing degree by 1 and obeying the graded Leibniz rule, and $d^2 = 0$. We suppose that $\Omega^1 A$ generates the exterior algebra over A, and that $\Omega^1 A = A.dA$. The notion of a covariant derivative in this context is standard [6]:

Definition 2.2 Given a left A-module E, a left A-covariant derivative is a map ∇ : $E \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A E$ which obeys the condition $\nabla(a.e) = da \otimes e + a.\nabla e$ for all $e \in E$ and $a \in A$.

In classical differential geometry there is no difference in whether we multiply a section of a vector bundle by a function on the left or right. In the noncommutative case there is a difference, and for a bimodule we could require the Leibniz rule for both left and right multiplication, but this would turn out to be too restrictive. Instead, following [8, 7] and [22], we make the following definition:

Definition 2.3 A bimodule covariant derivative on an A-bimodule E is a triple (E, ∇, σ) , where $\nabla : E \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A E$ is a left A-covariant derivative, and $\sigma : E \otimes_A \Omega^1 A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A E$ is a bimodule map obeying

$$\nabla(e.a) = \nabla(e).a + \sigma(e \otimes da) , \qquad \forall e \in E, \ a \in A$$

Now we consider one of the most immediate reasons to define the bimodule covariant derivative, that is to have a covariant derivative on tensor products of bimodules. As mentioned in the introduction, this occurred in [4].

Proposition 2.4 Given (E, ∇_E, σ_E) a bimodule covariant derivative on the bimodule E and ∇_F a left covariant derivative on the left module F, there is a left A-covariant derivative on $E \otimes_A F$ given by

$$\nabla_{E \otimes F} = \nabla_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F + (\sigma_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F)(\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \nabla_F)$$

Further if F is also an A-bimodule with a bimodule covariant derivative (∇_F, σ_F) , then there is a bimodule covariant derivative $(\nabla_{E \otimes_A F}, \sigma_{E \otimes_A F})$ on $E \otimes_A F$ with

$$\sigma_{E\otimes F} = (\sigma_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma_F)$$

To illustrate Proposition 2.4 it will be useful to introduce a picture, Fig. 2. This is a formula for $\nabla_{E \otimes F}$ which illustrates Proposition 2.4 in a pictorial fashion, and should be read in the manner of a braid diagram, going down the page. Note that $\sigma_E : E \otimes_A \Omega^1 A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A E$ has been written in Fig. 2 after the manner of a braid, so that we may distinguish it from its inverse (if it exists) $\sigma_E^{-1} : \Omega^1 A \otimes_A E \to E \otimes_A \Omega^1 A$, which would be written with the crossing the other way.



The reader should note that neither term in Fig. 2 in isolation is well defined on $E \otimes_A F$, only the sum is. It will be a general principle of our formulae or diagrams that care must be taken with operators which are not module maps. It will be convenient to think of the operators as defined on $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$ rather than on \otimes_A , with a separate check that the required sum of operations is well defined on the given domain. Similarly, to illustrate the formula for $\sigma_{E \otimes F}$ in Proposition 2.4 we use Fig. 3:



Note that we are not implying that we have any form of braid relation by using this notation. However we must comment on notation in the paper. From hard experience of the confusion caused by trying it the other way, we shall shall describe products of various σ and σ^{-1} as braids (we continue to use this term even in the absence of any braid relation, and may use the term 'generalised braids'), and frequently not give any explicit dependence on domain. The domains can easily be established by a study of the formula or diagram.

Definition 2.5 The category ${}_{A}\mathcal{E}$ consists of objects (E, ∇_E) , where E is a left Amodule, and ∇_E is a left covariant derivative on E. The morphisms $T : (E, \nabla_E) \rightarrow (F, \nabla_F)$ consist of left module maps $T : E \rightarrow F$ for which $(\mathrm{id} \otimes T) \nabla_E = \nabla_F T : E \rightarrow \Omega^1 A \otimes_A F$.

The category ${}_{A}\mathcal{E}_{A}$ consists of objects $(E, \nabla_{E}, \sigma_{E})$, where E is an A-bimodule, and (∇_{E}, σ_{E}) is a bimodule covariant derivative on E, and where $\sigma_{E} : E \otimes_{A} \Omega^{1}A \rightarrow \Omega^{1}A \otimes_{A}E$ is invertible. The morphisms $T : (E, \nabla_{E}, \sigma_{E}) \rightarrow (F, \nabla_{F}, \sigma_{F})$ consist of bimodule maps $T : E \rightarrow F$ for which $(\mathrm{id} \otimes T)\nabla_{E} = \nabla_{F}T : E \rightarrow \Omega^{1}A \otimes_{A}F$. It is then automatically true that $\sigma_{F}(T \otimes \mathrm{id}) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes T)\sigma_{E}$. Taking the identity object as the algebra A itself, with $\nabla = \mathrm{d} : A \rightarrow \Omega^{1}A \otimes_{A}A \cong \Omega^{1}A$ and tensor product as in Proposition 2.4, makes ${}_{A}\mathcal{E}_{A}$ into a monoidal category.

2.3 Vector fields and *n*-tuples of vector fields

We now come to a controversial question: what is a noncommutative vector field on an algebra A? We may as well be honest and say that we shall not consider derivations on an algebra, though it is possible to use a generalised idea of derivation, see [12, 2], and in certain circumstances the idea of braided derivation would apply, see [20, 15]. We shall take the approach that the vector fields VecA are the dual of the 1-forms $\Omega^1 A$ (see[1]).

With quite weak conditions on the topology, the space of sections of a locally trivial finite dimensional vector bundle on a finite dimensional space X obeys the finitely generated projective property as a module over C(X), the continuous functions on X. This is part of the content of the Serre-Swan theorem, and is the foundation of K-theory. From this, it is reasonable to suggest that the module of 1-forms on a 'finite dimensional noncommutative manifold', whatever that is, should be finitely generated projective. As we have seen in Subsection 2.1, there is then a nice idea of the dual, and we define, as in the classical case, the vector fields VecA as the dual of the 1-forms $\Omega^1 A$. As we are in the noncommutative case, we need to choose a side, so we choose $\Omega^1 A$ to be finitely generated projective as a right module, and then we take VecA to be the right module maps from $\Omega^1 A$ to A. There is of course a certain symmetry here, we could have defined $\Omega^1 A$ as the left dual of VecA. However as A is considered to be an algebra, the idea of a differential calculus as a differential graded algebra extending A has proven to be more popular, and thus we take $\Omega^1 A$ as the fundamental object.

We suppose that $\Omega^1 A$ is finitely generated projective as a right A module, and set $\operatorname{Vec} A = \operatorname{Hom}_A(\Omega^1 A, A)$. We denote the evaluation and coevaluation maps by

$$\mathrm{ev}: \mathrm{Vec} A \underset{A}{\otimes} \Omega^1 A \to A \ , \quad \mathrm{coev}: A \to \Omega^1 A \underset{A}{\otimes} \mathrm{Vec} A \ .$$

Copying from Fig. 1, the relation between the evaluation and coevaluation maps is given by Fig. 4.



We shall require multiple copies of 1-forms and vector fields, so we define

where we have *n* copies of Vec*A* and $\Omega^1 A$. It is important to note that the definition of Vec^{$\otimes n$} *A* and $\Omega^{\otimes n} A$ uses \otimes_A , the tensor product over the algebra. For clarity, we will often use id^{$\otimes n$} as the identity on Vec^{$\otimes n$} *A* or $\Omega^{\otimes n} A$.

Definition 2.6 Define the n-fold evaluation map $ev^{\langle n \rangle} : Vec^{\otimes n}A \otimes_A \Omega^{\otimes n}A \to A$ recursively by

$$\operatorname{ev}^{\langle 1 \rangle} = \operatorname{ev}, \quad \operatorname{ev}^{\langle n+1 \rangle} = \operatorname{ev} (\operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{ev}^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \operatorname{id}),$$

and the coevaluation map $\operatorname{coev}^{\langle n \rangle} : A \to \Omega^{\otimes n} A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$ by

$$\operatorname{coev}^{\langle 1 \rangle} = \operatorname{coev}, \quad \operatorname{coev}^{\langle n+1 \rangle} = (\operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{coev}^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \operatorname{id}) \operatorname{coev},$$

To illustrate Definition 2.6 we use Fig. 5, the coevaluation diagram looks similar but upside down!



3 Covariant derivatives on fields and forms

Consider a right covariant derivative on $\Omega^1 A$ and the corresponding dual left covariant derivative on VecA. We then extend these to *n*-tuples of forms and fields, and consider the generalised braiding given by bimodule covariant derivatives.

3.1 A right connection on tensor products of 1-forms

We begin with the right handed analogue of Definitions 2.2 and 2.3. Suppose that $\Box : \Omega^1 A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \Omega^1 A$ is a right bimodule covariant derivative, so it satisfies, for $\xi \in \Omega^1 A$ and $a \in A$,

$$\Box(\xi.a) = \Box(\xi).a + \xi \otimes da ,$$

$$\Box(a.\xi) = a.\Box(\xi) + \sigma^{-1}(da \otimes \xi) .$$
(2)

Here σ^{-1} : $\Omega^1 A \otimes_A \Omega^1 A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \Omega^1 A$ is a bimodule map, and we shall assume that it is invertible with inverse σ . [The reader should note that the inverse in σ^{-1} is a convention, set to be compatible with the use of σ for left bimodule covariant derivatives, and to be compatible with the notation for braided categories as previously described.] Now \Box extends to a right bimodule covariant derivative $\Box^{\langle n \rangle} : \Omega^{\otimes n} A \to \Omega^{\otimes n+1} A$, defined recursively by

$$\Box^{\langle 0 \rangle} = \mathrm{d} , \quad \Box^{\langle 1 \rangle} = \Box , \Box^{\langle n+1 \rangle} = \mathrm{id}^{\otimes n} \otimes \Box + (\mathrm{id}^{\otimes n} \otimes \sigma^{-1}) (\Box^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 1})$$

3.2 A left connection on tensor products of vector fields

Suppose that $\Box : \Omega^1 A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \Omega^1 A$ is a right bimodule covariant derivative, with generalised braiding $\sigma^{-1} : \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \Omega^1 A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \Omega^1 A$ invertible (the inverse being denoted σ). Then there is a left covariant derivative $\Box : \operatorname{Vec} A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec} A$ so that

$$\mathbf{d} \circ \mathbf{ev} = (\mathbf{id} \otimes \mathbf{ev})(\Box \otimes \mathbf{id}) + (\mathbf{ev} \otimes \mathbf{id})(\mathbf{id} \otimes \Box) : \operatorname{Vec} A \underset{A}{\otimes} \Omega^1 A \to \Omega^1 A .$$
(3)

[It will be convenient to use \Box for both this covariant derivative and the one on $\Omega^1 A$, and distinguish them by their domains.] We give a pictorial interpretation of (3) in Fig. 6. Note that in the diagram to the left of the equality in Fig. 6 we assign a visible line to A, so that we can see what the derivative $d: A \to \Omega^1 A$ applies to.



The covariant derivative in (3) is defined, using $\operatorname{coev}(1) = \alpha \otimes w \in \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec} A$ (summation implicit), as

$$\Box(v) = \mathrm{d}(v(\alpha)) \otimes w - (\mathrm{ev} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(v \otimes \Box(\alpha) \otimes w) .$$

To show that this works, first we need to note that it only depends on $\alpha \otimes w \in \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec} A$ (emphasis on \otimes_A), as v is a right A-module map. Then we need to

use $a.\operatorname{coev}(1) = \operatorname{coev}(1).a \in \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec} A$ for all $a \in A$, which follows from coev being a bimodule map. Then \Box is a left bimodule covariant derivative, as, for $v \in \operatorname{Vec} A$,

$$\Box(v.a) = \Box(v).a + \sigma(v \otimes da) ,$$

$$\Box(a.v) = a.\Box(v) + da \otimes v ,$$

where $\sigma : \operatorname{Vec} A \otimes_A \Omega^1 A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec} A$ is defined by

$$\sigma = (\operatorname{ev} \otimes \operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{id})(\operatorname{id} \otimes \sigma^{-1} \otimes \operatorname{id})(\operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{coev}(1)) .$$
(4)

In (4), note that in line with the comment on notation in the introduction, the σ and σ^{-1} are not inverses of each other, as they have different domains. Then (4) is equivalent to Fig. 7, by using the usual properties of evaluation and coevaluation:



Now \Box extends to a left bimodule covariant derivative $\Box^{\langle n \rangle}$: $\operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$, defined recursively by

$$\Box^{\langle 0 \rangle} = \mathrm{d} , \quad \Box^{\langle 1 \rangle} = \Box , \Box^{\langle n+1 \rangle} = \Box \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes n} + (\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes n}) (\mathrm{id}^{\otimes 1} \otimes \Box^{\langle n \rangle}) .$$

Proposition 3.1 For all $n \ge 1$ we have

$$\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n\rangle} \ = \ (\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n\rangle})(\Box^{\langle n\rangle}\otimes\mathrm{id}) + (\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n\rangle}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Box^{\langle n\rangle}) : \mathrm{Vec}^{\otimes\,n}A \underset{A}{\otimes} \Omega^{\otimes\,n}A \to \Omega^1A \ .$$

Proof: The n = 1 case is true by definition of \Box on VecA. Now suppose that the statement is true for n, and take

$$v\otimes\underline{w}\otimes\underline{\beta}\otimes\alpha\in\mathrm{Vec}{}^{A}\mathop{\otimes}_{A}\mathrm{Vec}^{\mathop{\otimes}^{n}}A\mathop{\otimes}_{A}\mathop{\otimes}^{n}A\mathop{\otimes}_{A}\mathop{\otimes}^{n}A\mathop{\otimes}_{A}^{\alpha}A^{1}A\ .$$

Now calculate

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n+1\rangle})(\Box^{\langle n+1\rangle}\otimes\mathrm{id}^{\otimes n+1})(v\otimes\underline{w}\otimes\underline{\beta}\otimes\alpha) \\ = & (\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n+1\rangle})(\Box v\otimes\underline{w}\otimes\underline{\beta}\otimes\alpha+(\sigma\otimes\mathrm{id}^{\otimes n})(v\otimes\Box^{\langle n\rangle}\underline{w})\otimes\underline{\beta}\otimes\alpha) \\ = & (\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{ev})(\Box v\otimes\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n\rangle}(\underline{w}\otimes\underline{\beta}).\alpha+(\sigma\otimes\mathrm{id})(v\otimes(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n\rangle})(\Box^{\langle n\rangle}\underline{w}\otimes\underline{\beta})\otimes\alpha) \end{array} ,$$

and since from (4),

$$(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{ev})(\sigma\otimes\mathrm{id}) = (\mathrm{ev}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\sigma^{-1}) : \mathrm{Vec}A \underset{A}{\otimes} \Omega^{1}A \underset{A}{\otimes} \Omega^{1}A \to \Omega^{1}A ,$$

we have

$$(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n+1\rangle})(\Box^{\langle n+1\rangle}\otimes\mathrm{id}^{\otimes n+1})(v\otimes\underline{w}\otimes\beta\otimes\alpha)$$

$$= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{ev}) (\Box v \otimes \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle} (\underline{w} \otimes \underline{\beta}) . \alpha) + (\mathrm{ev} \otimes \mathrm{id}) (\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma^{-1}) (v \otimes (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle}) (\Box^{\langle n \rangle} w \otimes \beta) \otimes \alpha) .$$

Also calculate

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n+1\rangle}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes\,n+1}\otimes\square^{\langle n+1\rangle})(v\otimes\underline{w}\otimes\underline{\beta}\otimes\alpha) \\ = & (\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n+1\rangle}\otimes\mathrm{id})\big(v\otimes\underline{w}\otimes\underline{\beta}\otimes\square\alpha+v\otimes\underline{w}\otimes(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes\,n}\otimes\sigma^{-1})(\square^{\langle n\rangle}\underline{\beta}\otimes\alpha)\big) \\ = & (\mathrm{ev}\otimes\mathrm{id})\big(v\otimes\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n\rangle}(\underline{w}\otimes\underline{\beta}).\square\alpha+(\mathrm{id}\otimes\sigma^{-1})(v\otimes(\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n\rangle}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\underline{w}\otimes\square^{\langle n\rangle}\underline{\beta})\otimes\alpha)\big) \ . \end{array}$$

Now, using our assumption,

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n+1 \rangle})(\Box^{\langle n+1 \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes n+1}) + (\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n+1 \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes n+1} \otimes \Box^{\langle n+1 \rangle}) \\ (v \otimes \underline{w} \otimes \underline{\beta} \otimes \alpha) \\ = & (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{ev})(\Box v \otimes \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle}(\underline{w} \otimes \underline{\beta}).\alpha) + (\mathrm{ev} \otimes \mathrm{id})(v \otimes \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle}(\underline{w} \otimes \underline{\beta}).\Box \alpha) \\ & + (\mathrm{ev} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma^{-1})(v \otimes \left((\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle})(\Box^{\langle n \rangle}\underline{w} \otimes \underline{\beta}) + (\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\underline{w} \otimes \Box^{\langle n \rangle}\underline{\beta})\right) \otimes \alpha) \\ = & (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{ev})(\Box v \otimes \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle}(w \otimes \beta) \otimes \alpha) + (\mathrm{ev} \otimes \mathrm{id})(v \otimes \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle}(w \otimes \beta) \Box \alpha) \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

- $= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{ev})(\Box v \otimes \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle}(\underline{w} \otimes \underline{\beta}).\alpha) + (\mathrm{ev} \otimes \mathrm{id})(v \otimes \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle}(\underline{w} \otimes \underline{\beta}).\Box \alpha)$ $+ (\mathrm{ev} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma^{-1})(v \otimes \mathrm{dev}^{\langle n \rangle}(\underline{w} \otimes \underline{\beta}) \otimes \alpha)$
- $= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{ev}) \big(\Box v \otimes \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle}(\underline{w} \otimes \underline{\beta}) . \alpha \big) + (\mathrm{ev} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \big(v \otimes \Box (\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle}(\underline{w} \otimes \underline{\beta}) . \alpha) \big)$
- $= \operatorname{dev}(v \otimes \operatorname{ev}^{\langle n \rangle}(\underline{w} \otimes \underline{\beta}).\alpha)$
- $= \operatorname{d}\operatorname{ev}^{\langle n+1\rangle}(v\otimes\underline{w}\otimes\underline{\beta}\otimes\alpha) ,$

which completes the proof by induction. \Box

4 An action of tensor products of vector fields

We briefly remind the reader of some notation. In Section 3.1 we introduced \Box : $\Omega^1 A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \Omega^1 A$ as a right bimodule covariant derivative, with generalised braiding $\sigma^{-1}: \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \Omega^1 A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \Omega^1 A$ invertible (the inverse being denoted σ), and its extension to tensor products $\Box^{\langle n \rangle}: \Omega^{\otimes n} A \to \Omega^{\otimes n+1} A$. In Section 3.2 we introduced a dual left covariant derivative $\Box: \operatorname{Vec} A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec} A$ and its extension $\Box^{\langle n \rangle}:$ $\operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$.

Suppose that E is a left A module, with a left covariant derivative $\nabla : E \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A E$. We iterate this to define $\nabla^{(n)} : E \to \Omega^{\otimes n} A \otimes_A E$ recursively by

$$\nabla^{(1)} = \nabla , \quad \nabla^{(n+1)} = \left(\Box^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E + \mathrm{id}^{\otimes n} \otimes \nabla\right) \nabla^{(n)} . \tag{5}$$

To do this, we need to check that $\Box^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E + \mathrm{id}^{\otimes n} \otimes \nabla$ is a well defined operation on $\Omega^{\otimes n}A \otimes_A E$, which we do as follows, for $a \in A$, $\xi \in \Omega^{\otimes n}A$ and $e \in E$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\Box^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \operatorname{id}_E + \operatorname{id}^{\otimes n} \otimes \nabla)(\underline{\xi}.a \otimes e) &= & \Box^{\langle n \rangle}(\underline{\xi}).a \otimes e + \underline{\xi} \otimes \operatorname{da} \otimes e + \underline{\xi}.a \otimes \nabla e \ , \\ (\Box^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \operatorname{id}_E + \operatorname{id}^{\otimes n} \otimes \nabla)(\underline{\xi} \otimes a.e) &= & \Box^{\langle n \rangle}(\underline{\xi}) \otimes a.e + \underline{\xi} \otimes \operatorname{da} \otimes e + \underline{\xi} \otimes a.\nabla e \ . \end{array}$$

Now we can define an 'action' of $\underline{v} \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$ on $e \in E$ by

$$\underline{v} \triangleright e = (\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E) (\underline{v} \otimes \nabla^{(n)} e) .$$
(6)

The quotation marks in 'action' are due to the fact that we have not yet given the product with respect to which it is an action. This will be given in the forthcoming Theorem 4.5. It would, however, be slightly dishonest to pretend that Theorem 4.5 was obtained by a claim that the product was in any sense obvious, rather than by saying that the action is the obvious quantity. The following Lemma 4.1 about the action will be useful in several places.

Lemma 4.1 For the operation \triangleright in (6), with $\underline{v} \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$, $w \in \operatorname{Vec} A$ and $e \in E$,

$$w \triangleright (\underline{v} \triangleright e) = (w \otimes \underline{v}) \triangleright e + ((ev \otimes id^{\otimes n})(w \otimes \Box^{\langle n \rangle} \underline{v})) \triangleright e$$

Proof: Begin by using Prop. 3.1 on the definition of $\underline{v} \triangleright e$ as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(\underline{v} \triangleright e) &= \nabla \left(\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E \right) (\underline{v} \otimes \nabla^{\langle n \rangle} e) \\ &= \left(\mathrm{d} \, \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E + \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \nabla_E \right) (\underline{v} \otimes \nabla^{\langle n \rangle} e) \\ &= \left(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes 1} \otimes \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E \right) (\Box^{\langle n \rangle} \underline{v} \otimes \nabla^{\langle n \rangle} e) \\ &+ \left(\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E \right) (\underline{v} \otimes (\Box^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E + \mathrm{id}^{\otimes n} \otimes \nabla) \nabla^{\langle n \rangle} e) \\ &= \left(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes 1} \otimes \mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E \right) (\Box^{\langle n \rangle} \underline{v} \otimes \nabla^{\langle n \rangle} e) \\ &+ \left(\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E \right) (\Box^{\langle n \rangle} \underline{v} \otimes \nabla^{\langle n \rangle} e) \\ &+ \left(\mathrm{ev}^{\langle n \rangle} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes 1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E \right) (\underline{v} \otimes \nabla^{\langle n + 1 \rangle} e) . \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

Lemma 4.2 For all $k \ge 0$, the following recursive procedure gives a well defined function \bullet_k : $\operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n}A \otimes \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes m}A \to \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes k}A$ satisfying $(a.\underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w} = a.(\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w})$, for all $a \in A$. The definition is recursive in $n \ge 0$: The starting cases are (for $u \in \operatorname{Vec}A$ and $\underline{w} \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes m}A$)

$$n = 0 , \quad a \bullet_k \underline{w} = \begin{cases} a.\underline{w} & k = m \\ 0 & k \neq m \end{cases}$$
$$n = 1 , \quad u \bullet_k \underline{w} = \begin{cases} u \otimes w & k = m + 1 \\ (\operatorname{ev} \otimes \operatorname{id}^{\otimes m})(u \otimes \Box^{\langle m \rangle} \underline{w}) & k = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The definition continues with, for $\underline{v} \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$ (setting \bullet_{-1} to be zero),

$$(u \otimes \underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w} = u \otimes (\underline{v} \bullet_{k-1} \underline{w}) + u \bullet_k (\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w}) - (u \bullet_n \underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w}$$

Proof: We prove that (a) $\bullet_k : \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A \otimes \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes m} A \to \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes k} A$ is well defined, and that (b) $(a.\underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w} = a.(\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w})$ for all $a \in A$, by induction on $n \geq 0$. To begin, the explicit formulae for n = 0 and n = 1 have these properties. Now assume that (a) and (b) hold for n, and examine the n + 1 case.

For (a) we note that, a priori, the recursive definition in the statement only defines

•_k : (Vec
$$A \otimes \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$$
) $\otimes \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes m} A \to \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes k} A$.

Instead of $\operatorname{Vec} A \otimes \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$ we want $\operatorname{Vec} A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$, and that necessitates the following check: Continuing the notation of the statement, we require the equality of the following two quantities in $\operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes k} A$,

$$(u.a \otimes \underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w} = u.a \otimes (\underline{v} \bullet_{k-1} \underline{w}) + (u.a) \bullet_k (\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w}) - ((u.a) \bullet_n \underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w} , (u \otimes a.\underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w} = u \otimes ((a.\underline{v}) \bullet_{k-1} \underline{w}) + u \bullet_k ((a.\underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w}) - (u \bullet_n (a.\underline{v})) \bullet_k \underline{w} .$$
(7)

Using our assumption of (b) for n, we have

$$(u \otimes a.\underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w} = u \otimes a.(\underline{v} \bullet_{k-1} \underline{w}) + u \bullet_k (a.(\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w})) - (u \bullet_n (a.\underline{v})) \bullet_k \underline{w} .$$
(8)

From the statement, for $\underline{x} \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes s} A$,

$$(u.a) \bullet_s \underline{x} = u \bullet_s (a.\underline{x}) - \operatorname{ev}(u \otimes \operatorname{d} a).\underline{x}$$

and using this twice (for s = k and s = n) on (8) gives

$$(u \otimes a.\underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w} = u.a \otimes (\underline{v} \bullet_{k-1} \underline{w}) + (u.a) \bullet_k (\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w}) - ((u.a) \bullet_n \underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w} + \operatorname{ev}(u \otimes \operatorname{da}).(\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w}) - (\operatorname{ev}(u \otimes \operatorname{da}).\underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w} ,$$
(9)

and by the assumption (b) again we have the result that both quantities in (7) are identical.

For (b) we have, using the inductive hypothesis,

$$(a.u \otimes \underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w} = a.u \otimes (\underline{v} \bullet_{k-1} \underline{w}) + (a.u) \bullet_k (\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w}) - ((a.u) \bullet_n \underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w}$$

$$= a.u \otimes (\underline{v} \bullet_{k-1} \underline{w}) + a.(u \bullet_k (\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w})) - (a.(u \bullet_n \underline{v})) \bullet_k \underline{w}$$

$$= a.((u \otimes \underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w}) . \Box$$

The motivation behind Lemma 4.2 is given by the following result.

Proposition 4.3 For all $\underline{v} \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$ and $\underline{w} \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes m} A$,

$$\underline{v} \triangleright (\underline{w} \triangleright e) = \sum_{k \ge 0} (\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w}) \triangleright e .$$

Note that the indices in the summation are finite, as $\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w} = 0$ for k > n + m.

Proof: This is proved by induction on $n \ge 0$. The n = 0 case is automatic from the definition, and the n = 1 case is a combination of Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. Now suppose that the result is true for some $n \ge 1$, and consider

$$(u \otimes \underline{v}) \triangleright (\underline{w} \triangleright e)$$

where $u \in \text{Vec}A$ and $\underline{v} \in \text{Vec}^{\otimes n}A$. By Lemma 4.1 we can write

$$(u \otimes \underline{v}) \triangleright (\underline{w} \triangleright e) = u \triangleright (\underline{v} \triangleright (\underline{w} \triangleright e)) - ((\operatorname{ev} \otimes \operatorname{id}^{\otimes n})(u \otimes \Box^{\langle n \rangle} \underline{v})) \triangleright (\underline{w} \triangleright e) .$$

Now we can use our inductive assumption to write this as

$$(u \otimes \underline{v}) \triangleright (\underline{w} \triangleright e) = u \triangleright \sum_{k \ge 0} (\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w}) \triangleright e - \sum_{k \ge 0} \left(((\operatorname{ev} \otimes \operatorname{id}^{\otimes n})(u \otimes \Box^{\langle n \rangle} \underline{v})) \bullet_k \underline{w} \right) \triangleright e ,$$

and using Lemma 4.1 again gives the result (with a shift in summation index)

$$(u \otimes \underline{v}) \triangleright (\underline{w} \triangleright e) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \left(u \otimes (\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w}) + u \bullet_k (\underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w}) - (u \bullet_n \underline{v}) \bullet_k \underline{w} \right) \triangleright e \ . \quad \Box$$

Proposition 4.3 suggests that the following Proposition 4.4 might be true, but an explicit check is necessary.

Proposition 4.4 On the free tensor algebra

$$\mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A ,$$

the operation $\bullet : \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A \otimes \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A \to \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A$ defined by

$$\underline{v} \bullet \underline{w} = \sum_{k \ge 0} \underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w}$$

makes \mathcal{T} VecA into an associative algebra.

Proof: We prove that, for all $\underline{w}, \underline{x} \in \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A$,

$$\underline{v} \bullet (\underline{w} \bullet \underline{x}) = (\underline{v} \bullet \underline{w}) \bullet \underline{x} ,$$

for $\underline{v} \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$ by induction on n. The n = 0 case is just the left A linearity property which has already been noted in Lemma 4.2. Now suppose that the result is true for some $n \geq 0$. From Lemma 4.2, for $\underline{v} \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$ and $u \in \operatorname{Vec} A$,

$$(u \otimes \underline{v}) \bullet_k (\underline{w} \bullet_s \underline{x}) = u \otimes (\underline{v} \bullet_{k-1} (\underline{w} \bullet_s \underline{x})) + u \bullet_k (\underline{v} \bullet_k (\underline{w} \bullet_s \underline{x})) - (u \bullet_n \underline{v}) \bullet_k (\underline{w} \bullet_s \underline{x}) ,$$

and on summing over k and s, we find

$$(u \otimes \underline{v}) \bullet (\underline{w} \bullet \underline{x}) = u \otimes (\underline{v} \bullet (\underline{w} \bullet \underline{x})) - (u \bullet_n \underline{v}) \bullet (\underline{w} \bullet \underline{x}) + \sum_k u \bullet_k (\underline{v} \bullet_k (\underline{w} \bullet \underline{x})) .$$
(10)

Applying Lemma 4.2 twice,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \left(\left(u \otimes \underline{v} \right) \bullet_{k} \underline{w} \right) \bullet_{s} \underline{x} &= \left(u \otimes (\underline{v} \bullet_{k-1} \underline{w}) + u \bullet_{k} (\underline{v} \bullet_{k} \underline{w}) - \left(u \bullet_{n} \underline{v} \right) \bullet_{k} \underline{w} \right) \bullet_{s} \underline{x} \\ &= u \otimes \left((\underline{v} \bullet_{k-1} \underline{w}) \bullet_{s-1} \underline{x} \right) + u \bullet_{s} \left((\underline{v} \bullet_{k-1} \underline{w}) \bullet_{s} \underline{x} \right) \\ &- \left(u \bullet_{k-1} (\underline{v} \bullet_{k-1} \underline{w}) \right) \bullet_{s} \underline{x} \\ &+ \left(u \bullet_{k} (\underline{v} \bullet_{k} \underline{w}) - \left(u \bullet_{n} \underline{v} \right) \bullet_{k} \underline{w} \right) \bullet_{s} \underline{x} \,, \end{array}$$

and on summing over k and s, we find

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \left(\left(u \otimes \underline{v} \right) \bullet \underline{w} \right) \bullet \underline{x} & = & u \otimes \left(\left(\underline{v} \bullet \underline{w} \right) \bullet \underline{x} \right) + \sum_{s} u \bullet_{s} \left(\left(\underline{v} \bullet \underline{w} \right) \bullet_{s} \underline{x} \right) \\ & & - \sum_{k} \left(u \bullet_{k-1} \left(\underline{v} \bullet_{k-1} \underline{w} \right) \right) \bullet \underline{x} \end{array}$$

$$+\sum_{k} \left(u \bullet_{k} (\underline{v} \bullet_{k} \underline{w}) \right) \bullet \underline{x} - \left((u \bullet_{n} \underline{v}) \bullet \underline{w} \right) \bullet \underline{x} \\ = u \otimes ((\underline{v} \bullet \underline{w}) \bullet \underline{x}) + \sum_{s} u \bullet_{s} ((\underline{v} \bullet \underline{w}) \bullet_{s} \underline{x}) - \left((u \bullet_{n} \underline{v}) \bullet \underline{w} \right) \bullet \underline{x} .$$

By using the inductive assumption, we can rewrite this as

$$((u \otimes \underline{v}) \bullet \underline{w}) \bullet \underline{x} = u \otimes (\underline{v} \bullet (\underline{w} \bullet \underline{x})) + \sum_{s} u \bullet_{s} ((\underline{v} \bullet \underline{w}) \bullet_{s} \underline{x}) - (u \bullet_{n} \underline{v}) \bullet (\underline{w} \bullet \underline{x}) ,$$

and combining this with (10) gives (relabeling one index from k to s)

$$= \sum_{s}^{\left(\left(u \otimes \underline{v}\right) \bullet \underline{w}\right) \bullet \underline{x} - \left(u \otimes \underline{v}\right) \bullet \left(\underline{w} \bullet \underline{x}\right)}_{s} u \bullet_{s} \left((\underline{v} \bullet \underline{w}) \bullet_{s} \underline{x}\right) - \sum_{s}^{s} u \bullet_{s} \left(\underline{v} \bullet_{s} \left(\underline{w} \bullet \underline{x}\right)\right) .$$
(11)

The degree s part of $\underline{v} \bullet (\underline{w} \bullet \underline{x}) = (\underline{v} \bullet \underline{w}) \bullet \underline{x}$ gives the following, completing the proof of associativity:

$$\underline{v} \bullet_s (\underline{w} \bullet \underline{x}) = (\underline{v} \bullet \underline{w}) \bullet_s \underline{x} . \quad \Box$$

We should stress that the associative multiplication • in Proposition 4.4 is not defined on $\mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A \otimes_A \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A$, but just on $\mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A$. This is not surprising, as in repeated application of vector fields, the vector fields will themselves become differentiated. However the reader should remember that this problem arises with the usual bimodule structure on the tensor product, which is given, for example, by $(u \otimes v).a = u \otimes (v.a)$ and $a.(u \otimes v) = (a.u) \otimes v$. The fact that • is associative gives alternative A-bimodule actions. We define $\mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}$ to be the same as $\mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A$ as a left A-module, but with right module structure given by •. We have no need to modify the left action, as the usual action is the same as • in this case. Now we state the main result in this section.

Theorem 4.5 The A-bimodule $\mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec}A_{\bullet}$ with product $\bullet : \mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec}A_{\bullet} \otimes_{A} \mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec}A_{\bullet} \to \mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec}A_{\bullet}$ defined by

$$\underline{v} \bullet \underline{w} = \sum_{k \ge 0} \underline{v} \bullet_k \underline{w}$$

is an associative algebra, with unit $1 \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes 0} A = A$. Further, for a left A-module E with left covariant derivative ∇ , the map in (6) gives $\triangleright : \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet} \otimes_A E \to E$ which is an action of this algebra.

Proof: Combining the results in this section. \Box

Remark 4.6 We need to say something about the category $_{A}\mathcal{E}$ introduced in Definition 2.5. For a morphism $T : (E, \nabla_{E}) \to (F, \nabla_{F})$ it is easy to show by induction that $(\mathrm{id} \otimes T) \nabla_{E}^{(n)} = \nabla_{F}^{(n)} T$. From this we have $\underline{v} \triangleright T(e) = T(\underline{v} \triangleright e)$ for all $e \in E$ and all $\underline{v} \in \mathcal{T}$ VecA.

5 Noncommutative Sobolev spaces

In the classical theory of elliptic differential operators, a vital part is played by Sobolev spaces [26, 21, 10]. They are also used in the analytic theory of complex manifolds [11]. The space $W^{k,p}$ is used to denote the functions which have L^p norm of the derivatives up to order k (defined as a completion of smooth functions of compact support). This is normally defined locally, but in noncommutative geometry we are forced to use a global definition. To do this we use a Hermitian inner product on $\Omega^1 A$. Given the machinery that we have in place already, it is convenient to define the Sobolev space for left modules E with covariant derivative, and then specialise to the case E = A if required. We shall only cover the p = 2 case, but this is the most useful case, as it gives a Hilbert space.

We must first define inner products on A-bimodules, where we assume that A is a star algebra. In fact, we shall assume that A is a subalgebra of a C^* algebra, so that we have the usual ideas of positivity. (The reader should think of the algebra of smooth functions on a compact manifold being a subset of the continuous functions.) We shall also assume some functional calculus for $M_n(A)$, the n by n matrices over A. We write $a \ge 0$ to indicate that $a \in A$ is positive. We use [14] as a reference for Hilbert C^* -modules.

If E is an A-bimodule then \overline{E} is identified with E as a set but has the conjugate actions $a.\overline{e} = \overline{e.a^*}$ and $\overline{e}.a = \overline{a^*.e}$. Here \overline{e} denotes $e \in E$ viewed in \overline{E} .

Definition 5.1 An inner product on a bimodule E is a bimodule map $\langle, \rangle : E \otimes_A \overline{E} \to A$ which satisfies the symmetry condition for all $x, y \in E$:

$$\langle x, \overline{y} \rangle = \langle y, \overline{x} \rangle^*$$
.

The inner product is positive if $\langle x, \overline{x} \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in E$.

We now have the following well known result:

Proposition 5.2 Suppose we have inner products $\langle,\rangle_E, \langle,\rangle_F$ on A-bimodules E, F respectively. Then there is an inner product $\langle,\rangle_{E\otimes F}$ on $E\otimes_A F$, given by the formula

$$\langle x \otimes y, \overline{x' \otimes y'} \rangle_{E \, \otimes \, F} \;\; = \;\; \langle x. \langle y, \overline{y'} \rangle_{F}, \overline{x'} \rangle_{E} \;\; ,$$

for all $x, x' \in E$ and $y, y' \in F$. If \langle , \rangle_E and \langle , \rangle_F are both positive, and we can take the square root of positive matrices with enteries in A, then $\langle , \rangle_{E \otimes F}$ is also positive.

If we have positive Hermitian inner products on E and on $\Omega^1 A$, using Proposition 5.2 we can form the tensor product inner product

$$\langle , \rangle_n : \left(\Omega^{\otimes n}A \underset{A}{\otimes} E\right) \underset{A}{\otimes} \left(\overline{\Omega^{\otimes n}A \underset{A}{\otimes} E}\right) \to A .$$

From this we can form the A-valued inner products of the nth derivatives;

$$\langle\!\langle e, \overline{f} \rangle\!\rangle_n = \langle \nabla^{(n)} e, \overline{\nabla^{(n)} f} \rangle\!\rangle_n .$$
 (12)

Note that we have set $\nabla^{(0)}$ to be the identity. Now the only missing part of following the classical definition is integration. Take a state $\phi : A \to \mathbb{C}$, i.e. a linear map preserving

positivity and having $\phi(1_A) = 1$. We can define a number valued semi-inner product on E by

$$\phi(\langle\!\langle e, \overline{f} \rangle\!\rangle_0) + \phi(\langle\!\langle e, \overline{f} \rangle\!\rangle_1) + \ldots + \phi(\langle\!\langle e, \overline{f} \rangle\!\rangle_n) .$$
(13)

If the original inner product \langle , \rangle_E is strictly positive, and ϕ is a faithful state (i.e. $\phi(x) = 0$ for $x \ge 0$ implies x = 0), then this is an inner product on E. The Sobolev space $W^{n,2}(E, \phi, \nabla)$ is the completion of E under the inner product in (13).

6 Another crossing map

For $E \in {}_{A}\mathcal{E}_{A}$ and $F \in {}_{A}\mathcal{E}$, we shall define and study the map ϑ_{E} given by Fig. 8. The significance of this will be explained in Section 8.



As the reader should be used to by now, we shall construct the map ϑ_E by recursion on n where $\vartheta_E : \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n}A \otimes E \to E \otimes_A \mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec}A$, where we will worry about just what sort of tensor product on the domain or whether it is a module map later. To do this we start with n = 0 and $\vartheta_E : A \otimes_A E \to E \otimes_A A$ being the identity. For n = 1 using the formula for the action of $\operatorname{Vec}A$ on a tensor product (given by $\nabla_{E \otimes F}$) in Fig. 8 gives

$$\vartheta_E = \triangleright + \sigma_E^{-1} : \operatorname{Vec} A \otimes E \to E \bigotimes_A \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A .$$
(14)

The first term on the right hand side in (14) is in $E \otimes_A A$ and the second in $E \otimes_A \text{Vec}A$. Now we calculate, for $v \in \text{Vec}A$, $a \in A$ and $e \in E$

$$\vartheta_E(v \otimes a.e) = v(\mathrm{d}a).e + \vartheta_E(v.a \otimes e) , \qquad (15)$$

and conclude that we do not get a map from $\operatorname{Vec} A \otimes_A E$. However the reader should recall that this is not the right A-module structure for $\mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec} A$ used in Theorem 4.5. The structure used there was $\mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}$, where we have $v \bullet a = v.a + v(\operatorname{d} a)$. This means that ϑ_E does give a well defined map from $(A \oplus \operatorname{Vec} A)_{\bullet} \otimes_A E$ to $E \otimes_A \mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec} A$. Next note that (14) gives a left A-module map. Finally, for the right module structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_E(v \otimes e.a) &= (\operatorname{ev} \otimes \operatorname{id}_E)(v \otimes \nabla_E(e.a)) + \sigma_E^{-1}(v \otimes e.a) \\ &= \vartheta_E(v \otimes e).a + (\operatorname{ev} \otimes \operatorname{id}_E)(\operatorname{id} \otimes \sigma_E)(v \otimes e \otimes da) \\ &= \vartheta_E(v \otimes e).a + (\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \operatorname{ev})(\sigma_E^{-1}(v \otimes e) \otimes da) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \vartheta_E(v \otimes e) \bullet a . \tag{16}$$

We conclude that $\vartheta_E : (A \oplus \operatorname{Vec} A)_{\bullet} \otimes_A E \to E \otimes_A \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}$ is an A-bimodule map, and this shall be the basis for our recursive construction. We have used $(A \oplus \operatorname{Vec} A)_{\bullet}$ as $\operatorname{Vec} A$ itself is not a right A module under the \bullet product. For the same reason, we set $\operatorname{Vec} A^{\otimes \leq n} = A \oplus \operatorname{Vec} A \oplus \operatorname{Vec} A^{\otimes 2} \oplus \ldots \oplus \operatorname{Vec} A^{\otimes n}$ in the following Proposition.

Proposition 6.1 Suppose that ϑ_E is defined recursively by, for $w \in \text{Vec}A$ and $\underline{v} \in \text{Vec}^{\otimes n}A$,

$$\vartheta_E((w \otimes \underline{v}) \otimes e) = (\triangleright \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e)
+ (\sigma_E^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e)
+ (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \hat{\bullet})(\sigma_E^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e)
- \vartheta_E((w \bullet_n \underline{v}) \otimes e) .$$
(17)

Here $\hat{\bullet} = \bullet_m$: Vec $A \otimes \text{Vec}^{\otimes m} A \to \text{Vec}^{\otimes m} A$ – we do not give it a specific index in (17) as m may vary. Then, for all $n \ge 1$,

- 1_n) $\vartheta_E : \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes \leq n} A \otimes E \to E \otimes_A \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A$ is well defined;
- 2_n) $\vartheta_E : \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes \leq n} A_{\bullet} \otimes_A E \to E \otimes_A \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A$ is well defined;
- 3_n) $\vartheta_E : \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes \leq n} A_{\bullet} \otimes_A E \to E \otimes_A \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A$ is a left A module map;
- (4_n) $\vartheta_E : \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes \leq n} A_{\bullet} \otimes_A E \to E \otimes_A \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}$ is a right A module map;
- 5_n) $\underline{v} \triangleright (e \otimes f) = (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \triangleright)(\vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F)(\underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f)$, for all $v \in \mathrm{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$.

Proof: Proof by induction. Assume that $\vartheta_E : \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes \leq n} A_{\bullet} \otimes_A E \to E \otimes_A \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}$ is defined and satisfies $(1_n, \ldots, 5_n)$ – noting that the n = 1 case is done already – see (14) and the discussion following it. Now we use (17) to give the n + 1 case, and verify the corresponding statements.

The first thing is to check that the right hand side of (17) is actually well defined, given that ϑ_E maps into $E \otimes_A \mathcal{T} \text{Vec} A_{\bullet}$ (emphasising the \otimes_A). For this, we need to check that, for all $a \in A$, $\underline{u} \in \text{Vec}^{\otimes m} A$, $w \in \text{Vec} A$ and $e \in E$,

$$\left(\triangleright \otimes \mathrm{id} + (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \bullet_m)(\sigma_E^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id})\right)(w \otimes e.a \otimes \underline{u} - w \otimes e \otimes a.\underline{u}) = 0.$$
⁽¹⁸⁾

Look at

$$(\triangleright \otimes \mathrm{id})(w \otimes e.a \otimes \underline{u} - w \otimes e \otimes a.\underline{u}) = (\mathrm{ev} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(w \otimes \sigma_E(e \otimes \mathrm{d}a) \otimes \underline{u})$$
$$= (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \mathrm{ev} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\sigma_E^{-1}(w \otimes e) \otimes \mathrm{d}a \otimes \underline{u}) .(19)$$

Verifying (18) reduces to showing, for $w' \in \text{Vec}A$,

$$w'(\mathrm{d}a).\underline{u} + \bullet_m(w'.a \otimes \underline{u} - w \otimes a.\underline{u}) = 0 , \qquad (20)$$

which comes from the definition of \bullet_m in Lemma 4.2 and the left Leibniz rule for \Box .

To prove (1_{n+1}) , we use (3_n) to show that the middle two terms of (17) evaluated on $w \otimes a.\underline{v} \otimes e$ are the same as on $w.a \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e$. For the first and fourth terms, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\triangleright \otimes \operatorname{id})(\operatorname{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes a.\underline{v} \otimes e - w.a \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e) &= (\triangleright \otimes \operatorname{id})(w \otimes a.\vartheta_E(\underline{v} \otimes e) - w.a \otimes \vartheta_E(\underline{v} \otimes e)) \\ &= w(\operatorname{da}).\vartheta_E(\underline{v} \otimes e) \ , \\ \vartheta_E((w \bullet_n(a.\underline{v})) \otimes e) - \vartheta_E(((w.a) \bullet_n \underline{v}) \otimes e) &= \vartheta_E(w(\operatorname{da}).\underline{v} \otimes e) = w(\operatorname{da}).\vartheta_E(\underline{v} \otimes e) \ . \end{array}$$

These cancel in (17), verifying (1_{n+1}) .

To prove (2_{n+1}) , it is convenient to rewrite (17) as

$$\vartheta_E((w \bullet \underline{v}) \otimes e) = (\triangleright \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e)
+ (\sigma_E^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e)
+ (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \hat{\bullet})(\sigma_E^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e) .$$
(21)

We need to show from (21) that $\vartheta_E((w \bullet \underline{v}) \bullet a \otimes e) = \vartheta_E((w \bullet \underline{v}) \otimes a.e)$. By associativity of \bullet , it is sufficient to verify that $\vartheta_E(w \bullet (\underline{v} \bullet a) \otimes e) = \vartheta_E((w \bullet \underline{v}) \otimes a.e)$. To do this, it is enough to show that the right hand side of (21) is the same when applied to $w \otimes \underline{v} \bullet a \otimes e$ and to $w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes a.e$, but this is true from (2_n) .

Proving (3_{n+1}) is quite simple, as every term on the right hand side of (17) is left A-linear in w.

To prove (4_{n+1}) we use (21) again. Set $\vartheta_E(\underline{v} \otimes e) = f \otimes u$. Then (4_n) implies that $\vartheta_E(\underline{v} \otimes e.a) = f \otimes u \bullet a$. The right hand side of (21) applied to $w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e.a$ instead of $w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e$ is

$$w \triangleright f \otimes u \bullet a + (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \bullet)(\sigma_E^{-1}(w \otimes f) \otimes u \bullet a) , \qquad (22)$$

where we have combined the last two terms of (21) to give the last term of (22). Now associativity of \bullet gives the answer.

Finally we consider (5_{n+1}) . From Lemma 4.1 we can write

$$(w \otimes \underline{v}) \triangleright (e \otimes f) = w \triangleright (\underline{v} \triangleright (e \otimes f)) - (w \bullet_n \underline{v}) \triangleright (e \otimes f) , \qquad (23)$$

and using the inductive hypothesis (5_n) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (w \otimes \underline{v}) \triangleright (e \otimes f) &= w \triangleright ((\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \triangleright)(\vartheta_E \otimes \operatorname{id}_F)(\underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f)) \\ &- (\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \triangleright)(\vartheta_E \otimes \operatorname{id}_F)((w \bullet_n \underline{v}) \otimes e \otimes f) \\ &= (\triangleright \otimes \triangleright)(\operatorname{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes \operatorname{id}_F)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) \\ &+ (\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \triangleright)(\sigma_E^{-1} \otimes \operatorname{id}_F)(\operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{id}_E \otimes \triangleright)(\operatorname{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes \operatorname{id}_F)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) \\ &- (\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \triangleright)(\vartheta_E \otimes \operatorname{id}_F)((w \bullet_n \underline{v}) \otimes e \otimes f) \\ &= (\triangleright \otimes \triangleright)(\operatorname{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes \operatorname{id}_F)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) \\ &+ (\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \triangleright)(\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \operatorname{id}_F)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) \\ &+ (\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \triangleright)(\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \operatorname{id}_F)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) \\ &- (\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \triangleright)(\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \operatorname{id}_F)((w \bullet_n \underline{v}) \otimes e \otimes f) \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 4.1 again, we can rewrite the middle term of the result of (24) as follows,

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 & (w \otimes \underline{v}) \triangleright (e \otimes f) \\
 &= & (\triangleright \otimes \triangleright) (\operatorname{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes \operatorname{id}_F) (w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) \\
 &+ & (\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \triangleright) (\sigma_E^{-1} \otimes \operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{id}_F) (\operatorname{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes \operatorname{id}_F) (w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) \\
 &+ & (\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \triangleright) (\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \widehat{\bullet} \otimes \operatorname{id}_F) (\sigma_E^{-1} \otimes \operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{id}_F) (\operatorname{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes \operatorname{id}_F) (w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) \\
 &- & (\operatorname{id}_E \otimes \triangleright) (\vartheta_E \otimes \operatorname{id}_F) ((w \bullet_n \underline{v}) \otimes e \otimes f) .
\end{array}$$
(25)

Now (25) implies (5_{n+1}) by the recursive definition (17). \Box

Note that we can rewrite the recursive definition (17) in a shorter form as

$$\vartheta_E(w \bullet \underline{v} \otimes e) = (\triangleright \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e) + (\sigma_E^{-1} \bullet \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e) .$$
(26)

Proposition 6.2

$$\vartheta_{E\otimes F} = (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \vartheta_F)(\vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F) : \mathcal{T}\mathrm{Vec}A_{\bullet} \underset{A}{\otimes} \underset{A}{\otimes} \underset{A}{E} \underset{A}{\otimes} F \to \underset{A}{\otimes} \underset{A}{F} \underset{A}{\otimes} \mathcal{T}\mathrm{Vec}A_{\bullet} .$$

Proof: This is proved by induction on n, where $\vartheta_{E \otimes F} : \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes \leq n} A_{\bullet} \otimes_{A} E \otimes_{A} F \to E \otimes_{A} F \otimes_{A} \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}$.

The n = 1 case is given by (14) as

$$\vartheta_{E\otimes F} = \triangleright_{E\otimes F} + \sigma_{E\otimes F}^{-1} : \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet} \underset{A}{\otimes} \underset{A}{E\otimes} F \to \underset{A}{\otimes} \underset{A}{F} \underset{A}{\otimes} \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet} .$$
(27)

Next write

$$(\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \vartheta_F)(\vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F) = (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \vartheta_F)(\triangleright_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F + \sigma_E^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_F) .$$

$$(28)$$

Remember that ϑ_F is essentially the identity on $\operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes 0}A_{\bullet}\otimes_A F$, so we obtain

$$(\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \vartheta_F)(\vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F) = \triangleright_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F + (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \vartheta_F)(\sigma_E^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_F) , \qquad (29)$$

and, using the formula for the action of vector fields on a tensor product, this is the same as (27).

Now suppose that the hypothesis works for n. For all $\underline{v} \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n}A$, $w \in \operatorname{Vec}A$, $e \in E$ and $f \in F$, the recursive definition (26) gives

$$\vartheta_{E \otimes F}(w \bullet \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) = (\triangleright_{E \otimes F} \otimes \operatorname{id})(\operatorname{id} \otimes \vartheta_{E \otimes F})(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) + (\sigma_{E \otimes F}^{-1} \bullet \operatorname{id})(\operatorname{id} \otimes \vartheta_{E \otimes F})(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) .$$
(30)

By using the inductive hypothesis, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sigma_{E\otimes F}^{-1}\otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E\otimes F}) \\ &= (\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes\sigma_{F}^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\sigma_{E}^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes\vartheta_{F})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}) \\ &= (\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes\sigma_{F}^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{F})(\sigma_{E}^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}) , \quad (31) \end{aligned}$$

and from this we get the second term of (30),

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sigma_{E\otimes F}^{-1}\bullet \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E\otimes F}) \\ &= (\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes\sigma_{F}^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\sigma_{E}^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes\vartheta_{F})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}) \\ &= (\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes(\sigma_{F}^{-1}\bullet\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{F})) \left((\sigma_{E}^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E})\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}\right) . \end{aligned}$$
(32)

Using (26) twice gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sigma_{E\otimes F}^{-1}\bullet\mathrm{id}\right)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E\otimes F}) \\ = & \left(\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes\vartheta_{F}(\bullet\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F})\right)\left(\left(\sigma_{E}^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}\right)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E})\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}\right) \\ & - \left(\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes(\triangleright_{F}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{F})\right)\left(\left(\sigma_{E}^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}\right)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E})\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}\right) \\ = & \left(\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes\vartheta_{F}\right)\left(\left(\sigma_{E}^{-1}\bullet\mathrm{id}\right)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E})\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}\right) \\ & - \left(\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes(\triangleright_{F}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{F})\right)\left(\left(\sigma_{E}^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}\right)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E})\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}\right) \\ = & \left(\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes\vartheta_{F}\right)\left(\vartheta_{E}(\bullet\otimes\mathrm{id}_{E})\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}\right) \\ & - \left(\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes\vartheta_{F}\right)\left(\vartheta_{E}(\bullet\otimes\mathrm{id}_{E})\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}\right) \\ & - \left(\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes\vartheta_{F}\right)\left(\left(\triangleright_{E}\otimes\mathrm{id}\right)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E})\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}\right) \\ & - \left(\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes(\triangleright_{F}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{F})\right)\left(\left(\sigma_{E}^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}\right)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E})\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}\right) \\ & - \left(\mathrm{id}_{E}\otimes(\triangleright_{F}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{F})\right)\left(\left(\sigma_{E}^{-1}\otimes\mathrm{id}\right)(\mathrm{id}\otimes\vartheta_{E})\otimes\mathrm{id}_{F}\right) . \end{aligned}$$
(33)

At this point we consider the last two terms of (33) separately: The second is

$$(\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \vartheta_F)(\triangleright_E \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id}_F)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F)$$

= $(\triangleright_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E \otimes \vartheta_F)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F)$
= $(\triangleright_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes F)$, (34)

and the third is

$$(\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \triangleright_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_F)(\sigma_E^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id}_F)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F)$$

$$= (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \triangleright_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(\sigma_E^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id}_E \otimes \vartheta_F)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id}_F)$$

$$= (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \triangleright_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(\sigma_E^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_F \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes F) .$$
(35)

Now we put these results back into (30) to get

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\vartheta_{E\otimes F}(w \bullet \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) \\
= & \left(\mathrm{id}_{E} \otimes \vartheta_{F}\right) \left(\vartheta_{E} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{F}\right) (w \bullet \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) \\
& - (\triangleright_{E} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{F} \otimes \mathrm{id}) (\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_{E\otimes F}) (w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) \\
& - (\mathrm{id}_{E} \otimes \triangleright_{F} \otimes \mathrm{id}) (\sigma_{E}^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{F} \otimes \mathrm{id}) (\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_{E\otimes F}) (w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) \\
& + (\triangleright_{E\otimes F} \otimes \mathrm{id}) (\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_{E\otimes F}) (w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e \otimes f) .
\end{array} \tag{36}$$

The last three terms of (36) cancel (remember that $w \in \text{Vec}A$), proving the hypothesis for the case n + 1. \Box

Proposition 6.3 For all $\underline{u}, \underline{v} \in \mathcal{T} \text{Vec} A$,

$$\vartheta_E(\underline{u} \bullet \underline{v} \otimes e) = (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \bullet)(\vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(\underline{u} \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e) \; .$$

Proof: This is proved by induction on m, where $\underline{u} \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes m} A$. First the m = 1 case is given by combining (14) and (26). Now suppose that it is true for some $m \ge 1$, and consider, for all $w \in \operatorname{Vec} A$,

$$\vartheta_E((w \bullet \underline{u}) \bullet \underline{v} \otimes e) = \vartheta_E(w \bullet (\underline{u} \bullet \underline{v}) \otimes e) = (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \bullet)(\vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{u} \bullet \underline{v} \otimes e) = (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \bullet)(\vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(w \otimes (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \bullet)(\vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(\underline{u} \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e))$$

where we have used, in order, associativity of \bullet , the m = 1 case, and the inductive hypothesis. This can be rearranged to give

 $\begin{array}{ll} \vartheta_E((w \bullet \underline{u}) \bullet \underline{v} \otimes e) \\ = & (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \bullet (\mathrm{id} \otimes \bullet)(\vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{u} \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e) \\ = & (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \bullet (\bullet \otimes \mathrm{id})(\vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{u} \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e) \\ = & (\mathrm{id}_E \otimes \bullet (\bullet \otimes \mathrm{id})(\vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{u} \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e) \\ \end{array}$

where we have used the associativity of \bullet , and the m = 1 case. This concludes the inductive proof. \Box

Proposition 6.4 The following map is simply the • product:

$$\vartheta_A : \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet} \underset{A}{\otimes} A \to A \underset{A}{\otimes} \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet} \cong \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet} .$$

Proof: First note that $\vartheta_A(v \otimes a) = \vartheta_A(v \bullet a \otimes 1_A)$. Now check the formulae for $\vartheta_A(u \otimes 1_A)$, given that any differential applied to 1_A gives zero as $d(1_A) = 0$. \Box

The map ϑ_E maps $\operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A_{\bullet} \otimes_A E$ to the sum of $E \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}^{\otimes m}$ for $m \leq n$. On the level of $E \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}^{\otimes n}$ (i.e. ignoring the m < n terms) it is simply σ_E^{-1} , which is assumed to be invertible. By standard graded arguments, it is likely that ϑ_E is invertible. Here we shall give a recursive definition of its inverse.

Proposition 6.5 The inverse $\vartheta_E^{-1} : E \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A_{\bullet} \to \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet} \otimes_A E$ is given recursively by the identity for n = 0, $\vartheta_E^{-1}(e \otimes w) = (\operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{id}_E - \triangleright) \sigma_E$ for n = 1, and then by, for $f \in E$, $v \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$ and $u \in \operatorname{Vec} A$,

$$\vartheta_E^{-1}(f \otimes u \otimes v) = (\mathrm{id} \bullet \vartheta_E^{-1})(\sigma_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(f \otimes u \otimes v) - \vartheta_E^{-1}(f \otimes u \triangleright v) - \vartheta_E^{-1}((\triangleright \sigma_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(f \otimes u \otimes v)) .$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\vartheta_E^{-1}(f \otimes u \bullet v) = (\mathrm{id} \bullet \vartheta_E^{-1} - \vartheta_E^{-1}(\triangleright \otimes \mathrm{id}))(\sigma_E(f \otimes u) \otimes v) .$$

Proof: The n = 1 case is by explicit calculation.

Now assume that the formula for ϑ_E^{-1} on $E \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A_{\bullet}$ works. Then we can use (26) to write, for $v \in \operatorname{Vec}^{\otimes n} A$ and $w \in \operatorname{Vec} A$,

$$\vartheta_E(w \bullet \vartheta_E^{-1}(e \otimes v)) = w \triangleright e \otimes v + \sigma_E^{-1}(w \otimes e) \bullet v .$$
(37)

If we write $\sigma_E^{-1}(w \otimes e) = f \otimes u$ for $u \in \text{Vec}A$ (summation implicit), then

$$\vartheta_E(\mathrm{id} \bullet \vartheta_E^{-1})(\sigma_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(f \otimes u \otimes v) = (\triangleright \sigma_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(f \otimes u \otimes v) + f \otimes u \bullet v$$

$$= (\triangleright \sigma_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(f \otimes u \otimes v) + f \otimes u \otimes v$$

$$+ f \otimes u \triangleright v .$$
(38)

As two of the terms of the right side of (38) are in the domain of previously defined ϑ_E^{-1} , we can rewrite (38) as

$$f \otimes u \otimes v = \vartheta_E \Big((\mathrm{id} \bullet \vartheta_E^{-1}) (\sigma_E \otimes \mathrm{id}) (f \otimes u \otimes v) - \vartheta_E^{-1} (f \otimes u \triangleright v) \\ - \vartheta_E^{-1} ((\triangleright \sigma_E \otimes \mathrm{id}) (f \otimes u \otimes v)) \Big) , \qquad (39)$$

and this gives the recursive formula in the statement. We leave checking other properties of ϑ_E^{-1} to the reader. $\ \Box$

7 A rather unusual covariant derivative

We give a left bimodule covariant derivative on $\mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}$. Given the dual basis $\operatorname{coev}(1) = \xi \otimes u \in \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec} A$ (summation implicit), define $\nabla : \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A$ by

$$\nabla(\underline{v}) = \xi \otimes (u \bullet \underline{v}) . \tag{40}$$

As $a.u = a \bullet u$ for $a \in A$, we see that ∇ only depends on $\xi \otimes u \in \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec} A$ (with the emphasis on \otimes_A). We need to look at the defining characteristic of a left covariant derivative,

$$\nabla(a \bullet \underline{v}) = \xi \otimes (u \bullet (a \bullet \underline{v})) \\
= \xi \otimes ((u \bullet a) \bullet \underline{v}) \\
= \xi \otimes (u(\mathrm{d}a) \bullet \underline{v}) + \xi \otimes ((u.a) \bullet \underline{v}) .$$
(41)

Next we use $coev(a) = a.\xi \otimes u = \xi \otimes u.a \in \Omega^1 A \otimes_A VecA$ to compute

$$\nabla(a \bullet \underline{v}) = \xi \otimes u(\mathrm{d}a) \cdot \underline{v} + u \cdot \xi \otimes (u \bullet \underline{v}) \\
= \xi \cdot u(\mathrm{d}a) \otimes \underline{v} + u \cdot \xi \otimes (u \bullet \underline{v}) \\
= \mathrm{d}a \otimes \underline{v} + u \cdot \nabla(\underline{v}) .$$
(42)

Here we have also used the defining property of a dual basis, $\xi . u(da) = da$. Now we look at ∇ as a bimodule covariant derivative on $\mathcal{T} \text{Vec} A_{\bullet}$. Calculate

$$\nabla(\underline{v} \bullet a) - \nabla(\underline{v}) \bullet a = \xi \otimes (u \bullet (\underline{v} \bullet a)) - \xi \otimes ((u \bullet \underline{v}) \bullet a) = 0.$$
 (43)

We come to the rather surprising conclusion that $(\mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}, \nabla, 0)$ is a bimodule covariant derivative.

Suppose that (E, ∇_E, σ_E) is a bimodule covariant derivative. Then consider the tensor product covariant derivatives,

$$\nabla_{E \otimes \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}}(e \otimes \underline{v}) = \nabla_{E}(e) \otimes \underline{v} + \sigma_{E}(e \otimes \xi) \otimes (u \bullet \underline{v}) ,
\nabla_{\mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet} \otimes E}(\underline{v} \otimes e) = \xi \otimes (u \bullet \underline{v}) \otimes e .$$
(44)

The last derivative looks unusual, until you remember the zero arrived at in (43), meaning that differentiating e gives no contribution to the derivative in the second line of (44). Note that from (26) we have

$$\vartheta_E(w \bullet \underline{v} \otimes e) = (\triangleright \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e) + (\sigma_E^{-1} \bullet \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E)(w \otimes \underline{v} \otimes e) .$$
(45)

From this and (44),

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E) \nabla_{\mathcal{T}\mathrm{Vec}A_{\bullet} \otimes E}(\underline{v} \otimes e) = \xi \otimes \vartheta_E(u \bullet \underline{v} \otimes e) = \xi \otimes (\triangleright \otimes \mathrm{id})(u \otimes \vartheta_E(\underline{v} \otimes e)) + \xi \otimes (\sigma_E^{-1} \bullet \mathrm{id})(u \otimes \vartheta_E(\underline{v} \otimes e)) .$$
(46)

Remembering that $\operatorname{coev}(1) = \xi \otimes u \in \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec} A$, we obtain

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma_E^{-1})(\xi \otimes u \otimes f) = (\sigma_E \otimes \mathrm{id})(f \otimes \xi \otimes u) , \qquad (47)$$

and from (44) we have

$$\nabla_{E \otimes \mathcal{T} \operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}}(e \otimes \underline{v}) = \nabla_{E}(e) \otimes \underline{v} + \xi \otimes (\sigma_{E}^{-1} \bullet \operatorname{id})(u \otimes e \otimes \underline{v}) .$$

$$\tag{48}$$

By definition of $\xi \otimes u$, $\xi \otimes u \triangleright e = \nabla_E(e)$, and we have proved the following result:

Proposition 7.1 For the coevaluation coev : $A \to \Omega^1 A \otimes_A \operatorname{Vec} A$, the map $\nabla(\underline{v}) = \operatorname{coev}(1) \bullet \underline{v}$ gives a left covariant derivative on $\mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}$. It is also a right module map, so $(\nabla, 0)$ is a left bimodule covariant derivative on $\mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}$. Given another object (E, ∇_E, σ_E) in ${}_{A}\mathcal{E}_{A}$, the map $\vartheta_E : \mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet} \otimes_A E \to E \otimes_A \mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec} A_{\bullet}$ defined in Proposition 6.1 is a morphism in ${}_{A}\mathcal{E}_{A}$, i.e.

 $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \vartheta_E) \nabla_{\mathcal{T} \mathrm{Vec} A_{\bullet} \otimes E} \quad = \quad \nabla_E \otimes \mathcal{T} \mathrm{Vec} A_{\bullet} \ \vartheta_E \ .$

8 The centre of a category

Recall that a monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \Phi, 1_{\mathcal{C}}, l, r)$ means a category, with a functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$, a natural equivalence $\Phi : ((\otimes) \otimes) \to (\otimes(\otimes))$ subject to Mac Lane's pentagon coherence identity and an identity object $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ and associated natural isomorpisms $l : \mathrm{id} \to \mathrm{id} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $r : \mathrm{id} \to 1_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \mathrm{id}$ compatible with Φ . We refer to [16] for details. In the trivially associated case (i.e. where $(X \otimes Y) \otimes Z = X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$) we can set Φ to be the identity.

For simplicity, rather than necessity, the following definition ([18, Example 3.4] and [13, Definition 3]) is given in the trivially associated case. The *centre* $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ of a monoidal category \mathcal{C} (with product \otimes and identity object $1_{\mathcal{C}}$) is a category which consists of objects which are pairs (X, φ) , where X is an object in \mathcal{C} and $\varphi : X \otimes - \Rightarrow - \otimes X$ is a natural transformation from the functor $A \mapsto X \otimes A$ to the functor $A \mapsto A \otimes X$. Given an object A of \mathcal{C} , we write this as $\varphi_A : X \otimes A \to A \otimes X$. The natural transformation is related to the tensor product by

$$\varphi_{A\otimes B} = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_B)(\varphi_A \otimes \mathrm{id}) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{1_{\mathcal{C}}} = \mathrm{id}_X \;. \tag{49}$$

The centre $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ has morphisms $\alpha : (X, \varphi) \to (Y, \vartheta)$ so that $\alpha : X \to Y$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} with

$$\vartheta_A \left(\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}_A \right) = \left(\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \alpha \right) \varphi_A : X \otimes A \to A \otimes Y \ . \tag{50}$$

From this definition we can derive certain facts about $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$.

The centre $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is a monoidal category, with

$$(X,\varphi)\otimes(Y,\vartheta) = (X\otimes Y, (\varphi\otimes \mathrm{id}_Y)(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\vartheta)), \qquad (51)$$

and identity $(1_{\mathcal{C}}, lr^{-1})$. It is also a braided category, with

$$\varphi_Y = \Psi_{(X,\varphi),(Y,\vartheta)} : (X,\varphi) \otimes (Y,\vartheta) \to (Y,\vartheta) \otimes (X,\varphi) .$$
(52)

We now give Example 8.1 for two reasons. Firstly, it may reassure those who are not familiar with the centre construction that it is not too complicated. Secondly, as we shall see it shares relevant features with our main example of the differential operators.

Example 8.1 Let H be a Hopf algebra. Consider a category of left modules ${}_{H}\mathcal{M}$ of a Hopf algebra H, with morphisms compatible with the H action. The category ${}_{H}\mathcal{M}$ has a tensor product, given by $h \triangleright (v \otimes w) = h_{(1)} \triangleright v \otimes h_{(2)} \triangleright w$, where $h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} = \Delta(h)$ is the Sweedler notation for the coproduct Δ of H.

We can consider (H, \triangleright) to be an object in ${}_{H}\mathcal{M}$, where \triangleright is the left adjoint action $g \triangleright h = g_{(1)}h S(g_{(2)})$, where S is the anipode of H. For all $V \in {}_{H}\mathcal{M}$, define $\varphi_{V} : H \otimes V \to V \otimes H$ by

$$\varphi_V(h \otimes v) = h_{(1)} \triangleright v \otimes h_{(2)} .$$
(53)

Then φ_V is a morphism as

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{V}(g \triangleright (h \otimes v)) &= \varphi_{V}(g_{(1)}h \, S(g_{(2)}) \otimes g_{(3)} \triangleright v) \\
&= g_{(1)}h_{(1)} \, S(g_{(4)}) \, g_{(5)} \triangleright v \otimes g_{(2)}h_{(2)} \, S(g_{(3)}) \\
&= g_{(1)}h_{(1)} \triangleright v \otimes g_{(2)}h_{(2)} \, S(g_{(3)}) \\
&= g \triangleright \varphi_{V}(h \otimes v) .
\end{aligned}$$
(54)

The condition that φ is compatible with the tensor product,

$$\varphi_{V \otimes W} = (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \varphi_W)(\varphi_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_W) : H \otimes V \otimes W \to V \otimes W \otimes H ,$$

is given by the coassociativity of the coproduct. This means that (H, \triangleright) is in the centre $\mathcal{Z}(_H\mathcal{M})$. If the antipode S of H is bijective, then φ is invertible, as $\varphi_V^{-1}(v \otimes h) = h_{(2)} \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \triangleright v$.

A little more calculation shows that the product $\mu : H \otimes H \to H$ is a morphism in the category, and that this makes (H, \triangleright) into an algebra in the centre $\mathcal{Z}(_H\mathcal{M})$, as the required extra condition for the product to be a morphism in $\mathcal{Z}(_H\mathcal{M})$ is

$$\varphi_V(\mu \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) = (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \mu)(\varphi_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_H)(\mathrm{id}_H \otimes \varphi_V) : H \otimes H \otimes V \to V \otimes H ,$$

and is given by the compatibility between the product and coproduct of H.

Theorem 8.2 The A-bimodule $\mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec}A_{\bullet}$ with the bimodule covariant derivative given in Proposition 7.1 is in the centre $\mathcal{Z}({}_{A}\mathcal{E}_{A})$ of ${}_{A}\mathcal{E}_{A}$ (see Definition 2.5), using the natural transformation $\vartheta : \mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec}A_{\bullet} \otimes_{A} \to \to - \otimes_{A}\mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec}A_{\bullet}$ defined in Proposition 6.1. In addition $\mathcal{T}\operatorname{Vec}A_{\bullet}$ is a unital associative algebra in $\mathcal{Z}({}_{A}\mathcal{E}_{A})$, using the product \bullet defined in Proposition 4.4. The natural transformation ϑ is related to the action of differential fields on modules with connection by Fig. 8.

Proof: To see that ϑ is a natural transformation, see Proposition 7.1. The equations for the tensor products (49) are given by Proposition 6.2 and a brief calculation. The compatibility between the product • and ϑ is given by Proposition 6.3, and compatibility with the unit is given by Proposition 6.4. \Box

One would really like to see the structure of a bialgebroid or a \times_A -bialgebra [25] or, even better, a \times_A -Hopf algebra [24] on noncommutative vector fields; see [3]. It is instructive to consider Example 8.1 again. Here we have an algebra $(H, \triangleright, \varphi, \mu)$ in the centre of the category ${}_H\mathcal{M}$. But, given this, can we get back to the Hopf algebra structure of H? The answer is yes, but as far as we can see only indirectly. By indirectly, we mean that H can be Tannaka-Krein reconstructed from the representation category [19], and we start with ${}_H\mathcal{M}$. There is a 'direct' construction of the action of H by $h \triangleright v = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon) \varphi_V(h \otimes v)$ (this is assuming that we know the counit ϵ), but it is not obvious to see how to construct Δ by a similar formula. Likewise, it is not clear how to construct the coproduct on $\mathcal{T}\mathrm{Vec}A_{\bullet}$.

References

- Beggs E.J, Braiding and exponentiating noncommutative vector fields, arXiv:math/0306094 (2003)
- Borowiec A., Vector fields and differential operators: noncommutative case. Czechoslovak J. Phys. 47 (1997), 1093–1100.
- Böhm G., Hopf algebroids [in:] Handbook of Algebra vol. 6, M. Hazewinkel (ed.), North-Holland, 2009, pp. 173–236.
- [4] Bresser K., Müller-Hoissen F., Dimakis A. & Sitarz A., Noncommutative geometry of finite groups. J. of Physics A (Math. and General), 29 :2705-2735, 1996.
- [5] Connes A., Noncommutative geometry. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [6] Connes A., Non commutative differential geometry, Publ. Math. IHES no. 62 (1985), 41-144.
- [7] Dubois-Violette M. & Masson T., On the first-order operators in bimodules, Lett. Math. Phys. 37, 467–474, 1996.
- [8] Dubois-Violette M. & Michor P.W., Connections on central bimodules in noncommutative differential geometry, J. Geom. Phys. 20, 218–232, 1996.
- [9] Fiore G. & Madore J., Leibniz rules and reality conditions. Eur. Phys. J. C Part. Fields 17 (2000), no. 2, 359–366.
- [10] Gilbarg D. & Trudinger N.S., Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol 224, Springer 1983
- [11] Griffiths P. & Harris J., Principles of Algebraic Geometry, John Wiley & Sons 1978
- [12] Jara P. & Llena D., Lie Bracket of Vector Fields in Noncommutative Geometry, Czechoslovak J. Phys. 53 (2003) 743–758
- [13] Joyal A. & Street R., Tortile Yang-Baxter operators in tensor categories, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 71 (1991), 43–51.
- [14] Lance E.C., Hilbert C^* -modules, A toolkit for operator algebraists, LMS. Lecture Note Series 210, CUP. 1995
- [15] Lychagin V., Calculus and Quantizations Over Hopf Algebras, Acta Appl. Math. 51 (1998), 303–352.
- [16] S. Mac Lane, Categories for the Working Mathematician, 2nd edition, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [17] Madore J., An introduction to noncommutative differential geometry and its physical applications. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 257, CUP 1999.
- [18] Majid S., Representations, duals and quantum doubles of monoidal categories, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Series II, 26 (1991) 197–206

- [19] Majid S., Tannaka-Krein theorem for quasi-Hopf algebras and other results. Deformation theory and quantum groups with applications to mathematical physics (Amherst, MA, 1990), 219232, Contemp. Math., 134, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- [20] Majid S., Classification of bicovariant differential calculi, J. Geom. Phys. 25 (1998), 119–140.
- [21] Maz'ya V.G., Sobolev Spaces with Applications to Elliptic Partial Differential Equations, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 342, Springer 1985
- [22] Mourad J., Linear connections in noncommutative geometry, Class. Quantum Grav. 12, 965–974, 1995.
- [23] Rosso M., Quantum groups and shuffles, Invent. Math. 133 (1998) 399-416
- [24] Schauenburg P., Duals and doubles of quantum groupoids (×_R-Hopf algebras). [in:] New trends in Hopf algebra theory (La Falda, 1999), Contemp. Math., 267, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (2000), 273–299.
- [25] Takeuchi M., Groups of algebras over $A \otimes \overline{A}$, J. Math. Soc. Japan 29 (1977), 459–492.
- [26] Triebel H., Theory of function spaces. Monographs in Mathematics, Vol. 78, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983